Physiological Effect of Space on Bone Health

Aerospace Medicine
University of Texas Medical Branch

Jean D. Sibonga, Ph.D.
Lead, Bone Discipline
Human Research Program [HRP]
Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX
July 14, 2015
At the end of this lecture, you should understand:

• The *insufficiency* of DXA BMD as a surrogate for fracture risk in terrestrial medicine and as a research tool/clinical test for NASA.

• The flight data describing the unique effects of spaceflight on skeletal sites at risk for age-related osteoporosis.

• The bold approaches to translating *Research* to the *Clinical* arena to meet NASA’s constraints and aggressive schedule for mission planning.
It’s all about fracture.

“Osteoporotic/Fragility Fractures” – low to atraumatic Fractures due to Osteoporosis (Causality - SKELETAL CONDITION)

You don’t have to be OLD.

Load > Bone Strength = FRACTURE

(Key Causality – BIOMECHANICS)

You don’t have to have OSTEOPOROSIS.
Clinical Arena: Probability of Fractures Drives the Requirement for Intervention.

What do we need to monitor in order to assess if and when fractures might occur in astronauts?
Overview

• What makes Bone complicated?

• What makes space effects so unique?

• What steps are recommended to manage fracture risk in astronauts given NASA constraints?
Skeletal Sites: Different composition of Bone Types with different contributions (a GAP) to Bone Integrity

PROXIMAL FEMUR

Cortical Bone/ “Compact Bone”

Cancellous “Spongy” Bone/Trabecular Bone

VERTEBRAL BODY - 66% BMD

Trochanter
50% BMD

Femoral Neck
25% BMD

Sources: L. Mosekilde; SL Bonnick; P Crompton
Different Distribution and Turnover Rates for Bone Types to Support 2 functions of Skeleton

Entire skeleton turns-over 10%/year: 3% cortical bone but 25% of cancellous bone

Cortical Bone 80% of total skeleton (long bones)

Cancellous Bone 20% of total skeleton (vertebrae, ribs, ends of long bones) Contains 80% of bone surfaces
TYPES OF BONE CELLS: mediators of bone resorption, bone formation, mechanical sensing

- Osteocytes
- Osteoblasts
- Osteoclasts

Bone Marrow Area

Mineralized bone
Remodeling of Bone Tissue in Adults is Highly Regulated and Rates can Influence Integrity

1-2 million Bone Remodeling Units (BRUs] in the adult skeleton

Normal Remodeling Rate at the Level of 1 Bone Remodeling Unit of Cancellous Bone

High Remodeling Rate at the Cancellous Bone Tissue Level
Fracture risk is already multifactorial in the Aged and At Risk populations.

Adapted from: Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis-Related Fractures (NOF) Cooper C, Melton LJ
Medical Operations: Multiple, novel knowledge gaps to investigate.

Aging

Gonadal Changes?

Calcium, Vitamin D Muscle Atrophy

Uncoupled bone turnover

High Salt Intake

Family History

Medications

Disorders

Inadequate peak bone mass

Increased bone loss

Low bone density

Impaired bone quality

Skeletal fragility

FRACTURE

Excessive bone loading

Postural instability

Repetitive Falling

Planetary EVAs Exercise Loads

Kinetic energy

CO2; Radiation on bone marrow cells Fluid shifts and regional blood flow

Adapted from: Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis-Related Fractures (NOF) Cooper C, Melton LJ
Setting Priorities: It’s not all about Bone.

Adaptations to Long-Duration Space Flight

**Ocular**
- intraocular pressure in flight
- retinal blood vessel constriction postflight
- visual motor task performance
down contrast discrimination
down visual field postflight
down intraocular pressure postflight

**Cardiovascular**
- resting heart rate
- stroke volume early in flight
- PACs & PVCs
- fluid volume
down orthostatic tolerance
down aerobic & anaerobic capacity
down resting blood pressure postflight
down central venous pressure (indirect)
down cardio/thoracic (CK) ratio postflight

**Musculoskeletal/Bone**
- muscle mass
down muscle endurance & strength
down bone mineral content
down bone integrity

**Body Fluids**
- hemoglobin & hematocrit postflight
down total body water
down plasma & urine volumes postflight

**Electrolytes**
- urinary Ca & PO₄ postflight
down plasma K & Mg postflight
down urinary Na, K, Cl, Mg

**Hormones**
- plasma ADH & ANF
down urinary aldosterone
down urinary ADH & cortisol postflight
down urinary epinephrine & androgrenone postflight
down plasma ACTH, aldosterone, cortisol

**Metabolites**
- plasma glucose, creatinine, BUN postflight
down albumin, cholesterol, triglycerides, uric acid

**Neurosensory**
- vestibular disturbances
- space motion sickness
down postural stability
down sensorimotor function
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Constraints to Understanding Skeletal Adaptation
Characterizing Bone Changes* in Space

Mercury 1961-63
Gemini 1965-66
Apollo 1968-72
Skylab 1973-74

Shuttle 1981-2010

Soyuz/Salyut 1974-85
Mir 1986-2000
Intl Space Station 2000-present

Calcium balance
SPA of heel and wrist

SPA
Urine, fecal Ca
Heel, Wrist

DXA
QCT
pQCT
BTO

SPA=Single Photon Absorptiometry
DXA=Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry
QCT=Quantitative Computed Tomography
pQCT = peripheral QCT
BTO=biomedical markers of bone turnover

*Two functions of skeleton
Skylab-Bone Mineral Density of Calcaneus (vs. wrist)

Skylab-Urinary Calcium Excretion

Urinary Ca during Skylab
(Mean + SEM)

Number of Flight Days

Urinary Ca after Return from Skylab

Days of Reambulation
NASA JSC: Widely-Applied Technology for the Assessment of Bone Health- Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry [DXA]

DXA measurement of areal BMD \([\text{BMD}_a]\) – a 3d measure in 2d units
• Improved precision; low radiation; shorter scan times; BMD over multiple skeletal sites…
• Used in large prospective studies for fracture prediction

• Long established surrogate for bone strength
• Despite limitations, still considered best predictor of fracture
Declines in bone mass are rapid and site-specific.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areal BMD g/cm²</th>
<th>%/Month Change ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>-1.06±0.63*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral Neck</td>
<td>-1.15±0.84*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanter</td>
<td>-1.56±0.99*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Body</td>
<td>-0.35±0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>-1.35±0.54*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm</td>
<td>-0.04±0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg</td>
<td>-0.34±0.33*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01, n=16-18 Leblanc et al, 2000.
Subsequently, application of Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry [DXA] BMD @ Johnson Space Center to...

- monitor astronaut skeletal health,

- characterize skeletal effects of long-duration spaceflight,

- evaluate efficacy of bone loss countermeasures, and

- verify restored health status
DXA BMD increases in Postflight – does that suggest a recovery of *bone strength*?

Sibonga et al. BONE 41:973-978, 2007
Serum and urinary biomarkers reflect bone turnover and suggest changes in cellular activities.
Bone Turnover Markers: suggest uncoupling of remodeling -- may result in net loss in bone mass from skeleton.
Calcium-regulating Hormones – Endocrine system is "normal" but perturbed.

Nutrition SMO, unpublished data; Courtesy Dr. SM Smith
Circa 2000, NASA adapts the only & best clinical guidelines available for Primary Osteoporosis as standards of bone health in astronauts. **T-scores** (Not BMD change).

*T-score* is # Standard Deviations from mean BMD of young normal “peak bone mass”

- **Preflight** “Fit for Duty”
- Permissible Outcome Limit
- Mitigation Efficacy

**T-scores**

- normal bone density
- low bone mass
- presence of osteoporosis

-4.0 -3.0 -2.5 -2.0 -1.0 0.0 +1.0
Clinical Guidelines used by NASA:

DXA-based T-scores not appropriate, informative or predictive for fracture in astronaut population.
Limited Knowledge Base: The long-duration astronaut – not typical subject to screen for osteoporosis (1/2015).

• Typical space mission duration – $160 \pm 32d$ (range 49-215d)
• Average Age – $47 \pm 5\ y$ (range 36 – 56)
• Male to Female Ratio – $4.7 : 1$ (56:12)
• Current total # per astronauts in corps – 68 of 365
• # repeat fliers – 7
• BMI – Male BMI $25.7 \pm 2.2$ (range 21.2 to 30.7) Female BMI $22.3 \pm 2.3$ (range 20.1 to 25.9)
• Wt and Ht- Males: Males: $82 \pm 9$ (63 to 103); $177 \pm 6$ (163 to 188) Females: $65 \pm 7$ (54 to 81), $169 \pm 4$ (163 to 178)
• % Body Fat: Males: $23 \pm 4$ (14 to 31) Females: $29 \pm 6$ (22 to 44)
• YOUNGER PERSONS DO NOT FRACTURE.
Age is important risk factor for bone loss and fracture probability. The DXA as diagnostic clinical test is not for premenopausal females or males < 50 years.

Kanis et al JBMH 9(8):1137, 1994
DXA as a Research Tool – Cannot distinguish effect of ARED exercise from bisphosphonates.
Bisphosphonates mitigate urinary calcium excretion by suppressing bone degradation.
Meanwhile, Terrestrial Observation of Reduced Sensitivity of DXA Test: “T-score Osteoporosis” Misses Over 50% of Fragility Fractures

Only 44% of women (21% of men) who sustain non-vertebral fractures have “osteoporosis” by BMD*

5794 participants in the Rotterdam study; Mean follow-up 6.8 yrs FN BMD at baseline
(Female data presented here)

Adapted from Schuit, Bone. 2004:34:195-202. Slide from J Shaker, MD; ISCD 2015 Annual Meeting

*Also disconnects evident with clinical trials—reduced ability to monitor therapeutic response to pharm agents.
Disconnected with BMD and Fracture risk in terrestrial medicine:
Fracture probability is influenced by additional factors that are not measured by DXA areal BMD.
Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing to an increased risk of fracture. Bone strength reflects the integration of two main features: bone density and bone quality.” JAMA 2001

“Bone Quality: What is it and Can we measure it?”

May 2005
Different QCT modalities to capture bone structure.

Example, GE QCT scanner

Lunar
Hip 1.2-1.5 mSv/ HIP
2-6 days  ISS background

Stratec peripheral QCT
5 slices tibia 0.5 mRem

ScanCo
High Resolution “HR” peripheral QCT
< 0.5 mRem per site
QCT Research: Space induces compartment-specific losses in bone sub-regions (n=16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>%/Month Change ± SD</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>%/Month Change ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DXA</td>
<td></td>
<td>QCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aBMD Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>1.06±0.63*</td>
<td>Integral vBMD Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>0.9±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular vBMD Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>0.7±0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aBMD Femoral Neck</td>
<td>1.15±0.84*</td>
<td>Integral vBMD Femoral Neck</td>
<td>1.2±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular vBMD Femoral Neck</td>
<td>2.7±1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aBMD Trochanter</td>
<td>1.56±0.99*</td>
<td>Integral vBMD Trochanter</td>
<td>1.5±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular vBMD Trochanter</td>
<td>2.2±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01, n=16-18

DXA areal BMD and QCT trabecular volumetric BMD of Total Proximal Femur: Discordant Recovery Patterns 2-4 Years Post-flight

FEM – a computational tool to estimate failure loads ("strength") of complex structures.

i) Models generated from QCT data. ii) Applied to astronauts (n=11) in collaboration with QCT study.


Images courtesy of Dr. J Keyak
Individual Results
Stance Loading (4 to 30% loss in strength)

Max loss 30%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (months)</th>
<th>PreMean</th>
<th>Post Mean</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13,200 N (2300 N)</td>
<td>11,200 N (2400 N)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individual Results
Fall Loading (3 gain to 24% loss in strength)

Max loss 24%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (months)</th>
<th>Hip Strength (kN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre Mean
2,580 N (560 N)

Post mean
2,280 N (590 N)

P < 0.003
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If clinical test is insufficient, how can we predict when fragility premature fractures might occur in astronauts?

Cooper and Melton, 1992
Convening a panel of Policy Makers in BMD/Osteoporosis Field

BONE SUMMIT
Clinical Advisory Panel
2010, 2013

Translational Research @ NASA

Flight Analog
Flight validation

Astronauts

bench
Desired Deliverable: Clinical Practice Guidelines

1. What specific measure(s) do we need to monitor in lieu of incidence?

2. What’s the clinical trigger?

3. What should be the clinical response?

Skeletal Health in Long-Duration Astronauts: Nature, Assessment, and Management Recommendations from the NASA Bone Summit

Eric S Orwell,^1^ Robert A Adler,^2^ Shreyasee Amin,^3^ Neil Binkley,^4^ E Michael Lewiecki,^5^ Steven M Petak,^6^ Sue A Shapses,^7^ Mehrsheed Sinaki,^8^ Nelson B Watts,^9^ and Jean D Sibonga^10^

Journal of Bone and Mineral Research
Vol. 28, No. 6, June 2013, pp 1243–1255
What measurements should be performed to describe spaceflight changes?

- **Age (yr)**
- **Age-related Loss**
- **Menopause-induced Loss**
- **Peak Bone Mass**
- **Bone mass (g/calcium)**

Riggs BL, Melton LJ: Adapted from Involutional osteoporosis
Oxford Textbook of Geriatric Medicine
ADAPTED SLIDE COURTESY OF Dr. S. AMIN, Mayo Clinic
Probabilistic Risk Assessments [PRA]: When is fracture most likely?

Due to Overloading of bones (biomechanics)
Due to Irreversibility of space effects
Age-related Loss
Menopause-induced Loss
Peak Bone Mass

Bone mass (g/calcium)

Age (yr)

Riggs BL, Melton LJ: Adapted from Involutional osteoporosis
Oxford Textbook of Geriatric Medicine
ADAPTED SLIDE COURTESY OF Dr. S. AMIN, Mayo Clinic
Age (yr)

Menopause-induced Loss

Peak Bone Mass

Age-related Loss

Bone mass (g/calcium)

Also, immediate (TBD) period after return – attributed to sub-clinical change in bone strength with no change in level of physical activity.
Clinical Evidence: QCT measures are independent predictors of hip fracture to supplement aBMD in the aged.
Subsequently, Clinical Advisory Panel recommends the following:

1. **Clinical Trigger:** The failure to measure recovery of trabecular BMD of hip by two years after return in astronaut.

2. **Clinical response:** Seek an evaluation by an osteoporosis specialist. Correction of risk factor or possible intervention.

3. **Overall,** QCT measures provide useful information regarding causation of hip fracture, evaluation of hip fracture risk and possible targets for intervention. Good candidate for “Risk Surveillance.”

**Science Rationale:**

- QCT outperforms DXA and QCT for estimating fracture loads.
Investigate FE estimates of hip strength as new surrogate for bone health for individualized assessments—likely to capture more effects of spaceflight that affect bone integrity.
Recommendation: Explore emerging data from population studies using FE bone strength to predict fractures and return to panel with findings for clinical operating bands of astronaut health.


- Position on the use of QCT for clinical decision making is being deliberated by International Society of Clinical Densitometry [ISCD] as of **Feb. 2015.** Data from clinical studies (n=22 reports of qCT and/or FEM) in this meta analysis.
Exploring Finite Element Models [FEM] of QCT Scans from Population Studies

FE Task Group:
E. Orwoll MD, S Khosla MD, S Amin MD, T Lang PhD, J Keyak PhD, T Keaveny PhD, D Cody PhD, JD Sibonga, Ph.D.

All Male Subjects
Stance Loading

AGE Controls
Pre-flight
ACES Fractures
Post-flight

Data slide courtesy of Keyak. NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION
**RESEARCH:** Selecting FE Cutoffs for “Bone Health” - i.e., hips strong enough to account for declines due to spaceflight and to aging - to be used together with DXA BMD Standards.
High Resorption ➔ Disrupts Microarchitecture ➔ Fractures*
GAPS persist.

Predisposed to "codfish" fx

Male Astronauts? Spaceflight Effect? The Hip?
Bone Microarchitecture: Need to “discover” technology to monitor for a Non-permissible outcome because irreversible.

Images courtesy of Ralph Müller, PhD, Switzerland

Adapted by Sibonga
Summary: Forward Actions for Bone Risk Management

1. Collect QCT data for risk surveillance – for operational and clinical decisions – based upon evidence from randomized controlled trials.

2. QCT provides opportunity for Finite Element Models, the analysis of which generates a “hip strength index” which could be used in a NASA-developed Probabilistic Fracture Assessment Module.

3. Explore FEM data from population studies to identify a possible hip strength cut-point as a modified astronaut standard for hip strength.

4. Search/validate new technologies for surveillance of unique bone measures (e.g., microarchitecture)

5. Note: Following a review of QCT data 9 additional astronauts (case reports), Bone Summit Panel maintained its recommendation to use QCT for surveillance.
Closing Remark

Bone Discipline Goal: To reduce the uncertainty of spaceflight-induced fracture risks in astronauts.

- Expand the definition of spaceflight effects on bone loss and recovery.
- Because of constraints, transition innovative technologies and analyses available to measure additional bone parameter and increase our ability to predict fractures.
Thank you.
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Pilot Study: Hip QCT

1. Hypothesis: QCT will distinguish the effects of biochemical from mechanical countermeasures.

*Important to use QCT to evaluate Countermeasures that affect different bone types.*

2. Translate QCT data to *hip strength* with FEM.

*From J.W. Jaworski*
*Images Courtesy of D Carter, PhD*