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At the end of this lecture, you should understand:

• The *insufficiency* of DXA BMD as a surrogate for fracture risk in terrestrial medicine and as a research tool/clinical test for NASA.

• The flight data describing the unique effects of spaceflight on skeletal sites at risk for age-related osteoporosis.

• The bold approaches to translating *Research* to the *Clinical* arena to meet NASA’s constraints and aggressive schedule for mission planning.
It’s all about fracture.

“Osteoporotic/Fragility Fractures” – low to atraumatic Fractures due to Osteoporosis (Causality - SKELETAL CONDITION)

You don’t have to be OLD.

Load > Bone Strength = FRACTURE

(Key Causality – BIOMECHANICS)

You don’t have to have OSTEOPOROSIS.
Clinical Arena: Probability of Fractures Drives the Requirement for Intervention.

What do we need to monitor in order to assess if and when fractures might occur in astronauts?
Overview

• What makes Bone complicated?

• What makes space effects so unique?

• What steps are recommended to manage fracture risk in astronauts given NASA constraints?
Skeletal Sites: Different composition of Bone Types with different contributions (a GAP) to Bone Integrity

PROXIMAL FEMUR

- Trochanter
  50% BMD
- Femoral Neck
  25% BMD

Cortical Bone/ “Compact Bone”

Cancellous “Spongy” Bone/Trabecular Bone

VERTEBRAL BODY – 66% BMD

Sources: L. Mosekilde; SL Bonnick; P Crompton
Different Distribution and Turnover Rates for Bone Types to Support 2 functions of Skeleton

Entire skeleton turns-over 10%/year: 3% cortical bone but 25% of cancellous bone

Cortical Bone 80% of total skeleton (long bones)

Cancellous Bone 20% of total skeleton (vertebrae, ribs, ends of long bones) Contains 80% of bone surfaces
TYPES OF BONE CELLS: mediators of bone resorption, bone formation, mechanical sensing

Bone Marrow Area

osteocytes

osteoblasts

osteoclasts

Mineralized bone
Remodeling of Bone Tissue in Adults is Highly Regulated and Rates can Influence Integrity

1-2 million Bone Remodeling Units (BRUs) in the adult skeleton

Normal Remodeling Rate at the Level of 1 Bone Remodeling Unit of Cancellous Bone

High Remodeling Rate at the Cancellous Bone Tissue Level
Fracture risk is already multifactorial in the Aged and At Risk populations.

- Aging
  - Androgen insensitivity
  - Anorexia nervosa and bulimia
  - Athletic amenorrhea
  - Hyperprolactinemia
  - Low calcium intake
  - Alcohol (3 or more drinks/d)
  - Smoking
  - High salt intake
  - Immobilization/Reduced physical activity
  - Anticoagulants
  - Anticonvulsants
  - Barbiturates
  - Glucocorticoids
  - Cancer
  - Chemotherapeutic drugs
- Inadequate peak bone mass
- Increased bone loss
- Low bone density
- Impaired bone quality
- Propensity to fall
- Falls
- Certain activities
- Skeletal fragility
- Fracture Probability
- Excessive bone loading

Adapted from: Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis-Related Fractures (NOF) Cooper C, Melton LJ
Medical Operations: Multiple, *novel* knowledge gaps to investigate.

- Aging
  - Gonadal Changes?
- Calcium, Vitamin D
  - Muscle Atrophy
- Uncoupled bone turnover
- High Salt Intake
- Family History
- Medications
- Disorders

- Inadequate peak bone mass
- Increased bone loss
- Low bone density

- Impaired bone quality
  - Skeletal fragility
  - FRACTURE

- Repetitive Falling
- Planetary EVAs
  - Exercise Loads
  - Kinetic energy

- Postural instability
- Excessive bone loading

CO2; Radiation on bone marrow cells
Fluid shifts and regional blood flow

Adapted from: Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis-Related Fractures (NOF) Cooper C, Melton LJ
# Setting Priorities: It’s not all about Bone.

## Adaptations to Long-Duration Space Flight

### Ocular
- ↑ intraocular pressure in flight
- ↑ retinal blood vessel constriction postflight
- ↓ visual motor task performance
- ↓ contrast discrimination
- ↓ visual field postflight
- ↓ intraocular pressure postflight

### Cardiovascular
- ↑ resting heart rate
- ↑ stroke volume early in flight
- ↑ PACs & PVCs
- ↓ fluid volume
- ↓ orthostatic tolerance
- ↓ aerobic & anaerobic capacity
- ↓ resting blood pressure postflight
- ↓ central venous pressure (indirect)
- ↓ cardio/thoracic (CK) ratio postflight

### Musculoskeletal/Bone
- ↓ muscle mass
- ↓ muscle endurance & strength
- ↓ bone mineral content
- ↓ bone integrity

### Neurosensory
- ↑ vestibular disturbances
- ↑ space motion sickness
- ↓ postural stability
- ↓ sensorimotor function

### Body Fluids
- ↑ hemoglobin & hematocrit postflight
- ↓ total body water
- ↓ plasma & urine volumes postflight

### Electrolytes
- ↑ urinary Ca & PO4 postflight
- ↓ plasma K & Mg postflight
- ↓ urinary Na, K, Cl, Mg

### Hormones
- ↑ plasma ADH & ANF
- ↑ urinary aldosterone
- ↑ urinary ADH & cortisol postflight
- ↓ urinary epinephrine & androsterone postflight
- ↓ plasma ACTH, aldosterone, cortisol

### Metabolites
- ↑ plasma glucose, creatinine, BUN postflight
- ↓ albumin, cholesterol, triglycerides, uric acid
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Constraints to Understanding Skeletal Adaptation
Characterizing Bone Changes* in Space

Mercury 1961-63
Gemini 1965-66
Apollo 1968-72
Skylab 1973-74
Shuttle 1981-2010
Intl Space Station 2000-present

Calcium balance
SPA of heel and wrist

Soyuz/Salyut 1974-85

Mir 1986-2000

DXA
QCT
pQCT
BTO

SPA=Single Photon Absorptiometry
DXA=Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry
QCT=Quantitative Computed Tomography
pQCT = peripheral QCT
BTO=biochemical markers of bone turnover

*Two functions of skeleton
Skylab-Bone Mineral Density of Calcaneus (vs. wrist)

Skylab—Urinary Calcium Excretion

Urinary Ca during Skylab
(Mean + _ SEM)

Urinary Ca after
Return from Skylab
DXA measurement of areal BMD \( [\text{BMD}_a] \) – a 3d measure in 2d units
• Improved precision; low radiation; shorter scan times; BMD over multiple skeletal sites…
• Used in large prospective studies for fracture prediction

• Long established surrogate for bone strength
• Despite limitations, still considered best predictor of fracture
Regional BMD losses Mir Crew Members by DXA

Declines in bone mass are rapid and site-specific.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areal BMD g/cm²</th>
<th>%/Month Change ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>-1.06±0.63*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral Neck</td>
<td>-1.15±0.84*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanter</td>
<td>-1.56±0.99*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Body</td>
<td>-0.35±0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>-1.35±0.54*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm</td>
<td>-0.04±0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg</td>
<td>-0.34±0.33*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01, n=16-18 Leblanc et al, 2000.
Subsequently, application of Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry [DXA] BMD @ Johnson Space Center to...

• monitor astronaut skeletal health,

• characterize skeletal effects of long-duration spaceflight,

• evaluate efficacy of bone loss countermeasures, and

• verify restored health status
DXA BMD increases in Postflight – does that suggest a recovery of bone strength?

Sibonga et al. BONE 41:973-978, 2007
Serum and urinary biomarkers reflect bone turnover and suggest changes in cellular activities.
Bone Turnover Markers: suggest uncoupling of remodeling -- may result in net loss in bone mass from skeleton.
Calcium-regulating Hormones – Endocrine system is "normal" but perturbed.

Nutrition

SMO, unpublished data; Courtesy Dr. SM Smith
Circa 2000, NASA adapts the only & best clinical guidelines available for Primary Osteoporosis as standards of bone health in astronauts.

**T-scores** (Not BMD change).

*T-score is the number of standard deviations from the mean bone mineral density (BMD) of young normal “peak bone mass”*
Clinical Guidelines used by NASA:

DXA-based T-scores not appropriate, informative or predictive for fracture in astronaut population.
Limited Knowledge Base: The long-duration astronaut – not typical subject to screen for osteoporosis (1/2015).

- Typical space mission duration – $160 \pm 32d$ (range 49-215d)
- Average Age – $47 \pm 5 y$ (range 36 – 56)
- Male to Female Ratio – $4.7 : 1$ (56:12)
- Current total # per astronauts in corps – 68 of 365
- # repeat fliers – 7
- BMI – Male BMI $25.7 \pm 2.2$ (range 21.2 to 30.7) Female BMI $22.3 \pm 2.3$ (range 20.1 to 25.9)
- Wt and Ht- Males: Males: $82 \pm 9$ (63 to 103); $177 \pm 6$ (163 to 188) Females : $65 \pm 7$ (54 to 81), $169 \pm 4$ (163 to 178)
- % Body Fat: Males: $23 \pm 4$ (14 to 31) Females: $29 \pm 6$ (22 to 44)
- **YOUNGER PERSONS DO NOT FRACTURE.**
Age is important risk factor for bone loss and fracture probability. The DXA as diagnostic clinical test is not for premenopausal females or males < 50 years.

Kanis et al JBMR 9(8):1137, 1994
DXA as a Research Tool – Cannot distinguish effect of ARED exercise from bisphosphonates.
Bisphosphonates mitigate urinary calcium excretion by suppressing bone degradation.

**Urinary Calcium During and After Space Flight**

- Mir n = 6; Bisphos + ARED n = 5 to 7; IRED n = 4 to 8; ARED n = 2 to 5

%Change in Mean Urinary Calcium vs. Pre-Flight

- Pre-Flight
- Early Flight
- Mid to Late Flight
- Late Flight
- Re-6/1
- Re-14
- Re-30

*p < 0.05, significant difference vs. Pre-Flight*
Meanwhile, Terrestrial Observation of Reduced Sensitivity of DXA Test: “T-score Osteoporosis” Misses Over 50% of Fragility Fractures

Only 44% of women (21% of men) who sustain non-vertebral fractures have “osteoporosis” by BMD.*

---

*Also disconnects evident with clinical trials—reduced ability to monitor therapeutic response to pharm agents.
Disconnects with BMD and Fracture risk in terrestrial medicine:
Fracture probability is influenced by additional factors that are not measured by DXA areal BMD
Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing to an increased risk of fracture. Bone strength reflects the integration of two main features: bone density and bone quality.” JAMA 2001

“Bone Quality: What is it and Can we measure it?”

May 2005
Different QCT modalities to capture bone structure.

Example, GE QCT scanner

Lunar
Hip 1.2-1.5 mSv/ HIP
2-6 days  ISS background

Stratec peripheral QCT
5 slices tibia 0.5 mRem

ScanCo
High Resolution “HR” peripheral QCT
< 0.5 mRem per site
QCT Research: Space induces compartment-specific losses in bone sub-regions (n=16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index DXA</th>
<th>%/Month Change ± SD</th>
<th>Index QCT</th>
<th>%/Month Change ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aBMD Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>1.06±0.63*</td>
<td>Integral vBMD Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>0.9±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aBMD Femoral Neck</td>
<td>1.15±0.84*</td>
<td>Integral vBMD Femoral Neck</td>
<td>1.2±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aBMD Trochanter</td>
<td>1.56±0.99*</td>
<td>Integral vBMD Trochanter</td>
<td>1.5±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trabecular vBMD Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>0.7±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trabecular vBMD Femoral Neck</td>
<td>2.7±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trabecular vBMD Trochanter</td>
<td>2.2±0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01, n=16-18

DXA areal BMD and QCT trabecular volumetric BMD of Total Proximal Femur: Discordant Recovery Patterns 2 -4 Years Post-flight

FEM – a computational tool to estimate failure loads ("strength") of complex structures.

i) Models generated from QCT data. ii) Applied to astronauts (n=11) in collaboration with QCT study.


Images courtesy of Dr. J Keyak
Individual Results
Stance Loading (4 to 30% loss in strength)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (months)</th>
<th>Hip Strength (kN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max loss 30%

PreMean: 13,200 N (2300 N)
Post Mean: 11,200 N (2400 N)

P < 0.001
Individual Results
Fall Loading (3 gain to 24% loss in strength)

Max loss 24%

Pre Mean 2,580 N (560 N)
Post mean 2,280 N (590 N)
P < 0.003
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If clinical test is insufficient, how can we predict *when* fragility premature fractures might occur in astronauts?

Cooper and Melton, 1992

SLIDE COURTESY OF Dr. S. AMIN, Mayo Clinic
Convening a panel of Policy Makers in BMD/Osteoporosis Field

BONE SUMMIT Clinical Advisory Panel 2010, 2013

Translational Research @ NASA

Flight Analog

Flight validation

Astronauts

bench
Desired Deliverable: Clinical Practice Guidelines

1. What specific measure(s) do we need to monitor in lieu of incidence?

2. What’s the clinical trigger?

3. What should be the clinical response?

Skeletal Health in Long-Duration Astronauts: Nature, Assessment, and Management Recommendations from the NASA Bone Summit

Eric S Orwell,1 Robert A Adler,2 Shreyasee Amin,3 Neil Binkley,4 E Michael Lewiecki,5 Steven M Petak,6 Sue A Shapses,7 Mehrsheed Sinaki,8 Nelson B Watts,9 and Jean D Sibonga10

Journal of Bone and Mineral Research
Vol. 28, No. 6, June 2013, pp 1243–1255
What measurements should be performed to describe spaceflight changes?

- Peak Bone Mass
- Age-related Loss
- Menopause-induced Loss

Age (yr)

Bone mass (g/calcium)

Riggs BL, Melton LJ: Adapted from Involutional osteoporosis
Oxford Textbook of Geriatric Medicine
ADAPTED SLIDE COURTESY OF Dr. S. AMIN, Mayo Clinic
Probabilistic Risk Assessments [PRA]: When is fracture most likely?

- **Bone mass (g/calcium)**
- **Age (yr)**

- Peak Bone Mass
- OPS
- LTH
- Due to Overloading of bones (biomechanics)
- Due to Irreversibility of space effects
- Age-related Loss
- Menopause-induced Loss

Riggs BL, Melton LJ: Adapted from Involutional osteoporosis
Oxford Textbook of Geriatric Medicine
ADAPTED SLIDE COURTESY OF Dr. S. AMIN, Mayo Clinic
Also, immediate (TBD) period after return—attributed to sub-clinical change in bone strength with no change in level of physical activity.

Age-related Loss
Menopause-induced Loss
Peak Bone Mass

Bone mass (g/calcium)

Age (yr)
Clinical Evidence: QCT measures are independent predictors of hip fracture to supplement aBMD in the aged.
Subsequently, Clinical Advisory Panel recommends the following:

1. Clinical Trigger: The failure to measure recovery of trabecular BMD of hip by two years after return in astronaut.

2. Clinical response: Seek an evaluation by an osteoporosis specialist. Correction of risk factor or possible intervention.

3. Overall, QCT measures provide useful information regarding causation of hip fracture, evaluation of hip fracture risk and possible targets for intervention. Good candidate for “Risk Surveillance.”

Science Rationale:

- QCT + FEM outperforms DXA and QCT for estimating fracture loads
- QCT: \( R^2 = 0.66 \)
- DXA: \( R^2 = 0.57 \)
- FEM: \( R^2 = 0.84 \)
Investigate FE estimates of hip strength as new surrogate for bone health for individualized assessments—likely to capture more effects of spaceflight that affect bone integrity.
Recommendation: Explore emerging data from population studies using FE bone strength to predict fractures and return to panel with findings for clinical operating bands of astronaut health.


- Position on the use of QCT for clinical decision making is being deliberated by International Society of Clinical Densitometry [ISCD] as of **Feb. 2015.** Data from clinical studies (n=22 reports of qCT and/or FEM) in this meta analysis.
Exploring Finite Element Models [FEM] of QCT Scans from Population Studies
FE Task Group: E. Orwoll MD, S Khosla MD, S Amin MD, T Lang PhD, J Keyak PhD, T Keaveny PhD, D Cody PhD, JD Sibonga, Ph.D.

One representative population data
RESEARCH: Selecting FE Cutoffs for “Bone Health” - i.e., hips strong enough to account for declines due to spaceflight and to aging - to be used together with DXA BMD Standards.
High Resorption → Disrupts Microarchitecture → Fractures*
GAPS persist.

Male Astronauts? Spaceflight Effect? The Hip?

Deterioration of vertebral support
Bone Microarchitecture: Need to “discover” technology to monitor for a Non-permissible outcome because irreversible.

Images courtesy of Ralph Müller, PhD, Switzerland

Adapted by Sibonga
Summary: Forward Actions for Bone Risk Management

1. Collect QCT data for risk surveillance – for operational and clinical decisions – based upon evidence from randomized controlled trials.

2. QCT provides opportunity for Finite Element Models, the analysis of which generates a “hip strength index” which could be used in a NASA-developed Probabilistic Fracture Assessment Module.

3. Explore FEM data from population studies to identify a possible hip strength cut-point as a modified astronaut standard for hip strength.

4. Search/validate new technologies for surveillance of unique bone measures (e.g., microarchitecture)

5. Note: Following a review of QCT data 9 additional astronauts (case reports), Bone Summit Panel maintained its recommendation to use QCT for surveillance.
Closing Remark

Bone Discipline Goal: To reduce the uncertainty of \textit{spaceflight-induced} fracture risks in astronauts.

- Expand the definition of spaceflight effects on bone loss and recovery.
- Because of constraints, transition innovative technologies and analyses available to measure additional bone parameter and increase our ability to predict fractures.
Thank you.
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Pilot Study: Hip QCT

1. Hypothesis: QCT will distinguish the effects of biochemical from mechanical countermeasures.

   Important to use QCT to evaluate Countermeasures that affect different bone types.

2. Translate QCT data to hip strength with FEM.

   Endocortical bone resorption with disuse

*From J.W. Jaworski
Images Courtesy of D Carter, PhD*