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ABSTRACT

An optical metrology laboratory has been developed to characterize the optical properties of optical window materials to be used for aerospace applications. Several optical measurement systems have been selected and developed to measure spectral transmittance, haze, clarity, birefringence, striae, wavefront quality, and wedge. In addition to silica based glasses, several optical lightweight polymer materials and transparent ceramics have been investigated in the laboratory. The measurement systems and selected empirical results for non-silica materials are described. These measurements will be used to form the basis of acceptance criteria for selection of window materials for future aerospace vehicle and habitat designs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

An enduring need has been identified in the aerospace community over the past decade to develop transparent window materials that meet or exceed the optical, mechanical, and structural properties of current windows in such applications as crewed spacecraft, crewed habitats and high performance aircraft. For many applications, windows are designated as “criticality 1”, meaning that a failure will result in a loss of life, loss of vehicle, or loss of a habitat. Traditionally, fused silica glass has been the material of choice for NASA space missions (e.g., Apollo, Shuttle, and ISS) but this material entails an unacceptable weight penalty. Because it is a brittle material, glass is highly unreliable for use as a primary structure, and therefore designs are penalized by the requirement of providing window pane redundancies. For these reasons, the former NASA Exploration Technology Development Program (ETDP) tasked the Advanced Sensing and Optical Measurement Branch (ASOMB) at NASA Langley (as part of a wider effort involving the Johnson Space Center and the Glenn Research Center) to commission an optical metrology laboratory capable of quantitatively measuring a number of optical parameters for candidate lightweight or novel window materials for aerospace use, with the goal of establishing an open database of material properties that could be used by designers of future vehicles and habitats.

Silica glass materials have high optical transmittance, melting temperatures and wide ranges of chemical inertness but are limited by their brittleness and several significant structural reliability issues. In the case of optical plastic polymers, they are relatively inexpensive, easily massed produced and are not as brittle as their silica counterparts. However, plastic polymers are limited by their low melting temperatures, easily scratched soft material, and reactivity with many chemicals and solvents. Transparent ceramic materials show excellent optical characteristics and superb structural strength but require special manufacturing.

As part of the funded ETDP study of transparent optical materials for crewed spacecraft and habitat use, several materials were evaluated in the new optical metrology lab at Langley. These included silica, polycarbonate, polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA, acrylic), polyurethane, Aluminum Oxinitride (ALON®), and magnesium aluminate spinel (spinel). The optical parameters studied included spectral transmittance, haze, clarity, optical birefringence, striae, wavefront quality, and wedge. Experimental setup and representative measurement results are described below.
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2. MEASUREMENT METHODS

2.1 Optical Transmittance, Haze, and Clarity

The luminous transmittance, transmissivity, or transmittance $\tau$ of a window pane is defined as the ratio of the transmitted intensity, $I_t$, to the incident intensity $I_0$, and can be expressed as

$$\tau = (1 - R)^2 \cdot \exp(-kd),$$

where $R$ is the reflectivity, $k$ is the absorption coefficient, and $d$ is the optical path length across the window.

The spectral transmittance has been measured using an HP 8452A Diode-Array UV-Visible Spectrophotometer. In the diagram of Figure 1, the light source produces a plasma discharge in a low pressure deuterium gas, which allows the deuterium lamp to emit light over the 190 nm to 820 nm wavelength range. The concave holographic grating and a slit combination define each wavelength projected onto the appropriate photodiode of the diode array.

Light passing through optical polymer materials is also scattered and diffused which reduces the total direct transmitted light. A BYK hazemeter is used to measure the haze, clarity, and luminous transmittance of optical windows. Haze is defined as the percentage of the transmitted light intensity which deviates from the incident beam direction by angles $> 2.5^\circ$ (ASTM D10031 [1]). Clarity is defined as the percentage of the transmitted light intensity which deviates from the incident beam by angles $< 2.5^\circ$.

The hazemeter utilizes a broadband illumination light source, shown in Fig. 2, that strikes the specimen and enters an integrating sphere. The light, uniformly distributed by the matte white coating on the sphere wall, is measured by a
photodetector. Total transmittance is measured with the sphere outlet closed, and haze is measured with the outlet open. A ring sensor in the outlet opening measures the clarity. The entire measurement sequence is controlled automatically.

2.2 Optical Birefringence

Optical birefringence is measured using the photoelastic modulation technique with an Exicor 150AT instrument. The measurement system consists of a HeNe laser light source and two photoelastic modulators operating at 50 and 60 kHz to control the modulation of the beam polarization states. A detector measures the beam polarization states and the signals are used to determine the retardation and fast axis orientation of the optical window specimen.

2.3 Striae and Homogeneity

Striae observed in glasses are locally limited areas that are optically detectable as a result of a refractive index that differs from that of the surrounding glass. Shadowgraphy is a viable, economic choice for measuring striae. The three-meter shadowgraph has been reported by Stroud [2] to be used by Schott Glass for routine striae inspection. Schlieren and shadowgraph systems have been used to perform qualitative evaluations, and found to complement each other, even though sometimes one is more sensitive than the other. For some non-silica materials, the striae can be very complex and require further considerations on how to evaluate it. For glass materials, even the three available standards are considered to not sufficiently address the striae quality grades [2]. Figure 3 shows the setup of the schlieren system, a classical off-axis system using a 75 watt Xenon arc lamp as the incoherent light source. Both concave mirrors are 16” in diameter with 96” focal lengths. The two mirrors and a pinhole arrangement create a collimated incoherent light beam between the mirrors, with the optical window test article located in the beam path. The knife edge blocks parts of the light which is refracted by any refractive index irregularities and will not be further collimated in the test area. A camera captures the image of the test area with darker spots shown where the light is refracted and blocked by the knife edge. Ideally, the knife edge should be rotated to allow for observations of every direction of the refractive effects.

![Figure 3 – Diagram of the off-axis schlieren system.](image)

2.4 Wavefront Quality

The wavefront quality is measured by a Fizeau interferometer as shown in Fig.4, in which a monochromatic HeNe laser point light source is collimated onto a reference flat mirror. The plane wavefronts double pass through the tested window and interfere with other wavefronts which are not passed through the window material onto the interferogram plane. The interference fringes are very similar to Newton ring fringes from an optical flat, except that in a Fizeau interferometer, the window specimen does not have any contact with other optical components. The interferometer is a 6-inch aperture zygo® with an RMS repeatability of λ/20,000, a peak to valley repeatability of λ/1500, and a resolution of better than λ/8,000 (double-pass).
2.5 Wedge

The optical wedge is a measure of how parallel the frontal surface is in relation to the back surface of a window pane. Even when a window is considered to be a parallel plate, the angle between the two surfaces is not exactly zero and the interferometer can measure this wedge angle with a resolution of one tenth of a second arc angle. The optical wedge is defined by two factors, the wedge magnitude and wedge angle. The wedge magnitude is the nonparallelism measure of a window which is the angle between its front and back planes. The wedge magnitude will be equal to zero for an ideal parallel window plate. The wedge angle is the direction of a wedge, which is the angle between the wedge plane and the horizontal direction on the window plane.

2.6 Color Balance

The optical wedge is a measure of how parallel the frontal surface is in relation to the back surface of a window pane. Even when a window is considered to be a parallel plate, the angle between the two surfaces is not exactly zero and the interferometer can measure this wedge angle with a resolution of one tenth of a second arc angle. The optical wedge is defined by two factors, the wedge magnitude and wedge angle. The wedge magnitude is the nonparallelism measure of a window which is the angle between its front and back planes. The wedge magnitude will be equal to zero for an ideal parallel window plate. The wedge angle is the direction of a wedge, which is the angle between the wedge plane and the horizontal direction on the window plane.

2.7 Refractive Index / Abbe Number

The most important optical properties of transparent materials, namely the refractive index and Abbe number, are derived from data sheets supplied by manufacturers and have not been independently tested in the Langley metrology lab and are beyond the scope of the present study.

3. OPTICAL WINDOWS SPECIMENS

Candidate materials for optical windows can be categorized into three groups: silica-based, polymer plastics, and transparent ceramics. Among the glass materials, fused silica is considered one of the best optical materials for visible wavelengths. Although there are hundreds of optical polymer materials, there are only a limited number of polymers available in large plate dimensions as required for windows applications. A lot of optical polymer materials come in pellets form to be molded into lenses or glasses and cannot be readily made into large window pane structures. The optical polymers tested in this study are polycarbonate, acrylic, and polyurethane. The transparent ceramics tested are ALON and spinel; commercially available alumina (sapphire) is a candidate to be tested in the future.

The choice of optical plastic materials is very limited, which means that there is not a lot of freedom in the optical design process. One very important limitation is the high thermal coefficient of expansion and relatively large change in refractive index with temperature The refractive index of plastic materials decreases with temperature (it increases in
glasses), and the change is roughly 50 times greater than in glass. The thermal expansion coefficient of plastic is approximately 10 times higher than that of glass.

### 3.1 Polycarbonate (C₆H₁₄O₃)n

Polycarbonate (PC) is a transparent thermoplastic which is the polymer of Bisphenol A containing carbonate groups. Polycarbonate (Lexan®, Makrolon®) sheets have the highest working temperature (120° C) among optical plastic materials and high impact-resistance, but unfortunately also low scratch-resistance. Due to the requirement of a protecting coating, polycarbonate sheets are commonly fabricated through an extrusion process which introduces nonhomogeneity and birefringence in the material.

### 3.2 Polycarbonate (C₅H₈O₃)n

Plexiglas, PMMA (Polymethyl methacrylate) is a transparent thermoplastic, and synthetic polymer of methyl methacrylate. Cell-cast acrylic from Spartech® called Polycast S-A-R is produced by applying a very hard, highly crosslinked polysilicate coating to a substrate. This coating provides Spartech Polycast SAR sheets with a surface that has 45 times the abrasion resistance of uncoated acrylic. It also has five times the impact resistance of glass and weighs half as much.

### 3.3 Polyurethane (C₅H₈O₂)n

Cleargard®, by Simula Inc., has a lower density than acrylic or polycarbonate, and reportedly a superior ballistic performance compared to conventional transparent polymers like acrylic and polycarbonate, and superior abrasion resistance compared to acrylic and polycarbonate. Polyurethane also performs better at temperatures <-25°F as compared to PC.

### 3.4 Aluminum Oxynitride (ALON, Al₂O₂N₃), Al₂31/3xO₂₇+xN₅-x (0.429<x<2)

The Raytheon company developed Aluminum Oxynitride, ALON, which has the physical appearance of glass but has phenomenal ballistic properties, as an alternative material for sapphire for missile seeker window applications. ALON products possess excellent light transmission, impact resistance and structural stability over a wide range of temperatures. Surmet Corp. acquired the Raytheon technology and is currently the main supplier of ALON. The phase diagram of ALON [3] is shown in Fig. 5 and is very important in its synthesis preparation. Synthesis techniques include hot pressing and pressureless sintering.

![Figure 5 – Phase diagram for the A1₂O₃ – AlN pseudo-binary (McCauley, 1981)](image)

### 3.5 Magnesium Aluminate Spinel (MgAl₂O₄)

Spinel Optical Ceramic is a transparent polycrystalline ceramic whose combination of high hardness, light weight and broadband optical properties make it a leading candidate for stringent optical applications and transparent armor. Its
transmission window spans the range from 0.19 μm to 6.0 μm and exceeds that of single crystal sapphire and ALON. Additional advantages versus sapphire and ALON include optical isotropy and high temperature stability, respectively.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In addition to the NASA space shuttle glass, over fifty specimens of various optical polymers and ceramics materials have been tested. Most of the specimens measure 6 x 6 x 0.5 inch in size and include polycarbonate (Lexan and Makrotron), stretched and cast acrylic (Acrivue® and Spartech), polyurethane (Cleargard), ALON (Surmet), and spinel (ArmorLine) windows.

Note that the results shown subsequently for various materials and groups of materials are representative only, and are not intended to form the basis of selection criteria for window designs. Such criteria can be derived only from detailed analysis of the sum total of measurements taken on many different specimens.

2.1 Transmittance, Haze and Clarity

Figure 6 shows the transmittance curves of shuttle glass and high transmittance polymer panes. The values are drawn as a function of UV-VIS wavelengths. The values of total luminous transmittance from incoherent light, haze, and clarity of different materials are shown in Fig.7. Polymers which can be cast during fabrication will have a better homogeneity compared to the polymers which are extruded (stretched) during the manufacturing process. Polymer materials with better homogeneity will produce less haze.

![Figure 6](image1)

**Figure 6** – Transmittance curves of shuttle glass and some high transmittance polymers.

![Figure 7](image2)

**Figure 7** – Total luminous transmittance, clarity, and haze of Orion candidate materials.
2.2 Schlieren

Figure 8 shows the schlieren images of six different materials, in this series polycarbonate material shows a great degree of inhomogeneity.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8** – Schlieren Images Comparing Homogeneity of (top, l-r:) Lexan, Polycast, Acrivue, (bottom, l-r:) Cleargard, ALON, and Spinel

Figure 9 shows the comparison of two sets of schlieren and shadowgraph images. For the polycarbonate case, schlieren image will produce a more sensitive response, while for ALON material they are practically the same.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9** – The schlieren (left) and shadowgraph images (right) of (a) Lexan and (b) ALON, the schlieren image is more sensitive to the inhomogeneity of Lexan than ALON.
2.3 Birefringence

The retardance map and contours of six separate 6 x 6 inch optical specimens are shown in Fig. 10. Ideally a perfect optical material would exhibit uniform retardance across the entire face of the specimen. However, many times the cutting or sawing process along the circumference of the sample affects the material with higher birefringence values appearing along the edges. For harder material like ALON and spinel, additional polishing will also produce additional stress-induced birefringence along the perimeter. This measurement explains why the area with effective uniform birefringence values is less than the actual area of the material.
2.4 Wavefront Quality

Figure 11 depicts a pair of interferograms taken on a single piece Space Shuttle fused silica windshield pane. The top interferogram was acquired with optical piston and tilt removed from the measurement, whereas the bottom interferogram has defocus removed as well. The interferograms are indicative of a high quality window which preserves the salient features of the wavefront passing through the pane. Figure 12 shows a similar pair of interferograms for a specimen of ALON. While visually the wavefront quality appears less uniform, in actuality the RMS measure of wavefront variability indicates that ALON is very acceptable in regards to wavefront preservation.
It is noted that when wavefront measurements are taken for optical materials with high haze and scattering, the wavefront may have a lot of drop out signals and the wavefront calculation often cannot be completed. This can be partially corrected by using a different reflectivity mirror which will create equal optical signals along both interferometer paths for optimum fringe visibility.

2.5 Color Balance Testing

Figure 13 depicts the results of a color balance test on a sample of Cleargard transparent polyurethane using a high quality digital camera with a 400-mm, f/2.8 attached lens. The left image is with no window specimen in front of the camera, the right image is taken through the specimen. In this particular case, although there is some defocus present, the ability of the material to preserve fundamental colors is acceptable.

5. SUMMARY

A new optical metrology laboratory has been established at the NASA Langley Research Center to allow the collection of comprehensive measurements of optical properties for transparent specimens. The capabilities of the laboratory include the measurement of wavefront quality, birefringence, haze and clarity, straiae, color balance, transmittance and
optical wedge. As part of a funded activity by the former NASA Exploration Technology Development Program, candidate specimens of silica, polymer, and optical ceramics were characterized in the laboratory. Representative results from the measurement of these materials have been shown to illustrate the range of capabilities in the new laboratory. The full ensemble of empirical measurements performed on material specimens will be used as the basis for a material database of optical properties of transparent materials for use by future designers of aerospace systems, vehicles, and habitats, allowing specific requirements for those systems to be met [4].
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