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Accommodation on the Spacecraft
NGIMS Measurement Requirements

NGIMS measurement requirements:

- Profiles of He, N, O, CO, N₂, NO, O₂, Ar, and CO₂ & their major isotopes
  - From the homopause up to one scale height above the exobase (130 km for He, ~12 km for CO₂)
  - Vertical resolution of one half scale height for each species
  - 25% accuracy
- Profiles of thermal O₂⁺, CO₂⁺, NO⁺, O⁺, CO⁺, C⁺, N₂⁺, OH⁺, & N⁺
  - From the ionospheric main peak (~120 km) up to the nominal ionopause (~400 km)
  - Vertical resolution of one half O₂⁺ scale height
  - 25% accuracy.

The combination of NGIMS sampling performance and spacecraft and attitude ad orbit give:

- Required profiles of neutral and ion species
- Neutral temperature from scale height.
- Isotopes: (¹³C/¹²C, ¹⁸O/¹⁶O, ¹⁵N/¹⁴N, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar, ³⁸Ar/³⁶Ar)
NGIMS Fluorine Signal Increase

- NGIMS data showed a drift in signal. For example, ratios of open to closed source signal levels or ratios of attenuated to non-attenuated signal levels.
  - There seems to be no issue with the housekeeping data.
  - There is a possibility of contamination issue as we see a corresponding increase in fluorine signal that may be symptomatic of sputtering from MLI on the spacecraft that has a line of sight to the NGIMS.
  - One thought is that with build up of an insulating film we could be seeing some charge build up that is distorting the focusing.
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What is the releasing mechanism?

What is the trending?
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- These are elapsed days since 01/01/2013.
  - The profile spans the period of mid Oct 2014 to end of Jan 2015.
- Fluorine counts reached a maximum value around Day 376, then it decreased monotonically.
- A potential explanation of the decay is that the outgassing is seasonal in nature. The periapsis in Dec-Jan was on the night side. I don’t know if there is any correlation of this profile with the S/C time spent in the sun light (inducing thermal desorption) or in the fast flows of the magnetosphere (inducing ion sputtering). We should not rule out that this profile is cyclical.
- Guess the fluorine level trend will slow down with time.
Fluoropolymer wire insulations and their types.
- DuPont Tefzel ETFE (commonly used wire) could release fluoride ions (often release higher amounts of fluoride)
- DuPont Teflon PTFE (cannot be melt extruded) insulation could also release fluoride ions
- DuPont Teflon FEP is very similar in composition to PTFE

Solar array wire (ETFE)
Silver Teflon on radiators (FEP)
Teflon tube, Teflon tape, lacing tape, etc.

1. ETFE is a copolymer of ethylene and tetratheylylene of the formula \([(CF2-CF2)_x(CH2-CH2)_y]_n\)
2. PTFE is a polymer consisting of recurring tetrafluoroethylene monomer units whose formula is \([CF2-CF2]_n\)
3. FEP resin is a copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene and hexafluopropylene with the formula \([(CF(CF3)-CF2)_x(CF2-CF2)_y]_n\)
• Most fluoropolymer wires are inside NGIMS. There are two small external pigtail wire, but these two exposed wires are out of the instrument field of view
  – No contribution in the direct flux molecular transport.
• *No fluoropolymer material used inside NGIMS sensor*
Fluorine Generation Mechanisms

• Fluorine may be generated by the following mechanisms
  – Reaction with water
  – Elevated temperature
  – Radiation, atomic oxygen, ultraviolet, spacecraft charging, and vacuum
  – Space environmental synergy
Reaction with water

• Differences in manufacturing conditions lead to differences in fluoride released.
  – ETFE insulations have values that are as low as PTFE insulations.
• Carbonyl difluoride (COF₂) released during manufacturing starts the problem.
• COF₂ generation is not contrary to any wire specification requirements.
• Water sources
• Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) is generated

\[
\text{COF}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2 \text{HF}
\]

Ref:
1. Aparna Boddapati, “Measurement of Fluoride Generated From Fluoropolymer Wire Insulations”
2. GSFC NASA Advisory (NA-GSFC-2003-03) Some fluoropolymer insulation materials such as Ethylene-Tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) may outgas trace amounts of fluorine over time.
3. GIDEP alert EA-P-98-02 also cautions about risks of ETFE insulations.
Elevated Temperature

- Fluoropolymers are stable polymers, but they start to decompose slowly when heated to elevated temperatures.
  - Typical melting temperatures/process temperatures are ETFE(220-270°C/310°C), PTFE(330°C/380°C), and FEP(260°C/360°C)
- The four main types of products formed in the decomposition of fluoropolymers are fluoroalkenes, hydrogen fluoride, oxidation products, and low-molecular weight fluropolymer particulates.

Ref: DuPont, “Guide to the Safe Handling of Fluoropolymer Resins”
Fluoropolymer Resins Weight Loss
Fluorine Generation Mechanisms

- Thermal cycling, radiation, ultraviolet Radiation
- Atomic oxygen
  - AO erosion of fluoropolymers occurs on low earth orbit, but is less significant on Mars orbit
- Space environmental synergy
Fluorine Molecular Transport

- When spacecraft dips into the atmosphere (periapsis segment), back scattering (return flux) of the spacecraft background increases.
  - Back scattered atoms and molecules have a decent chance to be in NGIMS field of view.
- NIGMS detected peak Fluorine counts per second (CPS) was 77,400 CPS which is about $3 \times 10^4$ ions/s.
  - Estimated peak fluorine mass is about $2.5 \times 10^{-14}$ g/s.
- Normal direct flux due to spacecraft outgassing flux is $10^{-9}$-$10^{-6}$ g/s, return flux is about $10^{-4}$ of the direct flux (at LEO).
  - Estimated lower return flux is about $10^{-13}$ g/s.
  - Electrostatic return?
**Source rate calculation**

- We assume that fluorine is adsorbed on all internal surface of the instrument \( S = 1000 \text{ cm}^2 \), and that 1/5 of the 250uA electron emission is responsible for the sputtering \( 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ electrons/s} \) over the 1 cm\(^2\) area. The electron-stimulated desorption Yield \( Y_i = 3 \times 10^4 / 3 \times 10^{14} = 10^{-10} \). Assuming that this yield of ion is 100 lower than the one for neutrals, the adsorbate coverage \( \sim 10^7 \text{ part/cm}^2 \).

- The total adsorbed fluorine \( N_F = 10^7 \times 10^3 = 10^{10} \) particles.

- The fluorine signal was increasing at a rate of 2% per day when it peaked \( \rightarrow \) contamination rate \( R_F = 10^{10} \times 0.02/(24 \times 3600) \approx 2300 \text{ part/s} \).

- We assume that the incidence angle of flux of contaminant = 60° with a sensor aperture and vent area \( A = 10 \times 0.2 \text{ cm}^2 \). The contaminant flux \( F_F = R_F/(A \cos(60)) = 2300 \text{ part/cm}^2/\text{s} \).

- Assuming a hemispherical emission and a Source-NGIMS distance = 2.5 m \( \rightarrow \) Source rate = \( 2 \pi r^2 \)
  \( F_F = 9 \times 10^8 \text{ part/s} = 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ g/s} \).
NGIMS Fluorine Detection

Fluorine detection suggested

What is the trending?
Summary

- NGIMS is a very sensitive mass spectrometer.
- NGIMS could detect released fluorine by back scattering transport mechanism.
- Fluorine level is stabilized at 40,000 cps.