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ACTE Project Overview

- Project objective: Flight demonstrate a compliant structure that replaces a large control surface
- Partnership between: NASA, AFRL, and FlexSys Inc.
- Safely cleared -2deg to +30deg ACTE flight envelopes
  - Max Mach: 0.75, max altitude: 40kft
  - Max speed: 340 knots, max load factor: 2.0
- ACTE potential performance benefits:
  - Cruise drag reduction, wing weight reduction through structural load alleviation, and noise reduction during approach & landing
- Project is providing structural and aerodynamic data to advance and assess the ACTE technology for future flight applications
Mission Adaptive Wing was a joint USAF/NASA/Boeing demonstration program

Variable camber leading and trailing edge surfaces were installed on a F-111 testbed using mechanical rigid linkages

The AFTI/F-111 MAW system had 59 flights from 1985 through 1988

The flight test data showed a drag reduction of around 7 percent at the wing design cruise point to over 20 percent at an off-design condition

Mechanical actuation system weight penalties and system complexity hindered the acceptance of the technology
Compliant Mechanisms Overview

- Compliant design embraces elasticity, rather than avoiding it, to create one-piece kinematic machines, or joint-less mechanisms, that are strong and flexible (for shape adaptation).
- Large deformations can be achieved by subjecting every section of the material to contribute equally to the (shape morphing) objective while all components share the loads.
- Every section of the material undergoes only very small linear elastic strain with very low stress and hence the structure can undergo large deformations with high fatigue life.
## Structural Demonstration Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GIII Fowler flap position</th>
<th>ACTE flap position</th>
<th>Airspeed operational limit</th>
<th>Design airspeeds (+15 knot gust)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>knots</td>
<td>knots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The goal of the ACTE integration was to:

- Match the shape of the existing Fowler flap in its zero-degree flap deflection fully retracted state
- Integrate the ACTE onto the GIII with as little modification to the GIII as possible

The integration of the ACTE on to the GIII required removal of the Fowler flap, flap tracks, flap actuators and flight and ground spoilers.

The ACTE was attached to the rear spar using existing Fowler flap track fitting attachment points.

The lateral loads on the original Fowler flap were reacted out at track D which is adjacent to the aileron.
Structure Analysis Overview

- External loads and stress analysis approach
  - NASA provided external load cases to Flexsys, Flexsys completed the structural analysis with AFRC review
- Inertial loads: 0g to 2.0gs (same as Fowler flap)
  - Targeted 1.5 to 1.7gs in flight
  - Maneuver loads were a smaller load driver than airspeed
- Analysis design factors of safety
  - 2.25 for interface design:
  - 2.0 for compliant mechanism:
  - 3.0 for actuation:
  - Strength vs stiffness design requirements and abuse loads are important things to assess during the design phase
ACTE Ground Testing Overview

- Ground testing
  - Building block approach: characterization -> prototype -> flight article checkout
  - Ground flight article checkout testing was very beneficial for getting a feel for the flap characteristics and working out kinks in the instrumentation
## ACTE Structures Instrumentation Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures parameters</th>
<th>Number of sensors</th>
<th>Equation errors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wing loads</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>RBS 152: 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RBS 343: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface normal force and bending moment loads</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Normal force: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bending moment: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartridge side load</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallic load/strain sensors located on ACTE</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartridge strain (fiber)</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical tail force</td>
<td>Derived from</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>airspeed, aircraft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sideslip angle,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and rudder position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accomplishment:
- No strain gage failures during ACTE project execution
Flap Load Geometry

- Spar cap bending bridge
- Wing skin torsion bridge
- Spar web shear bridge

Secondary front spar

Rear spar
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Wing Load Monitoring Overview

- Two wing stations with the lowest margins were instrumented with strain gages and calibrated for monitoring normal, bending, and torque loads in flight
  - Highest loads during ACTE flights were on the order of 50% of design limit loads
- Load calibration tests provided valuable insight into the structure
  - Load equations were derived based on load calibration data
  - Test data was used to validate the GIII wing FEM model
  - Insight into wing flex was observed based on test data
- Testing provided confidence in ACTE analysis and clearance approach
• **Objective:** Monitor the loads in the ACTE/Wing Box interface during ACTE flights
  - Envelope clearance
  - Model validation

• All eight flap interface fittings were instrumented and calibrated using known loads for monitoring the loads real time in flight

• The calibration effort achieved errors on the order of 5% or less for bending and 10% or less for normal force

• Flap interface normal force and hinge moment loads were recorded for various flap deflections and flight conditions
ACTE Flight Phase

• **Envelope expansion plan**
  - Laser scanning of the flap was used for symmetrically positioning the left and right flaps preflight
  - Start at zero degrees and work up in flap deflection
  - Interesting structural items did not show up until about 5 degrees (took about 7 flights)
  - Wind-up turn and pushover-pullup maneuvers were beneficial for assessing interface interactions

• **Control room monitoring**
  - Two main displays were used for monitoring structural health of the flap
  - Loads and strains were assessed pre, during, and post flight for monitoring health of the structure
  - Team had a pretty good feel for things after walking out of the control room

• **Health monitoring**
  - Strain gages on the flap were used to verify that the loads and strains returned to the pre-flight condition
    - Any anomaly with the structure should show up as an offset in the loads and strain sensors
  - The project also visually inspected the flap for cracks, wear, and loose fasteners post flight
ACTE Interface Hinge Moment Loads

![Graph showing ACTE hinge moment load vs. angle of attack.]
Wing Deflection Measurement System

- The wing deflection and twist were measured during the ACTE flights using a single camera located in the GIII cabin.
- Targets were located on the wing forward spar, aft spar, and the 40% chord line at five wing span stations.
- The camera captured one photograph every second.
- Average error magnitudes are less than 0.112 inches.
Conclusions

- The ACTE technology was flight-tested on a GIII airplane for flap deflections of -2° up and +30° down.
- The structures airworthiness approach required a tailored approach that included structural analysis, ground testing, instrumentation monitoring, and periodic inspections.
- A good deal of success can be attributed to all of the folks who supported the project along the way.
- Good lessons learned from ACTE can be applied to future projects.
- Looking forward to follow on phase for Mach extension and flap twist flights.
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AFRC Aircraft Structural Safety of Flight Guidelines

Limit Load

Ultimate Load

Operation on case by case basis

Operated to 100% DLL After successful proof test

Ultimate = (F.S.) x Limit
M.S. = Allowable/Ultimate-1
F.S. = Factor of Safety
Des = Design
PT = Proof Test

F.S. = 2.25

F.S. = 1.8

F.S. = 1.5

F.S. = 1.2

Limit

80% Limit

Des | PT | Fly
---|---|---
Ult = 1.5 x Limit | Full Flight Instrumentation | Flight Load Survey to 80% DLL (a) MIL-A-8860
Ult = 2.25 x Limit | No Flight Instrumentation | Conservative Load Predictions (b) Option 1
Ult = 1.5 x Limit | Full Flight Instrumentation | Conservative Load Predictions (c) Option 2
Ult = 1.5 x Limit | Full Flight Instrumentation * | 10% Material Property Knock Down (d) Option 3
Ult = 1.8 x Limit | No Flight Instr. Conservative Load Predictions | (e) Option 4

* Flight Strain Survey Validated FEM Monitor + Expand to 100% DLL
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