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Thermal Model Additions

- **Myers Water Film Model**

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{h^3}{3 \mu_w} \left( \frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial x^3} + G_3 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - G_1 \right) + \tau_w \frac{h^2}{2 \mu_w} \right] = \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_w} \beta V_\infty
\]

- **Surface Water Shedding Model (calibrated)**

\[
\frac{\dot{m}_{\text{shed}}}{\dot{m}_{\text{runback,in}}} = \frac{We - We_c}{We} \quad We = \frac{\rho_a V_a^2 x_k}{\sigma} \quad We_c = 200 + 5 \times 10^5 x_k
\]

- **Enhanced Evaporation**
  - Chilton-Colburn analogy underestimates evaporation rate by 30%
Process for Comparison

- **Determine Internal Heat Transfer Coefficient from Dry Cases**
  - All Cases Use Same Coefficients
    \[ Nu = 0.004 \left( \frac{Re}{Pr} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{z_n}{d_h} \right)^{-0.22} \left( \frac{x}{d_h} \right)^{-0.38} \]

- **External Heat Transfer Coefficient is Forced Laminar Where There is No Ice**

- **Run All Dry Cases To Ensure Correlation Matches**

- **Run Wet Cases for Validation**
### Conditions Used For Thermal Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>P(Pa)</th>
<th>V(m/s)</th>
<th>T(K)</th>
<th>LWC</th>
<th>MVD</th>
<th>AOA</th>
<th>t(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warm Hold(Ref)</td>
<td>57295</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>264.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm Hold(Scale)</td>
<td>98525</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>266.9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descent(Ref)</td>
<td>69981</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>253.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descent(Scale)</td>
<td>97422</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>254.9</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Hold(Ref)</td>
<td>57295</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>247.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Hold(Scale)</td>
<td>98318</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>245.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• No Ice from Experiment nor from LEWICE
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Observations from Thermal Analysis

- Temperature Prediction is Very Good to Excellent for Most Cases
- Warm Hold Cases Show Predicted Runback Ice Forward of Experiment
  - Peak Ice Thickness Higher for LEWICE
- Descent and Cold Show Predicted Runback Ice Forms Slightly Behind Experiment
  - Peak Thickness Higher for LEWICE, Especially Upper Surface
- Ice in Experiment Grows Toward Leading Edge While LEWICE always grows Ice Normal to Surface
- Further Refinement of Runback Model May Be Necessary
- External Heat Transfer Coefficients for Residual Ice Shapes Need to Be Separately Validated
Ice Breakup Model

- **Breakup Threshold (Hauk)**

\[ V_{imp} \geq \frac{0.45}{\sin \alpha \sqrt{d}} \]

- **Sticking Efficiency (Currie)**

\[ \frac{m_b}{m_o} = \left(1 - \xi \cos(\alpha_{imp})\right) \left(0.57 + 7.5 \times 10^{-4} \left[ V_{imp} \cos(\alpha_{imp}) \right]^{1.5}\right) \]

\[ \xi = -0.1425 + 47.292 TWC - 1979.167 TWC^2 \]

- For TWC < 0.12 kg/m^3 and \( \xi = 0.14 \) for TWC > 0.12
## Conditions for Ice Crystal Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airfoil</th>
<th>Scan#</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sh</th>
<th>LWC</th>
<th>IWC</th>
<th>AOA</th>
<th>t(m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACA 0012</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Observations from Ice Crystal Comparison

• Peak Thickness is Over Predicted by LEWICE while Extent is Under Predicted
  – Additional Erosion Effects may be Needed
  – Improved Model for Reimpingement of Ice Crystals

• Additional Data is Needed to Complete Model