National Aeronautics and Space Administration



Space Technology Mission Directorate Game Changing Development Program

Affordable Vehicle Avionics Overview

14 July 2015















Affordable Vehicle Avionics (AVA)



- Each NanoLauncher develops single use hardware and software.
- Avionics + Software costs are
- significant portion Launcher cost Avionics boxes today cost between
 - \$2M and \$5M depending on functionality
- **STATUS** • Software development cost over \$1M per flight
 - Current Business Model for Earth to orbit is fixed cost dominated.
 - The quality, consistency, and • reliability in non-aerospace industries has improved such that their products may be used in traditionally aerospace applications.
- **NEW INSIGHTS**
- Fixed costs can be drastically reduced by utilizing nonaerospace COTS industry products & practices
- Building a common suite of Avionics and Software to be used by several launcher providers will lower costs

PROBLEM / NEED BEING ADDRESSED

SOA Avionics cost more than Nano-Launcher and low-cost payloads. Need affordable, responsive, modular common avionics system for Nano- Launchers

PROJECT **DESCRIPTION/APPROACH**

Technical Idea/Approach

- Partner with Nano-Launch Vehicle providers to develop a common modular avionics and software at a lower cost.
- Develop Avionics and Software emphasizing cost vs. performance, and exploit Model-Based Development.
- Exploit advanced sensor-fusion estimator software to compensate for low commercialgrade sensor accuracy.
- Employ an "Improve, Test, Fly, Improve" iterative design cycle approach.
- Identify broadly based, global industries that have achieved adequate levels of quality control and reliability in their products and then design around their expertise and business motivations.

- Avionics costs reduced by 3 orders of magnitude, from \$Millions to \$Tens-ofthousands Cost per pound of payload for
- small satellites in the same range of large payloads (less than \$10,000/pound)
- Fixed cost reduced by an order of magnitude

 Enable many launch vehicles capable of lifting 25kg to 750km circular orbit.

- Target recurring production cost of <\$200K.
- Show potential for reduction of fixed cost by reduced personnel needs and minimal inventory requirements.



PROJECT GOAI





Public and private "nanolaunch" developers are reducing the cost of propulsion, but conventional high-performance, high-reliability avionics remain the disproportionately high cost driver for launch. AVA technology performs as well or better than conventional GNCs, but with a fraction of the recurring costs. AVA enables nanolaunch providers to offer affordable rides to LEO as *primary payloads* – meaning, nano-sat payloads can afford to specify their own launch and orbit parameters.

 Integration with other projects, programs, and partnerships: ADEPT project have purchased AVA for navigation and attitude determination on FOP SL11 NRSAA with UP Aerospace for closed-loop control MSFC nanolauncher evaluating AVA on planned flight MSFC providing 0.5 FTE GNC competency 	 Technology Infusion Plan: Potential Partner (NRSAA in prog): AVA avionics; Piggyback/Close Loop flight tests - UP Aerospace, FY15/16/17 PC: STMD/MSFC – MSFC NanoLaunch Technology Demonstration launches PC/Partner: GCD ADEPT Project PC: HEOMD/STMD/FOP; inexpensive launch to LEO; CubeSat Launch Initiative, etc.
Key Personnel: Program Element Manager: Wade May Project Manager: Jim Cockrell Lead Center: ARC Supporting Centers: MSFC NASA NPR: NPR 7120.8 Guided or Competed: Guided Type of Technology: Push	Key Facts: GCD Theme: Future Propulsion and Energy Systems Execution Status: Year 1 of 2 Technology Start Date: Oct 1, 2014 Technology End Date: Sep 30, 2016 Technology TRL Start: TRL 5/6 Technology TRL End: TRL 7 Sub-orbital passive tests Technology Current TRL: TRL 5/6 Technology Lifecycle Phase: Implementation (Phase D)









AVA Resources



Key Milestones:				Quarterly Technical Accomplishments:						
Milestone	Baseline Date	Current Date	Comment	 Delivered AVA prototype to MSFC nanolauncher NL2A (cancelled) Overhauled 6DOF rocket model to become generic framework for all future LV-specific models Developed practical in-rocket magnetometer calibration/alignment procedure Concerns: Cancellation of MSFC NL2A launch costs risk buy-down opportunity for higher-stakes FOP SL10 UP Aerospace SLXL launch Still working one high risk: GPS degradation of performance during rocket ascent 						
AVA-1 FRR for UP Aero Flt via FOP	3/1/15	4/28/15	FOP UP Aero flight now 8/5/15							
UP Aero Flight via FOP	3/15/15	8/5/15	FOP UP Aero flight now 8/5/15							
AVA-1 FOP UP Aero Flight Results Report	8/1/15	9/10/15	FY15 Controlled Milestone, on track (CR in approval)							
Continuation Review	9/15/15	9/15/15		Cost	Schedule	Technical	Programmatic			
				Annual Budget Profile (\$.919M)						

Resources:

- FY2015: FTE: 4 WYE: .6
- FY2016: FTE: 4 WYE: .6

Budget (\$K)	Q1	Q2	Q 3	Q4	Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Budget Allocation	919	-	-	-	919
Program					
Authority/	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Funds Distribution	-	-	-	-	-
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Obligated	219	379	-	-	598
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Costed	219	350	-	-	569

Budget Trend / Funding Source (\$K)

