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A cross-power spectrum phase based adaptive technique is discussed which iteratively determines the time delay between two digitized signals that are coherent. The adaptive delay algorithm belongs to a class of algorithms that identifies a minimum of a pattern matching function. The algorithm uses a gradient technique to find the value of the adaptive delay that minimizes a cost function based in part on the slope of a linear function that fits the measured cross power spectrum phase and in part on the standard error of the curve fit. This procedure is applied to data from a Honeywell TECH977 static-engine test. Data was obtained using a combustor probe, two turbine exit probes, and far-field microphones. Signals from this instrumentation are used estimate the post-combustion residence time in the combustor. Comparison with previous studies of the post-combustion residence time validates this approach. In addition, the procedure removes the bias due to misalignment of signals in the calculation of coherence which is a first step in applying array processing methods to the magnitude squared coherence data. The procedure also provides an estimate of the cross-spectrum phase-offset.

Nomenclature

- $a$: Zero intercept of two parameter phase function $\phi_{k,m}(f)$
- $B_s$: Bandwidth resolution, $B_s = \Delta f = 1/T_d = r_s/N$, 16 Hz
- $b_{k,m}$: Slope of cross-spectrum phase curve
- $C_o$, $C_{max}$, $C_{mid}$, $C$: Cost functions
- $D_{t,m}$: Propagation time from turbine exit to far-field microphone
- $D_{k,t}$: Post combustion residence time in the combustor, $D_{k,t} = D_{k,m} - D_{e,m}$
- $D_{k,m}$: Propagation from combustor to far-field microphone
- $E[]$: Effective Value of $E[]$
- $f$: Frequency, Hz
- $f_u$: Upper frequency limit, $f_u = 1/2\Delta t = r_s/2$, Hz (32 768 Hz)
- $F_2$: Weight function
- $\hat{G}_{t}(f)$: Estimated output signal one-sided auto spectral density function
- $\hat{G}_{k}(f)$: Estimated input signal one-sided auto spectral density function
- $\hat{G}_{k,m}(f)$, $\hat{G}_{t,m}(f)$: Estimated one-sided cross-spectral density function
- $J$: Cost function
- $N$: Segment length, number of samples per segment, $N = r_s T_d$, (4 096)
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Signal noise

Number of points used in two parameter curve fit

Number of segments/blocks with 50 percent overlap, \( n_o = 2 B_c T_{total} \approx 2240 \)

Number of disjoint (independent) segments used in spectra estimates, \( n_s = B_c T_{total} \approx 1120 \)

Acoustic signal

Cross-correlation function

Sample rate, samples/s (65536)

Cost function sums

Acoustic signal

Summation function over [ ]

Time variable

Total record length, \( T_{total} = n_s T_d, s \approx 70 \) s

Record length of segment, \( T_d = N/r_s, 0.0625 \) s

Weight factor

Frequency \( f_j \)

Measured cross-spectrum phase \( \phi_{km} \)

Subscripts

1 Combustion location

2 Turbine exit location

3 Microphone location

\( t \) Location is turbine

\( k \) Location is combustor

\( m \) Location is far-field microphone

\( x \) Input signal

\( y \) Output signal

Symbols

\[ \langle \cdot \rangle \] Mean value of [ ]

\( < > \) Angular brackets denote long time averages.

\( \beta_{km} \) Slope of two parameter phase function \( \phi_{km}(f) \)

\( \Delta t \) Sampling interval, \( \Delta t = 1/r_s \) s

Residual

\( \hat{\beta}_{km}^2(f) \) Estimated magnitude-squared coherence (MSC) function

\( \hat{\beta}_{x,y}(n_s) \) MSC threshold function

\[ [\cdot] \] Estimate of [ ]

\( \Im \) Imaginary part

\( \nu \) Weight value

\( \omega \) Angular frequency, \( \omega = 2 \pi f \)

\( \phi \) Angle variable

\( \phi_{km}(f) \) Two parameter linear curve fit function

\( \phi_0 \) Zero intercept of two parameter phase function \( \phi_{km}(f) \)

\( \phi_k^f(f) \) Cross-spectrum phase function

\( \psi \) Weight factor

\( \Re \) Real part

\( \sigma_{km}^2 \) Residual variance

\( \sigma_{km} \) Standard error

\( \tau \) Time displacement

\( \text{Int} \) Integer part

\( m \) Measured

I. Introduction

The technique introduced in this paper is an adaptive estimation process which synchronizes two signals correlated over a frequency range using a time domain iterative algorithm. This procedure will enable
removal of the bias due to misalignment of signals in the calculation of coherence and will estimate more accurately the cross-power spectrum phase-offset.

The algorithm uses a cost function and a gradient approximation to continually update the time delay estimate until the process has minimized the cost function. The cost function is based on a linear curve fit to the unwrapped phase of the cross-spectrum between the two signals over the frequency range of interest. The linear curve fit assumes the existence of a cross-spectrum phase-offset and does not assume the linear fit has a zero intercept at zero frequency. The iterative technique used is a steepest-descent gradient technique and thus requires that the cost function is unimodal.

A. Relevance

Low frequency noise generated in the turbofan engine core can make a significant contribution to the overall noise signature in the aft direction at the low power settings which are used on an airport flight approach trajectory. This type of low frequency noise may become even more of a problem for future aircraft. Two possible low frequency noise sources are direct and indirect combustion noise. The source of combustion noise attributed to the unsteady pressures produced by the unsteady combustion process that propagate through the turbine to the far field is called the direct combustion noise source. The other source of turbofan engine combustion noise is known as the indirect mechanism in which the noise is generated in the turbine by the interaction of entropy fluctuations, which also originate from the unsteady combustion process, as they propagate through regions characterized by mean flow velocity or pressure gradients in the turbine stages. This indirect noise source was studied using analytical models by Ffowcs Williams and Howe, Pickett, Marble and Candel, Cumpsty and Marble, Cumpsty, Gliebe et al., Mani, Bodony and Leyko.

C. Present Technique

The adaptive estimation process used herein estimates the time delay with a cost function that is based on the unwrapped cross-spectrum phase between two sensors over a preselected frequency range. The cost function is based on the slope of the regression line and the standard deviation of the linear curve fit to the phase. It is assumed that the time series overlap for a time delay that minimizes this cost function. The procedure at each iterative step determines the value of the cost function and continually realigns the time series by varying the time lag until the cost function has been minimized and thereby effectively has reduced...
the slope to zero. The frequency interval is preselected so that the magnitude-squared coherence between signals is not too small in the selected range. A non-adaptive time delay estimation method that uses a linear fit with a zero intercept at zero frequency to unwrap cross-spectrum phase is described by Piersol.\textsuperscript{24} In contrast, the method used herein does not require the measured phase shift sequence to pass through the origin which is an assumption used by Piersol\textsuperscript{24} and other investigators.\textsuperscript{25–27} Since the method uses only a selected portion of the calculated phase, it is called a generalized unwrapped phase method. The same results could be achieved at more computational expense by using a pair of pre-filters to select the frequency range of interest as is done by Knapp and Carter.\textsuperscript{28} Other non-adaptive methods that estimate a time delay using the cross-spectrum phase are available.\textsuperscript{25–27}

This adaptive estimation method of calculating the time delay is applied to time series measurements in the combustor, at the turbine exit, and at select far-field microphones from the Honeywell TECH977 test program.\textsuperscript{16} In order to calculate the post-combustion residence time in the combustor i.e. the time delay of the indirect combustion noise in the combustor, the propagation time from the combustor probe and the turbine exit probe to a far-field microphone are directly estimated by the procedure and subtracted. This is the first application of time delay estimation using a phase based adaptive pattern matching function to measure the post-combustion (post-flame) residence time in a turbofan engine combustor using signals measured at the turbine exit with signals from a combustor sensor probe and far field microphones.

II. Engine test data

The NASA/Honeywell static engine test program was conducted at Honeywell’s San Tan outdoor acoustic test facility using a Honeywell TECH977 engine (Fig. 1) and the results are described in a report by Weir.\textsuperscript{16} The dual-spool, turbofan engine has a direct drive, wide chord fan connected by a long shaft to the low-pressure turbine spool and a high-pressure compressor connected by a concentric short shaft to the turbine high-pressure spool. The fan diameter is about 0.87 m. The combustor design is a straight-through flow annular geometry with 16 fuel nozzles and 2 igniters. Data obtained for one configuration in the test program is analyzed in this paper. The engine-internal instrumentation in this configuration included high-temperature pressure sensors with air cooling in a combustor igniter port identified herein as C1P1 and at the turbine exit sensors identified as T551 and T552. Pressure time histories from these internal sensors and far-field microphones are used herein.

The data acquisition system had a sampling rate of 65 536 Hz and a sampling duration of roughly 70 s. The spectra were calculated using a 50 percent overlap. This permitted data reduction using $\approx 1120$ non-overlapped ensemble averages or with a 50 percent overlap $\approx 2240$ overlapped ensemble averages at a bandwidth resolution of 16 Hz. Further signal estimation parameters are shown in Table 1.

The engine condition power settings discussed are 48 and 54 percent of the Max Power setting. Microphone locations used are at 90°, 110°, 130°, and 160° measured from the inlet. The test was conducted when the air temperature was about 90° C (48.2° F) and the microphone radius was 30.48 m. The engine was at a height of 3.049 m.

As discussed,\textsuperscript{13,28} the size of the magnitude-squared coherence between the combustor sensor and a far-field microphone identifies the spectral region of importance for indirect combustion noise as being in the 0-200 Hz frequency range. Consequently, herein the cross-spectral phase measurements between engine-internal sensors and a far-field microphone only over the frequency range 16-208 Hz is used to estimate the propagation time to a far field microphone.

III. Theory

A. Procedure

The far-field microphones receives noise from the turbofan, jet, and core. The procedure characterizes the core noise as indirect combustor noise and turbine-exit coherent broadband noise. Furthermore, the method estimates the propagation time from source to far-field microphone. A simple mathematical model for the propagation time measurements is

\begin{align}
\tau_k(t) &= s_1(t - D_k m) + n_1(t) \text{ Combustor Sensor} \quad (1) \\
\tau_t(t) &= s_2(t - D_t m) + n_2(t) \text{ Turbine Sensor} \quad (2)
\end{align}
\[ r_m(t) = s_3(t) + n_3(t) \quad \text{Microphone} \quad (3) \]

where the signal at station \( k \) from the combustion pressure sensor is delayed by the propagation time delay, \( D_{km} \), the turbine pressure sensor signal at location \( \ell \) is delayed by the propagation time delay, \( D_{\ell m} \), the signal at station \( m \) is from the far-field microphone.

The signal at station \( k \) is from the combustor and the acoustic pressure signal \( s_1(t) \) is assumed to be related to an entropy or temperature disturbance. This disturbance moves at the combustor flow velocity to the turbine where it interacts with the pressure field creating indirect combustion noise which reaches a microphone after a total travel time, \( D_{km} \). The signal at station \( \ell \) is from the turbine. The acoustic pressure signal \( s_2(t) \) is from the turbine exit coherent broadband noise which reaches a microphone after a total travel time, \( D_{\ell m} \). In either case the procedure to finding the time delay is identical and is described in the algorithm section. The signals at station \( k \), \( \ell \) and \( m \) are contaminated by independent, Gaussian, and stationary noise terms, \( n_1(t) \), \( n_2(t) \), and \( n_3(t) \), which are uncorrelated with \( s_1(t) \), \( s_2(t) \), and \( s_3(t) \) and each other.

The cross-correlation function between the combustor signal and a far-field microphone is given by

\[ R_{km}(\tau) = E[r_k(t)r_m(t + \tau)] = R_{s_1s_3}(\tau - D_{km}) \quad (4) \]

where \( R_{s_1s_3}(\tau - D_{km}) \) is the autocorrelation function with a peak at \( \tau = D_{km} \).

In the frequency domain, the Fourier transform of equation (4) is

\[ G_{km}(f) = G_{s_1s_3}(f) \exp(-i \frac{2 \pi f}{D_{km}}) \quad (5) \]

where \( G_{s_1s_3} \) is the one-sided cross-spectrum and the time delay \( D_{km} \) appears in the cross spectrum as a phase function

\[ \phi_{km}(f) = 2 \pi f D_{km} = \omega D_{km} \quad (6) \]

where \( \omega = 2 \pi f \). The magnitude-squared coherence (MSC) is defined by

\[ \gamma^2_{km}(f) = \frac{|G_{km}(f)|^2}{|G_{s_1s_3}(f)||G_{s_3m}(f)|} \quad (7) \]

The cross-correlation function between the turbine probe signal and a far-field microphone is given by

\[ R_{\ell m}(\tau) = E[r_\ell(t)r_m(t + \tau)] = R_{s_2s_3}(\tau - D_{\ell m}) \quad (8) \]

where \( R_{s_2s_3}(\tau - D_{\ell m}) \) is the autocorrelation function with a peak at \( \tau = D_{\ell m} \).

In the frequency domain, the Fourier transform of equation (8) is

\[ G_{\ell m}(f) = G_{s_2s_3}(f) \exp(-i \frac{2 \pi f}{D_{\ell m}}) \quad (9) \]

where \( G_{s_2s_3} \) is the one-sided cross-spectrum and the time delay \( D_{\ell m} \) appears in the cross spectrum as a phase function

\[ \phi_{\ell m}(f) = 2 \pi f D_{\ell m} = \omega D_{\ell m} \quad (10) \]

The magnitude-squared coherence (MSC) is defined by

\[ \gamma^2_{\ell m}(f) = \frac{|G_{\ell m}(f)|^2}{|G_{\ell s}(f)||G_{s_3m}(f)|} \quad (11) \]

Then \( D_{k \ell} = D_{km} - D_{\ell m} \). With a small error since the acoustic propagation speed are high and the distances involved are small, the indirect combustion noise is dealt with as if it all begins propagating acoustically at the turbine exit and then it propagates to a microphone in the same manner as the direct combustion noise.
B. Signal Processing Method

All the spectra and cross-spectra are estimated using Welch's nonparametric method which is based on averaging multiple windowed periodograms using overlapping time sequences. \(29-33\) Using these spectra and cross spectra, the magnitude-squared coherence (MSC) is calculated to measure the similarity of the amplitude variations at particular frequencies. \(33\) In some sections, the 'accent will be used to denote the statistical basis of a variable and that it is based on a finite time series.

C. Accuracy of Estimates

We consider the cross spectrum magnitude estimate, \(\hat{G}_{km}(f)\), and phase estimate, \(\hat{\phi}_{km}(f)\), computed from Fourier transforms of signals \(r_1(t)\) and \(r_2(t)\) calculated for a sample length \(T_{\text{total}}\) divided into \(n_s\) independent samples using a periodogram procedure. The estimated cross-spectrum \(\hat{G}_{km}\) is a complex number. The estimated cross-spectrum phase \(\hat{\phi}_{km}\) is given by

\[
\hat{\phi}_{km} = \arctan \frac{\Im \hat{G}_{km}(f)}{\Re \hat{G}_{km}(f)}
\]

The spectral estimates are statistically independent of one another and have a standard deviation discussed by Piersol \(24\), derived by Bendat, \(34\) and also presented in a book by Bendat and Pierson \(35\) approximately given by

\[
\sigma[\hat{G}_{km}(f)] \approx \frac{|\hat{G}_{km}(f)|}{\sqrt{n_s \gamma^2_{km}(f)}}
\]

\[
\sigma[\hat{\phi}_{km}(f)] \approx \arcsin \left[ \frac{1 - \gamma^2_{km}(f)}{2 n_s \gamma^2_{km}(f)} \right]^{1/2}
\]

For small phase errors when \(\sin \sigma = \sigma\)

\[
\sigma[\hat{\phi}_{km}(f)] \approx \left[ \frac{1 - \gamma^2_{km}(f)}{2 n_s \gamma^2_{km}(f)} \right]^{1/2}
\]

It can be seen from Eqs \(14\)-(15) that the error in the estimated phase angle becomes infinitely large as the MSC tends to zero. This is the reason why only a limited frequency interval is used in the generalized adaptive phase method.

D. True MSC based on the Adaptive Estimation Procedure

To a first order approximation the computed/estimated unaligned and aligned MSC based on the far-field microphones and probes in the combustor and at the turbine exit are related by

\[
\hat{\gamma}_{km}^2(f)_{\text{unaligned}} \approx \left( 1 - \frac{D_{km}}{T_d} \right)^2 \hat{\gamma}_{km}^2(f)_{\text{aligned}}
\]

\[
\hat{\gamma}_{lm}^2(f)_{\text{unaligned}} \approx \left( 1 - \frac{D_{lm}}{T_d} \right)^2 \hat{\gamma}_{lm}^2(f)_{\text{aligned}}
\]

if \(D_{km}\) and \(D_{lm}\) are less than \(T_d\) (Bendat \(34\) and Bedat and Piersol \(35\)).

To increase the number of blocks of data sampled to get better statistics the bandwidth resolution, \(B_d\), used is 16 Hz and the segment length, \(T_d\), of the signal is then 62.5 ms. However, the time delay from the engine probes to the far field microphone, \(D_{km}\) and \(D_{lm}\), is between 70 ms and 90 ms. Consequently, the alignment procedure must be used since using non-aligned measurements one would only measure the MSC threshold which is small. An order of magnitude estimate of the threshold for a 95 percent confidence interval and \(n_s = 1120\) independent blocks of data is (Miles \(36,37\))

\[
\hat{\gamma}_{km}^2(n_s, D_{km}) = 1 - (1 - 0.95)^{1/(n_s-1)} = 2.67 \times 10^{-3}
\]
By aligning the measurements and removing any time delay the true MSC can be measured. The generalized unwrapped phase adaptive estimation procedure does need an initial condition for $D_{k\cdot m}$ and $D_{t\cdot m}$. An estimated value of 70 m s to 90 m s based on the acoustic speed of sound and the 100 ft microphone radius is sufficient for this purpose.

E. Algorithm

The current algorithm identifies the dominant noise source at the turbine exit as either direct or indirect combustion noise over a certain frequency range. It does this by calculating the difference in delay time, $D_{k\cdot t}$, for a signal from the combustor to reach a far-field microphone and a signal from the turbine exit to reach the same far-field microphone. If both time delays are about the same, the dominant noise source is direct combustion noise. If the time delay difference is in the region of 3 to 4 ms the dominant noise source is indirect combustion noise.

The general idea is that the noise from the turbine is acoustic while the noise in the combustor has a spectrum related to the noise created by entropy waves interacting with the pressure field in the turbine. This interaction produces noise after a time delay, $D_{k\cdot t}$, related to the convection velocity in the combustor and the distance from the flame zone to the turbine. This post-combustion (post-flame) time delay, $D_{k\cdot t}$, shows up in the phase of the cross-spectra of the combustion noise signal and a far-field microphone signal.

The procedure used is to first evaluate the time delay from the combustor and far-field microphone cross spectrum, $D_{k\cdot m}$. This is done by iteratively and optimally resetting the origin of the time history of the far-field microphone signal and at each step calculating the pressure cross-spectrum phase between the combustor probe signal and the far field microphone signal until the slope of the phase plot curve is zero. This time delay is the acoustic travel time, $D_{t\cdot m}$, plus the post-combustion (post-flame) time delay, $D_{k\cdot t}$. Next the same method is used to evaluate the time delay from the turbine exit probe signal and the same far-field microphone, $D_{t\cdot m}$. Again this is done by iteratively and optimally resetting the origin of the time history of the far-field microphone signal and at each step calculating the pressure cross-spectrum phase between the turbine exit probe signal and the far field microphone signal until the slope of the phase plot curve is zero. This provides an estimate of the acoustic travel time from the turbine exit to the same microphone, $D_{t\cdot m}$. Consequently, the difference in these actual time delays is the post-combustion (post-flame) time delay, $D_{k\cdot t}$. The indirect combustion noise is treated as if it all begins propagating acoustically at the turbine exit and then it propagates to each microphone in the same manner as the direct combustion noise. The resolution is limited by the sampling rate. For this test program the sampling rate is 65,536 samples/s. Consequently, the value is known within 0.015 ms (≈ 1000/65,536 ms).

In order to estimate either time delay, $D_{k\cdot t}$, a pattern matching function based on a regression line fit to the measured cross-spectrum phase $\phi_{k\cdot t}$ will be used. However, the regression line fit is not forced to have a zero intercept because 1) the pressure sensors are not the same; 2) the pressure sensors are not phase matched; 3) the pressure measured at $f = 0$ will not be used in the time delay calculations; and 4) while a large number of independent samples are used and the MSC is greater than 0.01, the phase is calculated with uncertainty fluctuations. In addition, the MSC plots show the phase related to the indirect combustion noise is in the frequency range from 16-208Hz. Consequently, only phase function points in that frequency range are used.

1. Estimation of slope of cross-spectra phase and cost function

Instead of using a simple linear regression model with a zero intercept at $f = 0$, a simple two parameter linear regression model is used. The procedure will be described using the combustor microphone and the far-field microphone.

$$\phi_{k\cdot m}(f) = a + \beta_{k\cdot m} f + \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The parameters are estimated using the least square method that minimizes the sum of squares of the vertical distances

$$S = \sum_{j} \left( \phi_{k\cdot m}(f_{j}) - a - \beta_{k\cdot m} f_{j} \right)^{2}$$.

Let $x_{j} = f_{j}$ and $y_{j} = \hat{\phi}_{k\cdot m}(f_{j})$ where the time delay is

$$D_{k\cdot m} = \beta_{k\cdot m} / (2 \pi)$$,

$a = \phi_{0}$ and $\epsilon$ is an error due to uncertainty fluctuations.

Then
\[ S_x = \sum_j x_j \]  
\[ S_y = \sum_j y_j \]  
\[ S_{xy} = \sum_j x_j y_j \]  
\[ \bar{x} = \frac{S_x}{n_{kt}} \]  
\[ \bar{y} = \frac{S_y}{n_{kt}} \]  
\[ S_{x^2} = \sum_j (x_j - \bar{x})^2 \]  
\[ S_{y^2} = \sum_j (y_j - \bar{y})^2 \]  
\[ \beta_{kt} = \frac{(S_{xy} - n_{kt} \bar{x} \bar{y})}{S_{x^2}} \]  
\[ = \frac{\sum_j (y_j - \bar{y})(x_j - \bar{x})}{S_{x^2}} \]  
\[ a = \bar{y} - \beta_{kt} \bar{x} \]  

The residual variance is
\[ \sigma_{kt}^2 = \frac{\sum_j (f_{kt}(f_j) - a - \beta_{kt} f_j)^2}{n_{kt} - 2} \]  

The standard error of the measurement is simply \( \sigma_{kt} \).

The cost function used to adjust the time delay \( D_{kt} \) to minimize the slope of the linear curve fit to the thirteen phase measurements of interest and reduce the standard error is
\[ C_0 = (\beta_{kt} + \nu \sigma_{kt})^2 \]  

The parameter \( \nu \) is selected to be about \( 1.0E - 04 \) so that if a value of \( \sigma_{kt} \) is 20, the value of \( \sigma_{kt} \) will not have an impact on the cost function until the slope, \( \beta_{kt} \), has been reduced to \( 1.E - 03 \). In order to prevent the search procedure from diverging two additional cost functions were defined.

\[ C_{\text{max}} = F_2 (D_{kt} - D_{\text{max}})^2 \text{ if } D_{kt} > D_{\text{max}} \]  
\[ C_{\text{min}} = F_2 (D_{\text{min}} - D_{kt})^2 \text{ if } D_{kt} < D_{\text{min}} \]  

where \( D_{\text{max}} \) is some convenient number like 100 ms and \( D_{\text{min}} \) is some convenient number like 70 ms and \( F_2 \) is has some value like 10 or 100. These values serve to reduce the search region as needed. The total cost function, \( C \), is
\[ C = C_0 + C_{\text{max}} + C_{\text{min}} \]  

2. Parameter evaluation procedure

The search technique used in this study is described by Powell\(^{42}\) and a Fortran computer code for this algorithm is given by Kuester.\(^{43}\) The search program evaluates a new cost function with each iterative selection of \( D_{kt} \). The signal \( r_k \) is measured at the combustor entrance at the same time as the signal \( r_l \) is measured at the turbine exit and as each microphone signal is measured. The subroutine that calculates the cost function time shifts the signal from the far-field microphone, \( r_k \), by an amount \( n_{kt} \) using Fortran code.
where if the dominant low frequency noise source is indirect combustion noise, we let \( n_k \) \( = \) \( n_{k,m} = \text{Int} \{ r_g \times N_1 \} \) for the combustor signal case and \( n_{t,m} = \text{Int} \{ r_g \times N_{t,m} \} \) for the turbine signal case. The actual value of \( D_{t,m} \) depends on the acoustic propagation velocity and distance along the paths from the turbine to the nozzle and from the nozzle through the jet at the nozzle exit and then to a microphone on the ground. The actual value of \( D_{k,m} \) depends on \( D_{t,m} \) and the velocity of the gas flow in the combustor and the distance in the combustor from the flame zone to the turbine. The cross-spectrum between the signals \( r_k \) and \( r_{111} \) is calculated. Next, a new cost function is calculated and the iterative procedure continues until it has converged.

The resulting phase plot of \( \phi_{k,m} \) \( \approx \text{zero slope} \) over the frequency range from 16Hz to 208 Hz where the MSC is \( \geq 0.1 \) after convergence will show a set of points moving above and below a horizontal line in a random fashion due to uncertainties caused by noise where

\[
\phi_{k,m} \approx \text{zero slope} \approx \arctan \left[ \frac{\Re \{ \text{Re} \{ \phi_{k,m} \} \} \exp (-i \phi_{k,m} \approx \text{zero slope} \} \} \exp (-i \phi_{k,m} \approx \text{zero slope} \} \} \right] \quad (34)
\]

### IV. Results

#### A. Post-combustion residence time in the combustor

The post-combustion (post-flame) residence times in the combustor, \( D_{k,t} \), as determined for the 48 and 54 per cent of maximum power operating condition cases is shown in Tables 2 and 3. These tables show the propagation time for the turbine exit sensors is in the range of 86 to 94 ms and the direct combustion noise signal takes 3.6 to 4.03 ms longer. Each table shows the actual number of counts and time in ms required to shift the far-field pressure signal to make it align with the combustion sensor signal.

#### B. Analysis of distribution of post-combustion residence times in the combustor

The distribution of the estimated post-combustion residence times in the combustor were analyzed using histogram density plots using the methods described by Fox\(^4\) and by Fox and Weisberg\(^5\). The histogram is constructed so that the areas of the histogram bars sum to unity. Kernel density estimates are shown for the normal bandwidth (the line is of double thickness) and half the normal bandwidth (the line is of normal thickness). Also shown at the bottom of the plot are the location of the actual estimates as a scatterplot (or "rugplot") using a vertical bar as the plotting symbol. A histogram of the post combustion residence times in the combustor at the 48 percent maximum power case is shown in Fig. 2. Also shown is the mean value of the post-combustion time delay in the combustor at \( \tau_{\text{mean}} = 3.960 \) ms. Using an alternative procedure with signals from only the combustor probe and turbine exit probes Miles\(^6\) calculated a time delay of \( \tau_{C111,t551} = 3.937 \) ms and a time delay of \( \tau_{C111,t552} = 4.028 \) ms. These are also shown as vertical lines and are in close agreement with the values calculated using far-field microphones. The values obtained by Miles\(^7\) are comparable to these.

A histogram of the post combustion residence times in the combustor at the 54 percent maximum power case is shown in Fig. 3. Also shown is the mean value of the post-combustion time delay in the combustor at \( \tau_{\text{mean}} = 3.791 \) ms. Using the alternative procedure with signals from only the combustor probe and the turbine exit probes Miles\(^6\) calculated a time delay of \( \tau_{C111,t551} = 3.753 \) ms and a time delay of \( \tau_{C111,t552} = 3.921 \) ms. These are also shown as vertical lines and are in close agreement with the values calculated using far-field microphones. The values obtained by Miles\(^7\) are comparable to these.

#### C. Phase and MSC measurements at 48 percent maximum power

Aligned MSC and cross-spectrum phase measurements between the combustor pressure sensor (C111) and various far-field microphones are shown in figures 4 and 5. Aligned MSC and cross-spectra phase measurements between turbine exit pressure sensor (T551) and various far-field microphones are shown in figures
Alined MSC and cross-spectra phase measurements between turbine exit pressure sensor (T552) and various far-field microphones are shown in figures 8 and 9.

The magnitude-squared coherence function (MSC) plots will be discussed using logarithmic scales. Using logarithmic scales makes it easier to show that the analysis is based on MSC values above the threshold for unaligned signals. In addition to the MSC, also shown in Figs. 5, 7, 9, and 10 are MSC thresholds calculated from Eq (18).

The 95 percent threshold confidence interval based on \( n_s = 1120 \) independent samples is \( 2.67 \times 10^{-3} \). However, the spectra are calculated using a 50 percent overlap to reduce the variance and the 95 percent threshold confidence interval based on \( n_s = 2240 \) samples is \( 1.337 \times 10^{-3} \). These thresholds are shown in Figs. 4, 7, 9, and 10. These indicators show the MSC function is reliable up to about 400 Hz even though the pattern matching method used only cross-spectrum phase information from 16 to 208 Hz. However, MSC function is above 0.1 in a region from 16 – 208 Hz.

The slope change in Fig. 4 above 200 Hz indicates that indirect combustion noise is important below 200 Hz and direct combustion noise is important above 200 Hz.

### D. Core noise source

In order to evaluate the relative strength of indirect combustion noise and the turbine exit coherent broadband noise which contains both indirect and direct combustion noise a comparison of aligned MSC between combustor pressure sensor (CIP1) and far-field microphone at 130 degrees with aligned MSC between turbine pressure sensors (T551) and (T552) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power is shown in Fig 10 using both linear and logarithmic scales. Fig 10 b also shows MSC threshold plots for \( n_s = 1120 \) and \( n_s = 2240 \) samples. Figure 10 shows both indirect and direct combustion noise are important below 400 Hz.

### V. Concluding remarks

A phase-based adaptive estimation pattern matching approach has been developed to estimate the post combustion (post-flame) time delay in the combustor from cross-spectral phase measurements made using a combustor pressure sensor, two turbine exit pressure sensors, and far-field microphones by estimating the propagation time to the far field for the indirect combustion noise and the turbine exit coherent broadband noise and taking the difference. The procedure accurately estimates the post-combustion/post-flame residence time in the combustor. It is the presence of indirect combustion noise that makes the procedure feasible. While the method has general application, the method discussed works well in this particular case due to the nature of the data set. The number of independent averages is chosen to be large \( (n_s \approx 1120) \) and the magnitude-squared coherence (MSC) is over 0.1 up to 208 Hz making the standard deviation of the cross-spectra phase small below 200 Hz. An accurate algorithm for calculating the post-combustion (post-flame) residence time in the combustor might be important in understanding the formation of thermal NO\(_x\) in the combustor and verifying new and innovative combustor designs based on computational tools. Calculating the aligned MSC is a necessary step in applying array processing methods to the MSC data set. In general, the adaptive estimation technique developed herein is an effective tool to determine time delays between broadband coherent signals in noisy environments such as exist in real aircraft engines.

### VI. Acknowledgments

This work was carried out under the NASA Fundamental Aeronautics Program, Fixed Wing Project, Quiet Performance Subproject.
References


46 Miles, J. H., unpublished.

Table 1. Spectral estimate parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segment length, (data points per segment), $N$</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample rate, $r_s$, samples/s</td>
<td>65536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment length, $T_d = N/r_s$, s</td>
<td>0.062500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling interval, $\Delta t = 1/r_s$, s</td>
<td>1/65536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth resolution, $B_s = \Delta f = 1/T_d = r_s/N$, Hz</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper frequency limit, $f_u = 1/2\Delta t = r_s/2$, Hz</td>
<td>32.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of frequencies, $L_y = f_c/\Delta f = N/2$</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of independent samples, $n_s$</td>
<td>1120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample length, $T_{total}$, s</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Post-combustion (post-flame) residence time in the combustor calculated from difference of propagation time to far-field microphones of indirect combustor noise signal and acoustic signal at 48 percent maximum power.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Far-field Microphone at 100 ft</th>
<th>CIP1</th>
<th>T551</th>
<th>T552</th>
<th>$\Delta T_{T551}$</th>
<th>$\Delta T_{T552}$</th>
<th>$D_{T551}$</th>
<th>$D_{T552}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>counts</td>
<td>counts</td>
<td>counts</td>
<td>counts</td>
<td>counts</td>
<td>$\times 1000/2^{16}$</td>
<td>$\times 1000/2^{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>6349</td>
<td>6099</td>
<td>6091</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>3.814697</td>
<td>3.936768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>6098</td>
<td>5842</td>
<td>5636</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>3.905250</td>
<td>3.997803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130°</td>
<td>5678</td>
<td>5619</td>
<td>5611</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>3.952026</td>
<td>4.074097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160°</td>
<td>5609</td>
<td>5349</td>
<td>5345</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>3.967285</td>
<td>4.028320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean (r)</td>
<td>3.963 ms</td>
<td>3.963 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard deviation (r)</td>
<td>0.079 ms</td>
<td>0.079 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Post-combustion (post-flame) residence time in the combustor calculated from difference of propagation time to far-field microphones of indirect combustor noise signal and acoustic signal at 54 percent maximum power.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Far-field Microphone at 100 ft</th>
<th>CIP1</th>
<th>T551</th>
<th>T552</th>
<th>$\Delta CIP_{T551}$</th>
<th>$\Delta CIP_{T552}$</th>
<th>$D_{T551}^{1000/16}$</th>
<th>$D_{T552}^{1000/16}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>6367</td>
<td>6131</td>
<td>6124</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>3.601074</td>
<td>3.707886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>6112</td>
<td>5988</td>
<td>5859</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>3.723145</td>
<td>3.860474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130°</td>
<td>5891</td>
<td>5640</td>
<td>5636</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>3.829956</td>
<td>3.890991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160°</td>
<td>5620</td>
<td>5366</td>
<td>5368</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>3.875732</td>
<td>3.845215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ($\tau$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.792 ms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard deviation ($\tau$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.103 ms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensors in aft fan bypass access panels

High-temperature sensors with air cooling at turbine exit

High-temperature sensor with air cooling in combustor igniter port

Figure 1. Honeywell TECH977 engine.
Figure 2. Histogram of post-combustion residence times in combustor in ms at 48 percent maximum power. Shown at the bottom of the plot are the location of the actual estimates as a scatter plot using a vertical bar as the plotting symbol. Also shown are $\tau_{\text{mean}}$ (blue dashed), $T_{CJP1,TSS1}$ (red dashed), and $T_{CJP1,TSS2}$ (red dashed).

Figure 3. Histogram of post-combustion residence times in combustor in ms at 54 percent maximum power. Shown at the bottom of the plot are the location of the actual estimates as a scatter plot using a vertical bar as the plotting symbol. Also shown are $\tau_{\text{mean}}$ (blue dashed), $T_{CJP1,TSS1}$ (red dashed), and $T_{CJP1,TSS2}$ (red dashed).
Figure 4. Aligned cross-spectra phase angle between combustor pressure sensor (CIP1) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power.

Figure 5. Aligned coherence between combustor pressure sensor (CIP1) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power.

Figure 6. Aligned cross-spectra phase angle between turbine pressure sensor (T551) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power.
Figure 7. Aligned coherence between turbine pressure sensor (T551) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power.

Figure 8. Aligned cross-spectra phase angle between turbine pressure sensor (T552) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power.

Figure 9. Aligned coherence between combustor pressure sensor (T552) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power.
Figure 10. Comparison of aligned coherence between combustor pressure sensor (9) and far-field microphone at 130 degrees with aligned coherence between turbine pressure sensors (10) and (11) and far-field microphones at 48 percent maximum power.