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A set of direct simulations of zero-pressure gradient, turbulent boundary layer flows are conducted using various span widths (62 – 630 wall units), to document their influence on the generated turbulence. The FDL3DI code that solves compressible Navier-Stokes equations using high-order compact-difference scheme and filter, with the standard recycling/rescaling method of turbulence generation, is used. Results are analyzed at two different Reθ values (500 and 1,400), and compared with spectral DNS data. They show that a minimum span width is required for the mere initiation of numerical turbulence. Narrower domains (< 100 w.u.) result in relaminarization. Wider spans (> 600 w.u.) are required for the turbulent statistics to match reference DNS. The upper-wall boundary condition for this setup spawns marginal deviations in the mean velocity and Reynolds stress profiles, particularly in the buffer region.

I. Introduction

Turbulent boundary layers have been the subject of intensive research for many decades, partly because of their pervasive nature. With advances in computing resources, extending the state-of-the-art in understanding these complex flows has been further enabled.

Ever since Spalart’s seminal paper, in which he presented DNS of turbulent boundary layer on a flat plate for a range of Reθ values (225 – 1,410), successive efforts have managed to extend this high Reynolds number limit. Rai and Moin used a high-order finite-difference approach to study transition and turbulence of a spatially evolving boundary layer up to Reθ = 2,300. Ferrante and Elghobashi used the recycling/rescaling technique to study spatially developing incompressible boundary layers up to Reθ = 1,430.

More recently, a number of research efforts have made significant advances in extending that limit further. Lee and Sung also used a similar technique as this paper, and computed turbulent boundary layer up to Reθ = 2,500. Schlatter and Örlü were able to further extend DNS data to Reθ = 4,300 using spectral methods. Within the last few years, Sillero et al. managed to breach the 6,000 limit and generate results up to Reθ = 6,650. Borrell et al. furthered this by computing up to Reθ = 6,800.

All of these efforts have been restricted to the incompressible regime, and many of them used spectral methods. The applicability of such techniques to practical flow situations is rather limited. Not necessarily unique, this study steps outside that usual realm by using a finite-difference code that solves compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Documenting challenges encountered, and subsequent solutions, will be useful for future simulations of compressible turbulent flows. This study reports the requirements for accurate predictions of turbulent boundary layers, including the minimum spanwise width of the domain. In that sense, this work follows Jiménez and Moin’s article on the impact of width on turbulent channel flow. In their study, they conducted DNS of low Reynolds number channel flow to study the morphology and dynamics of the most basic flow unit of turbulence. The fundamental period of intermittency was identified, and the impact of different domain widths on the growth of turbulence was investigated for the unsteady channel flow problem. This study analyzes turbulent boundary layer on a flat plate instead.

This study is a part of an overall effort to understand turbulent, separated flows. There continues to be a dearth in the physics knowledge-base for such phenomena. This is reflected in the computations of separated flows using RANS models, which significantly deviate from experimental observations, particularly
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in reattachment and recovery. The understanding that this study presents will aid to further improve the state-of-the-art in flow predictions using relatively inexpensive RANS and hybrid RANS/LES methods. As a precursory exercise, it is important to establish the capacity to predict attached turbulent boundary layers at various Reynolds numbers. In the process, requirements for accurate predictions of the physics are parameterized. Included amongst these are the minimum width of span and the ideal mesh resolution at the wall. Results from this effort are being used in a simultaneous study of turbulent, separated flows past a backward-facing step and a wall-mounted hump. They are also being used to set up simulations at higher Reₙ values.

The paper is structured as follows: Section II briefly explains the numerical methodology used for the simulations. Section III documents the results for both Reₙ = 500 and 1,400 simulations. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. IV.

II. Numerical Method

II.A. Governing Equations, Compact Difference Scheme and Filter

The finite difference code (a variant of AFRL’s FDL3D[9,10]) used in this study solves the compressible Navier-Stokes equations for an ideal gas. The sixth-order compact-difference scheme of Lele[11] is used to solve the governing equations in transformed curvilinear coordinates.12,13 Fourth-order explicit Runge-Kutta (RK-4) is used for time integration. During each time step, an eighth-order low-pass spatial filtering scheme is applied to the conservative variables to ensure stability, along with second- and fourth-order near-boundary formulations.14 A filtering optimization parameter of α_f = 0.495 is set. To ensure stability and accuracy of time integration, a Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) number in the vicinity of 0.4, based on the acoustic speeds, is used in the RK-4 scheme.

This code was previously designed to solve for supersonic flows, specifically those involving shock-wave and boundary layer interaction problems. Therefore, the localized artificial diffusivity (LAD) method of shock-capturing was previously installed by Kawai et al.15,16 and was used in a detailed study of the flow physics of such interactions by Morgan et al.17 This method adds artificial coefficients to the fluid transport terms, and takes effect in the vicinity of shocks. Since the flow regime under consideration is subsonic, LAD is not included in the current study. Thus, other than the low-pass filter, no additional sub-grid scale model is used. In that sense, this effort can be considered implicit-LES, or more precisely “under-resolved DNS.”

II.B. Recycling/Rescaling Turbulence Inflow Method

For generating the turbulent inflow, the standard recycling/rescaling method of Lund et al.18 is used in the code. Urbin and Knight extended this method to compressible flows for solving a Mach 3 turbulent boundary layer. This was implemented as a part of the effort to study the interaction of an oblique shock wave and a turbulent boundary layer by Morgan et al.19 The approach extracts the instantaneous velocity profile from a plane downstream of the inflow, rescales and reintroduces it as the inflow. The schematic of the procedure is shown in Fig. 1. It has been shown to produce a realistic turbulent boundary layer, yielding statistics that are in good agreement with experiment and DNS. To further prevent contamination of the solution with spurious spatio-temporal correlations generated by this reintroduction procedure, a non-constant reflection of the recycled turbulence plane is applied at randomly distributed time intervals.20 This method, called recycling/rescaling with dynamic reflection (RR+DR), has been suitably adjusted to solve subsonic compressible flows.

![Figure 1. Recycling-rescaling methodology schematic](image)
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III. Results

The setups for validating the code for subsonic turbulent boundary layers are detailed here. A separate simulation was required for each Reθ.

For both simulations, a domain of streamwise length 15δR and height 3δR was used, where δR is the desired boundary layer thickness at the velocity capture plane. This capture plane was located about 12δR downstream of the inlet (Fig. 1), and was also the location of the target Reθ. The initial mesh in each case had a spanwise width of 1/2δR, and this was doubled successively as required. This mesh consisted of 500 × 100 × 27 points, and was uniformly spaced along the streamwise and spanwise directions. A hyperbolic tangent stretching was set in the wall normal direction.

For the boundary conditions, the inlet was computed during the recycling/rescaling procedure, and a freestream pressure outflow was set for the outlet. A no-slip, adiabatic wall was used for the bottom wall. First-order extrapolation of the state variables was performed at the top wall. Along the spanwise direction, periodic boundary conditions were used.

For both cases, sea level conditions were assumed, with a freestream Mach number of 0.3. A 0.1% random perturbation was included as a part of the initial condition.

III.A. Reθ = 500

To simulate Reθ = 500 at the location 12δR downstream of the inlet, Reθ at the inlet was set to 350, based on Prandtl’s one-seventh power law approximation.

At these flow conditions, the mesh resolution at the wall was computed to be Δx⁺ = 7.5 and Δz⁺ = 2.3, along the streamwise and spanwise directions, respectively. The overall spanwise width of the domain was Lz = 62 w.u. In the wall normal direction, the spacing was Δy⁺ = 0.25 at the wall, and was stretched using a hyperbolic-tangent function. With such a resolution, this mesh is almost twice as fine as that used by Spalart for his spectral DNS. But, as Moin and Mahesh noted, spatial resolution requirements are dictated by the choice of numerical schemes. They showed that sixth-order Padé requires 60% finer meshes than those demanded in Fourier spectral methods.

Flow development was monitored in terms of u velocity component contours, to determine the initialization of turbulence. As shown in the time series in Fig. 2, after approximately 12 flow through time cycles (FTTs), the laminar nature of the boundary gradually starts to break down. After about 15 FTTs, wavy Kelvin-Helmholtz-like instabilities are evident in the domain. These disturbances, by means of the recycling/rescaling process, are fed back into the domain, resulting in an increase in their intensity. This process continues to perturb the flow until about 22 FTTs, when the flow starts to go turbulent. At this point, instead of sustaining the turbulent motion in the domain, the flow relaminarizes and the disturbances get dampened out. It should be noted that this phenomenon is a purely numerical transition because of the recycling process, and does not correspond to the physical transition from a laminar to a turbulent profile.

A similar observation was made by Jiménez and Moin for channel flows, where domains with spanwise widths lesser than a threshold failed to sustain turbulent motion. In their estimate, for spans narrower than 100 w.u., laminar solutions alone were found. This observation is in agreement with their estimate. Periodicity on such narrow domains compromises 2-point correlations, damping out the initiated turbulence. To confirm this, the Lz = 62 w.u. domain was doubled along the span, and the simulation was continued.

This new mesh consisted of 500 × 100 × 53 points, and the initial condition corresponded to the steady solution from the previous simulation, replicated along the span. u contours were once again monitored, and the time series for this setup is shown in Fig. 3

Similar to the previous setup, instabilities in the flow start to appear immediately, and after around 3 FTTs, the flow starts to undergo numerical transition. The recycling/rescaling feed back process continues to increase the intensity of perturbations, which unlike in the narrower domain, become self-sustaining. Even after 15 FTTs, the perturbations are sustained, indicating that the flow has taken up a turbulent nature.

To evaluate the response to even wider spans, the domain was once again doubled, resulting in a mesh with 500 × 100 × 105 points. The resulting Lz = 240 w.u. The domain was initialized in a similar manner, using the statistically steady data from the Lz = 122 w.u. simulation. The resulting mean velocity profiles at x = 12δR location are shown in Fig. 4. The relaminarized profile is indeed thinner than the two turbulent profiles, which have higher momentum content at lower y/δ locations.

Having established the turbulent nature qualitatively, statistical averages of the velocity profile (Fig. 5) and Reynolds stresses (Fig. 6) were compared with DNS results of Spalart. These were computed at the
12ΔR location, where the simulated Reθ = 500. Data was averaged along the spanwise direction, as well as over a number of flow through time cycles.

To get an estimate on where the current simulation falls in comparison with the reference, spectral DNS mean velocity profiles at three different Reθ locations (300, 670, 1,410) are plotted in Fig. 5 (a), along with the results from the implicit LES simulations. All three widths show perfect agreement within the linear viscous sublayer, until y+ ≈ 7. For the domain with Lz = 62 w.u., the laminar profile is distinctly devoid of buffer and log layers thereafter, in addition to being offset in terms of the magnitude of the freestream. The turbulent profiles with Lz = 122 and 240 w.u. wide domains were averaged over 20 FTTs, and more reasonable agreements are evident. The buffer and log layers become apparent, though the freestream magnitude is still relatively large. Whereas the ideal freestream for Reθ = 500 profile should lie between the Reθ = 300 and 670 curves, that for Lz = 122 w.u. falls between Reθ = 670 and 1,410 curves, and the Lz = 240 w.u. freestream matches Reθ = 670. These differences are attributed to the boundary condition set at the upper wall of the domain. By extrapolating the state variables, the condition at the upper wall is similar to that of slip-wall or symmetry boundary, and comparisons of the mean profiles do demonstrate that (not shown). Such a boundary condition causes the freestream to accelerate, resulting in higher mean velocities.

Slight differences between spectral DNS and implicit LES are also evident within the buffer layer. This region is magnified in Fig. 5 (b). u+ for both Lz = 122 and 240 w.u. are marginally higher than the reference flat plate profiles of Spalart. This, again, is attributed to the symmetry-like boundary condition at the upper wall, which essentially makes the current results similar to that of a channel flow. For comparison, channel results of Moser et al.23 are also shown in the figure, and there is better agreement of the computed buffer layer with them.
Reynolds stresses comparisons are shown in Fig. 6. \( \langle uu \rangle, \langle vv \rangle, \langle ww \rangle \) and \( \langle uv \rangle \) averages for \( L_z = 122 \) and 240 w.u. are compared with reference DNS data. Since the \( L_z = 62 \) w.u. case relaminarized, that is not shown here. For \( L_z = 122 \) w.u., deviations from the references values are more pronounced, both, within the buffer layer and away from the wall (log layer). \( \langle uu \rangle \) and \( \langle vv \rangle \) fare poorly throughout the boundary layer, and it is concluded that the generated turbulence is non-physical for this span width. There is improved agreement for \( L_z = 240 \) w.u., but since spectral DNS for \( Re_\theta = 500 \) is unavailable, precise conclusions could not be drawn. Nevertheless, statistics within the viscous sublayer and the log layer show significant improvements, but there are differences evident within the buffer layer, especially for \( \langle uu \rangle \) and \( \langle vv \rangle \).

III.B. \( Re_\theta = 1,400 \)

Since spectral DNS data for \( Re_\theta = 1,410 \) is available, a more definitive comparison could be made for this case. To simulate \( Re_\theta = 1,400 \) at a location 12\( \delta_R \) downstream of the inlet, \( Re_\theta \) at the inlet was set at 1,048, based on Prandtl’s one-seventh power law approximation.

Here again, an initial mesh of 500 \( \times \) 100 \( \times \) 27 points was considered. Based on the flow conditions, mesh resolution at the wall was computed to be 19.4 w.u. and 6 w.u. in the streamwise and spanwise directions, respectively. In the wall normal direction, the spacing was 0.65 w.u. at the wall, and was again stretched using a hyperbolic-tangent function. The overall span width was \( L_z = 162 \) w.u. This is comparable to the resolution using by Spalart for his spectral DNS.

At this width, transition to numerical turbulence occurred with the initial grid itself after approximately 18 FTTs. Similar to the lower \( Re_\theta \) case, this initial domain was doubled twice along the span, resulting in...
Figure 4. Mean velocity profile for different span widths, at Reθ = 500.

Figure 5. Mean velocity profile in wall units for different span widths, at Reθ = 500, compared with DNS results for flat plate of Spalart,1 and channel flow of Moser et al.23

(a) Viscous sub-layer, buffer layer and log-law region

(b) Buffer layer, magnified
domains that had 318 and 630 w.u. spans, respectively. The mean velocity profiles at the 12δR location are shown in Fig. 7. That the boundary layer is thicker with increasing span widths is evident, with the mean velocities tending towards an asymptotic profile.

Statistical averages of the mean velocity (Fig. 8) and Reynolds stresses (Fig. 9) at the 12δR location are compared with reference DNS. Data was averaged over 20 FTTs for each setup, and statistical stationarity of the solution was established.

In Fig. 8 (a), all three spans show evidence of the viscous sublayer, buffer layer and the log layer, unlike the previous case. There is, once again, very good agreement with spectral DNS for the viscous sublayer, until y+ ≈ 10. The freestreams for all three cases are over-predicted, progressively dropping in magnitudes as the domains are widened. Deviations within the buffer layer are clearly evident here. This region is magnified in Fig. 8 (b), where spectral DNS of channel flow of Moser et al.\textsuperscript{23} are also included for clarity. The agreement of the current implicit LES with channel data can be clearly seen, confirming that the upper-wall boundary condition indeed influences the buffer zone. The acceleration of the freestream is also attributed to this symmetry-like boundary condition.

For an ideal boundary layer setup, the top wall must accommodate the displacement in the freestream due to the presence of the boundary layer. A simple extrapolation does not sufficiently account for that displacement thickness. A vertical velocity profile, similar to that used by Lund et al.,\textsuperscript{18} determined from the integrated continuity relation, \( v(x) = U_e \frac{d \delta^*}{dx} + (\delta^* - h) \frac{d U_e}{dx} \), should accommodate for that displacement thickness. In this study, since these are auxiliary simulations to channel-like configurations of separated flows, that boundary condition was not investigated.

Comparisons of Reynolds stresses with reference DNS are shown in Fig. 9. Overall agreement significantly improves with increasing spanwise widths, with \( L_z = 630 \) w.u. showing excellent agreement for \( <vv> \), \( <ww> \) and \( <uw> \) profiles throughout the boundary layer. There is an over-prediction of the peak \( <uu> \) stress, which lies within the buffer zone, attributed once again to the upper-wall boundary condition. The influence of the higher peak \( <uu> \) is evident throughout the entire boundary layer.
IV. Conclusions

Direct simulations of zero-pressure gradient, turbulent boundary layers for two separated \( \text{Re}_\theta \) values (500 and 1,400) were conducted, and compared with spectral DNS data. The high-order compact-difference scheme and filter, with the standard recycling/rescaling method of turbulence generation, used meshes with similar resolutions as DNS, and the impact of varying span widths was investigated.

A minimum span width was required for the mere initiation and continuation of Kelvin-Helmholtz-like numerical instabilities. Narrower domains (< 100 w.u.) failed to sustain the perturbations, resulting in relaminarization. Wider spans did sustain the instabilities. Comparisons of mean velocity profiles and Reynolds stresses showed that a span of 630 w.u. was required for the turbulent statistics to match reference DNS. The upper-wall boundary condition for this setup generated marginal deviations in the mean velocity and Reynolds stress profiles, particularly in the buffer zone. A symmetry-like upper-wall resulted in better agreement with channel data within the buffer zone, and a marginally faster freestream.
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