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Introduction

- **Space Communications and Navigation (SCaN) Testbed** is an experimental communication system installed on the International Space Station (ISS)
  - Developed at NASA’s Glenn Research Center (GRC)
  - Launched on July 20, 2012 on HTV-2

- **SCaN Project Objectives**
  - To investigate the applicability of software defined radios (SDR) to NASA missions
  - To study the operation of SDRs and their waveform applications in an operational space environment

- **Capabilities**
  - S-Band, Ka-Band, and L-Band communications
  - Communications with space and ground assets
  - Three distinct software-defined radios which are reprogrammable
  - Five distinct antennas
Purpose of Characterization

- Post-Installation: it is necessary to characterize each antenna in its operational environment
  - Provide performance expectations to future experimenters
  - Compare pattern to pre-launch testing to check for damage
  - Monitor for future changes to the antenna or the environment

SCAN onboard the ISS with boresight vector for the S-Band Near Earth Network – Low Gain Antenna (NEN-LGA)
Pre-Launch Simulations

Antenna without complex ground plane

Antenna with mounting bracket and starboard radiator

Note: Oscillations caused by non-uniform local ground plane
Initial Testing and Issues

• Initial testing planned using the Wallops Ground Station (WGS)
  • Several discrepancies from pre-launch testing
  • Later testing via other satellites determined this was a limitation of WGS, not an antenna issue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Dynamics (GD) SDR</th>
<th>Uplink</th>
<th>Downlink</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                           | • Insufficient spectrum  
                           | • WGS pointing          | • C/N0 crude measurements  
                           |                      | • WGS pointing          |
|                           |        |          | • Lack of real-time bit flow |
| Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) SDR | • Lack of JPL power estimator  
                           | • WGS pointing          | • C/N0 crude measurements  
                           |                      | • WGS pointing          |
|                           |        |          | • Lack of real-time bit flow |
These issues led to the commissioning of a new S-Band Ground Station (GRC-GS) at the NASA Glenn Research Center

- Characterizing the NEN-LGA
- Earth Based Node for Experimental Communications

Key component of the GRC-GS

- GRC-GS uses a 2.4m parabolic reflector
- Elevation over Azimuth gimbal used to track ISS
  - Elevation constraint is 10° over the horizon

Contact times are limited to 6 minutes every 90 minutes

6 month coverage analysis
Solved for Effective Gain

• Derivation based on several factors
  • Frequency
    • Uplink – 2041.027 MHz or 2106.406 MHz (6 MHz Bandwidth)
    • Downlink – 2216.5 MHz or 2287.5 MHz (5 MHz Bandwidth)
  • Distance and Direction
    • Enter ISS Two Line Element (TLE) information into the Standard General Perturbations Satellite Orbit Model 4 (SGP4)
    • LynxCAT SK toolbox used for the analysis and to command antenna pointing toward ISS
      • Open-loop commanding used to eliminate pattern oscillation noise from injecting alignment errors

\[
G_{R_{\text{EFF}}} = P_R - P_T - G_T - L_{CT} - L_{FSP} - L_{CR}
\]

Uplink – Effective Receiver Antenna Gain
  • \(P_T\), \(G_T\), and \(L_{CT}\) are derived from system testing of the GRC-GS
  • \(P_R\) is a measurement from the GD SDR
  • \(L_{CR}\) was a measured value from pre-flight testing
  • \(L_{FSP}\) is a calculated value from the SCaN Testbed Analysis Tool (STAT)
  • \(L_{ADD}\) is unknown, cannot be determined independently, and is unique to the link direction

\[
G_{T_{\text{EFF}}} = P_R - P_T - L_{CT} - L_{FSP} - G_R - L_{CR}
\]

Downlink – Effective Transmitter Antenna Gain
  • \(P_T\) and \(L_{CT}\) are derived from pre-flight testing
  • \(P_R\), \(G_R\), and \(L_{CR}\) are derived from system testing of the GRC-GS
  • \(L_{FSP}\) is a calculated value from STAT
  • \(L_{ADD}\) is unknown, cannot be determined independently, and is unique to the link direction
Data Processing

- Telemetry Data taken during events was essential for post processing analysis
  - GRC-GS antenna pointing telemetry logged in LynxCAT SK Toolbox (0.1 s intervals)
  - GRC-GS RF telemetry logged in RF_Monitor (5 second intervals)
  - SCAN Testbed payload telemetry data (1 second intervals)
- The datasets are fed into STAT which performs the calculations from the previous slide
- STAT correlates each calculation with a specific area on the antenna pattern
  - Boresight direction of the antenna limits measurements to one half of the antenna

• Theta-Phi Pattern Coordinate System
  - Theta is the angle off boresight
  - Phi is the angle around boresight
Characterization Events

- Events were split evenly between operating pairs of frequencies
  - Uplink – 2041.027 MHz, Downlink 2216.5 MHz
  - Uplink – 2106.406 MHz, Downlink 2287.5 MHz
- Total of 108 passes
  - 72 – GD SDR
  - 36 – JPL SDR
- Pattern Coverage
  - Phi 90° – 120° (50%)
  - Phi 160° – 200° (10%)
Single-Event Data Example

Antenna Swath Coverage

Start of Event

End of Event

Antenna Pattern Measurements
Shadowing Analysis

- Degradation between 20° and 50° Theta correlates with the locations of the ISS Japanese Experiment Module.
- Degradation past 70° is due to shadowing and multipath from the ISS structure.
Aggregate Measurement
Producing Final Gain Pattern

- Pattern will be used to create a 3D Model for STAT
  - $1^\circ$ increments on the Theta axis
  - $10^\circ$ increments on the Phi axis
- Each pattern is parsed into phi slices and then averaged on the theta axis in $1^\circ$ sets
  - Smoothing is done over consecutive theta groupings to produce the final phi slice
  - Additional averaging was completed at boresight from the surrounding data as that point should be constant for each phi slice
Conclusions

• The NEN-LGA was characterized despite environmental challenges
  • Shadowing, Multipath, Multiple Frequencies, Link Directions

• Important information for the performance model of the SCAN Testbed
  • Planning and Post Processing
  • Growing adaptive and cognitive radio usage

• Demonstrates the importance of a fully characterized ground node and access to telemetry data
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Antenna Characterization is determined by using the Link budget Equation

\[ P_R = P_T + G_T + L_{CT} + L_{FSP} + L_{ADD} + G_R + L_{CR} \]

- \( P_R \) is the received power level in units of dBm
- \( P_T \) is the transmitted power level in units of dBm
- \( G_T \) is the transmitter antenna gain in units of dB
- \( L_{CT} \) is the circuit losses on the transmit side between the transmitter and the antenna feed in units of dB
- \( L_{FSP} \) is the free space path loss in units of dB
- \( L_{ADD} \) is the additional link budget losses not accounted for in (1) such as multipath or shadowing in units of dB
- \( G_R \) is the receiver antenna gain in units of dB
- \( L_{CR} \) is the circuit loss on the receive side between the antenna feed and the received power level measurement in units of dB

Derivation based on several factors

- Frequency
  - Uplink – 2041.027 MHz or 2106.406 MHz (6 MHz Bandwidth)
  - Downlink – 2216.5 MHz or 2287.5 MHz (5 MHz Bandwidth)

- Distance and Direction
  - Enter ISS Two Line Element (TLE) information into the Standard General Perturbations Satellite Orbit Model 4 (SGP4)
  - LynxCAT SK toolbox used for the analysis and to command antenna pointing toward ISS
    - Open-loop commanding used to eliminate pattern oscillation noise from injecting alignment errors
Effective Gain Solutions

- **Uplink – Solve for Effective Receiver Antenna Gain**
  - $P_T$, $G_T$, and $L_{CT}$ are derived from system testing of the GRC-GS
  - $P_R$ is a measurement from the GD SDR
  - $L_{CR}$ was a measured value from pre-flight testing
  - $L_{FSP}$ is a calculated value from the SCaN Testbed Analysis Tool (STAT)
  - $L_{ADD}$ is unknown, cannot be determined independently, and is unique to the link direction

\[
G_{R_{-EFF}} = P_R - P_T - G_T - L_{CT} - L_{FSP} - L_{CR}
\]

- **Downlink – Solve for Effective Transmitter Antenna Gain**
  - $P_T$ and $L_{CT}$ are derived from pre-flight testing
  - $P_R$, $G_R$, and $L_{CR}$ are derived from system testing of the GRC-GS
  - $L_{FSP}$ is a calculated value from STAT
  - $L_{ADD}$ is unknown, cannot be determined independently, and is unique to the link direction

\[
G_{T_{-EFF}} = P_R - P_T - L_{CT} - L_{FSP} - G_R - L_{CR}
\]