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Introduction: On Earth, mass wasting events such as rock falls and landslides are well known consequences of seismic activity. Through a variety of remote sensing techniques, tectonic faults have been positively identified on all four of the inner planets, Earth’s Moon, several outer planet satellites, and asteroids [1]. High-resolution imaging has furthermore enabled positive identification of mass wasting events on many of these bodies. On Mars, it has been suggested that fallen boulders may be indicative of paleomarsquakes [2]. On the Moon, meteor impacts and moonquakes have likewise been suggested as potential triggering mechanisms for mass wasting [3]. Indeed, we know from the Apollo era that the Moon experiences a wide variety of seismicity [4].

Seismicity estimates play an important role in creating regional geological characterizations, which are useful not only for understanding a planet’s formation and evolution, but also of key importance to site selection for landed missions. Here we investigate the regional effects of seismicity in planetary environments with the goal of determining whether surface features such as landslides and boulder trails on the Moon, Mars, and Mercury could be triggered by fault motion (Fig. 1). We attempt to quantify the amount of near-source ground shaking necessary to mobilize the material observed in various instances of mass wasting.

Lobate scarps: Lobate scarps, the typical surface expressions of thrust faults resulting from tectonic compression, are widely observed on the Moon, Mars, and Mercury (Fig. 2). Compared to other types of tectonic faults, surface-cutting thrust faults require the largest amount of stress to form and/or slip, and thus they could be expected to result in large quakes. While normal faults, graben, and wrinkle ridges may be more abundant on Mars, the Moon, and Mercury respectively, these structures would create smaller theoretical maximum quakes than lobate scarp thrust faults. Thus, we optimize our chances of finding mass wasting associated with faults by studying lobate scarps.

Methodology: Given an observed fault, we first focus on calculating the theoretical maximum quake that could occur as a result of slip there, and then determine the resulting effects on the surrounding surface morphology. The expected damage area indicated by seismic wavefield modeling can be compared to mapped imagery to determine the likelihood of a quake having triggered a mass wasting event.

Theoretical maximum quake. Following the method outlined in [5], the theoretical maximum quake magnitude is derived from basic fault properties. These are either estimated from imagery or derived from laboratory rock experiments or elastic dislocation models, and include the length (L), dip angle (δ), depth of faulting (T), and fault width (w) (Fig. 3). Fault displacement (D) is calculated using displacement-length scaling such that $D = \gamma L$, where $\gamma$ is determined by rock type and tectonic setting [6]. We note that subsurface fault geometry and mechanical properties of planetary lithospheres and regoliths are incompletely understood, and thus represent potential sources of error in the maximum quake calculation. To incorporate this error, we investigate ranges in fault parameters, placing upper and lower bounds on our maximum quake magnitude.
quake calculations rather than estimating discrete values.

The best measure of the size of a planetquake is its seismic moment, \( M_0 \). It is calculated by multiplying the shear modulus of the ruptured rock (\( G \)) by the area of the ruptured portion of the fault (\( A \)) and the average displacement (\( D \)) produced during the quake, such that \( M_0 = GAD = G(Lw)(\gamma L) \). The seismic moment represents the total energy consumed in producing displacement on a fault, regardless of the local strain rate or fault formation mechanism.

Seismic wavefield modeling. In order to determine the dimensions of an area affected by seismic shaking, we model the ground motion resulting from the theoretical maximum quake along a given fault (Fig. 4). Following the method of [7], we use the Serpentine Wave Propagation Program (WPP), a numerical code for simulating seismic wave propagation through arbitrary elastic and anelastic media in a 3-D model space. The initial model of a given fault includes regional 3-D topography derived from digital elevation models, and the planet’s relevant background 1-D velocity model.

We note that the modeled peak ground motion is not as strongly dependent upon the choice of background velocity model, but more so upon the scattering and attenuation properties of the shallowest materials in the model. Synthetic seismograms for the Moon most reasonably approximating those recorded by the Apollo seismometers are acquired for a 1 km thick, highly scattering layer as the topmost layer in the model. Similar highly fractionated layers are expected on Mars and Mercury, and we approximate their velocities using the physical properties of a basaltic crust for each of body.

Testing: Peak vertical ground velocity (as a proxy for displacement) typically occurs within a few kilometers of the main shock and drops off rapidly from there. This implies that we should expect most of the landslides and other mass wasting phenomena to occur in the immediate vicinity of the fault. However, this result may depend on regional effects such as surface slope and megaregolith thickness. For example, a thicker megaregolith (as might be expected in the vicinity of craters) would tend to focus shaking in some of the crater basins. The presence of sediments also enhances seismic shaking; this could be a relevant scenario for Martian craters that may have been lakes some time in the past.

We will compare the observed extent of mass wasting in the vicinity of a fault to the modeled event magnitude and peak ground motion in order to establish a method to translate quake parameters into mass wasting estimates. This has been performed for terrestrial examples focused on determining landslide area and density over time in seismically active regions, as well as using the presence or absence of precariously perched boulders as indicators of the regional seismic shaking. The latter example has also been performed on Mars, where both boulder size and boulder trail density were found to peak close to the center of a fault system and decrease linearly along strike [2]. We expect to find systematic variations in fit parameter estimates for each body, reflecting different gravitational strengths, regolith cohesion properties, and other geologic settings local to each body/study region.