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Arctic climate is closely linked to Northern Hemisphere (NH) midlatitudes through the long-range transport of pollutants:
Motivation

Arctic climate is closely linked to Northern Hemisphere (NH) midlatitudes through the long-range transport of pollutants:

- **Chemistry:** The springtime buildup of halocarbons from midlatitudes initiates rapid springtime **ozone production** [Atlas et al. (2003), Klonecki et al. (2003)]

- **Radiation:** Increased black carbon deposition on snow and ice has reduced albedos in recent decades enhancing what “amplified” warming (Hansen and Nazarenko (2004)).
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Comprehensive climate models project changes in the large scale circulation over NH midlatitudes:

- poleward and upward shift midlatitude tropospheric jets \( (e.g. \ Yin \ (2005), \ Chang \ et \ al. \ (2012), \ Barnes \ and \ Polvani \ (2013)) \)

- expansion and weakening of the Hadley Cell \( (e.g., \ Lu \ et \ al. \ (2007)) \)

And yet, the transport response to future warming in the Arctic has not been assessed ...
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Underlying Uncertainties in Transport

Recent multi-model comparisons show that *uncertainties in transport into the Arctic* affect models’ representations of black carbon, tropospheric ozone, and carbon monoxide (*Shindell et al.* (2008)).

Transport uncertainties linger partly because of the lack of available diagnostics that completely *disentangle transport from emissions and chemistry*:

- “CO” tracers in *Klonecki et al.* (2003) and *Shindell et al.* (2008) reflect the interaction of transport with realistic CO emissions and/or a 50-day chemical lifetime
- Not feasible for assessing transport responses to long-term changes in climate (e.g. Lagrangian particle trajectory calculations in *Stohl et al.* (2005))

As it stands, therefore, *a tracer-independent measure of the climatological transport from NH midlatitudes to the Arctic is sorely needed.*
A simple transport measure is the origin of air in the Arctic with respect to the planetary boundary layer (PBL).
Diagnosing Transport into the Arctic: Air Mass Origin

For example, air that last contacts the oceans is relatively depleted in aerosols compared to air that originates over midlatitudes (i.e. industrial emissions).
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The air mass fraction $f(r|\Omega_i)$ is the fraction of air at location $r$ that last contacted the planetary boundary layer at $\Omega_i$.

$\Omega_i = \text{PBL origin region}$
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In practice, the air mass fraction \( f(r|\Omega_i) \) is calculated as:
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Diagnosing Transport into the Arctic: Air Mass Origin

In practice, the air mass fraction \( f(r|\Omega_i) \) is calculated as:

\[
(\partial_t - \mathbf{T})^f(r, t|\Omega_i) = 0
\]

\( f = 1 \) in \( \Omega_i \) and \( f = 0 \) in \( \Omega_i^c \)

\( \Omega_i \equiv \text{origin region} \quad \Omega_i^c \equiv \text{everywhere else in PBL} \)

The air mass fraction is a complete diagnostic in that it captures the integrated (advective + diffusive) information about the circulation.
Diagnosing Transport into the Arctic: Air Mass Origin

In practice, the air mass fraction $f(r|\Omega_i)$ is calculated as:

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{T})f(r, t|\Omega_i) = 0$$

$f = 1$ in $\Omega_i$ and $f = 0$ in $\Omega_i^c$

$\Omega_i \equiv$ origin region $\quad \Omega_i^c \equiv$ everywhere else in PBL

Because the air has to contact the PBL somewhere, it follows that:

For $\Omega_i$ that span the entire PBL: $\sum_i f(r|\Omega_i) = 1$
Experimental Setup

Model:

- GEOSCCM: GEOS-5 atmospheric general circulation model [Rienecker et al. (2008)]
  with comprehensive stratospheric chemistry [Douglass et al. (1996)]

- Resolution:
  2° latitude x 2.5° longitude;
  72 levels, 23 spanning 1-100 hPa

- Semi-Lagrangian flux form advection [Lin and Rood (1996)]
  No explicit tracer diffusion

Tracers:

9 $f(r, t|\Omega_i)$ tracers for 9 $\Omega_i$ origin regions.
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$$\Omega_i \equiv \text{origin region}$$

\[ \Omega_{ARC} = [60^\circ N, 90^\circ N] \]
\[ \Omega_{MID} = [25^\circ N, 60^\circ N] \]
\[ \Omega_{STH} = [25^\circ N, 90^\circ S] \]

$$\sum_{i} f(r|\Omega_i) = 1$$
Model:
- GEOSCCM: GEOS-5 atmospheric general circulation model ([Rienecker et al. 2008](#)) with comprehensive stratospheric chemistry ([Douglass et al. (1996)](#)
- Resolution: 2 latitude x 2.5 longitude; 72 levels, 23 spanning 1-100 hPa, model top 0.01 hPa
- Semi-Lagrangian flux form advection ([Lin and Rood, 1996](#)); no explicit tracer diffusion

Tracers:
- 8 tracers for 8 origin regions

Experimental Setup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin Region</th>
<th>Land Regions</th>
<th>Ocean Regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>Omega_NAM</td>
<td>Omega_EPAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>Omega_EUR</td>
<td>Omega_ATL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL</td>
<td></td>
<td>Omega_WPAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\sum_{i} f(\mathbf{r}|\Omega_i) = f(\mathbf{r}|\Omega_{MID})
\]
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Air Mass Fraction $f(r, t|\Omega_{\text{TH}})$

- [Graph showing air mass fraction over time with values ranging from 0 to 70%]
  - At 80°N and 400 mb
Model Integrations

- Two 20-year time-slice integrations:

  SSTs and sea ice concentrations taken from a NCAR CCSM run forced with A1B greenhouse gases (GHGs) and A1 ozone-depleting substances (ODS)


Air Mass Fraction $f(r, t|\Omega_{STH})$

At equilibrium:

$$f(r, t|\Omega_i) \rightarrow \bar{f}(r|\Omega_i)$$

Years since Tracer Initialization

0 4 8 12 16 20

[0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70]

At 80° N and 400 mb
Model Integrations

- Two 20-year time-slice integrations:

SSTs and sea ice concentrations taken from a NCAR CCSM run forced with A1B greenhouse gases (GHGs) and A1 ozone-depleting substances (ODS)
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Climate Change:

$\Delta = \text{A1B} - \text{REF}$
Model Integrations

- Two 20-year time-slice integrations:

  SSTs and sea ice concentrations taken from a NCAR CCSM run forced with A1B greenhouse gases (GHGs) and A1 ozone-depleting substances (ODS)


Air Mass Fraction \( f(r, t|\Omega_{\text{STH}}) \)

Significance assessed relative to:
\[ \delta = \text{period 1} - \text{period 2} \]
Annual Mean Arctic Air Mass Fraction

$$f_{\text{ARC}}^{\text{ANN}}(p \mid \Omega_i)$$

ANN $\equiv > 60^\circ\text{N}$

$\Omega_{\text{STH}}$  
$\Omega_{\text{MID}}$  
$\Omega_{\text{ARC}}$
Below 700 mb the largest fractions originate over the Arctic (i.e. air trapped in “polar dome” (Klonecki et al. (2003), Law and Stohl (2007))).
Above 300 mb (tropopause) the largest fractions originate south of 25°N (i.e. air enters the stratosphere at the tropical tropopause and is quasi-horizontally transported to high latitudes)
The largest fractions (> 60%) of air between 300-700 mb last contact the PBL at midlatitudes.
There is ~10% less $\Omega_{\text{MID}}$-air in summer (JJA) compared to winter (DJF) (i.e. seasonal variations in the total amount of air are second order).

Biggest seasonal differences manifest in where air last contacts the PBL ...
DJF Air Mass Fraction $\overline{f}^{DJF}(r|\Omega_i)$ That Was Last over NH Midlatitudes

- $\Omega_i = $ West Pacific
- $\Omega_i = $ East Pacific
- $\Omega_i = $ Atlantic
- $\Omega_i = $ North America
- $\Omega_i = $ Europe
- $\Omega_i = $ Asia

- Ocean: $\Omega_i$
- Land: $\Omega_i$

Pressure [hPa]

- DJF mean isentropes
- DJF mean tropopause

Friday, December 19, 14
During winter the majority of Arctic air last contacts midlatitudes over the oceans.
Nearly 30% of $\Omega_{\text{MID}}$-air originates over the East Pacific.
JJA Air Mass Fraction $\bar{f}^{JJA}(r|\Omega_i)$ That Was Last over NH Midlatitudes

Ocean

$\Omega_i = \text{West Pacific}$

Land

$\Omega_i = \text{North America}$

$\Omega_i = \text{Europe}$

$\Omega_i = \text{Asia}$

- JJA mean isentropes
- JJA mean tropopause
During summer the majority of Arctic air **last contacts midlatitudes over land**, largely over Asia (≈40%).

Ocean

Ωᵢ = West Pacific

Ωᵢ = East Pacific

Ωᵢ = Atlantic

Ωᵢ = North America

Ωᵢ = Europe

Ωᵢ = Asia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocean</th>
<th>Land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>Land</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- JJA mean isentropes
- JJA mean tropopause

Friday, December 19, 14
(i) Seasonal variations in transport out of the boundary layer/lower troposphere control the (un) labeling of $\Omega_i$ -air
(i) Seasonal variations in transport out of the boundary layer/lower troposphere control the (un)labeling of $\Omega_i$-air.

During winter low level convergence and mean ascent associated with the Icelandic and Aleutian lows drive $\Omega_{\text{EPAC}}$ - and $\Omega_{\text{ATL}}$ - air away from the PBL.
(i) Seasonal variations in transport out of the boundary layer/lower troposphere control the (un) labeling of $\Omega_i$-air.

During summer, convection over land drives $\Omega_{ASI}$ and $\Omega_{NAM}$-air away from the boundary layer, reducing its likelihood of being relabeled over midlatitudes.
(ii) Seasonal variations in the midlatitude tropospheric jet modulate the poleward transport of $\Omega_i$-air into the middle and upper Arctic.
(ii) Seasonal variations in the midlatitude tropospheric jet modulate the poleward transport of $\Omega_i$-air into the middle and upper Arctic.

Air Mass Fraction $\mathcal{F}^{\text{DJF}}(\Omega_i)$ Integrated Over 300-700mb

$\Omega_i = \text{East Pacific}$

$\Omega_i = \text{Atlantic}$

During winter, along-isentropic transport peaks at the exit regions of the midlatitude storm tracks (grey contours) over the Pacific and Atlantic basins (i.e. outflow regions within warm conveyer belts) [e.g. Eckhardt and Stohl (2004)].
(ii) Seasonal variations in the midlatitude tropospheric jet modulate the poleward transport of $\Omega_i$ -air into the middle and upper Arctic.

Air Mass Fraction $\bar{f}^{JJA}(\Omega_i)$ Integrated Over 300-700mb

$\Omega_i =$ North America

$\Omega_i =$ Asia

During summer, the NH jet is overall weaker and peaks over the Atlantic. Correspondingly, $\Omega_{\text{NAM}}$ -air is dragged over the Atlantic, where it is relabeled at the boundary layer. By comparison, $\Omega_{\text{ASI}}$ -air enters the Arctic directly over Siberia.
How does transport into the Arctic change in response to A1B warming?
$\text{REF} \ f^{\text{DJF}}_{(\mathbf{r}|\Omega_{\text{MID}})}$
The winter transport response to A1B warming reveals \( \sim 10\% \) increased fractions of midlatitude air in the middle and upper Arctic troposphere.
The largest response is in the upper troposphere (> 500 mb) and reflects an upward shift in the climatology.
Increased middle and upper tropospheric (5-10%) fractions of $\Omega_{\text{MID}}$-air are consistent with multi-model mean dynamical responses among the CMIP3/5 models:

- upward shifted zonal winds (e.g. Yin et al. (2005), Fyfe and Saeko (2006))
- upward intensification of the transient eddy meridional velocity (Wu et al. (2010))
Enhanced midlatitude air in future winters stems largely from more PBL contact over the East Pacific (i.e. “fresher” Arctic).

A1B-REF $\Delta f^{D\text{JF}} (r|\Omega_i)$ for Midlatitude Origin Regions

Ocean $\Omega_i$

Land $\Omega_i$
$\Delta f^{\text{DJF}} (\Omega_{\text{EPAC}})$

(500-900 mb column)
The changes $\Delta f^{\text{DJF}}(\Omega_{\text{EPAC}})$ are consistent with a barotropic cyclonic anomaly over the west coast of North America (i.e. intensified poleward flow) (Simpson et al. (2014), Park and An (2014))
What is the NH summer response in air mass origin?

**Diagram:**

- Ref: $f^{\text{JJA}}_r | \Omega_{\text{MID}}$

- **Axes:**
  - Y-axis: Pressure [hPa]
  - X-axis: Latitude

- Color bar: [0% to 100%]

- Regions of interest:
  - EQ to 90N
  - 0 to 1100 hPa
The transport response to A1B warming in summer reveals \( \sim 10\% \) increased fractions of midlatitude air in the middle and lower Arctic troposphere.
Increases in midlatitude air in future summers stem from enhanced contact over North America and Asia.

**A1B-REF \( \Delta f^{JJA} (r | \Omega_i) \) for Midlatitude Origin Regions**

- **Ocean** \( \Omega_i \) regions:
  - West Pacific
  - East Pacific
  - Atlantic

- **Land** \( \Omega_i \) regions:
  - North America
  - Europe
  - Asia

The diagrams show changes in pressure [hPa] across different latitudes (EQ, 25N, 60N, 90N) for each region, with color scales indicating percentage changes.
Increases Arctic fractions $\Delta^f_{JJA}(r|\Omega_{ASI})$ are worrisome in light of increased black carbon emissions from South Asia [Koch and Hansen (2005)].

Zonal Mean $\Delta^f_{JJA}(r|\Omega_{i})$ for Midlatitude Origin Regions

Ocean $\Omega_i$

Land $\Omega_i$
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The air mass fraction disentangles the role of transport from chemistry and emissions and reflects the integrated (advective+diffusive) circulation.
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Conclusions

A climatology of air mass origin from GEOSCCM reveals that the majority (> 60%) of Arctic air above 700 mb originates at midlatitudes, largely over oceans during winter and over land in summer.

Response to A1B warming reveals ~10% more air of midlatitude origin, stemming mainly from the East Pacific in winter and Asia in summer.

- “fresher” winters
- “dirtier” summers

Implication: Emissions from Asia may need to be cut still more in light of transport changes.
Conclusions

Changes in air mass origin are largely interpretable in terms of CMIP5 multi-model projected changes in the **midlatitude tropospheric jet**.

**General Message:** The distributions of chemical species reflect interactions between transport, chemistry, and emissions. In order to understand current and future trace species’ distributions we need to have a **rigorous grasp of the underlying transport**.