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Technical Assessment Report

1.0 Notification and Authorization

The Chief of the Space Science Project Office at Glenn Research Center (GRC) requested support from the NASA Engineering and Safety Center (NESC) to satisfy a request from the Science Mission Directorate (SMD) Associate Administrator and the Planetary Science Division Chief to obtain an independent review of the Glenn Extreme Environments Rig (GEER) and the operational controls in place for mitigating any hazard associated with its operation.

Mr. Robert Jankovsky, NESC Chief Engineer at NASA GRC, was selected to lead this assessment.

The key stakeholders for this assessment are the NASA SMD, GRC, and the planetary science community.
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Signatories declare the findings, observations, and NESC recommendations compiled in the report are factually based from data extracted from program/project documents, contractor reports, and open literature, and/or generated from independently conducted tests, analyses, and inspections.
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4.0 Executive Summary

Ms. Ann Over, Chief of the Space Science Project Office at Glenn Research Center (GRC), requested this assessment to satisfy a request from the Science Mission Directorate (SMD) Headquarters leadership for an independent review of the Glenn Extreme Environments Rig (GEER) hazards due to its large scale compared to what historically has been done [ref. 1].

The assessment included a review of existing GEER documentation (Section 6.0 Documentation) and a 1-day on-site inspection of the rig/facility (Section 5.0 Rig/Facility Description), including a tabletop review with the project/facility engineering team that designed and built the GEER.

The NASA Engineering and Safety Center (NESC) team found the hazards (high temperature, high pressure, toxic gases) inherent to GEER had been thoroughly and systematically addressed and concurred the transition from commissioning to operations should continue. All other findings were considered minor. Three of the remaining findings were minor discrepancies between documents and the as-built rig, or documented procedures, and those verbally discussed. These discrepancies should be eliminated and changes to procedures reviewed with the operators. Four findings had to do with the main pressure vessel (referred to as TM9001), and maintaining its integrity and history for future recertification. The first of these four findings was that although the facility engineering team considered creep of the TM9001, they did not have a procedure for documenting the cycles, pressure, and temperature to support potential future analyses at different operating conditions.

In the second of the four related findings, the NESC team noted that although the facility engineering team had identified the facility sprinkler system activation while at temperature as a possible hazard, and closed it after testing, the hot vessel could not set off the sprinklers even without the ventilation system operating. The team did not consider other inadvertent sprinkler system activation, and as such have not completely considered the potential for rapid cooling of the TM9001 causing loss of containment.

In the third related finding, the NESC team also found that in at least one instance pressure drop calculations on a pressure relief valve did not consider pipe diameter in accordance with American Society of Mechanical Engineer (ASME) Section VIII, Division 1 allowances.

In the final related finding, the NESC team found that corrosion under the TM9001 insulation was not considered in accordance with American Petroleum Institute (API)-571 and is recommending an inspection/monitoring procedure be added.

Lastly, it was found that the control room had no visual monitoring of the test cell. Although procedures are in place to ensure the area is clear before flowing gases, the NESC team recommends that a video system be installed.

In all cases, the Space Science Project Office and facility engineering team at GRC has accepted all of the findings and NESC recommendations and has either already completed them or they are in work.
5.0  Rig/Facility Description

NASA recently started the commissioning process of the GEER (Figure 5.0-1), located in Building 334 (Figure 5.0-2) at GRC. The GEER is designed to simulate the temperature, pressure, and atmospheric compositions of bodies in the solar system, including those with acidic and hazardous elements.

The GEER consists of a 0.79m³ (28ft³), 304 stainless steel pressure vessel with Inconel® sheathed resistance heaters and a Maximum Allowable Working Pressure (MAWP) of 10.47 MPa (1518 psia) at 538°C (1000°F) (Figure 5.0-3); four gas cabinets to store up to eight gas cylinders (Figure 5.0-4); a gas mixing cabinet to precisely mix the desired gas chemical composition (Figure 5.0-5); a gas booster to maintain the test conditions over a long period of time with allowable leaks (Figure 5.0-6); a Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectrometer to measure chemical composition (Figure 5.0-7); and a vent stack to exhaust gases outside the facility (Figure 5.0-8).

![Figure 5.0-1. GRC GEER](image-url)
Figure 5.0-2. GRC Building 334
Figure 5.0-3. Pressure Vessel
Figure 5.0-4. Gas Cabinets

Figure 5.0-5. Mixing Cabinet
Figure 5.0-6. Gas Booster

Figure 5.0-7. FTIR Spectrometer
Figure 5.0-8. Vent Stack
Currently, GEER is configured for Venus surface conditions (Table 5.0-1) and is in the commissioning phase.

### Table 5.0-1. GEER Configuration during Commissioning

| Chemical Species                  | Description                  |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 96.5% carbon dioxide (CO₂)        |                              |
| ~3.4% nitrogen (N₂)               |                              |
| 130 ppm sulfur dioxide (SO₂)      |                              |
| 5 ppb hydrogen fluoride (HF)      |                              |
| 0.5 ppm hydrogen chloride (HCl)   |                              |
| 15 ppm carbon monoxide (CO)       |                              |
| 27 ppm carbonyl sulfide (OCS)     |                              |
| 30 ppm water (H₂O)                |                              |
| Temperature                       | 470°C (878°F)                |
| Pressure                          | 9.24 MPa (1340 psi)          |

A simplified outline of operations for the GEER is as follows: 1) the test operations start by purging and evacuating the TM9001; 2) component gasses are then blended using the gas mixer; 3) the TM9001 is filled with the desired gas mixture up to 3.45 MPa (500 psi) (at ambient temperature) for the desired end-state chemistry; 4) heat is applied and controlled autonomously to bring the system to a steady-state operating point; and 5) after testing, chamber and plumbing are vented and purged.

### 6.0 Documentation

The NESC team was provided access to all project documentation for review. Figures 6.0-1 through 6.0-8 summarize the documentation that was made available to the NESC team. The NESC team selectively reviewed these documents with a focus on the hazards analyses, pressure systems, industrial hygiene, and procedures (e.g., startup, shutdown, and operating/emergency).
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**Figure 6.0-1. Electronic Folders with All Documentation Provided for Review**

**Figure 6.0-2. Review Documents Folder Contents**

**Figure 6.0-3. Released Electrical Drawings Folder Contents**
Figure 6.0-4. PSO Supporting Documentation Folder Contents

Figure 6.0-5. PSO Drawings Folder Contents
### Checksheets

A folder created by S. ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO) on 10 Jun 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Create</th>
<th>Modified</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures (ALL)-714-14.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>16642 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-001-Test Run Sheet 01272013.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>31 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-002-Control System 09222013.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>3771 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-003-Tube Trailer Operation 07222014.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>118 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-004- CO2 Supply System 09222014.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>36 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-005-Gas Panels_02922013.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>31 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-006-sensor_02222013.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>24 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-007-GEER_01052013.1m.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>104 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-008-BOOSTER_02022014.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>14330 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-009-FTIR.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>35 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-010-TEST SAFETY_06222015.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>37 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-011-Emmissions.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>34 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-012-Collecting Gas in a Sample Vessel.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>33 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-013-LTO_02272014.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>30 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Procedures-GRC GEER PROC-List 3-18-15.docx</td>
<td>19 Jun 15 4:11PM</td>
<td>ANNETT, LDR (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>17 k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.0-6. Checksheets Folder Contents

### 7150 Documents

A folder created by Nick Lalli on 24 Apr 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Modified</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Configuration Management Guidelines.pdf</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:39PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>11 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEER Alarm and Shutdown Check sheet 1202013 SKS.xlsx</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:39PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>25 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEER Alarm and Shutdown summary 1202013.docx</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:39PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>16 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEER Process and IOM Prelim 6-9-13.xlsx</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>73 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEER Perm and Interlocks in progress.pdf</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>201 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link to PLC software assurance plan GEER.docx</td>
<td>28 Apr 14 1:15PM</td>
<td>Rymut, Joseph E. (GRC-FTHO)</td>
<td>105 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR 7150 as applied to Safety-Critical PLC Applications GEER.docx</td>
<td>28 Apr 14 1:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>31 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC_control_system_safety_standard_9713.1H_GEER.xlsx</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>22 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC_functions_design_purpose_7150_staff_rev1.docx</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>31 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC_implementation_in_GRC_GEER.doc</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>58 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC_software_assurance_plan_GEER.docx</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>78 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC_test_procedure_GRC_GEER.doc</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>16 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Documentation (r-19-13).pdf</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>404 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software_assurance_compliance_matrix_GEER.xls</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>59 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software_management_plan_plc_control_systems_GEER.docx</td>
<td>24 Apr 14 2:49PM</td>
<td>Nick Lalli</td>
<td>132 k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.0-7. 7150 Documents Folder Contents
7.0 Findings and NESC Recommendations

7.1 Findings

The following findings were identified:

F-1. The Process Hazards Analysis (PHA) and Facility Hazards Analysis (FHA) were complete and up to date. The inherent hazards of high pressure, high temperature, and toxic gases were thoroughly and systematically addressed.

F-2. No procedure exists for documenting the operational history (e.g., cycles, pressure, and temperature) of the 304 stainless steel TM9001.

F-3. There is a potential for thermal shock to the GEER TM9001 if the wet fire suppression system in the test cell is inadvertently activated.

F-4. The pressure system relief valve inlet piping pressure drop calculation did not consider changes in pipe diameter.

F-5. The cited MAWP were not consistent across data sources (e.g., Piping and Instrumentation Diagram (P&ID), relief device data sheets, Pressure Systems Office (PSO) data book).
F-6. Corrosion of the TM9001 under the insulation material was not considered in inspection or maintenance planning. In accordance with API-571, for 304-type stainless steel equipment that are insulated, operate intermittently, or operate between 60°C (140°F) and 204.4°C (400°F), corrosion under insulation is a concern.

F-7. The written procedure for manually drawing a gas sample from the TM9001 was inconsistent with the procedure described by the qualified operator during the rig/facility inspection.

F-8. The relief valve (TM2703/RV6094) from the booster vessel was not installed in accordance with the P&ID.

F-9. Facility engineering’s component tags were not secured permanently and were not found in some locations on the rig (Figure 7.1-1).

F-10. Two configuration management systems (PSO and facility engineering) are being used for pressure system components (Figure 7.1-1).

---

**Figure 7.1-1. Pressure System Component Tags**

F-11. There is no means to visually monitor the test cell from the control room.

F-12. The test cell ventilation assessment was thorough and included multiple smoke tests.
7.2 NESC Recommendations

The following NESC recommendations were identified and directed toward GRC’s Facility, Test and Manufacturing Directorate unless otherwise identified:

R-1. Due to prolonged operation at elevated temperatures, procedures should be modified to include the documentation of the TM9001 operational history (cycles, pressure, and temperature) for consideration of material deformation below yield strength (creep) during future recertification or delta certifications. *(F-2)*

R-2. Determine the risk associated with rapid cooling of the TM9001 while at temperature by the existing wet fire suppression system. Consider a dry fire suppression system as an alternative if risk is unacceptable as currently installed. *(F-3)*

R-3. Verify inlet and discharge piping pressure drop do not exceed ASME Section VIII, Division 1 allowances for all relief valves on rig. *(F-4)*

R-4. Update analyses and any required design, inspection, and monitoring procedures to include consideration of external corrosion over the range of anticipated environments for the TM9001. *(F-6)*

R-5. Update all documentation to be consistent with present design and operating procedures and review the changes with all qualified operators before the rig is operated each time. *(F-5, F-7, F-8)*

R-6. Install a video monitoring system between the test cell and the control room as a verification of no personnel in the area before flowing gas and to facilitate compliance with the buddy system requirement. *(F-11)*

8.0 Alternate Viewpoint

There were no alternate viewpoints identified during the course of this assessment by the NESC team or the NRB quorum.

9.0 Other Deliverables

No unique hardware, software, or data packages, outside those contained in this report, were disseminated to other parties outside this assessment.

10.0 Lessons Learned

No applicable lessons learned were identified for entry into the NASA Lessons Learned Information System (LLIS) as a result of this assessment.

11.0 Recommendations for NASA Standards and Specifications

No recommendations for NASA standards and specifications were identified as a result of this assessment.
12.0 Definition of Terms

Finding  A relevant factual conclusion and/or issue that is within the assessment scope and that the team has rigorously based on data from their independent analyses, tests, inspections, and/or reviews of technical documentation.

Lessons Learned  Knowledge, understanding, or conclusive insight gained by experience that may benefit other current or future NASA programs and projects. The experience may be positive, as in a successful test or mission, or negative, as in a mishap or failure.

Observation  A noteworthy fact, issue, and/or risk, which may not be directly within the assessment scope, but could generate a separate issue or concern if not addressed. Alternatively, an observation can be a positive acknowledgement of a Center/Program/Project/Organization’s operational structure, tools, and/or support provided.

Problem  The subject of the independent technical assessment.

Recommendation  A proposed measurable stakeholder action directly supported by specific Finding(s) and/or Observation(s) that will correct or mitigate an identified issue or risk.

13.0 Acronyms List

API  American Petroleum Institute
ASME  American Society of Mechanical Engineer
FTIR  Fourier Transform Infrared
GEER  Glenn Extreme Environments Rig
GRC  Glenn Research Center
JPL  Jet Propulsion Laboratory
MAWP  Maximum Allowable Working Pressure
MTSO  Management Technical Support Office
NESC  NASA Engineering and Safety Center
NRB  NESC Review Board
NSC  NASA Safety Center
P&ID  Piping and Instrumentation Diagram
ppm  Parts Per Million
psi  Pound Per Square Inch
psia  Pounds Per Square Inch Absolute
PSO  Pressure Systems Office
SMD  Science Mission Directorate
SSC  Stennis Space Center
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