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Managing Risk in Human Systems

- **HUMAN SYSTEMS**
  - Individual / Teams
    - Skills & knowledge
    - Leadership
    - Team complement
    - Work practices
  - Organizations
    - Training
    - Controls
    - Resources
    - Workforce

- **DESIGN RISK**
  - Hardware / Software

- **ENVIRONMENT RISK**
  - Workplace / Conditions / Hazards

- **PROCESS RISK**
  - Procedures / Policies / Resources

Focus on the human interfaces
Managing Risk in Human Systems

- **DESIGN**
  - Is damage visible?
  - Is there access to the work area?

- **ENVIRONMENT**
  - Is there adequate space, lighting?
  - Is PPE required?

- **PROCESS**
  - Are resources, controls adequate?
  - Are work procedures usable, up-to-date?
  - Do teams communicate/coordinate appropriately?
Five seconds after lift-off, one of two redundant main engine controllers on two of the three engines shut down due to power fluctuation (later found to be due to wire arcing).

OUTCOME: The redundant controllers on those two engines -- center and right main engines -- functioned normally allowing them to fully support Columbia’s climb to orbit.
An orbiter has more than 300 miles of wires such as these shown in the cable tray.

A damaged wire found during wiring inspections in Columbia's payload bay following STS-93, caused a short circuit in two separate main engine controllers on launch.
Wiring In-Flight Anomaly: Basic Findings

● Inspection revealed a single 14 ga. polyimide wire had arced to a burred screw head; located in the aft left-hand mid-body bay #11 lower wire tray.

● Wiring in the mid-body payload bay normally covered; records indicate covers last removed during Orbiter Maintenance Down Period (OMDP) 4 years earlier in the Palmdale depot facility.
Wiring In-Flight Anomaly: Root Cause

- Root cause
  - Work-induced collateral damage
  - No evidence of generic chafing exists (not simply fair wear-and-tear)
  - Wire protection specification applied inconsistently
- Therefore, assessments focused on maintenance practices.
Wiring In-Flight Anomaly: Assessments

- Review the Space Shuttle systems and maintenance practices... look at NASA practices, Shuttle anomalies, and civilian and military experience. (NASA)
- Identify strengths and weaknesses in shuttle processing, compare shuttle processing to commercial aviation best practices, make suggestions to reduce Ground-Processing-Induced In-Flight Anomaly (GPI-IFA) risk (USA)

http://www.hq.nasa.gov/osf/shuttle_assess.html
HUMAN SYSTEM Issues

- **INDIVIDUAL RISKS:** Ground personnel expected to perform “error-free” and in compliance with procedures
  - Not aware of the in-flight consequences of ground-processing-induced “errors”
  - Downsized workforce under strain

- **TEAM-LEVEL RISKS:** KSC team and Palmdale processing teams have different standards
  - Wire inspection criteria need redefinition
TECHNICAL Issues: Wiring System

**DESIGN RISKS**

- Maximum feasible separation of redundant systems (e.g., redundancy of circuits compromised by placement in same wire bundle)
- Identification of single point failures
- Over time and modifications, additional wire protection for critical systems (e.g., wire tray covers become hard to close)
TECHNICAL Issues: Wiring System

WORK ENVIRONMENT RISKS

- Extensive wiring inspection, repair
- High traffic area?
- Access to work area?
- Damage visible?
TECHNICAL Issues: Wiring System

**PROCESS RISKS**

- Managed through certification, skill, procedural control, inspection, teaming and continuous reinforcement of safety awareness
- Little emphasis on error reporting, management, and understanding of why workmanship errors occur
- Line employees should be aware of relationship between workmanship/test errors and GPI-IFAs
Summary

- Risk Management in Human Systems applies to:
  - Individuals
  - Teams
  - Organizations

- Risk Contributors to Human Systems are:
  - Design risks
  - Work Environment risks
  - Process risks
Summary

- Apply Lessons Learned to future programs
  - Maintain a realistic attitude toward risky operations
  - Develop a better understanding of the risk of Ground-Processing-Induced In-Flight Anomalies
  - Expand corrective actions beyond specific, technical fixes
  - Fit solutions to the risks: design risks are not well-solved by process solutions
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