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The Deep Space Climate Observatory (DSCOVR), formerly known as Triana, successfully launched on February 11th, 2015. To date, each of the five spacecraft attitude control system (ACS) modes have been operating as expected and meeting all guidance, navigation, and control (GN&C) requirements, although since launch, several anomalies were encountered. While unplanned, these anomalies have proven to be invaluable in developing a deeper understanding of the ACS, and drove the design of three alterations to the ACS task of the flight software (FSW). An overview of the GN&C subsystem hardware, including refurbishment, and ACS architecture are introduced, followed by a chronological discussion of key events, flight performance, as well as anomalies encountered by the GN&C team.

INTRODUCTION

The Deep Space Climate Observatory (DSCOVR) successfully launched on February 11th, 2015 from the Cape Canaveral Air Force Station on a SpaceX Falcon 9 supplied by the United States Air Force. The observatory was designed, built, and commissioned by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) in partnership with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The spacecraft serves as the replacement for the NASA GSFC Advanced Composition Explorer with the primary objective of providing valuable science data pertaining to solar activity as well as early detection of any extreme solar weather events via the observatory’s Plasma Magnetometer (PlasMag) instrument. Additionally, the spacecraft contains two Earth-observing instruments, the Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera (EPIC) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology Advanced Radiometer, which were developed to gather a range of measurements, from atmospheric and vegetation properties to changes in Earth’s energy balance.

DSCOVR, formerly known as Triana, was originally conceived in the late 1990s as a NASA Earth science mission that would provide a near continuous view of Earth and measure Earth’s albedo. Triana was developed under NASA’s Small Explorer (SMEX) program, but was canceled and the satellite was placed into storage in 2001. In 2012, NASA brought the spacecraft out of storage at NASA GSFC in Greenbelt, Maryland, where the spacecraft was originally built. NOAA funded NASA to refurbish the spacecraft, recalibrate the space weather sensors, prepare
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the spacecraft for launch, develop the ground systems and operations, and perform launch and commissioning of the DSCOVR satellite.

In the first 36 hours after launch, the initial operations checkout phase included solar array deployment, Sun acquisition, transition to Earth pointing, thruster polarity checks, an inertial reference unit calibration, and the first midcourse correction (MCC1). After its checkout, the spacecraft entered a cruise phase which included the deployment of the magnetometer boom, numerous momentum unloading maneuvers, a set of PlasMag calibration slews, an additional midcourse correction (MCC2), concluding with a 4 hour and 27 minute Lissajous orbit insertion (LOI) 115 days after launch. The observatory is currently stationed at the first Sun-Earth Lagrange point operating nominally.

**DSCOVR GN&C HARDWARE**

The DSCOVR GN&C subsystem hardware consists of the following components: Four Integrated Reaction Wheel Assemblies (IRWA) situated in a pyramidal configuration in the spacecraft bus. A Ball Aerospace and Technologies Corporation (BATC) CT-633 Star Tracker (ST) mounted on top of the instrument deck. A Honeywell Block III Miniature Inertial Measurement Unit (MIMU) mounted within the diagonal bus equipment bay along the -X and +Y axes. One Adcole SMEX Digital Sun Sensor (DSS) mounted on the spacecraft’s Sun-facing side. Six Adcole Coarse Sun Sensors (CSS) located on the corners of the Solar Arrays oriented such that there are three sets of opposing pairs. A Utility Hub (UHUB) data interface and an engine valve driver electronics box mounted within the spacecraft SMEX bus.

![Diagram of DSCOVR GN&C Hardware](image)

Figure 1. Diagram of DSCOVR GN&C Hardware.

The refurbishment of the DSCOVR observatory consisted of disassembly of the spacecraft bus, evaluation of health and performance of each component, and as necessary, removal from the spacecraft for reconditioning.
Integrated Reaction Wheel Assemblies

The IRWAs were designed and built by the GSFC Components and Hardware Branch (Code 596) and were developed as a single ‘off-the-shelf’ box for SMEX-Lite. Each IRWA has a maximum momentum of 11.4 Nms, and a full torque capacity of 8.4 Nm. The wheels are designed with pressed in bearings, and as such, cannot be disassembled without damage. The only possible flight spare wheel would have been an existing IRWA undergoing Life-testing in the Code 596 labs.

Starting in May of 2012, DSCOVR performed IRWA aliveness checks on the wheels, which cycled each wheel to ±190 rpm, verified that each appeared to be receiving commands, and responding nominally. These tests were followed by speed run-in tests to alleviate concerns of bearing grease redistribution during the long storage. The wheels were spun to positive 2000 rpm and held for 11 hours, followed by 11 hours of negative 2000 rpm to sufficiently redistribute grease throughout each bearing.

The performance assessment test prior to de-integration of the IRWAs from the spacecraft was a bearing noise test. Each wheel was spun to ±500 rpm individually while an IRWA engineer used a stethoscope to listen for noise in the bearings.

All four DSCOVR IRWAs were declared healthy prior to the instrument deck being separated from the spacecraft. The IRWAs were then de-integrated from the spacecraft in order to have magnetic shielding added to each IRWA enclosure to minimize the wheels’ magnetic signatures impact on the PlasMag instrument. The wheels were re-integrated and went through the standard spacecraft level testing regimes.

Miniature Inertial Measurement Unit

The Triana spacecraft originally contained a Honeywell Block I MIMU on-board. However, the delivery of a similar unit for the Lunar Crater Observation and Sensing Satellite (LCROSS), a co-payload with NASA’s Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO), which launched in June of 2009, was delayed due to a testing issue at the vendor. In order to make the LRO launch date, the LCROSS project requested a swap for the Triana unit, which was approved. Triana’s original MIMU impacted the moon in October of 2009. The Block III MIMU was sent back to Honeywell for evaluation and refurbishment during the spring of 2013, where the gyro package within the unit was replaced with updated technology to improve the expected lifetime of the MIMU. The unit went through a full set of environmental and functional tests at Honeywell and returned to GSFC. The Block III MIMU was integrated into the DSCOVR spacecraft bus in July of 2013.

Ball CT-633 Star Tracker

The BATC CT-633 ST was originally delivered to GSFC in March of 1999. The tracker was shipped to BATC in Boulder, CO in September of 2012 for evaluation and testing of the unit. This evaluation included the check of the on-board star catalog to verify that any updates to the catalog since 1999 were insignificant to tracker performance. The tracker and star catalog were proven to be fully functional, but the sun-shade’s original design was determined to violate the sun exclusion angle requirement, which was initially defined for Triana. BATC re-worked, re-coated and certified an engineering unit sun-shade and provided it to the DSCOVR mission. The unit was returned to GSFC in May of 2013 and re-integrated to the spacecraft in June of 2013. The tracker successfully went through all of the spacecraft environmental and systems testing prior to launch.
Adcole Digital Sun Sensor

The Adcole DSS remained integrated to the spacecraft bus with the only electrical work done being the connection of the instrument bus to the spacecraft bus when the spacecraft went through its final re-integration. GN&C engineers performed DSS functional and coarse phasing tests on the DSS. The coarse phasing tests were performed with a hand held lamp for stimulus at various quadrants of the optical head, while observing the resultant commands to the IRWAs. This gave only coarse phasing, and not full functional testing due to the limitations of the hand held lamp stimulus. Issues with the DSS data processing post-launch are discussed later.

Adcole Coarse Sun Sensors

The CSSs remained integrated to the flight Solar Arrays and were then electrically re-integrated to DSCOVR when the arrays were re-integrated. Functional and phasing tests were performed by illuminating each CSS, and verifying in telemetry proper currents and polarities from each pair of opposing eyes.

Utility Hub

The UHUB serves as a data conduit for GN&C components, which do not have the proper interface to the spacecraft Command and Data Handling subsystem. It also holds an engine valve driver card, which sends thruster firing command pulses to the propulsion subsystem. No re-work was required to the UHUB and it was re-integrated to the DSCOVR spacecraft in March/April of 2013. Full functionality of the UHUB was verified throughout spacecraft functional testing.

DSCOVR ATTITUDE CONTROL SYSTEM

The DSCOVR ACS, is made up of five primary control modes; Science, Delta-V, Delta-H, Sun Acquisition, and Safe Hold, defined in the following sub-sections. Figure 2 displays the mode transition diagram, where the blue arrows represent nominal autonomous transitions, the grey represent commanded transitions, and the red represent autonomous transitions via the Failure, Detection, and Handling framework.

![Figure 2. DSCOVR Mode Transition Diagram.](image-url)
Science Mode

Science mode is utilized for a majority of nominal operations. Applications include autonomous Earth-pointing, instrument calibration maneuvers, and inertial pointing to ground-specified targets. Science mode lacks a Kalman filter and operates solely on knowledge from the ST and MIMU.

Thruster Modes (Delta-V and Delta-H)

Delta-V and Delta-H are each executed approximately every three months for momentum unloading and station-keeping (each typically lasting 90 seconds or less). After completion of the respective maneuver, the spacecraft autonomously re-enters the previous mode (nominally Science mode).

Delta-H and Delta-V both incorporate the same position-derivative controller commanding eight designated thrusters to control attitude while propagating on MIMU measurements throughout the maneuver. Delta-H mode overrides the wheel torques to drive the wheels to a desired system momentum. Delta-V commands a zero-torque override which causes the wheels to spin down in the absence of drag compensation in addition to utilizing a pre-defined set of thrusters to adjust the orbital velocity.

Sun-Pointing Modes

Sun Acquisition and Safe Hold each utilize either the CSSs or the DSS for attitude knowledge while the reaction wheels are utilized for pointing control. Safe Hold is a gyroless control mode and estimates body rates about the Sun-line using current and previous sun sensor measurements as well as wheel tachometer information. Sun Acquisition utilizes the MIMU to provide rate information about the body axes. Both modes aim to orient the spacecraft so as to maintain the spacecraft state within power and thermal constraints.

POST-LAUNCH TIMELINE AND FLIGHT ANOMALIES

The following items outlined in Table 1 represent a selection of the activities throughout the commissioning phase of DSCOVR. While incomprehensive, the activities discussed in this paper aim to address the major ACS related anomalies the flight team faced, as well as noteworthy events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Summary of Major Activities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIMU Calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boom Deployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial PlasMag Calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPIC Imaging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post Separation

Shortly after separation from the Falcon 9, the spacecraft autonomously transitioned from Safe Hold (with measurements obtained via the CSSs) to Sun Acquisition mode. Upon entering Sun Acquisition mode, despite the DSS (the default sensor for Sun Acquisition mode) having sun presence, FSW reported wild variances in computed sun vectors based on DSS measurements, and was unable to take out pointing errors and achieve sun pointing attitude. The control system began reporting an attitude error toggling between ± 30 degrees displayed in Figure 3. As this behavior was detected, the decision was made to immediately issue a command to force the control system to ignore DSS measurements and utilize the CSSs while remaining in Sun Acquisition mode. After transitioning to CSS measurements, the control error stabilized and this configuration was preserved until transitioning to Science mode where the ST is the primary attitude sensor.

The anomalous readings from the DSS were traced to the DSS processing algorithm in the ACS task of the FSW. The DSS output was being interpreted by the ACS as horizontal and vertical angles, while the DSS was outputting Gray coded counts. An additional processing step was subsequently added to the ACS FSW to perform a Gray to decimal conversion of DSS measurements. Until the DSS processing algorithm was corrected on May 21st, 2015, the CSS force flag was utilized to ensure the DSS signal was dismissed by the ACS.

As shown in Figure 4, the erroneous DSS measurements caused the control system to command wheel torques near control system saturated value of 0.16 Nm, which over a prolonged pe-
period of time could have jeopardized the health and safety of the wheels. After forcing the use of the CSSs, the erratic behavior dissolved and the spacecraft entered a steady state Sun-pointing orientation.

**MIMU Calibration**

After the spacecraft reached steady state, a set of MIMU calibration slews were executed in order to determine if any adjustments to the MIMU parameters were required before executing MCC1, as the Delta-V mode propagates solely on rate measurements.

Figure 5 displays the maneuver sequence, which consisted of three slews in the roll, pitch, and yaw axes. The top figure displays attitude error as well as the spacecraft rates in the lower figure. The attitude error plot represents the amount that the control system is away from the target attitude, so as new targets are commanded, they appear as sudden spikes that converge to zero attitude error - the commanded orientation.

![Controller Attitude Error](image1)
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**Figure 5. MIMU Calibration Attitude Error and Rates.**

During the pitch portion of the sequence, the spacecraft suddenly reported zero attitude error mid-slew, and the spacecraft slew halted. Approximately 46 seconds after the spacecraft began decelerating, the spacecraft suddenly reported the appropriate pointing error and the slew continued. The anomaly was caused by the ST transitioning to a lost-in-space (LIS) state during which the ST outputs a null quaternion containing all four elements equal to zero. ACS FSW flags ST measurements invalid when the ST reports a LIS occurrence and propagates off of rate measurements to control attitude.

Analysis of the spacecraft performance and investigation of the flight software determined that the control system was utilizing an incorrect ST generated LIS flag, which reports a LIS occurrence one control cycle after the null quaternion, causing the spacecraft to begin propagating off of the invalid measurement. Due to the multiplication scheme of the propagation algorithm utilized in the ACS, any rates reported from the MIMU were automatically multiplied by a quaternion of all zeros and nulled causing the spacecraft to continuously report zero attitude error.

After the body rates reduced, the ST was able to provide a valid quaternion. At that time the control system began to reissue torque commands and continue the slew as shown in Figure 6.
The GN&C launch team determined that there was no threat to the health and safety of the spacecraft. Mission operations concurred with the assessment and allowed the sequence to continue. Until a FSW patch was generated and implemented on May 21st, 2015, the mission adopted a technique of disabling ST updates and propagation solely on MIMU measurements for the remainder of the slews.

The First Midcourse Correction (MCC1)

Prior to launch, the MCC1 duration was expected to be on the order of several minutes, and executed approximately 12 hours after launch. However, due to the high accuracy of the launch vehicle, the coast period prior to the MCC1 was extended to 32 hours. Corrections to the MIMU alignment and scale factors were originally considered to be optional prior to launch due to the short duration of the Delta-V. However, while MCC1 was decreased, flight dynamists and mission managers opted to upload the adjustments to make use of the extended coast period. In addition to the planned thruster check out, a set of MIMU validation slews were performed prior to MCC1 to ensure the parameters were applied correctly.

Approximately 32 hours after launch, the MCC1 was completed in 37 seconds (not including time to slew to the target attitude and back), resulting in a total Delta-V of 0.49 m/sec.
Figure 7 displays the error in attitude throughout the burn, and it is shown that there is a distinct bias in the roll and pitch axes. This is caused by the offset of the center of mass and the thrust vector (which in this case was along the +Z axis). This was a known bias prior to launch, and a set of correction delta-quaternions were created in order to compensate for the offset in pointing. Although, for MCC1, the corrections were left out of the burn plan to ensure the biases were as the team expected and to avoid inadvertently adding the correction in the opposite direction. Throughout MCC1 the biases matched the predicted direction and magnitude, therefore the corrections were implemented for both MCC2 and LOI. MCC2, which was executed 73 days after MCC1, has been omitted from the paper for conciseness, although the effects of implementing the corrections are shown in the LOI section.

Magnetometer Boom Deployment

The 4-meter coiled boom telescopes outward in four intervals, completing one co-axial rotation per interval. While the disturbance in attitude and rate were relatively unnoticed, Figure 8 displays the response of the wheels throughout the deployment.

![Wheel Speed Graph](image)

**Figure 8. Wheel Response to Magnetometer Deployment.**

Based on the wheel response, as well as data provided by the magnetometer, the flight team was able to conclude that all four of the magnetometer boom’s sections had successfully deployed.

PlasMag Calibration Maneuver

To calibrate the PlasMag, the science team requested 10 revolutions at constant rate about the spacecraft’s +X axis. However, the control system lacks rate commanding capability, and therefore the only way to obtain a smooth rate was to generate a series of ground commanded quaternions. The sequence was designed to command targets 90 degrees ahead in the counter-clockwise direction, allowing the spacecraft to slew 30 degrees before issuing the following command (informally referred to as the “dog chases tail” technique). This allowed the spacecraft to continually follow targets without decreasing the body rates, as shown in Figure 9.
Lissajous Orbit Insertion (LOI) Burn

Arguably the most critical event of the commissioning phase was the LOI. The maneuver was split into two segments in order to optimize fuel usage by minimizing the opportunity for over-burn. The first segment consisted of 90% of the total Delta-V followed by the remaining 10% to complete the Delta-V as well as remove any residuals from the first segment.

While the LOI was of the same +Z Delta-V configuration as the previous two Delta-Vs (MCC1 and MCC2), the burn durations were drastically different, as the two correction burns lasted approximately 4 minutes combined.

Figure 10 displays a summary of the commissioning Delta-V maneuvers to provide a visual representation of the maneuver timeline.

As discussed in the MCC1 section, there existed attitude bias while performing a +Z Delta-V as expected prior to launch and demonstrated in the first two mid-course corrections. Therefore,
by adjusting for this bias as shown in Figures 11 and 12, the roll and pitch errors were kept below 0.25 degrees, well within the Delta-V mode Level-3 pointing requirement of 5 deg. The error along the thrust vector remained bounded by ± 1 deg, though this was of little concern as small rotations about the thrust vector had little impact on the resulting Delta-V.
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**Figure 11. Attitude Error from First Segment of Lissajous Orbit Insertion Burn.**

Figure 12 displays the attitude error for the second segment of the LOI and more clearly shows the adjustments in target utilized to remove the bias.

![Attitude Error](image)

**Figure 12. Attitude Error from Second Segment of Lissajous Orbit Insertion Burn.**

All pre-burn analysis was conducted utilizing the ACS High Fidelity Simulator created by the DSCOVR ACS team. The errors in predicted to actual Delta-V duration was 0.89% for the first segment, and 0.43% for the second segment. This accuracy was vital to the flight dynamics team, as this provided better knowledge of how each of the thrusters onboard affected the resultant change in spacecraft state.

**Early EPIC Images**

The EPIC door was opened on June 9th, 117 days after launch, and the first images were taken on the same day. Over the course of the following three weeks, images were collected in order to determine the offset of Earth in the field of view of EPIC. Over the initial imaging period, the estimated offset was 0.06 deg in pitch, and 0.04 deg in yaw.
The control system has no mechanism to make adjustment to the Earth-pointing target computed on-board. Therefore, to adjust the orientation of the spacecraft, adjustments were made to the ST alignment parameters. After multiple fine-tuning adjustments were made, the final alignment was burned to the Electrically Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory (EEPROM).

Figure 13 displays a collection of the first two months of EPIC image offsets. The blue circles represent the geometric centroid of the Earth, while the red box represents the 0.05 deg/axis requirement.

![Earth Centroid Offsets](image)

**Figure 13. Collection of Attitude Offsets Obtained via EPIC Earth Images.**

Prior to EPIC imaging, the accuracy of the attitude control system was only estimated by the ground filtered attitude solution. The fully calibrated EPIC images independently validated the onboard ACS performance.

It is interesting to note, being that DSCOVR contains only one ST used for attitude knowledge, as one would expect, the images of Earth obtained from EPIC contain offsets primarily along the line perpendicular to the boresight of the ST. This phenomena was understood and studied well before launch, and due to the planning by the attitude ground system (AGS) team, the error was mitigated by averaging the errors and determining an alignment which would keep the systematic error within the requirement tolerance.

To date, with the 1202 EPIC images available, the mean attitude offset is 0.0063 deg and 0.0011 deg in pitch and yaw, respectively. Additionally the 3-sigma pointing errors are 0.0337 deg in pitch and 0.0347 deg in yaw - well below the 0.05 deg requirement.
CONCLUSION

All of the anomalies in this paper were resolved and all FSW and parameter changes have been burned to EEPROM. On September 29, 2015 the DSCOVR team successfully completed the Post Launch Acceptance Review, and handed over to NOAA on October 28, 2015.

DSCOVR publically released the first EPIC images on June 13, 2015 and has since performed nominally, continuing to provide daily images of the sun-lit face of the Earth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the DSCOVR ACS analysis team; Joseph Garrick, Huaizu You, and Michael Nemesure; the GN&C hardware engineers Linh Nguyen and Eric Rogstad, as well as the AGS team; Philip Calhoun, Rick Coon, Jonathan Glickman, and David Hooshmand. In addition, the ACS FSW engineer, Bruce Trout, and the Mission Systems Engineer, Gary Meadows, provided invaluable assistance pre-launch and throughout the commissioning phase.