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Solar (Fusion) Energy

- Solar Flux Intensity at Low Earth Orbit ~ 1400 W/m²
- Solar Flux Intensity at Mars ~ 619 W/m²
- Solar Flux Intensity at Huntsville, AL ~ 1000 W/m² max
Solar Thermal Propulsion (STP)

Solar Thermal Propulsion conceived in 1956 by Krafft Ehricke
How Does STP Work?

- Solar energy is collected via a concentrator (reflector or freznel lens) and focused inside an absorber cavity.
- The hot absorber cavity transfers heat to the propellant.
- The hot propellant thermally expands in a conical nozzle.
Space Propulsion Concepts

- **Unproven Technology (TRL 1-3)**
- **Demonstrated Technology (TRL 4-6)**
- **Operational Systems (TRL 7-9)**

- **Vehicle Acceleration or T/W Ratio (g's)**
- **Specific Impulse (seconds)**

- **Sails**
- **Continuous Fusion**
- **Electrostatic**
- **Electromagnetic**
- **Electrothermal**
- **Pulsed Fusion**
- **Antimatter**
- **Pulsed Fission**
- **Laser/Solar Thermal**
- **Thermal Fission** (Chemical RBCC)
- **Chemical Rockets**

- **10^4 = Vehicle Specific Power (kW/kg)**
- **STP**
Solar Thermal Thruster (Rocketdyne)

- Air Force sponsored project in the late 1980’s
- Rhenium absorber cavity designed to heat hydrogen to 2700K and provide .83 lbf of thrust and 7900 m/sec exhaust velocity
- Tested at AF Phillips Lab (now AFRL) for ~65 hours
• As the NASA Space Exploration Initiative (SEI) program was closing down in 1993, MSFC investigated a STP proposal from Hercules.

• A more in-depth feasibility study was done by MSFC in 1994 to determine both the technical and economic feasibility of a Solar Thermal Upper Stage.
Solar Thermal Upper Stage

Ground Rules
- Thrust = 2 lbf
- Specific impulse Isp= 860 sec with hydrogen
- Total # of burns=155 (includes apogee and perigee)
- Engine inlet total pressure = 25 psia provided by hydrogen boil-off
- Off-axis inflatable concentrators

- 30-day orbit transfer of 1000 lbs payload from low earth orbit to geosynchronous
- Allows greater initial mass in low earth orbit than traditional chemical upperstages
- Future use as orbital maneuvering vehicle for satellites
- Design simplicity leads to lower development cost
- Technologies can be used with other propulsion concepts
- Primary concern is propellant volume required. Higher Isp reduces volume.
STP Operation in Orbit

- Sunlight
- Thruster Nozzle
- Propellant
- Payload
- Acceleration Vector
- Roll Axis
- Pivot Axis of Concentrators
- Turntable and Receiver
- Thin Film Paraboloid Concentrator
Solar Thermal Flight Experiment “Shooting Star”
MSFC project 1996-2000
Spartan 208 mission to test an inflatable structural system, freznel lens, and thermal storage thruster
Rhenium engine with a foam heat exchanger providing .5 lbf of thrust and Isp~700 sec.
Freznel lens made of polyimide film with a 3000:1 concentration ratio
Air Force Research Lab STP Facility

- MSFC worked closely with colleagues at AFRL STP Facility
- Facility used for NASA AITP testing
- Test chamber used nitrogen ejector to provide high altitude ambient conditions ~1psi for open cycle thruster tests
One of several NASA Aerospace Industry Technology Program (AITP) contracts. 1995-1999
Led by Boeing (then MDA), UAT, Thiokol, UAH, NASA-MSFC, NASA-GRC, and AFRL.
Integrate flight type concentrator with absorber/thruster at AFRL and simultaneously test a flight type LH2 tank with innovative feed system concepts simulating a 30-day mission at MSFC.
Limited performance of 12’ diameter inflatable concentrator limited performance of thruster. The MDA cryogenic storage and feed system concept was proven.
NASA-MSFC Cooperative agreement NCC-8-61. MDC 99H0078 final report
Integrated Solar Upper Stage (ISUS) mid 1990’s
- Vehicle demonstrating solar bimodal power and propulsion
- ISUS functions as an upper stage then remains with satellite providing electric power for life of spacecraft
- Thrust~22 lbf and Isp~800 seconds
- Electric power via thermionic power conversion using solar receiver/absorber
- AIAA 95-3628

Solar Orbit Transfer Vehicle (SOTV) 1998-early 2000’s
- Subscale demonstrator, including orbit transfer and plane change maneuvers, a solar bimodal system in the relevant space environment
- Integrates lessons learned from other STP projects (e.g., ISUS ground demo, STUSTD)
- Boeing, Thiokol, and SRS
L’Garde Flight Experiment

- 1996 L’Garde Inflatable Antenna Experiment (IAE) seen from STS-77.
- Deployed from the space shuttle using a Spartan carrier spacecraft
- 14 meter diameter antenna
- Successful deployment, no entanglement
Types Of STP Engines Investigated at MSFC

Direct Gain Engine
- Engine operates directly with focused sunlight
- Requires larger concentrators for more power
- Does not function in earth shadow
- Capable of very high temperatures and higher Isp with critical joints at low temperatures

Storage Engine
- Engine stores heat in reservoir for later propulsion use, even in shadow
- Smaller concentrator than direct gain
- Can shorten trip times with slightly greater thrust
- More reaction control system propellant required
- Lower Isp than direct gain due to temperature constraints
Melting Points of Various Materials
In-house MSFC STP project focused on direct gain thruster designs and a high altitude ground test facility
STP Direct Gain Assembly

- .5 lbf thrust
- 2 lbs/hr flow rate hydrogen
- 10 kW solar power input
Phase I Absorber/Thruster
• 100% Tungsten-Vacuum Plasma Sprayed
• Nickel Faceplate brazed

Phase II Absorber/Thruster
• 75% Tungsten/25% Rhenium Vacuum Plasma Sprayed
• 50% Rhenium/50% Molybdenum Faceplate
• Electron beam welded
MSFC STP Facility

- 10 kW focused solar energy, 11cm dia.
- 91.5cm dia. X 123cm L vacuum test chamber
- Hot hydrogen open cycle test flow
- 4 hour operating window
MSFC STP Facility
Based on required solar intensity and shape of target, mirror covers adjustable

Current full power on a blue sky day ~1MW/m²

Closed cycle tests with turbomolecular pump~10⁻⁶ Torr

Black liquid nitrogen shrouds simulate deep space background
• Vacuum system lowers ambient pressure to ~.01 psi for an open cycle .5 lbf thrust engine at steady state
Phase I Temperature Distribution
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Test Results

Only 4kW solar input to absorber cavity
Secondary Concentrator

- Secondary can be reflective or refractive
- Larger capture area for absorber cavity
- Helps better distribute light inside the absorber cavity
A refractive secondary solar concentrator is a non-imaging optical device that accepts focused solar energy from a primary concentrator and redirects that light, by means of refraction and total internal reflection (TIR) into a cavity where the solar energy is used for power and/or propulsion applications.

The primary advantages are higher efficiency, higher concentration ratio, flux tailoring, and the ability to function without requiring elaborate cooling features.

Past work done at GRC and Analex Corp. NASA TM-2000-208401 and link below:

http://r.search.yahoo.com/_ylt=AwrTccHzeqVWFw8AJ4QnnIiQ;_ylu=X3oDMTByb2IvbXVuBGNvbG8DZ3ExBHBvcwMxXHl0aWQDBHNiYwNzcg/RV=2/RE=1453714292/RO=10/RU=http%3a%2f%2fwww.ntrs.nasa.gov%2farchive%2fnasa%2fcasi.ntrs.nasa.gov%2f20050203997_2005204520.pdf/RK=0/RS=GEYM8map6dR6Tu4Rzo9NbvktPKs-
Past joint activity with MSFC, SRS, Thiokol and Air Force to have attempted a ground test rig that more closely simulates STP spacecraft operation:

- 4m x 6m inflatable concentrator attached to chamber with 6 DOF Hexapod
- High altitude test chamber rotates on a turn table like the spacecraft
- STP thruster inside test chamber
- Demonstrate start-up/shutdown transients and accounts for sun movement
- Eliminates heliostat mirror
2000 Air Force Research Laboratory supported Thiokol and SRS integrated deployment test. Four deployment tests with maximum .5” variation in final position.
Why had STP lost Momentum?


Conclusions:
- STP offers no unique mission capability
- LH2 volume makes STP missions impractical with current launch vehicles
- Cheaper to buy a bigger launcher than to buy an STP upperstage

Current launch vehicles have too little fairing volume for STP GEO mission

Figure 6: Graphical Illustration of Payload Fairing Volume Problem
Recommendations

• 2015 NASA OCT Technology Roadmap TA02 for In-Space Propulsion describes STP in section TA 2.2.3.1 and a snapshot on page TA2-72.

• Reduce tank volume with increased STP specific impulse approaching 1200 seconds
  - Higher temperatures above 3000K increase Isp
  - At higher temperatures above 3000K and low pressures, hydrogen starts dissociating and lowers the average molecular weight, which also increases Isp

• Investigate using fuel depots and ISRU propellants.

• Since many new commercial companies are launching payloads, maybe re-examine current launch vehicle shroud volumes
MSFC Solar Facility was on 2011 National Geographic Show “Known Universe Season 3 Episode 03 Most Powerful Stars”, 2:54 minutes to 6:15 minutes, to show what happens when you get too close to the Sun.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W4Sp5iBx_6E