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Introduction

• **Space Launch System (SLS)**
  - NASA-developed launch vehicle for large-scale (exploration-class) crew and cargo access
  - Shuttle-derived hardware and processes leveraging Constellation program development experience (tanks, engines, boosters)
  - Primary development configurations are 70t crew (Block I) and 130t cargo (Block II)

• **SLS Thrust Vector Control (TVC) Actuators**
  - SLS uses a total of 12 TVC DoF (boost phase) and 8 TVC DoF (core phase)
  - TVC performance is critical for stability, loads, and integrated vehicle control
  - A novel approach to analysis and test has been undertaken to verify and validate TVC models used for flight dynamics and control design
Heritage TVC System Considerations

- SLS TVC actuators are Shuttle heritage
  - Quad-redundant, mechanical feedback hydraulic actuator
  - Closed-circuit hydraulic power provided by redundant APUs
    - GHe (core stage), hydrazine (booster)
  - Robust dynamic pressure feedback (DPF) provides active load damping over a wide range of load resonances
- Core stage structure, interfaces, hydraulic support system, and TVC Actuator Controller (TAC) are a new design
- There exists a need to update and certify existing high-fidelity models prior to flight

SLS combines a novel modeling approach with preflight testing to anchor model predictions
Modeling Methods

Loads Model
Actuator approximated by spring. All FEM. Cannot model servodynamics. Overconservative for load resonance (0.5% damping).

Prescribed Motion
Engine in FEM and locked. Actuator coupled to global vehicle model. Load approximated by spring. Ghost modes are a problem. F&V method.

Standard Model
Engine in FEM and locked. Rigid engine in system EoM. Ghost modes are a problem. ASAT & FRACTAL 1 method.

Reduced Body Model
Engines removed from FEM. Load approximated by spring. Good approximation for global vehicle dynamics. Ghost modes eliminated. FRACTAL 2 method.

Coupled TVC-FEM
Engines and springs removed from Simplex. TVC-servo dynamics coupled to local FEM. Higher fidelity for local dynamics and coupling effects. Multiple engines. MASV method.

Traditional Methods

New Methods

www.nasa.gov/sls
**Motivation for Detailed Modeling**

- The STS SSME TVC actuator is robust to load resonance variations within the Orbiter design range
  - The single-spring load resonance frequency is given by
    \[ \omega = \sqrt{\frac{(K_n + K_T R^2)}{J_n}} \]
    where \( K_n, K_T \) are the nozzle angular and total linear system stiffness, \( R \) is the actuator moment arm, and \( J_n \) is the engine inertia

- The servoactuator DPF network phase stabilizes the load resonance (active damping)

- Analysis shows sensitivity to values outside of the Orbiter load frequency range
  - Stability of the actuator (inner loop) is affected – linearization of DPF may not be accurate
  - SLS FCS uses advanced servoelastic feedback model to aid in global bending stabilization

---

**Typical Shuttle Orbiter Inner Loop**

- Orbiter Type III nominal (8.6 Hz)
- Orbiter lo (6.5 Hz)
- Orbiter hi (10.8 Hz)

**SLS Autopilot Open Loop Response (typ.)**

- Low Stiffness Load
- High Stiffness Load

**Sensitivity in global vehicle structural dynamics**
• **Multiple Actuator Stage Vectoring (MASV) Model**
  - Developed by Draper to improve modeling of interactions between TVC servodynamics and local structure
  - Reduce risk and increase understanding of core stage TVC dynamics
  - Verify TVC performance and stability using high-fidelity structural response
    - Eliminate single-spring approximation of load compliance
  - Used along with “Complex” single-axis model and 2-axis ILS (lab testing) to verify TVC FRT test procedure (excitation and data recovery)
  - MASV validated using GR FRT data and used to parameterize VM Simplex model (prediction)
Multiple Actuator Stage Vectoring (MASV) Model

**Approach**
- Engine dynamics are replaced with a high-fidelity modal representation of the core stage thrust structure
- Allows coupling of multiple actuators with a single set of dynamic modes
- A partitioning procedure is used to identify and group generalized coordinates that do not contribute to dynamic response to reduce the number of DoF
• FRT is necessary to characterize TVC behavior in flight-like boundary conditions
  - Space Shuttle Orbiter used a dedicated test article (MPTA) and an extensive test program to reduce TVC modeling uncertainty
  - 12 static firings from 1978-1981

• SLS will execute a limited test on flight hardware at the Core Stage Green Run (GR)
  - Determine frequency response and transient response of the coupled actuator-structure system in hot-fire conditions
  - 120 second test window at 109% PL
  - Instrumented using existing flight piston position TM sensors and drag-on string potentiometers
  - Testing reproduces boundary conditions and effects that are difficult to model & predict accurately, especially coupling, gimbal friction, oil air entrainment, thermal drift, etc.
FRT Profile Design

- **FRT profile is executed in the thrust vector null space of the CSEs**
  - Profile results in no net commanded off-axial loads on the stage structure.
  - Some small loads will result due to non-ideal tracking of the commands, stage structural dynamics/asymmetry, actuator/engine variability.
  - Commanded in two channels (null pitch, null yaw) @ 50 Hz, 120 sec, 109% PL.
  - Low-frequency and high-frequency ID on each engine on orthogonal DoF.
  - Transient ID (varying amplitude step response) on each channel.
Low Frequency ID

- All maneuvers are individual sinusoids with start-stop buffers of 3 settling periods
  - Minimum of 3 periods or 8 setting times, whichever is longer
  - Enables frequency domain recovery using least squares, much more accurate than FFT with sine sweep in noise environment if command profile is known
  - Multisine cannot be easily mechanized with null constraint and system is not linear

- Low frequency ID maneuver consists of 8 sample-aligned frequencies (log spacing)
  - Reach full command amplitude (quarter-period alignment) @ 0.4 deg Z-T-P (STS MPTA)
  - There are no sample-aligned frequencies between 6.25 and 12.5 Hz @ 50 Hz rate

- Predicted response (no noise)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel 1 (Hz)</th>
<th>Channel 2 (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.40-6.25 Hz increasing</td>
<td>7.0-14.0 Hz increasing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concurrent testing on coupled axes is possible through frequency separation since single-component frequency-domain LSQ is used for signal recovery.
High Frequency ID

- High frequency ID maneuver consists of 8 non-sample-aligned frequencies
  - Log spacing from 7 Hz-14 Hz (bounds predicted nominal closed-loop load frequencies with ~25%-30% margin)
  - Command amplitude increased to 0.8 deg Z-T-P to increase SNR on piston measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel 1 (Hz)</th>
<th>Channel 2 (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.0-14.0 Hz increasing</td>
<td>0.40-6.25 Hz increasing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Predicted response (no noise)

Sample alignment effect

Integration time

Transient buffer
Transient ID

Transient ID maneuver consists of 3 positive and negative steps at 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 degree amplitude

- Similar procedure to STS; Opposite channel is quiescent during step
- 6 settling times between steps (~2 seconds) and 2.5 second persistence time
- Evaluate cross-axis coupling, load effects, push-pull symmetry, amplitude nonlinearity, bias, scale factor error, drift
- Limited resolution/quantization/noise can limit utility of steps at very small amplitudes

Simulated position data with noise (0.2 deg step)

Predicted response (no noise)

STS MPTA data (position, in)
Frequency-domain reconstruction using a describing function-like approach

- Given an unknown SIS(M)O nonlinear system described by
  \[
  \dot{z} = f(z, u) \\
  q = h(z, u) + n
  \]
  with a known input \( u = A \sin \omega t \) and stochastic noise \( n \), an estimate of the linear frequency response (first harmonic, dependent on amplitude \( A \)) is computed from

\[
|N| = \frac{\sqrt{a_1'^2 + b_1'^2}}{A} \\
\angle N = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a_1'}{b_1'}\right)
\]

using the Fourier coefficients (\( k \)=number of integration periods)

\[
a_1' = \frac{2}{kT} \int_{-kT/2}^{kT/2} q(t) \cos(\omega t) \, dt \\
b_1' = \frac{2}{kT} \int_{-kT/2}^{kT/2} q(t) \sin(\omega t) \, dt.
\]

- Implemented in discrete time using 50 Hz trapezoidal integration.
- Correction for ZOH delay is applied to post-processed complex arrays.
Good frequency ID of engine position and load resonance is possible with noise and quantization error.
Test profile verification on the MSFC SSME TVC Inertial Load Stand
The SLS Program has leveraged a unique combination of advanced analysis techniques and testing to validate TVC models for flight.

Flight control stability and performance is assured with high confidence based on extensive flight experience with high performance NASA heritage hydraulic actuators.

Test and performance data collected throughout this effort will directly support flight certification as well as post-flight reconstruction and anomaly resolution.