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Introduction

- NASA’s Space Communications and Navigations (SCaN) Program is responsible for all NASA space communication and navigation activities through 3 networks...
  - Deep Space Network (DSN)
  - Near Earth Network (NEN)
  - Space Network (SN)

- SCaN chartered the *Earth Regimes Network Evolution Study* (ERNESt), and the team completed the study in May 2015.
  - NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) led the study to create a next generation near-Earth space communications and navigation architecture for 2025 and beyond
  - Study focused upon the SN and NEN services to the Near-Earth Regime User community
  - Goals:
    - Provide communication and navigation services to missions within 2M kilometers of the Earth (just beyond the Earth-Sun L2 point)
    - Agile: Customizable, Scalable
    - Include industry and international partners
    - Advance new science concepts and provide future on-ramps for today’s nascent technologies
    - Leverage cost efficiencies resulted from commoditized services

**Resulting ERNESt architectural framework was named: “Space Mobile Network (SMN).”**

**Vision is to accentuate the focus on user experiences with analogies to the terrestrial mobile wireless smartphone environment.**
• SCaN challenged us to be visionary – the ERNESt Team rose to that challenge

• The team determined that NASA, through SCaN, is uniquely capable of positioning the U.S. as the leader in the emerging space-based global network with the ability to adapt, guide and leverage the communication and navigation services of the future through recommendations that...
  – Provided a game-changing leap-forward in communications and navigation capability while ensuring all Near Earth Regime NASA missions continue to be served
  – Leveraged terrestrial mobile networking capability by creating a “Space Mobile Network” with all of its associated benefits to the users
  – Established a management paradigm that is flexible and adaptable to an evolving implementation responsive to needs and incorporating maturing technologies
  – Developed an architecture that exploits opportunities for commercialization, private investment, and insertion of new technology arising from the commercial sector
  – Advanced our national capability in space-based optical communications and Advanced Networking technologies

The ERNESt Team submitted a report that identified a framework in which SCaN continues to lead C&N advances rather than risk becoming a clearing house.
Evolution of Space Communications and Navigation Networks at NASA

PAST…

• Space Communications began with Worldwide Ground Terminals
  – Supported Scientific and Manned Missions
  – Required sophisticated, user-intensive pre-planning and scheduling
  – Experienced blackout periods due to lack in coverage

• Established Switchboard in the Sky with TDRSS
  – Bent-pipe in space provided continuous equatorial coverage
  – Service scheduling typically required weeks before events

FUTURE…

• Space Mobile Network (SMN)
  – Maintains continuous coverage
  – Modern autonomous service management eliminates the need for manual scheduling which has the potential to reduce infrastructure cost/burden

TDRSS Solved Coverage Challenges…SMN Enables Mission Automation
Bridging Past and Future…
Networking is the Evolutionary Step

- Automated end-to-end data delivery service
  - Enables new types of missions and operations (e.g., inter-satellite cooperation)
  - Reduces operational complexity for users
  - Optimizes communications with links of differing data rates and different data delivery priorities
- Provides scalability
  - Provides for potential growth in number of users without changing the fundamental architecture
- Synergistic with Optical Communications
  - Maximizes utilization of deployed Optical assets
  - Leverages Optical Comm inherent on-board processing
  - Enhanced Quality of Service
- Allows data to move through heterogeneous links and multiple provider networks
- Seamless delivery of data through terrestrial and space networks direct to user

*Space Mobile Network - like the terrestrial smartphone - has the potential to stimulate innovation in support of spaceflight missions and commercial applications.*
Why a Space Mobile Network?

The effect of mobile smartphone technology on modern society has been profound. Wireless mobility enables “seemingly” instant communication anywhere, anytime, mobilizing the rapid transfer of information and services over immense distances, unbound by geographic barriers – all done automatically without humans in the loop.

- New ways to connect, share, and innovate using mobile network technology are invented every day around the world, and are enabling innovative applications, many of them unimaginined in the past.

- Applying this paradigm, NASA would:
  - enable innovation in science and exploration missions;
  - streamline space operations; and
  - create an environment where an enterprise approach to space communication and navigation becomes possible through the implementation of the Space Mobile Network (SMN) Architecture.

The Space Mobile Network would provide a 21st Century communication and navigation solution that meets a growing demand for data and enables innovation through anytime/anywhere connectivity.
Optical Communications…
Making the SMN Possible

- Demonstrating Operational Readiness:
  - NASA LLCD Successfully demonstrated in 2013 (622 Mbps /1550 nm)
  - ESA's EDRS in 2015 (1.8 Gbps Space-to-Space Link/1064 nm/BPSK modulation)
  - NASA LCRD in 2019 (1.24 Gbps Space-to-Space and Space-to-Ground Links/1550 nm/DPSK modulation)
- Order of magnitude higher data rate than comparable RF
- Architectures with optical comm displayed benefits to cost and user needs satisfaction (ITACA Analysis)
- Lower SWaP than comparable RF systems (applicable to SmallSat/CubeSat)
- Existing RF spectrum allocations are increasingly under encroachment (Optical is unregulated)
- Low susceptibility to interference due to narrow laser beam as well as inherently more secure
- Most components now available from industrial suppliers
- 1550 nm wavelength allows leveraging of terrestrial fiber components

“Optical Multiple Access” leverages throughput capacity in ways previously considered impractical.
Salient Features of the... Space Mobile Network

- **24x7 near-Earth Global Coverage for User Initiated Services**
  - Autonomy of scheduling services (e.g., user flexible scheduling)
  - Maximize use of existing infrastructure (WSC, NOCC, etc.)
  - Enables innovation in conducting and collecting science data

- **Advanced Networking with increased automation**
  - Responsive Service Management function in the background
  - Interoperable with open standards and security
  - Re-configurable for flexibility
  - Scalable to increase robustness

- **Advanced Comm through Optical terminals**
  - Lower SWaP/High Data Rate for relay and user
  - New operations concepts (e.g., reduced contact times)
  - RF where applicable to meet mission need

- **Advanced Position, Navigation, and Timing**
  - Lower SWaP/Increased precision for relay and user
  - New operations concepts using navigation augmentation data services
  - Use of optimetrics from optical communication links

**Benefits to the User:** Increased service performance, reduced user burden, and reduced operations complexity.
How Would New Missions Operate?

• Launch & Early Orbit
  – Unless technology advances faster than currently envisioned, all users will continue to rely on S-band coverage for early critical events and emergency operations (i.e. omni coverage)

• Mission Ops (Routine and Special)
  – Employing a protocol analogous to terrestrial cell-phones, user’s will know the location of SMN “cells”, find the best signal…or find the “right” signal
    • GEO slots still remain the best location for stable “coverage maps”
    • Next Generation Broadcast Service (formerly: TASS) was studied to show its use by a “waking” S/C to determine it position and where the cell towers are located
      • International “inter-operability” has strengths and weaknesses
  – Users would have access to matured optical flight terminals that exploit the low-SWaP inherent with optical communication

  – *User Initiated Service* allows users to request service “when needed”, “where needed”, “as often as needed” over low SWaP Optical Multiple Access links…enabling a new era in S/C automation
SMN User Initiated Service Concept of Operations: 2025-2030

- User Initiated Service request from User S/C or MOCC
  - User S/C “Signals” to Network over Optical Multiple Access link to Network Node
  - User MOCC “Signals” via Network Node to User S/C
- User Initiated Services Function autonomously sets-up service request
  - De-confliction, Prioritization, Scheduling, and Network Configuration
  - Acknowledgement of service request to User S/C or MOCC and set-up of all communication nodes in data transport chain

SMN User receives Direct-To-Earth “high rate” service arranged by User Initiated Service (UIS) over SMN relay-based Optical_MA Link.
SMN 2040 Reference Architecture achieved through “Incremental” Implementation

- The Team sought out a framework that maintained agility for responding to changing user needs and business landscapes versus defining a singular path to reach its vision...

- As an example, the ERNESt Team considered a range of architectures:
  - **SMN-Base**: A minimum instantiation of a 3-network node NASA constellation
  - **SMN-Mesh**: A maximum instantiation that is approaching a mesh network
  - **SMN Reference Architecture**: A hybrid instantiation that is a mixture of NASA, commercial, and partner network nodes

SMN defines a 2040 Reference Architecture in “functional” terms now, while spreading “implementation” decision points over time.
A strategic framework for architecting allows SCaN to continually evolve its communications and navigations networks in a structured yet responsive manner by exercising repeatable processes over an absolute timeline.
Space Mobile Network…
Technology Maturation Areas

- Technology Maturation Areas apply across the architecture
  - User Platforms
  - Relay Platforms
  - Ground Stations
  - Network Operations Centers
  - User Mission Operations Centers
  - Science Operations Centers

- Technologies work together to achieve full architecture, but can be separated into five main areas
  - Multiple Access Link (Optical MA, Advanced and Enhanced RF MA)
  - Single Access Link (High rate/low SWaP optical and RF systems)
  - User Initiated Services (UIS Protocols and UIS Agents)
  - Data Services (DTN, IP)
  - Position, Navigation, and Timing
# Initial Technology Focus Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Networking/PNT Technology</th>
<th>Optical Technology</th>
<th>RF Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Conduct proof of concept for SMN architecture</td>
<td>• Develop OMA architecture and signaling details</td>
<td>• Develop EMA design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Validate signaling/beaconing functions using TDRSS</td>
<td>• Survey commercially available components</td>
<td>• Build enhanced GBBF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop new network navigation algorithms</td>
<td>• Conduct OMA laboratory Proof-of-Concept Demo</td>
<td>• Select coding techniques for EMA and prototype demo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Test network navigation techniques in network environment</td>
<td><strong>High Rate Optical Terminals</strong></td>
<td>• Build TDRSS antenna arraying software prototype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Integrated GNSS Receiver and User TDRS transponder</td>
<td>• Continue Heliostat Development</td>
<td>• SCaN Testbed demonstrations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ERNESt Recommendation…
Transition Approach

- "Make before break" for backwards compatibility
- Synchronize transition with expected degradation of SN
  - Need to have first “Nodes” on station NLT 2030
- Use diverse models for access to space for:
  - Lower cost of low-TRL maiden flights
  - Provide flexibility in make-vs-buy decisions
  - Leave “stable” cell tower behind
  - Employ Class-D, technology risk category
- Use limited instances of operational free-flyer for Government requirements when necessary
- Document “maiden flight” results in open standards
  - Spin-off standard to private industry
  - “Enable” SMN roaming equivalent

**Signature of Success = Robust mixture of government and industry “cell towers”**
Bringing it all together…

Enabling SMN through a New Paradigm

The ERNESt Proposed new management paradigm enables an incremental capability approach, which allows for efficient transition from current state to 2040 Hybrid Reference Architecture.
Now is the…

**Optimal Architecture Transition Window**

- While subject to change based upon performance monitoring, the ERNESt Team found at the time of its study that the health of the current TDRS fleet is sufficient to provide a full-performance global constellation service past-2025
  - Excellent news for the current user community…
  - But this leaves only 9-years to affect a transition to the Space Mobile Network (SMN)

- Between now and 2030, actions can be taken to make a SMN environment available for missions:
  - Develop innovative technical capabilities that will provide key SMN network components;
  - Interact with, guide, and lead an emerging US commercial space internet community in addressing areas of common interest such as technology development and demonstration, regulation and policy change, and common standards;
  - Collaboratively explore with private industry and OGAs new business cases that may prove beneficial to future NASA Near Earth missions;
  - Implement a node-based architecture to allow SCaN to incrementally adopt new technologies and processes as they evolve.

---

**ERNESt Team recommended time-phased projects and initiatives that would enable the first space-based capability of the SMN by 2023**
Summary

• The completed ERNESt Study has defined and recommended a new Space Mobile Network architecture, including:
  – Operations concepts
  – Technology maturation areas
  – Incremental capability build-up approach

• Team determined that the Space Mobile Network will benefit users by providing:
  – Increased service performance
  – Reduced user burden
  – Reduced operations complexity with increased flexibility
  – New business models for private industry to exploit, thereby reducing government infrastructure costs

• Strategic benefits accrued in striving to create a Space Mobile Network extend well beyond NASA to include Government- and Civil-Space by…
  – Expanding the trade-space spaceflight users exploit for mission success
  – Enabling continued US preeminence in space communication and navigation by leveraging existing and maturing technologies in new ways
  – Incremental flight demonstrations that prove new product lines
  – Opportunities for collaboration at the enterprise planning, portfolio definition or specific mission levels thereby fostering flexibility in meeting diverse mission needs.
As a national resource, the ESC enables scientific discovery and space exploration by providing innovative and mission-effective space communications and navigation solutions to the largest community of diverse users.
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