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The importance of software to NASA missions has grown steadily since NASA was formed.

The first spacecraft launched by the United States in 1958 had no software at all, while the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) launched in 2011 with well over 3 million lines of code.

Contemporary NASA spacecraft have basically become flying computers.

Software percentage of a mission’s budget ranges from 5% to 15%, with all missions needing high reliability software delivered on time and on budget.

Flight software is the only items that can be changed or modified after launch.

Late or unreliable software threatens the entire mission, potentially causing launch delays and even mission failure.

The result is that NASA is currently one of the 100 largest developers and procurers of software in the world.
The Three Elements of Project Success

Process:
a defined method involving steps or operations

People:
Skills, Training, Management

Technology:
Application domains, tools, languages, information, environments

Improved Process + Competent Workforce + Appropriate Technology = Reduced Risk, Higher Productivity, and Better Quality
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The challenge for leaders is to examine every area of their organization and identify the processes that are in place.

Ask:

– Does the right process or procedure exist?
– Is the process effective? How do you know?
– Do staff members know the outcome of the procedure?
– Does everyone know the “why” of the process?
– How and when is the process evaluated?
– Does everyone know how they fit into the process and what to do?
– Are staff members held accountable to the process?
– What is the process to fix an ineffective process?
The Plan

NASA Governing Documents

- **NPD 1000.0** Strategic Management & Governance Handbook
  - **NPD 1000.3** The NASA Organization
  - **NPD 1000.5** Policy for NASA Acquisition

**NPD 7120.4** Engineering & Program/Project Mgmt Policy

**NPD 8700.1** NASA Policy for Safety & Mission Success

**NPD 8900.5A** NASA Health & Medical Policy for Space Exploration

Mission Support Office NPDs

**NPR 7150.2B** Software Engineering Requirements
  - (and Other Engineering NPRs)

**NPR 7120.5** NASA Space Flight Program and Project Management Requirements

**OSMA NPRs**
  - Incl. NPR 8705.2 Human-Rating Rqmts for Space Systems

**NID 1240-41** and OCHMO NPRs

Support Org NPRs

**Engineering Requirements**

- **NPR 7120.7** Info Tech & Infrastructure Prog/Proj Mgt
- **NPR 7120.8** R&T Prog/Proj Mgmt

**Program/Project Mgmt Requirements**

- **SMA Requirements**
  - Health & Medical Requirements

**Mission Directorate Programmatic Requirements**

**Center Engineering & Management Policies and Practices**

**Program Plans Project Plans**
The Plan

The project manager shall acquire, develop, and maintain software from an organization with a non-expired CMMI-DEV rating as measured by a CMMI Institute authorized or certified lead appraiser as follows: [SWE-032]

a. **For Class A software**: CMMI-DEV Maturity Level 3 Rating or higher for software, or **CMMI-DEV Capability Level 3 Rating** or higher in all CMMI-DEV Maturity Level 2 and 3 process areas for software.

b. **For Class B software** (except Class B software on NASA Class D payloads, as defined in NPR 8705.4): CMMI-DEV Maturity Level 2 Rating or higher for software, or **CMMI-DEV Capability Level 2 Rating** or higher for software in the following process areas:

   1. Requirements Management.
   2. Configuration Management.
   5. Project Planning.
   6. Project Monitoring and Control.
   7. Supplier Agreement Management (if applicable).

| Class A | Human-Rated Space Software Systems (e.g. SLS, MPCV, GSDO) |
| Class B | Non-Human Space-Rated Software Systems or Large-Scale Aeronautics Vehicles (e.g. JWST, MARS 2020, Kepler, SSP, LADEE, etc.) |
Why has NASA Management directed the use of CMMI® standards?

The CMMI requirement is a qualifying requirement. The requirement is included to make sure NASA projects are supported by software development organization(s) having the necessary skills and processes in place to produce reliable products within cost and schedule estimates.

It is a benchmarking tool widely used by industry and government, both in the US and abroad

It acts as a roadmap for process improvement

It provides criteria for reviews and appraisals

It provides a reference point to establish present state of processes

It can help the government compare the maturity of one offerer (or supplier) to another

It addresses practices that are the framework for process improvement

It is not prescriptive; it does not tell an organization how to improve
In 2004 timeframe 5 of the 10 NASA Centers had experience using the CMM model.

By the 2009 timeframe 8 of 10 NASA Centers have experience using the CMMI model.

Affordability from a Level 1 Software Organization:
- 7 to 8% increase in Software Productivity for CMMI Level 2
- 15% increase in Software Productivity for CMMI Level 3

Results
Lessons Learned

Benefits of using CMMI include:

– Reduced risk of software failure - Increasing mission safety,
– Improved the accuracy of schedule and cost estimates by requiring the use of historical data and repeatable methods
– Helped NASA become a smarter buyer of contracted out software,
– Increased quality by finding and removing more defects earlier,
– Improved the potential for reuse of tools and products across multiple projects,
– Increased ability to meet the challenges of evolving software technology,
– Improved Software development planning across the Agency,
– Improved NASA contractor community with respect to software engineering,
– Lowered the software development cost, improves productivity
– Improved employee morale,
– Improved customer satisfaction,
– Improved NASA and Contractor community knowledge and skills,
– Provided NASA a solid foundation and structure for developing software in a disciplined manner.