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Incorporate higher-fidelity acoustic scattering/shielding predictions into system noise assessment of integrated configurations
Shielding can constitute a large portion of Stage 4 margin

Work from initial D8.5 (tri-jet) assessment by Berton
  - ANOPP/WING module initially employed
  - Create ANOPP suppression table using FSC
  - Quantify effect on system noise benefit
FSC Modeling:

- Incorporates geometric effects
- Propulsion sources considered separate point sources
MIT D8.5 System Noise Assessment

Suppression Table Generation using FSC

- Suppression Factor, $S = 10^{\frac{\Delta dB}{10}}$
  - $\Delta dB = SPL_{\text{shielded}} - SPL_{\text{unshielded}}$
  - $S < 1$ indicates suppression
  - $S > 1$ indicates amplification
- Predictions combined for each 1/3-octave band
MIT D8.5 System Noise Assessment

FSC Suppression Tables

\( f = 500 \text{ Hz} \)

\( f = 2000 \text{ Hz} \)

Amplification
MIT D8.5 System Noise Assessment

Total Acoustic Field: $f = 500$ Hz, $M = 0.23$

Reflection from Tail Surfaces
D8.5 Assessment: Next Steps

- System noise assessment using FSC suppression tables
  - Improved estimate of shielding effectiveness
- Couple with work on adaptive low-drag liners
  - Assess system benefit with updated suppression tables (accounting for liners)
  - Adaptation on impedance and/or drag metrics
  - Optimize liner location based on source and liner characteristics
Incident Source Directivity Effects

- Consider incident acoustic field from various propulsion sources
  - 14x22 HWB test: Broadband Engine Simulator (BENS)
  - Podded engine configuration: Turbofan source
  - Open Rotor: F31A31 Historical Baseline

F31A31 9x15 Test

Blade Loading
- OVERFLOW, FUN3D
- RPM: 6436
- Time Resolution: 1-degree

Acoustics
- ASSPIN, PSU-WOPWOP, F1A
F31A31 9x15 Test

TD-Fast (Initial Set-Up): Short Barrier, Point Source

\( f = 800 \text{ Hz} \)

\( f = 1600 \text{ Hz} \)
F31A31 9x15 Test

TD-Fast (initial Set-Up): Long Barrier, Point Source
($f = 1600$ Hz)

Forward Position

Aft Position
F31A31 9x15 Test

Additional Complexity
F31A31 9x15 Test

Full Test Section

- Incorporate full tunnel geometry in scattering predictions
Concluding Remarks

• Apply higher-fidelity scattering methods to quantify effects on system noise assessments
• Investigate benefits of properly placed low-drag external liners on system noise
  – Broadband attenuation capabilities increase possible liner locations
• Incorporate tunnel geometry in scattering predictions

Incorporate higher-fidelity acoustic scattering/shielding predictions into system noise assessment of integrated configurations
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Scattering/Shielding Methods

AN OPP: WING module

DIM3 (based on MIT diffraction integral method) (ERA: PI: Spakovszky, POC: Burley)

Fast Scattering Code (FSC) (SFW NRA (ended 2012): PI: Dunn, POC: Nark)

Based on Kirchoff diffraction theory expressing diffracted field as superposition of waves emitted through an aperture

Determine outline of shielding object based on source location and numerically solve the contour integral
  - Babinet’s Principle with wedge potential for edge modeling
  - Beam tracing for reflections
  - Allowance for directional sources
Fast Scattering Code (FSC)

- Frequency domain solve of a 3-D Helmholtz boundary value problem via the equivalent source method (ESM)
- Scattered acoustic pressure field expanded into a series of point sources (Ns) distributed on a fictitious surface placed inside the actual scattering surface
- Scattering surface is discretized into collocation points (Nc) to produce a dense, over-determined system of linear equations of size Nc x Ns.
- Source strengths are adjusted to satisfy surface boundary condition using least squares methods
Time Domain BEM (TD-FAST)

- Time domain boundary integral equation (TDBIE) reformulated for the convective wave equation
- Numerical instability in time marching stages addressed via Burton-Miller type formulation
- Computational cost of direct solution addressed via
  - High-order basis functions
  - Multi-level Fast Methods
- Utilize multi-core CPU and GPU architectures
Validation Studies

- **Source projects geometrically similar shadow zone**
- **Spheroids (centered at origin)**
  - Sphere: \( r = a = b = 5.0 \, \text{m} \)
  - OS1: \( a = 5.77 \, \text{m}, \, b = 1.147 \, \text{m} \)
  - OS2: \( a = 5.77 \, \text{m}, \, b = 0.38 \, \text{m} \)
- **Sound Source**
  - Monopole of unit strength
  - Frequencies: \( 1 < ka < 400 \)
- **Observer fields**
  - Bisecting plane, plane at \( z = -30 \, \text{m} \)
  - Line at \( z = -30 \, \text{m} \)
  - Ring, \( r = 7.5 \, \text{m} \), centered at origin
Validation Studies

Sphere/Spheroid Predictions

Pope, Burley

Tinetti (NRA: NNL09AA17C)

Hu (NRA: NNX11AI63A)
Validation Studies

- Configuration geometry/data from NASA TP 1004
- Cylinder (centered at origin)
  - Diameter: $d = 0.48$ m
  - Length: $L = 3.05$ m
- Flat plate
  - Square edges
  - $W = 0.5$ m, $L = 1.6$ m, $t = 0.07$m
- Sound Source
  - Monopole of unit strength
  - Location: $(0.0, 0.0, 0.9936)$ m
  - Excitation frequencies: $9 < kd < 69$
- Observer fields
  - Bisecting plane
  - Line at $z = -5.04$ m
  - Sphere: $r = 2.5$ m, centered at origin
Validation Studies

Fast Scattering Code
\((f = 8k\text{Hz})\)

Cylinder Alone

Cylinder – Plate

Tinetti (NRA: NNL09AA17C)
Validation Studies

Time Domain BEM: TD-Fast

(Plate Alone: f = 8kHz)

Thickness=0.0032m

Hu (NRA: NNX11AI63A)
Isolated OR Noise Prediction
Isolated OR Noise Prediction

![Graph showing noise prediction results for different shaft orders and conditions. The graph plots shaft order on the x-axis and thickness in dB on the y-axis. The legend indicates different data points for PSW (10 Rev), ASP (F31), ASP (A31), and LINPROP.]
Isolated OR Noise Prediction
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