Measurements in a Transitioning Cone Boundary Layer at Freestream Mach 3.5
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An experimental study was conducted in the Supersonic Low-Disturbance Tunnel to investigate naturally-occurring instabilities in a supersonic boundary layer on a 7° half-angle cone. All tests were conducted with a nominal freestream Mach number of $M_\infty = 3.5$, total temperature of $T_0 = 299.8$ K, and unit Reynolds numbers of $Re_\infty \times 10^{-6} = 9.89, 13.85, 21.77,$ and $25.73$ m$^{-1}$. Instability measurements were acquired under noisy-flow and quiet-flow conditions. Measurements were made to document the freestream and the boundary-layer edge environment, to document the cone baseline flow, and to establish the stability characteristics of the transitioning flow. Pitot pressure and hot-wire boundary-layer measurements were obtained using a model-integrated traverse system. All hot-wire results were single-point measurements and were acquired with a sensor calibrated to mass flux. For the noisy-flow conditions, excellent agreement for the growth rates and mode shapes was achieved between the measured results and linear stability theory (LST). The corresponding $N$ factor at transition from LST is $N \approx 3.9$. The stability measurements for the quiet-flow conditions were limited to the aft end of the cone. The most unstable first-mode instabilities as predicted by LST were successfully measured, but this unstable first mode was not the dominant instability measured in the boundary layer. Instead, the dominant instabilities were found to be the less-amplified, low-frequency disturbances predicted by linear stability theory, and these instabilities grew according to linear theory. These low-frequency unstable disturbances were initiated by freestream acoustic disturbances through a receptivity process that is believed to occur near the branch I locations of the cone. Under quiet-flow conditions, the boundary layer remained laminar up to the last measurement station for the largest $Re_\infty$, implying a transition $N$ factor of $N > 8.5$.

Nomenclature

\begin{itemize}
  \item $A, B$ hot-wire calibration coefficients (see Eqs. 1 and 2)
  \item $d$ hot-wire sensor diameter, 3.8 and 5 \textmu m
  \item $E_o$ uncorrected hot-wire bridge voltage
  \item $f$ frequency
  \item $f_c$ center frequency of narrow-band data
  \item $G_{\rho u}$ power spectral density of mass flux
  \item $l$ active hot-wire sensor length, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.25 mm
  \item $M$ Mach number
  \item $m$ azimuthal wavenumber
  \item $N$ N factor based on linear stability theory
  \item $P$ pressure
  \item $P_p$ Preston tube pressure
\end{itemize}
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Reynolds number, $\sqrt{Re_e \times s}$

unit Reynolds number, $\rho u/\mu$

wire sensor resistance

distance measured along cone surface from leading edge

temperature

mean hot-wire calibration total temperature

wire recovery temperature

wire temperature

velocity

distance measured in streamwise direction from nozzle throat

tunnel coordinate measured in vertical direction from nozzle centerline

wall-normal direction measured from cone surface

spatial amplification growth rate (see Eq. 4)

streamwise wavenumber

frequency bandwidth of narrow-band data, 5 kHz

azimuthal location, positive cw looking downstream

Blasius similarity wall-normal coordinate, $y\sqrt{Re_e/s}$

recovery factor, $T_r/T_0$

viscosity

viscosity evaluated at $T_0$

density

overheat ratio, $(T_w - T_r)/T_0$

boundary-layer edge conditions

transition

total (stagnation) conditions

freestream conditions

hot-wire calibration exponent (see Eqs. 1 and 2)

mean value

unsteady component

root-mean-square (rms) value

I. Introduction

The prediction of laminar-to-turbulent transition still remains a challenging problem, more than a century after the seminal work of Reynolds. For high-speed flows, boundary-layer transition can dramatically influence the aerodynamic behavior of slender re-entry vehicles. It is known that boundary-layer transition is highly sensitive to many environmental conditions. These environmental effects enter into the boundary layer through a process known as receptivity and can ultimately lead to premature transition. This is particularly problematic in supersonic and hypersonic wind tunnels, where for Mach numbers greater than 3, the dominant source of freestream disturbance is acoustic radiation from turbulent boundary layers and roughness/waviness on the nozzle walls (e.g., Laufer and Pate and Schueler). As such, design engineers need to be judicious in the interpretation and extrapolation of transition data acquired in conventional ground-based facilities to flight. A recent review of the effects of high-speed tunnel noise on boundary-layer transition is given in an article by Schneider. For a freestream Mach number of 3.5, Chen, Malik, and Beckwith demonstrated experimentally that boundary-layer transition on a flat plate and a cone at zero incidence is significantly influenced by changing the freestream noise level. These results were limited to transition location and were obtained with surface-based measurements. They showed that transition Reynolds numbers under low-noise conditions increased by as much as a factor of three on a cone and by a
factor of seven on a flat plate, as compared to conventional wind-tunnel data. Their results are consistent with flight data.

For a flat plate and a cone at zero incidence, linear stability theory predicts that the dominant instabilities in supersonic flow are first-mode oblique instabilities (see Mac[17]). To better understand the instability mechanisms that lead to transition in supersonic flow, unsteady off-body measurements are desired in boundary layers with thicknesses that are on the order of 1 mm or less. Attempts have been made in the past, but most measurements were made using uncalibrated hot-wire anemometry in flat-plate boundary layers. Laufer and Vrebalovich[8] Kendall[9,10] Demetriades[11] Kosinov, Maslov, and Shevelkov[12] and Graziosi and Brown[13] have reported supersonic stability results on flat plates using natural or forced excitation in conventional wind tunnels. Laufer and Vrebalovich[8] applied excitation near the model leading edge through a two-dimensional slit in the model surface to provide periodic air pulses of desired amplitude and frequency. They successfully acquired stability measurements at freestream Mach numbers of 1.6 and 2.2, and their results are in general agreement with linear theory. Kendall[9] performed measurements at Mach 4.5 at low tunnel pressures in a conventional wind tunnel to maintain laminar nozzle-wall boundary layers (low-noise environment). Controlled excitation was introduced using glow discharge actuators at different oblique angles. Kendall’s measurements affirmed the compressible linear stability theory with respect to disturbance growth rates and phase velocities. Kosinov et al[12] also used an electric discharge to provide excitation through a hole in the model surface in a $M = 2$ flow field. The measurements corroborated that first-mode oblique waves are the most amplified (wave angles between 50 and 70°) in supersonic boundary layers. Kendall[10] also made measurements with the natural wind-tunnel freestream environment for $M > 1.6$. He found low levels of correlation between the freestream sound and boundary-layer fluctuations for $M = 1.6$ and 2.2. Frequency-selective amplification is clearly evident in his results. The frequencies of the peak growth rates at $M = 2.2$ agreed with theory, but the peak values and range of unstable bands were under-predicted by linear stability theory. As the Mach number increased from 3 to 5.6, the correlation between the freestream and boundary-layer fluctuations increased, confirming that the freestream sound field drove the boundary layer. The boundary-layer fluctuations at low values of $R$ were more consistent with forcing theory. Demetriades[11] also made similar measurements using the natural wind-tunnel environment at $M = 3$. He found that the disturbances causing transition began growing monotonically at all frequencies and were not predicted by linear stability theory. He found no low-frequency stable region and unstable disturbances at higher-frequencies than predicted by theory. He measured evidence of first-mode instability predicted the linear theory, but these disturbances played a very minor role in the transition process. More recently, Graziosi and Brown[13] acquired calibrated hot-wire measurements on a flat plate at $M = 3$ with relatively low freestream noise levels that were realized in a conventional tunnel by operating at very low tunnel total pressures. Good agreement was found between measured growth rates of the high-frequency unstable waves and theory, but linear theory did not predict the measured growth of the low-frequency disturbances.

For cones at zero incidence, stability measurements at supersonic speeds are less available. Math[14] conducted calibrated hot-wire measurements in the boundary layer of a 7° half-angle cone in NASA Langley’s Supersonic Low-Disturbance Tunnel. He introduced controlled disturbances into the boundary layer near branch I (lower neutral point) using a plasma actuator array under quiet-flow conditions and measured the development downstream. A pair of helical waves was excited in the most-amplified band of frequencies and wave angles. The excited mode was amplified downstream and maintained a constant azimuthal spanwise mode number (i.e., the wave angle of the oblique modes decreased with downstream distance). Without excitation in the quiet-flow environment, no instabilities were measured in the boundary-layer at the test conditions; however, he measured boundary-layer disturbances under noisy-flow conditions. Recent work by Wu and Radespiel[15] investigated first-mode instability waves in the natural wind-tunnel environment on a 7° half-angle cone at Mach 3. Measurements were performed using flush-mounted piezoelectric pressure sensors (PCB) and hot-wire sensors for the off-body data. Measured growth rates and spectra from the PCB compared well with linear stability theory. The agreement between the hot-wire results and linear theory was not as good. The growth rates were very much underpredicted by linear theory, and the peak frequencies of the first-mode waves were overpredicted by linear theory.

More recently at NASA Langley Research Center, we have invested considerable effort to make calibrated off-body measurements in our Mach 3.5 Supersonic Low-Disturbance Tunnel on flat-plate, cone, and wedge-cone models. We have acquired measurements that compare favorably with computational results (e.g., Kegerise et al.[16,17,18] Owens et al.[19] and Beeler et al.[20]). Details of our approach are given in Kegerise, Owens, and King[10]. The results of Kegerise et al.[16,18] focused primarily on roughness-induced transition
behind isolated roughness elements on a flat plate. Meanwhile, the measurements of Owens, Kegerise, and Wilkinson\textsuperscript{19} were to investigate the disturbance growth of naturally-occurring instabilities in the boundary layer of a 7° half-angle cone. Reduced quiet-flow performance of our Mach-3.5 axisymmetric nozzle due to surface degradation was observed during that test. Consequently, they were unable to obtain satisfactory instability measurements in a low-noise environment. However, unpublished measurements were acquired with elevated tunnel noise that subsequently helped to evaluate our measurement approach and model-integrated traverse system. The nozzle was later re-polished in an attempt to regain its original surface finish and quiet-flow performance\textsuperscript{21}

The objective of this study is to attempt to improve our understanding of the supersonic laminar-to-turbulent transition process by studying the naturally-occurring disturbances in a transitioning boundary layer in a low-disturbance environment. The measurements obtained in this study are likely to extend our knowledge beyond that achieved in the earlier cone studies by Chen \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{6} and King\textsuperscript{22} in this low-noise facility, which were based on surface measurements. This is done by characterizing the freestream and boundary-layer edge incoming conditions, documenting the baseline cone flow, and measuring the boundary-layer disturbances as they develop downstream. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations of the mean flow and linear stability theory (LST) computations are performed at the nominal test conditions. Measured results are compared to computational results.

II. Experimental Details

A. Facility and Model

The study was conducted using the Mach 3.5 axisymmetric nozzle in the NASA Langley Supersonic Low-Disturbance Tunnel (SLDT). The SLDT is a blowdown wind tunnel that utilizes large-capacity, high-pressure air on the upstream end and large vacuum systems on the downstream end. The low-noise design is achieved by increasing the extent of laminar boundary-layer flow on the nozzle walls. To extend the laminar nozzle-wall flow of the axisymmetric nozzle, a three-pronged approach\textsuperscript{21} is utilized: 1) the removal of the upstream turbulent boundary layers from the settling chamber just upstream of the throat, 2) the slow expansion of the nozzle contour, and 3) the highly-polished surface finish of the nozzle walls. The upstream boundaries of the uniform low-noise test region are bounded by the Mach lines that delineate the uniform Mach 3.5 flow. The downstream boundaries of the low-noise test region are formed by the Mach lines that emanate from the upstream turbulent boundary-layer flow as depicted in Fig. 1. The tunnel is capable of operating in a low-noise (“quiet”) or in a conventional (“noisy”) test environment when the bleed-slot valves are opened or closed, respectively. With the bleed-slot valves opened, the upstream turbulent boundary layers are removed at the bleed slot located just upstream of the nozzle throat. The extent of the quiet test core depends on the value of $Re_{\infty}$, with larger quiet test regions associated with lower values of $Re_{\infty}$. Under quiet-flow conditions with bleed valves opened, the normalized static-pressure fluctuation levels are found to be $\langle P'_{\infty} \rangle / \bar{P}_{\infty} < 0.1\%$. With the bleed-slot valves closed, the upstream turbulent boundary layers are allowed to continue into the nozzle. Under noisy-flow conditions with the bleed valves closed, the pressure fluctuations are found to be consistent

![Figure 1. Mach 3.5 axisymmetric nozzle: (a) isometric cutaway view and (b) schematic depicting quiet test core.](image-url)
with conventional tunnels, i.e., typically in the range of $0.3 < \langle P'_\infty \rangle / \bar{P}_\infty < 1\%$. Measured Mach-number profiles and $\langle P'_\infty \rangle / \bar{P}_\infty$ for a range tunnel total pressures in the axisymmetric nozzle are reported by Chen et al. The axisymmetric nozzle has an exit diameter of 17.44 cm. The typical operational envelope of the tunnel is a Mach number of $M_\infty = 3.5$, a maximum total pressure of $P_0 = 1.38$ MPa, and a maximum total temperature of 366 K. A complete description of the tunnel is given by Beckwith et al.

The test model is a 7° half-angle cone that is 381 mm in length with a nominally sharp nose tip (tip radius $\approx 0.05$ mm). The model is comprised of a large replaceable nose tip and an aft frustum that mates at 190.5 mm from the cone apex. The model is highly polished with an estimated surface finish of 0.1 $\mu$m rms (root mean square). The model is instrumented with ten static pressure orifices (0.508 mm diameter) that are located along a ray on the cone frustum (between $s = 228.6$ mm and 342.9 mm from the cone apex with a spacing of 12.7 mm). Surface temperatures on the model were measured using six type-K thermocouples located at $s = 76.2$, 101.6, 127, 254, 292.1 and 330.2 mm from the cone apex. The thermocouples are secured to the backside of the model surface. The three upstream thermocouples are located in the cone tip portion of the model and the latter three in the cone frustum. More details of the cone model are provided by Owens et al.

A three-axis model-integrated traverse was used to provide probe movement in the wall-normal (pitch of the probe head), downstream (parallel to the cone surface), and azimuthal directions. The traverse system is remotely controlled to provide the three-axis motion. The traverse rack is aligned to the cone surface (see Fig. 2 for a picture of the cone model installed in the tunnel). The leading edge of the traverse arm—just downstream of the probe attachment location—is preloaded with a teflon foot that slides on the cone surface. This mitigates unwanted vibrations under aerodynamic loading when the arm is cantilevered forward. The $s$-axis motion along the cone surface is provided by a rack and pinion system and is driven by a miniature stepper motor. The travel extent along the cone for this test is $120 \leq s \leq 300$ mm. The $s$-axis resolution is 0.081 mm, based on laser-tracker measurements used to evaluate the accuracy of this motion. The azimuthal motion is achieved using a spur gear configuration, where the larger gear is fixed on the model sting and the smaller gear rotates with the counter-balanced block located just downstream of the cone base. This motion is also driven by a miniature stepper motor. The total range of motion in the azimuthal-axis direction is $-125^\circ \leq \phi \leq 125^\circ$. An encoder provides position feedback and is mounted to the model sting. The encoder accuracy is estimated to be $\sim 0.1^\circ$. The wall-normal motion is achieved by pivoting the probe head about a pivot point that is driven by a lead screw and miniature stepper motor. The relative rotational motion of the probe head is measured with a differential variable reluctance transducer (DVRT) displacement sensor. A calibration procedure was performed for each probe head that relates the translational motion of the DVRT displacement sensor to the relative locations of the probe-tip (see Kegerise et al. for details on the calibration procedure). The range of travel for the wall-normal motion from the model surface is $y \approx 4$ mm. The $y$-axis probe positions are capable of being set to within $\pm 6.5 \mu$m for the boundary-layer surveys.

B. Probes and Instrumentation

Cone surface pressures and temperatures were monitored and measured throughout the test campaign. The ten static surface pressures were measured with 34.5 kPa differential transducers utilizing an electronic pressure scanning system with a stated accuracy of 0.03 % full scale. The reference pressure was acquired with a 13.33 kPa absolute gage that has a stated accuracy of 0.05 % reading. The six surface temperatures were acquired using a thermocouple measurement card with integrated signal conditioning. The stated accuracy of the system for the type-K thermocouples used is 0.36 °C.

Mean pitot-pressure data were acquired in the cone boundary layer using a wedge-shaped pitot probe that was mounted on the three-axis model-integrated traverse system. A photograph of an example probe
Figure 3. Photographs of the boundary-layer probes and relevant dimensions: (a) Pitot probe and (b) hot-wire probe.

is shown in Fig. 3(a). For the pressure data, the pitot tube was flattened to have a frontal area with an approximate width and height of 285.03 µm and 89.42 µm (open area approximately 258.45 × 39.62 µm), respectively (see insets in Fig. 3(a)). The pitot probe was connected to an ultra-miniature pressure transducer using a 0.508-mm I.D. tubing. The small “dead” volume (1.485 mm³) of the pressure transducer and the small volume of the tubing helped to minimize the settling time for the pitot probe. The typical stated accuracy of the transducer is 0.1 % full scale.

Mean total-temperature data were acquired with the wedge-shaped hot-wire probes (Fig. 3(b)) across the boundary layer. These are later referred to as cold-wire surveys in contrast to the traditional hot-wire surveys. For these measurements, the hot-wire probe cable was disconnected from the anemometer and connected to a precision 6.5-digit digital multimeter on a 100-Ω range for resistance measurements. The wire sensor resistance was then converted to total temperature (see discussion below in Section II.C). Mean and unsteady mass-flux data were acquired with single-element hot-wire probes operated in a constant-temperature mode with a 1:1 bridge configuration. Two types of hot-wire anemometry measurements were acquired: 1) in the cone flow field to measure the boundary-layer flow field and 2) in the empty tunnel to obtain freestream mass-flux measurements. The former measurements were acquired using a wedge-shaped hot-wire probe, as shown in Fig. 3(b) that was mounted on the model-integrated traverse system. These boundary-layer hot-wire measurements represent the bulk of the reported data. The wire sensors used for the boundary-layer measurements were 3.8-µm platinum-plated tungsten wires with lengths of \( l = 0.5 \) or 1 mm. Typical response bandwidths of the boundary-layer hot wires were estimated based on the traditionally-accepted square-wave-injection response to be in excess of 310 and 290 kHz for the 0.5 and 1-mm long wires, respectively. Details of the wedge-shaped probe body design for the pressure and hot-wire probes and the associated CFD analysis used to minimize the boundary-layer flow interference are provided by Owens et al.\(^{19, 24}\) The AC-coupled hot-wire output of the boundary-layer probe from the anemometer was conditioned with a low-noise amplifier/filter before being digitized with a 16-bit A/D (analog-to-digital) converter at a rate of 1 MHz and a total of \( 2 \times 10^6 \) sample points. Programmable gain was applied by the amplifier/filter system to maximize the dynamic range of the A/D. The signal was high-pass filtered with a 4-pole, 4-zero filter at 1 kHz (to reduce the vibrational response associated with the model-integrated traverse system) and anti-alias filtered with a 6-pole, 6-zero filter at 400 kHz. Additionally, hot-wire measurements were acquired in the tunnel freestream with an empty test section using the tunnel traverse. The hot-wire probes for these measurements were standard straight single-wire probes and had sensor diameters and lengths of \( d = 5 \) µm and \( l = 1.25 \) mm, respectively. The sensing elements here were also platinum-plated tungsten wires. The response bandwidths for the freestream probes typically exceeded 220 kHz. As with the boundary-layer anemometer signal, the AC-coupled output for the freestream probe was also conditioned with a low-noise amplifier/filter system before being digitized with the 16-bit A/D converter at 0.5 MHz and a total of \( 1 \times 10^6 \) sample points. Programmable pre- and post-gain were applied by the amplifier/filter system to maximize the dynamic range of the A/D. These signals were AC coupled at 0.25 Hz and anti-alias filtered...
with an 8-pole, 8-zero filter at 200 kHz. For all hot-wire measurements, the anemometer was operated at high overheat ratios, \( \tau = 0.8 \) or 0.9, so that the wires were sensitive primarily to mass flux, \( \rho u \). The mean hot-wire data were DC coupled and low-pass filtered at approximately 100 Hz before being acquired by a precision 6.5-digit digital multimeter on a 10-V range for voltage measurements.

An electronic fouling circuit was designed to indicate when the probe first makes contact to the model surface. Before each profile measurement (pitot or hot wire), the probe was electrically fouled on the model surface to set the \( y \)-axis location. This was achieved by slowly moving the probe (a few steps at a time) towards the wall until the pitot tip for the pitot probe and the prongs for the hot-wire probe made contact to the model surface. The probe was then retracted so that the probe became just unfouled with the surface. Using the DVRT calibration data referenced earlier and the position offset data (distance from the model surface to the center of the sensor location when fouled), the \( y \)-axis locations were estimated. More details on this process are given by Kegerise, Owens, and King.  

C. Data Reduction

Mach number boundary-layer profiles were obtained from the pitot-pressure measurements. The average value of the ten static surface pressures on the cone surface was used as an estimate of the edge static pressure. This pressure agreed well with the Taylor-Maccoll conical-flow solution for a \( 7^\circ \) half-angle cone. Using both the measured pitot pressure and the average surface pressure, we solved for the Mach number by applying the isentropic relations in the subsonic regime and the Rayleigh pitot tube formula in the supersonic regions. The hot-wire reduction analysis was limited to \( M > 1.2 \), where the Nusselt number becomes independent of Mach number. We followed the approach used by Smits et al.\(^\text{23}\) by operating the wires at large overheats, \( \tau \), so that the wire responded primarily to mass flux. The calibration equation was reduced to the form:

\[
E^2_o = A + B \cdot (\rho u)^n, \tag{1}
\]

where \( A, B, \) and \( n \) are the calibration constants that were obtained from a least-squares curve fit. Hot-wire calibrations were conducted in SLDT either on the nozzle centerline with an empty test section or downstream of the conical shock with the model installed. The calibrations were performed at a nominally fixed total temperature, \( T_c \), corresponding to our test conditions (\( T_c \approx 299.8 \pm 0.6 \) K).

A temperature correction to the anemometer bridge output was necessary to account for variations in \( T_0(y) \) relative to \( T_c \) across the boundary layer, hence we applied a temperature correction \( \sqrt{T_0/T_c} \) to the output bridge voltage \( E_o \). The relevant hot-wire equation to apply across the boundary layer now becomes

\[
E^2_o \cdot (T_0/T_c) = A + B \cdot (\rho u)^n. \tag{2}
\]

Examples demonstrating the validity of this approach were presented in an earlier paper\(^\text{10}\). In order to estimate \( T_0(y) \) across the boundary layer, a cold-wire survey was always acquired with each hot-wire survey. For the cold-wire survey, the sensor resistance was measured at each wall-normal location. With the wire sensor submerged in the flow stream, the wire temperature equilibrates to the recovery temperature \( T_r \) (= \( \eta_r T_0 \)), where \( T_0 \) is the local total temperature. The wire recovery factor \( \eta_r \) has been shown to depend on both the wire Reynolds number, \( \rho u d/\mu_0 \), and Mach number. For supersonic Mach numbers, the recovery factor is independent of Mach number\(^\text{26,27}\). The recovery factor is generally independent of the wire Reynolds number for \( \rho u d/\mu_0 > 20\)\(^\text{25,29}\). However, for the current test, values of the wire Reynolds number less than 20 were realized in the lower region of the boundary layer. The cold-wire calibrations were performed over the same mass-flux range as the hot-wire calibrations for each probe. The cold-wire calibration entailed measuring the wire sensor recovery resistance \( r_r \) and the tunnel total temperature \( T_0 \) (nominally constant). The wire recovery temperature \( T_r \) was estimated using a linear resistance-temperature relationship, namely

\[
T_r = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{r_r - r_{r,ref}}{r_{r,ref}} - T_{r,ref}. \tag{3}
\]

Here, \( \alpha = 0.0036 \) K\(^{-1}\) is the temperature coefficient of resistance and \( r_{r,ref} \) and \( T_{r,ref} \) are the reference resistance and temperature near ambient conditions, respectively. The recovery factor was then estimated using \( \eta_r = T_r/T_0 \), which is a function of the wire Reynolds number.

The subsequent mass-flux data reduction in the boundary layer is an iterative process since we do not know the \( \eta_r \) in advance. We begin by making an initial guess for \( \eta_r \) to compute \( T_0 \). Equation\(^\text{2}\) is evaluated
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using the measured mean bridge voltage $E_o$ and $T_0$ to get the mean mass flux $\overline{\rho u}$. An updated value of \( \eta_c \) is evaluated from the cold-wire calibration using the most recent value of $\overline{\rho u}$ and $T_0$ to update the wire Reynolds number. This process is continued until a satisfactory convergence of both $\overline{\rho u}$ and $T_0$ is achieved with the most recent values. This iterative process is done for all the boundary-layer measurement stations. The mean and unsteady bridge voltages are then combined to give the instantaneous value, \( E_o = \overline{E_o} + E'_o \).

Now that $T_0(y)$ is known across the boundary layer, the instantaneous mass flux $\rho u$ is obtained using Eq. [2] for each measurement station. The instantaneous mass flux is then decomposed into its mean and unsteady components, $\rho u = \overline{\rho u} + (\rho u)'$. All power spectral densities, $G_{\rho u}$, were estimated using the Welch Method. Each sample record was divided into 400 equal segments. Fifty percent overlapping was used and a Hanning data window applied to each data block. The frequency resolution for all $G_{\rho u}$ presented is 200 Hz.

Narrowband rms mass flux with bandwidths of $\Delta f_{bw} = 5$ kHz were computed to estimate the measured disturbance mode shapes and disturbance amplification growth rates within selected frequency bins. These rms mass-flux values are identified by the center frequency that is given by $f_c = 5, 10, 15, ..., 100$ kHz, and the energy is integrated over a frequency band of $f_c - \Delta f_{bw}/2 \leq f < f_c + \Delta f_{bw}/2$. The mass-flux mode shapes at a given $s$ station are the $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle$ values at the desired $f_c$. The boundary-layer disturbance growth at a desired $f_c$ is obtained by selecting the maximum mode-shape value at $f_c$ for each $s$ location. A curve fit for the maximum $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle$ versus $s$ can be computed for each value of $f_c$, i.e., twenty curve fits for twenty $f_c$ values. We then denote the curve-fit functions as $\tilde{A}_{f_c}(s)$ such that an estimate of the growth rate is given as

$$-\alpha_i = \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_{f_c}} \frac{d\tilde{A}_{f_c}}{ds}. \quad (4)$$

Two curve-fit models were used in this analysis. For the case with sparse $s$-measurement locations (noisy-flow conditions), an exponential function raised to the power of a second-order polynomial was selected as the functional form of $\tilde{A}_{f_c}(s)$. This provides for three curve-fit constants that were obtained by minimizing the square of the residuals. For the data with closely spaced $s$ stations (quiet-flow conditions), a smoothing spline function was selected with all data points having the same weights. Goodness-of-fit metrics (e.g., summed square of residuals, correlation of determination, and rms error) were evaluated for both types of curve-fit functions and were found to be acceptable.

### III. Computations

The computations were performed over a $7^\circ$ half-angle cone at the nominal test conditions of the experiment. The two-dimensional unsteady compressible Navier-Stokes equations in conservation form are solved in the computational curvilinear coordinate system. The viscosity is computed using Sutherland’s law and the coefficient of conductivity is written in terms of the Prandtl number. The governing equations are solved using a fifth-order accurate weighted essentially non-oscillatory (WENO) scheme for space discretization and a third-order, total-variation-diminishing (TVD) Runge-Kutta scheme for time integration.

The outer boundary of the computational domain lies outside the shock and follows a parabola. This ensures that the boundary-layer growth is accurately captured. At the outflow boundary, an extrapolation boundary condition is used. At the wall, viscous no-slip conditions are used for the velocity boundary conditions. The wall temperature condition is prescribed as a constant adiabatic temperature (268.2 K) near the nose tip ($s < 51$ mm) and is gradually followed by a linear wall temperature distribution that increases to $T_w = 278.9$ K at $s = 300$ mm. This wall temperature distribution is employed based on measurements of the six surface temperatures after the model is thermally conditioned. The density at the wall is computed from the continuity equation. In the mean-flow computations, the freestream values at the outer boundary are prescribed. The steady mean flow is computed by performing unsteady computations using a variable time step until the maximum residual reaches a small value ($\sim 10^{-11}$). A CFL number of 0.2 is used. Details of the algorithm solution and computational approach are given by Balakumar et al.

Spatial stability analyses were performed on the computed mean-flow states at different streamwise locations. For this paper, the analysis is limited to parallel linear stability theory (LST) computations and focused on oblique first-mode instabilities. The form of disturbances used to perform the stability computations is given by

$$q(y, s, \phi, t) = \tilde{q}(y) e^{-\alpha_s t} \cdot e^{i(\alpha_r s + m \phi - 2\pi ft)} \quad (5)$$

where $q$ is the disturbed flow variable, $\alpha_r$ is the streamwise wavenumber, and $m$ is the azimuthal wavenumber (integral number of azimuthal waves around the cone circumference). Analysis details of the LST computa-
tions are given by Balakumar. Mean-flow and LST results are compared to experimental results in the following section.

IV. Results

The results to follow will be discussed for a few test conditions. All data were acquired with a nominal freestream Mach number of $M_\infty = 3.5$ and nominal total temperature of $T_0 = 299.8$ K. To aid the reader, the test (or total-pressure) conditions are tabulated in Table 1. Our discussions will mostly reference conditions with respect to $P_0$ and $s$, so this table will help facilitate the reader to navigate quickly between $(P_0, s)$ variables and $(Re_\infty, Re_e, R)$ variables. One bleed-valves-closed, noisy-flow condition was tested at $P_0 = 241.3$ kPa to evaluate our approach as we expected to obtain excellent SNR (signal-to-noise ratio) of the unsteady hot-wire measurements for these conditions. However, our main goal was to acquire measurements in the natural low-noise environment of the tunnel, knowing that we would have signal levels at least an order of magnitude smaller than those for the noisy case, resulting in reduced SNR.

We know from experience in low-speed work that receptivity and stability experiments are very sensitive to the state of the mean flow and environmental conditions. As a result, we exercised extreme care to carefully document the mean flow and environmental conditions to avoid ambiguous results as reported by Nishioka and Morkovin and Saric. We attempted to follow those guidelines in our supersonic stability study. We first present results on the freestream and boundary-layer edge conditions downstream of the shock to evaluate our environmental conditions. Then, the mean flow is documented and compared with the computational results to establish the baseline flow conditions. Finally, we examine the measured stability characteristics and reconcile with the LST results.

A. Freestream and Boundary-Layer Edge Measurements

Before installing the model, freestream hot-wire measurements were acquired along the nozzle centerline to assess the low-noise performance of the re-polished Mach-3.5 axisymmetric nozzle. Data were acquired over a range of total pressures to evaluate the extent of laminar flow on the nozzle walls. We were able to achieve laminar flow just beyond $P_0 = 448.2$ kPa, which was less than the value of $P_0 = 630$ kPa reported 25 years ago. However, we did improve the low-noise performance of the pre-polished nozzle, which was limited to $P_0 \approx 241.3$ kPa.

One future goal in our prediction toolkit is to be able to predict transition location reliably with an amplitude-based method. To that end, knowledge of the amplitude and spectral content of the incoming unsteady disturbances are essential. Consequently, an attempt was made here to document the freestream and boundary-layer edge unsteady flow field. First, hot-wire data were acquired along a vertical centerline plane in an empty test section to include $450.85 \leq X \leq 927.10$ mm and $-50.8 \leq Y \leq 50.8$ mm in 6.35 mm increments in both directions. Mass-flux results for $P_0 = 172.4$ kPa are shown in Fig. 4 in the form of contour plots. The plots also include lines that delineate the cone model (solid line) if it was present, and the nozzle exit location (dash line). The measured mean mass flux normalized by the freestream mass flux is presented in Fig. 4(a). The accompanying unsteady rms mass flux normalized by the measured mean mass flux is shown in Fig. 4(b). Parts of the upstream and downstream sections of the uniform-flow test rhombus are visible in

Table 1. Nominal freestream and edge Reynolds numbers for the test total-pressure conditions ($M_\infty = 3.5$, $T_0 = 299.8$ K). For the calculation of $R$ in table, $s$ is in units of mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_0$, kPa</th>
<th>$Re_\infty \times 10^{-6}$, m$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$Re_e \times 10^{-6}$, m$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>Tunnel State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>$105.442 \times \sqrt{s}$</td>
<td>Quiet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241.3</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>$124.761 \times \sqrt{s}$</td>
<td>Noisy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379.2</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>24.46</td>
<td>$156.396 \times \sqrt{s}$</td>
<td>Quiet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448.2</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>28.91</td>
<td>$170.021 \times \sqrt{s}$</td>
<td>Quiet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the mean-mass-flux contours, \( \overline{\rho u} / \overline{\rho u}_\infty \). Meanwhile, the percent rms mass-flux contours clearly show that most of the cone resides in the quiet test core, i.e., where \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle / \overline{\rho u} < 0.1\% \). Fig. 4(b) also reveals that the nozzle-wall boundary-layer transition is not symmetric—boundary-layer transition on the upper nozzle wall precedes transition on the lower wall. Similar plots are presented in Fig. 5 for \( P_0 = 448.2 \text{kPa} \) (near the maximum achievable quiet-flow conditions). The mean-mass-flux plots for both tunnel conditions are very uniform and consistent. The transition location on the nozzle wall moves forward for the higher pressure as expected. The asymmetry of the transition front is still apparent. This asymmetry was always present, even after successive cleaning attempts of the nozzle. Even at the highest tunnel pressure for quiet flow, it is important to note that more than 50\% of the cone resided in the quiet test core (see Fig. 5(b)).

Figure 4. Contours of measured mass flux in the nozzle under quiet-flow conditions for \( P_0 \approx 172.4 \text{kPa} \): (a) normalized mean mass flux, \( \overline{\rho u} / \overline{\rho u}_\infty \), and (b) percent normalized rms mass flux, \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle / \overline{\rho u} \% \). The dotted and solid lines depict the nozzle-exit location and future location of the cone, respectively.

Figure 5. Contours of measured mass flux in the nozzle under quiet-flow conditions for \( P_0 \approx 448.2 \text{kPa} \): (a) normalized mean mass flux, \( \overline{\rho u} / \overline{\rho u}_\infty \), and (b) percent normalized rms mass flux, \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle / \overline{\rho u} \% \). The dotted and solid lines depict the nozzle-exit location and future location of the cone, respectively.
The normalized broadband rms mass flux for empty-tunnel freestream data are compared to boundary-layer edge data in Fig. 6. The rms mass flux $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle$ is integrated over a 100 kHz bandwidth. The boundary-layer edge data were acquired outside the boundary layer at $y \approx 2$ mm along the $s$-axis direction and are shown as unfilled symbols. The empty-tunnel freestream data are extracted from the data shown in Figs. 4(b) and 5(b) based on the closeness in proximity of the $(X,Y)$ location to the $(s,y)$ location of the boundary-layer edge data. Both datasets are normalized by $\bar{\rho}_\infty$ hence the slight difference in the normalized values (recall that $\rho u$ increases across the shock). The values tend to collapse when $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle$ is normalized by the respective mean values, i.e., $\bar{\rho}_\infty$ or $\bar{\rho}_e$. For the data at $P_0 \approx 175$ kPa (Fig. 6(a)), the boundary-layer edge data at $\phi = 0^\circ$ show that the flow is quiet all the way to the last measurement station ($s = 300$ mm), unlike the empty-tunnel data, which begins to increase at $s \approx 230$ mm. We believe this discrepancy occurred due to a change in the nozzle quiet-flow performance—the nozzle was cleaned on multiple occasions (over a period of 5.5 months) between the empty-tunnel measurements and the subsequent boundary-layer edge measurements with the model. The noise level at $\phi = -90^\circ$ for the boundary-layer edge data also shows an increase at a similar location as the empty-tunnel data. This trend in the boundary-layer edge data at $\phi = -90^\circ$ versus the values at $\phi = 0^\circ$ is also observed at $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa (data not shown). Figure 6(b) shows a similar plot for the largest $Re_\infty$ condition. The first half of the cone is clearly in a quiet environment. The data in Fig. 6 demonstrate that the presence of the model and associated conical shock does not have an adverse effect on the $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle$ amplitudes. Recall also that the empty-tunnel data and boundary-layer edge data were acquired with different probe bodies, wire sensor diameters and lengths, and traverse systems as discussed in Section II.B.

Next, we examine the spectral content of the data in Fig. 6. Figure 7 shows power spectral densities at three selected locations—one just upstream of the cone tip (empty-tunnel data only) and the other two at $s \approx 125$ mm, where both empty-tunnel data and boundary-layer edge data exist. For the data at $P_0 \approx 175$ kPa in Fig. 7(a), the solid lines show the empty-tunnel freestream data. Two features are observed in the empty-tunnel spectra. First, there is an increase in the low-frequency energy in terms of amplitude and bandwidth as $s$ increases, albeit small. The mechanism responsible for this increase is not clear, but this behavior has been observed in our two-dimensional quiet nozzle as well. Second, most of the rms energy is dominated by the $f$-squared noise of the anemometer that starts at $f \approx 2$ kHz for the most upstream location. The $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle$ values presented previously are dominated by this $f$-squared noise when the flow is quiet. The dash lines in the figure represent the boundary-layer edge data (recall that these are high-pass filtered at 1 kHz and low-passed filtered at 400 kHz compared to the freestream data that are high-passed filtered at 0.25 Hz and low-passed filtered at 200 kHz). The spectra in Fig. 7(a) at $s = 125$ mm
Figure 7. Measured freestream and boundary-layer edge power spectra under quiet-flow conditions for select s locations: (a) $P_0 \approx 175$ kPa, and (b) $P_0 \approx 450$ kPa. Solid lines represent empty-tunnel freestream spectra and dash lines represent boundary-layer edge spectra.

have similar features except for greater spectral energy between approximately 2 to 20 kHz that is believed to be associated with the integrated-model traverse/probe system. A difference in the bandwidth of the low-frequency energy at $s \approx 250$ mm between the empty-tunnel and boundary-layer edge data is apparent and this difference is manifested in the observed increase of $\langle (\rho u) \rangle$ in Fig. 6(a) Figure 7(b) shows a similar plot for the data at $P_0 \approx 450$ kPa. Similar features are observed here. The main difference being the agreement between the empty-tunnel and boundary-layer edge spectra in the 2 to 20 kHz frequency band because the SNR is high.

B. Cone Base-Flow Measurements

The next step was to document carefully the mean-flow measurements and to compare the results with CFD results. The mean flow was acquired with both pitot-probe measurements and hot-wire measurements.

1. Boundary-Layer Pitot-Probe Measurements

With the cone model installed in the tunnel, we started the process of aligning the cone axis to the incoming flow. This process involved obtaining boundary-layer pressure profiles at various $\phi$ and s locations. After several iterations of adjusting the cone, we settled on what we considered to be an acceptable alignment. The Mach number profiles as derived from the measured pitot and surface pressures are shown in Fig. 8(a) for different values of $\phi$ and $s$ at $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa. The experimental data are plotted in Blasius similarity coordinates and are compared with the computed mean-flow profile at $s = 302$ mm. Recall that a self-similar solution was not assumed for our mean flow, but the actual mean-flow solution is approximately self similar for the measured $s$ stations. There is excellent agreement between the experimental data and CFD results except for locations near the wall and for $s = 125$ mm. The excellent degree of cone alignment with respect to pitch and yaw is clearly demonstrated in the plot by the data collapse. Additional Mach number profiles over a range of $P_0$ and $s$ are presented in Fig. 8(b) Both plots in Fig. 8 indicate that there is a near similarity with respect to $s$ and $\phi$ locations and $Re_\infty$.

Preston tube measurements at the surface were also made to investigate the laminar-to-turbulent transition state of the boundary layer. The pitot tube was traversed to a specified $s$ location and then moved down to foul the probe onto the model surface. Preston tube data were acquired at this position before the probe was retracted and moved to the next $s$ location. Preston tube data were acquired for a range of $Re_\infty$ under quiet-flow conditions to include the maximum quiet-flow condition ($Re_\infty = 25.81 \times 10^6$ m$^{-1}$
Figure 8. Measured Mach number profiles plotted in Blasius coordinates under quiet-flow conditions for: (a) different $\phi$ and $s$ locations, and (b) different $P_0$ and $s$ locations. The computed Mach number profile at $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa and $s = 302$ mm is denoted by ‘--’.

or $P_0 = 448.2$ kPa) and one noisy-flow condition ($Re_\infty = 13.92 \times 10^6$ m$^{-1}$ or $P_0 = 241.3$ kPa). The unit Reynolds number for the noisy-flow condition was selected so that the onset of transition was located in the accessible $s$ range. Figure 9 shows the normalized Preston tube data for a range of test conditions and azimuthal locations. For the noisy-flow condition (filled symbols), boundary-layer transition onset, as demonstrated by the increase in $P_p/P_0$, begins at $s_{tr} \approx 192$ mm. Meanwhile, for the quiet-flow condition, transition as measured by the mean-flow distortion is not realized; however, the data reported earlier by Chen et al.\cite{6} and King\cite{22} indicate that transition is imminent (note that $R^2 = 8.7 \times 10^6$ at $s = 300$ mm at the maximum $Re_\infty$). Excellent azimuthal agreement is observed in the measured transition front for the noisy-flow condition in Fig. 9, which again demonstrates the degree of cone alignment and cone-tip symmetry. The quiet-flow measurements also showed consistent results around the azimuth, i.e., no perceived transition onset.

2. Boundary-Layer Hot-Wire Measurements

Hot-wire and cold-wire boundary-layer measurements were acquired along the cone for a range of tunnel conditions. Reduced results in the form of mass flux and total temperature are shown in Fig. 10 for $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa. The measured profiles are plotted versus $y$ for four $s$ locations and are compared to the respective CFD results. Very good agreement is observed for the normalized mass-flux profiles in Fig. 10(a), particularly for the downstream profiles. The normalized temperature profiles are shown in Fig. 10(b). Good agreement is observed for the temperature profiles, but the temperature peaks are slightly overpredicted by the CFD results. These plots and findings are representative of the other test conditions.

C. Unsteady Boundary-Layer and Stability Measurements

1. Measurements in Noisy Flow

Unsteady boundary-layer measurements are first presented for the noisy-flow condition at $P_0 = 242.3$ kPa. The boundary layer transitioned from laminar to turbulent flow near the midsection of the cone. Mass-flux boundary-layer profiles were acquired at five streamwise locations along the cone surface from $s = 125$ to 225 mm. The maximum broadband rms mass flux at each $s$ location is presented in Fig. 11(a). This maximum in $\langle \rho u' \rangle^2$ occurs near $\eta \approx 4.2$ to 4.6. The saturation location, $s \approx 200$ mm, in the figure gives an indication of transition onset as measured from the unsteady data. This agrees to within our measurement
Figure 9. Normalized Preston tube data ($P_p/P_0$) versus $s$. Filled symbols are for noisy-flow conditions and unfilled symbols are for quiet-flow conditions.

Figure 10. Measured boundary-layer profiles in quiet flow for $\phi = 0^\circ$ and $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa: (a) normalized mean $\overline{\rho u}$, and (b) normalized $T_0$. The computed mean profiles are denoted by lines.

The resolution of $s$ with the value ($s_{tr} \approx 192$ mm) obtained from the near-wall mean-flow distortion shown in Fig. 9. The corresponding $N$ factor at transition from LST is $N \approx 3.9$ for $s_{tr} \approx 192$ mm. Saturation occurs when $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle / (\overline{\rho u})_c \approx 17\%$ at $s \approx 200$ mm. The corresponding power spectral densities at the maximum $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle$ are given in Fig. 11(b). Significant spectral broadening beyond $f \approx 100$ kHz is clearly evident at $s > 175$ mm. The plot also includes boundary-layer edge spectra for the $s = 125$, 150, and 175 mm at $y \approx 1$ mm (largest wall-normal location) in dashed lines. For locations of $s > 175$ mm, the peak lobe of the broadband rms mass-flux profile extends beyond the maximum measured $y$ location. The boundary-layer edge spectra for the locations presented in the figure show very little change with increasing $s$. The ratios of the maximum to the edge broadband rms mass flux at $s = 125$, 150, and 175 mm are $\langle (\rho u)' \rangle / \langle (\rho u)'_e \rangle = 5.0, 6.6,$ and 13.4, respectively.

A plot of the growth rate versus frequency is presented in Fig. 12(a). The LST results are for an azimuthal wavenumber of $m = 20$ (corresponding to the most unstable mode from $s \approx 125$ to 200 mm).
−\alpha_i at s = 125 mm compare favorably with the LST growth rates. However, the comparison is very poor at s = 175 mm, particularly at the tails of the curve, where spectral broadening due to nonlinear effects are evident. Some degree of nonlinearity at the higher frequencies is believed to be present at s = 150 mm as well. The experimental maximum growth rates (in the vicinity of f ≈ 35 kHz) follow the same trend as the predicted LST results, i.e., decreasing maximum growth rate with increasing s. The measured mode shapes at four measurement stations are presented in Fig. 12(b) for f_c = 50 kHz. The LST eigenfunctions for f = 50 kHz and m = 20 are scaled to match the measured peak value for each profile. Excellent agreement is evident for all the profiles except for s = 200 mm, where the flow was highly nonlinear and the broadband disturbances began to saturate. Good-to-excellent agreement is realized for both the growth rates and mode shapes when the disturbances are small enough to preclude nonlinear effects.

Figure 11. Measured hot-wire data in noisy flow for φ = 0° and P_0 = 242.2 kPa: (a) maximum normalized broadband rms mass flux, and (b) power spectral density at maximum broadband y locations. Boundary-layer edge spectra at y ≈ 1 mm for the first three s stations are included in plot as dash lines.

Figure 12. Reduced hot-wire data in noisy flow compared with linear stability theory for m = 20 at P_0 = 242.2 kPa: (a) dimensional growth rates obtained from mass-flux growth, and (b) mode shapes at f_c = 50 kHz.
2. Measurements in Quiet Flow

Next, we consider the unsteady boundary-layer measurements under quiet-flow conditions for \( P_0 = 172.4, 379.2, \) and 448.2 kPa. At a total pressure of \( P_0 = 172.4 \) kPa, no measurable instability above the electronic noise floor was discerned along the entire length of the cone (data not shown). Matlis et al.\(^{13,27}\) made similar observations under quiet-flow conditions in the Mach 3.5 two-dimensional nozzle of the SLDT. Their measurements were acquired at a unit Reynolds number of \( 9.45 \times 10^6 \) m\(^{-1}\) (\( P_0 = 172 \) kPa and \( T_0 = 311 \) K).

For the purpose of this report, no further results are provided at this test condition. For that reason, we tested at the two higher total pressures. At total pressures of \( P_0 = 379.2 \) and 448.2 kPa, the results were found to be qualitatively similar to one another, except that the broadband rms mass fluxes at \( s = 300 \) mm are \( \langle (\rho u)'/\rho u \rangle_e \approx 3.5 \) and 6.4%, respectively. For that reason, both test conditions are discussed together.

A plot of the maximum growth amplitude at selected frequency bins versus \( s \) location is shown in Fig. 13(a) for \( P_0 = 448.2 \) kPa. The even values of \( f_c \) (10, 20, ..., 100) are not shown for clarity. The broadband growth amplitude is also included in the figure. In general, the rms amplitudes decrease with increasing \( f_c \) at a given \( s \) location. The most-amplified first-mode instabilities predicted for \( 250 < s < 300 \) mm by LST occur at \( f = 50 \) to 60 kHz and \( m = 30 \). The largest values of \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle \) throughout the measurement range do not coincide with the predicted most-amplified first-mode disturbances. To explore this further, we focused on two measured frequency bins: 1) a low-frequency bin (\( f_c = 10 \) kHz) with substantial amplitude and 2) a frequency bin (\( f_c = 50 \) kHz) within the LST-predicted most-amplified mode. Figure 13(b) presents the data for the maximum boundary-layer \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle \) and the edge \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle \), which are shown as unfilled and filled symbols, respectively. Note that the data up to \( s \approx 175 \) mm for \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle \) at \( f_c = 50 \) kHz are at the \( f \)-squared noise floor of the anemometer. LST predictions in the form of \( e^N \) for \( f = 10 \) and 50 kHz and azimuthal wavenumber \( m = 30 \) for both frequencies are also included in Fig. 13(b). The LST results are scaled to match the measured data at \( s = 300 \) mm. The agreement between the measured \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle \) and \( e^N \) for 10 kHz is very good over the entire measurement range. This suggests that the rms mass flux at 10 kHz is predominately driven by linear instability growth and not by the downstream external boundary-layer edge forcing \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle \).

The measured \( \langle (\rho u)' \rangle \) at 50 kHz compares reasonably well with the corresponding \( e^N \) for \( s > 225 \) mm. The mode shapes for \( P_0 = 379.2 \) and 448.2 kPa are given in Fig. 14 along with the scaled LST eigenfunctions for \( f = 50 \) kHz and \( m = 30 \). The maximum values of the measured normalized mass flux are very small (\( O(10^{-3}) \)), so the measured mode-shape profiles are relatively noisy. However, although the SNR is small, the mode shapes are clearly measurable at the latter measurement stations. The marginal SNR
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improvement in the mode shapes for $P_0 = 448.2$ kPa (Fig. 14(b)) versus $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa (Fig. 14(a)) is evident. The $y$ locations of the peaks are slightly underpredicted by the LST eigenfunctions. Next, the corresponding growth rates are presented in Fig. 15. The growth rates for $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa in Fig. 15(a) are fairly noisy, again partly due to the low SNR. The extreme scatter at $f = 25$ kHz resulted from vibration of the integrated-traverse/probe system in that frequency bin. The growth rates at $f \approx 30$ kHz are overpredicted by linear theory. A similar plot for $P_0 = 448.2$ kPa is shown in Fig. 15(b). The measured $-\alpha_i$ for the first two measurement stations are again well below the LST predictions. As the SNR improved for the two latter stations ($s = 290$ and 300 mm), the growth rates are more akin to the LST predictions. The predictions underestimate the growth rates, peak frequencies, and frequency band, but the general features are fairly similar.

Figure 14. Measured mode shapes under quiet-flow conditions and scaled eigenfunctions from LST ($f_c = 50$ kHz, $m = 30$): (a) $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa, and (b) $P_0 = 448.2$ kPa.

Figure 15. Measured growth rates in quiet flow compared with linear stability theory ($m = 30$): (a) $P_0 = 379.2$ kPa, and (b) $P_0 = 448.2$ kPa.
Finally, we consider the power spectral densities at the maximum broadband mass flux at selected \( s \) locations. Figure 16(a) shows the measured power spectral densities at four streamwise locations for \( P_0 = 379.2 \) kPa. At the latter two measurement stations, a broad peak begins to emerge in the spectra for \( s = 250 \) and 300 mm. The scaled power spectra for \( e^N \) versus frequency of the most unstable first-mode disturbance are also plotted for the last two \( s \) stations. Excellent agreement with respect to frequency is observed between the measured spectra and the LST predictions. Similar results are presented for \( P_0 = 448.2 \) kPa in Fig. 16(b). The emergence of the most unstable first-mode instabilities is evident in the last three \( s \) stations. As before, excellent agreement is evident between the measured and predicted results. A low-frequency band (\( \sim 20 \) kHz) is also evident in the last two measurement stations.

V. Discussion

The challenge in measuring naturally-occurring first-mode instabilities under quiet-flow conditions in a Mach-3.5 stream was clearly evident throughout this test campaign. However, similar hot-wire measurements by Lachowicz et al., Blanchard, Rufer and Hofferth et al. have been acquired at Mach 6 under quiet-flow conditions for naturally-occurring second-mode instabilities on cones. Their results not only clearly demonstrated the presence of second-mode instabilities and harmonics but demonstrated the dominance of these second-mode instabilities in the transition process. The most-amplified instabilities at hypersonic speeds are two-dimensional (2-D) second-mode waves (\( m = 0 \)), but for supersonic Mach numbers, the most-amplified instabilities are three-dimensional (3-D) first-mode waves with large values of the azimuthal wavenumber (typically \( m > 10 \)). This raises a few fundamental questions:

1. What is the nature (2-D versus 3-D) of the disturbances that are most dominant or relevant in the freestream of wind tunnels?
2. What is the relative efficiency of the receptivity process in generating the 2-D versus 3-D most-amplified instability waves?
3. How are the 3-D first-mode disturbances with large \( m \) generated near the leading-edge region of the cone, where the circumference gets vanishingly small?
4. Are the inherent difficulties of such measurements at moderate to high supersonic Mach numbers due to the significantly lower first-mode amplitudes realized at Mach 3.5 versus the much larger second-mode amplitudes at Mach 6 (refer to computations by Mack)?

Figure 16. Measured power spectra at the maximum ((\( \rho u' \))\(^2\)) in quiet flow for select \( s \) locations: (a) \( P_0 = 379.2 \) kPa, and (b) \( P_0 = 448.2 \) kPa. LST results are shown for comparison.
Most of these are vexing questions to resolve experimentally, due in part to the current state-of-the-art measurement capabilities, i.e., the inability to acquire temporally and spatially resolved measurements with noise floor levels 2 to 3 orders of magnitude lower than hot-wire anemometry. Under quiet-flow conditions, our measured signal amplitudes were extremely small and could be smaller than or on the same order of magnitude as the anemometer $f$-squared noise ($O(10^{-5})$ to $O(10^{-4})$). Additionally, we know in general that the total measured mass flux within the boundary layer included both the forced response due to the freestream/boundary-layer edge excitation and the instability (free) response predicted by stability theory. Mac$_6$ has shown that the peak value of the forced response can be $5 - 20$ times as large as the freestream value without any instability amplification. When both the forced and instability responses were comparable, the measured mass-flux values were difficult to interpret. With our current measurements, we are unable to estimate the relative importance of the forced and eigenvalue responses, i.e., the relative magnitude and phase between the forced response and eigenvalue response are unknown. However, DNS (direct numerical simulations) computations in conjunction with carefully conducted experiments may help to resolve these questions/issues.

So why are our measured low-frequency disturbance amplitudes (e.g., $f_c = 10$ kHz) so dominant relative to disturbance amplitudes (e.g., $f_c = 50$ kHz) within the predicted most-amplified first-mode frequencies (see Fig. 13(b))? Here, we consider only the data acquired at the highest $Re_{\infty}$ ($P_0 \approx 448.2$ kPa). At 10 kHz, LST predicts an amplification of $e^N \sim 4$ from the branch I location ($s \approx 155$ mm) to the last measurement location ($s \approx 300$ mm). But at 50 kHz, LST predicts amplification of approximately $4.4 \times 10^3$ from the branch I location ($s \approx 45$ mm) to $s = 300$ mm. The measured boundary-layer edge disturbances and maximum boundary-layer disturbances at 10 kHz at its branch I location are both within our measurement capability (see Figs. 7(b) and 16(b) for spectra at $s = 125$ and 150 mm, respectively). In contrast, the measured freestream and boundary-layer edge disturbances at 50 kHz near its branch I location are below the anemometer noise floor (see Fig. 7(b) for spectra at $s = -3$ and 125 mm). For that matter, boundary-layer edge spectra (data not shown) indicate that the energy at 50 kHz is below the anemometer noise floor for all locations upstream of $s \approx 180$ mm, and this is borne out in Fig. 13(b). The measured maximum boundary-layer disturbances at 50 kHz at our most upstream location $s = 125$ mm indicate that our measured values were above the anemometer noise floor (see spectra at $s = 150$ mm in Fig. 16(b)); however, we do not know the relative importance of the forced versus instability responses in the measured mass flux. Given the aforementioned information with respect to our measurement limitations, we conjecture the following scenario. We can assume that the freestream power-spectral component at 50 kHz is approximately 2 orders of magnitude less than the component at 10 kHz—based on the extrapolation of the precipitous spectral rolloff with frequency (see the spectrum at $s = -3$ mm in Fig. 7(b)). With that assumption, the freestream/edge spectral amplitude component at 50 kHz is $O(10^{-5})$. If the receptivity coefficient at the branch I location ($s \approx 45$ mm) is $O(10^0)$, then we would expect amplitude measurements based on an amplification of $e^N \sim 4.4 \times 10^3$ to be $O(10^{-2})$ or spectral power of $O(10^{-4})$. Interestingly, this $O(10^{-4})$ in spectral power agrees with our measurements at $s = 300$ mm (see Fig. 16(b)). So referring back to Fig. 13(b) where $\langle (\rho u) \rangle / \langle \rho \rangle c \sim O(10^{-2})$ at $s = 300$ mm, we can speculate based on LST that $\langle (\rho u) \rangle / \langle \rho \rangle c \sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ at branch I ($s \approx 45$ mm) in the boundary layer, i.e., below our measurement capability.

Our measurements suggested that the flow was not measurably receptive to the freestream/edge disturbances that impinged on the latter portion of the cone surface. This was shown by the fact that the measured mass flux followed the LST disturbance growth at 10 kHz throughout that impingement region (refer to Figure 13(b)). DNS computations by Balakum$_{43}$ predicted that the receptivity location is near the nose region on a smooth cone surface. Chen, Malik, and Beckwith$_6$ tested a 5° half-angle cone under quiet-flow conditions with the cone tip located at two streamwise locations (7.6 mm apart). They concluded that the cone boundary layer is much more sensitive to the wind-tunnel noise in the vicinity of branch I than farther downstream, since they measured lower transition Reynolds numbers at the lower $Re_{\infty}$ for the downstream cone location. However, the data show that for the higher $Re_{\infty}$ conditions, where the branch I location is expected to move upstream, the transition Reynolds numbers are consistent at both cone locations. The DNS$_{33}$ and experimental$_{49}$ results support our finding here that the boundary-layer was not measurably receptive to the impinging freestream noise on the latter portion of the cone, well downstream of branch I locations.

Excellent agreement for large SNR data was observed for the noisy-flow condition. For the noisy-flow data, the exponential growth of the instability response is expected to overwhelm the forced response; thus, the measured mode shape approximated the LST eigenfunction, provided that the instabilities are still
linear. Even at the first measurement station $R \approx 1395$, the comparison was excellent. For the same reason, the resulting measured growth rates compared reasonably well to the LST growth rates. As nonlinearity developed, both the growth rates and mode shapes deviated from linear theory, with the growth rate being more sensitive to the degree of nonlinearity (see Fig. [12]). For the quiet-flow data, the results depended on the SNR with improved results for larger SNRs. No consistent measurable results above the noise floor were obtained for $P_0 \approx 172.4$ kPa, even at the last measurements station $R \approx 1826$, and this was consistent with findings of Matlis et al.\textsuperscript{[14,21]} For the larger $Re_\infty$ conditions ($P_0 \approx 379.2$ and 448.2 kPa), the relative magnitude and phase between the forced response and eigenvalue response are unknown. The source of any discrepancies between the measured mode shapes and eigenfunctions in Fig. [14] may be the result of the aforementioned unknowns, the relatively low SNR, and height position errors. Similarly, the growth rates in Fig. [15] suffer the same limitations. Both sets of results show improvement as the SNR and the instability response increased for the higher $Re_\infty$ condition, where the measurements ranged from $R \approx 2688$ to $2945$.

We were unable to obtain transition under quiet-flow conditions for this test. The maximum $Re_\infty$ of the test was conducted just below the quiet-flow limit, and the extent of the streamwise travel was limited to $s = 300$ mm. Based on this information, we know that the transition $N$ factor is greater than 8.5 in quiet flow. We cannot say definitively which frequencies are ultimately responsible for breakdown, but the evidence up to the last measurement station suggests that spectral energy at frequencies below the predicted most-amplified band are likely dominant. Spectral energy at the most-amplified first-mode instabilities predicted by LST began to emerge in the power spectral densities at downstream locations as shown in Fig. [10]. The most-amplified LST instabilities in this figure are for azimuthal wavenumbers ranging from $m = 25$ to 35. It should be noted that we did not have the ability to independently measure $m$ or the wave angle $\psi$ in this test entry. Recent measurements in a conventional facility by Wu and Radespiel\textsuperscript{[23]} on a 7$^{\circ}$ half-angle cone at Mach 3 estimated $\psi \approx 45^{\circ}$ compared to $65^{\circ}$ from linear theory.

Finally, the need to measure the freestream and/or boundary-layer unsteady disturbances were clearly evident throughout this study. By carefully interrogating the freestream and boundary-layer edge conditions, evidence of low-frequency disturbances, albeit small, were present in the freestream/edge flow. The receptivity of the boundary-layer flow to these freestream conditions evidently provided the initial conditions for the boundary-layer instability disturbances. Stetson\textsuperscript{[12]} commented on the need to document these low-frequency disturbances found in the wind-tunnel freestream environment, and the different role they play in high-speed transition measurements with planar versus conical geometries.

VI. Summary

A transition-to-turbulence study was conducted in the Mach 3.5 Supersonic Low-Disturbance Tunnel for a transitioning boundary layer on a 7$^{\circ}$ half-angle cone. All measurements were acquired with a naturally-occurring wind-tunnel environment operating in either a quiet (low-disturbance) mode or noisy (conventional) mode. Extreme care was taken throughout the study to reduce measurement noise sources and uncertainties and to document the flow and environmental conditions, all with the aim of avoiding ambiguous results. Hot-wire anemometry was employed for our unsteady measurements, which were calibrated to respond primarily to mass flux. Complementary mean-flow solutions and linear stability analyses were computed for the nominal test conditions to support the experimental findings. We demonstrated that excellent agreement under noisy-flow conditions between experimental stability measurements and computed linear stability results can be achieved. To the authors’ knowledge, we are the first to successfully measure the most-amplified first-mode instabilities as predicted by linear theory in a naturally-occurring, low-noise environment. These measurements at moderate-to-high supersonic Mach numbers have been elusive in past studies, partly due to the low signal levels of the measured quantities. The initial conditions of the unstable disturbances were provided by the freestream environment, and this receptivity process was primarily confined to the leading-edge portion and branch I locations of the cone. The dominant disturbances under quiet conditions were at frequencies well below those predicted by linear theory, and the disturbances grew based on linear theory. Future measurement techniques with reduced inherent noise levels that can provide temporally and spatially resolved data are desirable for such studies. Direct numerical simulations using the measured freestream condition (spatial distribution and spectral content) as an initial condition can be used to better understand the receptivity process and reconcile the relative importance between the forced and eigenfunction boundary-layer responses.
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