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User Study Overview
Landsat TIRS Granules - Earthdata Search
Our goal was to answer the following questions:

1. What are the key difficulties that people encounter when visiting the site?
2. How important is discoverability and relevance, given the way people typically search?
3. At what point do the map and timeline become useful? At what point are they in the way?
4. Is the "Download All" paradigm sufficient, or is a positive selection (shopping cart) mechanism necessary?
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Initial Questionnaire

Before the in-person user study, each participant was asked to complete a short survey about their work at LPDAAC and familiarity with Earthdata Search.

2016 Earthdata Search User Study Questionnaire

Please take a few minutes to complete this questionnaire in preparation for our on-site visit the week of April 11th.

What is your name and position title/role within the Earthdata community?

Your answer
Questionnaire Results
Week Usage Rates

How many **hours each week** do you spend with these applications?

- **0-2 hours**: 50%
- **3-5 hours**: 12%
- **6-10 hours**: 38%
Search & Discovery Pain Points

- Searching adjacent path and rows by date, sensor or quality for multi-decade time series.
- Removing several scenes quickly and accidentally clicking on the retrieve button. The interface often does not keep up with the user.
- Flexibility and use of KML and Shapefile searches.
- Lack of instructions and tutorials for new users.
- Identifying cloud-free scenes.
- Slow redraw/pan/zoom.
- Learning curve for new users.
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User Tasks

1. Find a data collection hosted at LPDAAC that has map imagery and view the latest imagery over the continental US.

2. Find and download all Landsat 8 TIRS images from January of this year which cover Sioux Falls and have no clouds.

3. Find an example of ASTER and Landsat 7 data files collected within an hour of one another over Sioux Falls.

4. Use the client to find and retrieve data which may be relevant to your work.
Notes

Summary of Findings

We received a list of actionable items for functional and technical support issues from the workshop. We were able to address the following:

1. Facet query results for collections
2. Collection name issue
3. Ability to choose a collection
4. *Collection search performance*
5. Discussion about the need for a new feature to view images
6. Timeline for implementation

Note: The first four issues were resolved, but the last two are ongoing.

Most Prominent Features

1. Facet query results for collections
2. Collection name issue
3. Ability to choose a collection
4. *Collection search performance*
5. Discussion about the new feature
6. Timeline for implementation

Note: The first four issues were resolved, but the last two are ongoing.

Top Features

1. Facet query results for collections
2. Collection name issue
3. Ability to choose a collection
4. *Collection search performance*
5. Discussion about the new feature
6. Timeline for implementation

Note: The first four issues were resolved, but the last two are ongoing.

Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keyword</td>
<td>Expected placename search of “conterminous us” to work twice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>Left temporal box open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collections</td>
<td>Eye icon not behaving as expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granule</td>
<td>User could not find granule results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthdata Login</td>
<td>User needed to reset password for access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map</td>
<td>User unclear as to why granules which do have map imagery in reality (Landsat) did not have “Main Imagery”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Task Completion Times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Task 1</th>
<th>Task 2</th>
<th>Task 3</th>
<th>Task 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>06:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer</td>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>DNF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10:00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn</td>
<td>04:00</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>27:00*</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle</td>
<td>01:00</td>
<td>03:00</td>
<td>02:00</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rynn</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>05:00</td>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>06:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben</td>
<td>01:00</td>
<td>06:00</td>
<td>04:00</td>
<td>05:00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce</td>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly</td>
<td>05:00</td>
<td>06:00</td>
<td>05:00</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Earthdata went down
** No ending time noted, needs video review/confirmation
*** Mark is on the Earthdata development team, not a member of LP DAAC
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Findings & Recommendations
Metadata Quality
Facet Quality

Users were overwhelmed by the number of facets, while simultaneously not being able to find key facets corresponding to high-value collections. This issue comes up because we only show the top 50 collections by count.
Facet Quality

Where is Landsat 8?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LABORATORY</th>
<th>691</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LANDSAT</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANDSAT-5</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANDSAT-7</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPS</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METEOROLOGICAL STATIONS</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bioosphere?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIOMASS</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIOOSPHERE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOSPHERE</td>
<td>6922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIMATE INDICATORS</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYOSPHERE</td>
<td>2947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUMAN DIMENSIONS</td>
<td>3872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Collections

Search Relevance

Clear-cut, highly-specific searches do not turn up what users need as the top result. Less specific searches fare worse.
Collections

Search Relevance

Searching for *landsat*: the 28th result is the first major Landsat collection (Landsat 7 ETM+)

Searching for *sea ice*: mostly outdated collections at the top, collection-only with limited geographic scope

Searching for *modis*: the main LPDAAC and NSIDC collections are nowhere to be found in the first several pages of results, except for the two we artificially boost
Collections

Collection Visibility
Searches often produce many results which appear very similar to one another with the fields we are able to surface. Choosing the correct one is difficult, and poor relevance makes it even trickier.
Collection Recommendation

• Improve Quality
  • Facet helper
• Improve Relevancy
  • Based on version id (and other small wins)
  • Based on “has granules”
  • Relevancy ordering for facet searches
• Surfacing information that helps quickly distinguish collections from one another (map in preview image, file formats, abstract, etc…)

Recommendation
Next Steps
Summary: Short Term Plans

- Low hanging fruit
- Redesign Collection & Granule screens
- Reimagine Timeline
Summary: Long Term Plans

• Address metadata quality issues
• Revisit the core user and personas profiles
• My Earthdata Search™?
• Earthdata Search Lite™?
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