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- What is CSW?
- Why CSW and Best Practices?
- GetCapabilities
- GetRecords
- GetRecordById
- DescribeRecord
- GetDomain
WHAT IS CSW?
Catalog Service for the Web

From OGC website:

‘Catalog services support the ability … search collections of descriptive information (metadata) for data, services, and related information objects. ... Catalogue services are required to support the discovery and binding to registered information resources within an information community.

OGC Catalog interface standards specify the interfaces, bindings, and a framework for defining application profiles required to publish and access digital catalogs of metadata for geospatial data, services, and related resource information...’
WHY CSW & BEST PRACTICES?
Why?

- It is a widely-used earth data discovery standard
- CWIC maintains a CSW framework for interoperability
- OGC specs are verbose and, in our opinion, difficult to interpret. Best practices may help
GETCAPABILITIES
Take this seriously

• Many implementations do a poor job at describing their service properly
• This method is key to getting clients to use your API
• This is the gateway to your API. If you do this poorly then confidence will be low with respect to the rest of your API
GETRECORDS
Support GET

• GET is more user-friendly
• But complex queries are difficult with GET so…
• Support a subset of your queries with GET
  – Free text
  – Bounding box
  – Temporal range
Is summary necessary?

• Getting a list of ids seems useful
• Getting some intermediary representation of the metadata seems a throw back to the days when ‘bandwidth was tight’
GETRECORDBYID
Is this necessary?

• It is mandatory but…

• GetRecords allows you to get the full metadata associated with a result set.

• Might be useful from a ‘canonical metadata reference’ context but that should really be the domain of other APIs or landing pages
DESCRIBEDRECORD
Of little use

• The ability to return schemas that are publicly available and defined in the GetCapabilities response seems unnecessary

• Being able to subset that schema seems a throwback to times when bandwidth was tight.
Of some use

• For controlled vocabularies this is useful functionality.
• Elsewhere, not so much
IN GENERAL
Support GET wherever you can

• GET is much more user-friendly than POST.
• Reserve POST support for complex queries like GetRecords
Support formats beyond minimum

• OGC mandates the GMD ISO profile. This is somewhat out of date
Showing it’s age

- A lot of the architectural considerations of CSW have made based on the way the world was some years ago.
- Verbose metadata results are no longer a problem
- One would hope 3.0 improves this but…
Comparison with OpenSearch

• It’s not nearly as much fun
• It’s not RESTful – no hypermedia
• The connection between collection and granules is not tightly coupled
• Specification of API is not as compact
  \((\text{GetCapabilities} + \text{GetDomain} \sim \text{OSDD})\)
QUESTIONS?
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