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The sub-surface pressurization tests were conducted on the Evolvable Cryogenics (eCryo) Engineering Development Unit (EDU)

- The EDU is a ground based Cryogenic Fluid Management (CFM) test article managed by the eCryo Program at Glenn Research Center (GRC).
- Sponsored by NASA’s Science and Technology Mission Directorate, and led by GRC and Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC)
- Advanced insulation, Axial Jet mixing, Liquid Acquisition Devices, Radio Frequency Mass Gauging, and a Thermodynamic Vent System were all technologies to be demonstrated on the EDU.
- Intended to be a precursor to a flight demonstration
  - The design and fabrication of EDU were completed.
  - Testing was conducted in 06/2014 and 09/2015.
  - Due to budget constraints, the flight demonstration was removed from the project portfolio.
OBJECTIVE

- Evaluate the change in pressurization system performance when the pressurant diffuser becomes submerged beneath the liquid surface.
  - To establish a baseline case, run a test applying pressurant directly to the tank ullage space using the forward diffuser.
  - For comparison, repeat the baseline tests applying pressurant to the tank ullage space through the liquid by utilizing the aft (submerged) diffuser.
- The 2014 EDU test series included sub-surface pressurization with regulated ambient helium and the flow manually controlled.
- The focus of the 2015 EDU test series was to implement a bang-bang control system to capture the dynamic effects and evaluate a pressurization system’s ability to control to a predefined pressure range when the diffuser becomes submerged beneath the surface of the liquid.

BACKGROUND

- A lot of data currently exist for pressurizing a propellant tank filled with a settled liquid.
  - Ground test articles
  - Vehicle propellant tank prepressurization on the ground
  - Vehicle propellant tank repressurization/pressurization while on ascent
  - Vehicle propellant tank repressurization after a settling maneuver
BACKGROUND (continued)

• No data is available for evaluating a pressurization systems performance in micro-gravity when the liquid is unsettled
  – Pressurization and expulsion of an unsettled propellant may be required:
    o Propellant depots for replenishing a vehicle on-orbit (tank-to-tank transfer).
    o Starting a liquid propellant engine or a Reaction Control System (RCS) from an unsettled state (engine restart after an extended coast).
BACKGROUND (continued)

- In a micro-g environment, the effects of Surface Tension dominate over Body Forces and the liquid no longer remains in a settled condition.
- Once unsettled and stabilized, the liquid remains attached to the tank inner wall and the ullage space forms a spherical shape in the center of the tank.

- For a given pressurization system configuration, the diffuser may be exposed to the tank ullage when the propellant is settled, but submerged when the propellant is unsettled (flight demonstration).
• Potential issues associated with submerged diffuser pressurization:
  – Pressurant gas collapses as it passes through the liquid. As a result, more helium may be required.
  – Ability to control pressure within a specific range is unknown (focus of the EDU 2015 tests).
  – Helium can dissolve within the propellant leading to a reduction in propellant purity
    o Can potentially affect TVS performance
    o Will likely affect engine performance (thrust, flows, Isp)
    o Potential concern with ingestion of gaseous helium in turbo-pumps

• EDU is an excellent test article for evaluating pressurization system performance changes as a result of the diffuser becoming submerged beneath the liquid surface. However, to capture all the effects of micro-gravity, a flight test article is required.
  – A ground test cannot capture the bubble dynamics associated with sub-surface pressurization in micro-gravity.
  – The natural convection within the propellant tank cannot be simulated in ground test.
BACKGROUND (continued)

- EDU Pressurization System Configuration
  - EDU pressurization system has two IDENTICAL diffusers
    - Forward (ullage)
    - Aft (submerged)
  - Pressurant Gas
    - Gaseous helium regulated to ~ 500 PSIA at ambient temperature
    - Bang-bang control valves downstream of the pressure regulator set to control pressure within +/- 0.5 PSIA (09/2015 tests only)
    - Control orifice downstream of bang-bang valves to limit the flow during a pressurization cycle (09/2015 tests only)
      - GFSSP Model build of pressurization system to size orifice (Andre Leclair, NASA MSFC ER43)
      - Orifice Diameter: 5/32 inches
      - Flow Coefficient: 0.84
eCryo’s Engineering Development Unit
Propellant Tank Assembly

FWD Manway

FWD (Ullage) Diffuser Fully Exposed at ~ 94% Liquid Level

Total Tank Volume: 150.2 ft³
Capacity: 573 lbm of LH2

Aft (Submerged) Diffuser Fully Submerged at ~ 10% Liquid Level

1.25” SOFI
Low Density MLI (20 layers)
Standard Density MLI (40 layers)

Ullage Rake at 78% Liquid Level
Includes silicon diodes to measure ullage temperature radially.

Instrumentation Rake
Includes silicon diodes to measure temperature and liquid level.

Axial Jet

LAD Arms

EDU Pressurization Sub-System

Forward Diffuser (Ullage)

Facility/EDU Press Gas Interface

Aft Diffuser (Submerged)

Gaseous Ambient Helium with Bang-Bang Control provided to EDU by the test facility.
EDU Diffusers

- 4” X 2.5” can
- 6 rows
- 8 holes per row
- 1/8” Dia hole
- Rows are ½” apart
- 2 50X50 Mesh screens
- 30.3% open area
## EDU Internal Temperatures and Mass Gauging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fill Level (%)</th>
<th>Tank Station (in)</th>
<th>Temperature Rake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>D2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>D2402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>D2428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>D2403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>D2429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>D2404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>D2405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>D2406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>D2407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>D2408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>D2409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>D2410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>D2411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>D2412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>D2413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>D2414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>D2415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>D2416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>D2417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>D2418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>D2419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>D2420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>D2421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>D2422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>D2423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>D2424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>D2425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>D2426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>D2430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pressurization Testing Conducted at MSFC in June 2014

Cryogenic Liquid: Hydrogen
Pressurant Gas: Ambient Helium
Pressure Regulated, Flow Manually Controlled
Pressurization Tests Conducted

Forward Diffuser Pressurization Test 1 (Baseline Test)

Step 1  Pressurize tank from atmospheric to 27 PSIA using ambient helium and forward diffuser when tank liquid level is ~ 78%

Step 2  Once tank ullage pressure reaches 27 PSIA, discontinue pressurization and lockup tank. Allow tank to self pressurize to ~ 30 PSIA.

Step 3  Vent tank back down to atmospheric and allow at least 15 minutes to stabilize.

Aft Diffuser Pressurization Test 1 (Comparison Test)

Step 1  Press tank from atmospheric to 27 PSIA using ambient helium and submerged diffuser when tank liquid level is ~ 78%

Step 2  Once tank ullage pressure reaches 27 PSIA, discontinue pressurization and lockup tank. Allow tank to self pressurize to ~ 30 PSIA.

Step 3  Vent tank back down to atmospheric and allow at least 15 minutes to stabilize.
The pressurant required to raise the tank pressure from ambient to 27 PSIA for the baseline test and submerged diffuser test were ~ 0.73 lbm (493.2 BTU) and ~ 1.504 lbm (1008 BTU), respectively.

A pressurant gas mass increase of ~ 108% if the diffuser was submerged beneath the liquid surface.
• The Tank Ullage Temperature was significantly warmer for the baseline test.
• The forward dome reached ~120 K and ~50K for the baseline test and submerged diffuser tests, respectively.
• Stratification was more evident in the baseline test, especially after venting.
• The Tank Liquid remained between 20K and 20.5K for both tests.
• Temperature increase during pressurization was noticed in the submerged diffuser test, but not the baseline test.
• Increase in temperature at the liquid surface was noted on both tests.
EDU Sub-Surface Pressurization Testing

Pressurization Testing Conducted at MSFC in September 2015

Cryogenic Liquid: Nitrogen
Pressurant Gas: Ambient Helium
Automated Bang-Bang Pressure Control
Day 1: Facility and test article checkouts, cold shock with LN2

- **Test #1**
  - Filled EDU tank to 90% Liquid Level with LN2
  - Pressurized tank ullage space to 38+/-0.5 PSIA through the aft (submerged) diffuser using the bang-bang control system and gaseous helium
  - Conducted an outflow to 70% Liquid Level while maintaining ullage pressure at 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA

- **Test #2**
  - Filled EDU tank to 90% Liquid Level with LN2
  - Pressurized tank ullage space to 38+/-0.5 PSIA through the forward (Ullage) diffuser using the bang-bang control system and gaseous helium
  - Conducted an outflow to 70% Liquid Level while maintaining ullage pressure at 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA

- **Test #3**
  - Repeat Test #2

- **Test #4**
  - Repeat Test #1
Baseline Test - Pressurant Gas to Ullage

- Tank initially filled to 90% liquid level with liquid nitrogen
- Ambient Helium used as a pressurant gas
- Started with liquid and ullage saturated at 14.696 PSIA
- Prepressed to 38+/-0.5 PSIA
- Outflow to 70% liquid level
- Maintain pressure using bang-bang control to 31.5+/-0.5 PSIA
- Vented tank back down to atmospheric conditions

Comparison Test - Sub-Surface Pressurization
Tank Ullage Pressure - Pre-Pressurization
Liquid Nitrogen and Gaseous Helium

Baseline and Comparison Tests

Bang-bang pressurization system set to control pressure to 38 +/- 0.5 PSIA

Forward (Ullage) Diffuser
- Initial overshoot to 41.5 PSIA
- Total of 9 cycles before pressure stabilizes at ~ 875 seconds
- Approximately 1.0 lbm helium required to get to pressure from ambient.

Aft (Submerged) Diffuser
- Initial overshoot to 39.7 PSIA
- Total of 2 cycles before pressure stabilizes at ~ 473 seconds
- Approximately 1.0 lbm helium required to get to pressure from ambient.

The final amount of helium to bring the tank from atmospheric to a stable 38 PSIA is comparable for both pressurization methods.
Tank Temperature - Pre-Pressurization
Liquid Nitrogen and Gaseous Helium

Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Ullage Temps

98% Liquid Level
90% Liquid Level

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Ullage Temps

98% Liquid Level
90% Liquid Level

Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Liquid Temps

5% to 86% Liquid Level Temperature Sensors

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Liquid Temps

5% to 86% Liquid Level Temperature Sensors
Tank Ullage Pressure - Propellant Outflow
Liquid Nitrogen and Gaseous Helium

Baseline and Comparison Tests

- Bang-bang pressurization system set to control pressure to 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA
- Outflow of LN2 is ~ 11 GPM
- Draining tank from 90% to 70% Liquid Level

Forward (Ullage) Diffuser
- A total of 21 valve cycles to drain the tank
- Pressure overshoots decrease as tank ullage increases.
  - 5% initially
  - 3% and end if outflow
- Approximately 2.3 lbm helium required to pressurize and outflow.

Aft (Submerged) Diffuser
- A total of 17 valve cycles to drain the tank
- Pressure overshoots decrease as tank ullage increases.
  - 3% initially
  - 0% and end if outflow
- Approximately 2.05 lbm helium required to pressurize and outflow.
Baseline and Comparison Tests

Forward (Ullage) Diffuser
- Rapid Vent
- Warmer Ullage Temperatures
- Significant Stratification

Aft (Submerged) Diffuser
- Slower Vent
- Cooler Ullage Temperatures
- Little Stratification

Tank Ullage Pressure - Tank Vent to Atmosphere
Liquid Nitrogen and Gaseous Helium
Tank Temperature - Tank Vent to Atmosphere
Liquid Nitrogen and Gaseous Helium

Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Ullage Temps
- Approximately 60 K Temperature Range in Tank Ullage
- 98% 94% 86%

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Ullage Temps
- Approximately 14 K Temperature Range in Tank Ullage
- 98% 94%

Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Liquid Temps
- LN2 Temps ~ 77 K
- 5% to 70% Liquid Level

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Liquid Temps
- LN2 Temps ~ 77 K
- 5% to 70% Liquid Level
Pressurization Testing Conducted at MSFC in September 2015

Cryogenic Liquid: Hydrogen
Pressurant Gas: Ambient Helium
Automated Bang-Bang Pressure Control
Pressurization Tests Conducted

Day 4: Pressurization tests with LH2 and ambient gaseous helium

- **Test #1**
  - Filled EDU tank to 94% Liquid Level with LH2
  - Pressurized tank ullage space to 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA through the aft (submerged) diffuser using the bang-bang control system and gaseous helium
  - Conducted an outflow to 70% Liquid Level while maintaining ullage pressure at 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA

- **Test #2**
  - Filled EDU tank to 94% Liquid Level with LH2
  - Pressurized tank ullage space to 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA through the forward (Ullage) diffuser using the bang-bang control system and gaseous helium
  - Conducted an outflow to 70% Liquid Level while maintaining ullage pressure at 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA
Baseline Test Pressurant Gas to Ullage

- Tank initially filled to 94% liquid level with liquid hydrogen
- Ambient Helium used as a pressurant gas
- Started with liquid and ullage saturated at 14.696 PSIA
- Prepressed to 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA
- Outflow to 70% liquid level
- Maintain pressure using bang-bang control to 31.5+/-0.5 PSIA
- Vented tank back down to atmospheric conditions

Comparison Test Sub-Surface Pressurization

- Pre-Press
- Start Outflow and Maintain Pressure
- Vent Tank

- Pre-Press
- Start Outflow and Maintain Pressure
- Vent Tank
Baseline and Comparison Tests

Bang-bang pressurization system set to control pressure to 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA

**Forward (Ullage) Diffuser**
- Initial overshoot to 41.0 PSIA
- Total of 3 cycles before pressure stabilizes at ~ 812 seconds
- Approximately 0.28 lbm helium required to get to pressure from ambient.

**Aft (Submerged) Diffuser**
- No shoot noted
- Total of 1 cycles needed to bring tank up to pressure.
- Approximately 1.52 lbm helium required to get to pressure from ambient.

Tank Ullage Pressure - Pre-Pressurization
Liquid Hydrogen and Gaseous Helium
Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Ullage Temps

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Ullage Temps

Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Liquid Temps

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Liquid Temps

98% Liquid Level
94% Liquid Level

5% to 90% Liquid Level Temperature Sensors
Tank Ullage Pressure - Propellant Outflow
Liquid Hydrogen and Gaseous Helium

Baseline and Comparison Tests

- Bang-bang pressurization system set to control pressure to 31.5 +/- 0.5 PSIA
- Outflow of LH2 is ~ 47 GPM
- Draining tank from 94% to 70% Liquid Level

Forward (Ullage) Diffuser
- A total of 30 valve cycles to drain the tank
- Pressure overshoots decrease as tank ullage increases.
  - 9% initially
  - 3% and end if outflow
- Approximately 2.27 lbm helium required to pressurize and outflow.

Aft (Submerged) Diffuser
- A total of 26 valve cycles to drain the tank
- Pressure overshoots increase as tank ullage increases.
  - 1% initially
  - 1.02% and end if outflow
- Approximately 5.44 lbm helium required to pressurize and outflow.
Baseline and Comparison Tests

Forward (Ullage) Diffuser
- Rapid Vent
- Warmer Ullage Temperatures
- Significant Stratification

Aft (Submerged) Diffuser
- Slower Vent
- Cooler Ullage Temperatures
- Little Stratification

PSIA

Time (seconds)
Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Ullage Temps

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Ullage Temps

Pressurant Gas to Ullage – Liquid Temps

Sub-Surface Pressurization – Liquid Temps

Approximately 90 K Temperature Range in Tank Ullage

LH2 Temps ~ 20 K

LH2 Temps ~ 20 K to 21.5 K
CONCLUSIONS

• The bang-bang pressurization tests with sub-surface pressurization were conducted successfully for both liquid nitrogen and liquid hydrogen using helium as a pressurant gas.
• The pressurization system was sized sufficiently and maintained tank ullage pressure during propellant outflow thanks to the modeling efforts of Andre Leclair (ER43).
• Sub-Surface Pressurization with a bang-bang Control System
  – Requires fewer pressurization cycles to prepress and maintain tank pressure.
  – Results in smaller overshoots when compared to applying pressurant gas directly to the tank ullage space.
  – Pressure is maintained without significant pressure slumps or overshoots
  – Little ullage gas stratification after tank vent when compared to applying pressurant gas directly to the tank ullage space.
• Sub-Surface Pressurization utilizing ambient helium as a pressurant gas appears to have a more significant adverse effects with liquid hydrogen than with liquid nitrogen.
  – Helium dissolves in hydrogen
  – Helium gas collapses further due to the lower temperature of liquid hydrogen.