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Abstract

As a coating made of highly porous zeolite materials, the Molecular Adsorber Coating (MAC) was developed to capture outgassed molecular contaminants, such as hydrocarbons and silicones. For spaceflight applications, the adsorptive capabilities of the coating can alleviate on-orbit outgassing concerns on or near sensitive surfaces and instruments within the spacecraft. Similarly, this sprayable paint technology has proven to be significantly beneficial for ground based space applications, in particular, for vacuum chamber environments. This paper describes the recent use of the MAC technology during Pathfinder testing of the Optical Ground Support Equipment (OGSE) for the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) at NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC). The coating was used as a mitigation tool to entrap persistent outgassed contaminants, specifically silicone based diffusion pump oil, from within JSC’s cryogenic optical vacuum chamber test facility called Chamber A. This paper summarizes the sample fabrication, installation, laboratory testing, post-test chemical analysis results, and future plans for the MAC technology, which was effectively used to protect the JWST test equipment from vacuum chamber contamination.
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Introduction

- Molecular Adsorber Coating
- Vacuum Applications
- Chamber A
- Molecular Contaminants
Molecular Adsorber Coating

- Developed by NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC)
- Sprayable, zeolite based and highly porous coating technology that was designed to **passively capture** outgassed contaminants
- Available in **white** and **black** coating variations
  - White Molecular Adsorber Coating, GSFC MAC-W
  - Black Molecular Adsorber Coating, GSFC MAC-B
- Examples of molecular contaminants include high molecular weight chemical species, such as:
  - Hydrocarbons
  - Phthalates
  - Palmitates
  - Esters
  - Silicones
- Sources of contaminants are products of outgassing from materials found within the spacecraft, such as:
  - Plastics
  - Adhesives
  - Lubricants
  - Epoxies
  - Potting Compounds
Molecular Adsorber Coating

- Designed to be used as a contamination control mitigation method to address material outgassing concerns on or near sensitive surfaces and instruments:
  - Inside instrument cavities, electronics boxes, detectors, and baffles
  - Near components such as, telescopes, cameras, lasers, mirrors, and optics

- Reduces the risk of on-orbit molecular contamination from degrading the performance of spaceflight hardware

- Through GSFC’s Internal Research and Development (IRAD) program, significant testing and demonstration efforts were performed in relevant environments (i.e. vacuum) for spaceflight applications
  - Adsorptive Capabilities
  - Thermal/Optical Properties
  - Adhesion Performance
  - Thermal Stability
  - Particulate Characteristics

Photo Credit: NASA/Pat Izzo
Molecular Adsorber Coating

MAC provides several advantages as an innovative coating technology

- Serves as a multi-purpose contamination control coating (thermal, straylight)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WHITE THERMAL CONTROL COATING</th>
<th>WHITE MAC</th>
<th>BLACK MAC</th>
<th>BLACK THERMAL CONTROL COATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Contamination Control</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Control Properties</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical Stray Light Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAC can provide **THERMAL CONTROL** for internal surfaces (*White MAC, Black MAC*)

MAC can provide **STRAYLIGHT CONTROL** for baffles and optical surfaces (*Black MAC*)

- Low mass (*adds very little additional mass to the spacecraft*)
- Cost effective (*made from low cost materials*)
- Ease of sprayability onto most substrates (*with optimal adhesion performance*)
- Tailorable adsorption characteristics (*thickness dependent*)
- Low outgassing properties (*made from inorganic materials*)
- Limited particulation effects (*with cleaning mitigation techniques available*)
Vacuum Applications

Use of MAC in Vacuum Chamber Environments

- As a **passive getter material** and as an **additional vacuum pump**
  - In industry, the use of getters is a common practice within vacuum systems, such as chambers or hermetically sealed containers
    - **Getters are often used to improve and maintain vacuum efficiency by scavenging molecular contaminants from the evacuated space by absorption, adsorption, or chemical binding**
  - Although there are no mechanical moving elements to the coating, MAC may be described to be analogous to a vacuum pump
    - **A vacuum pump removes molecules from an enclosed volume**

**FLIGHT BASED SPACE APPLICATIONS**

MAC was originally designed to mitigate on-orbit molecular contamination for flight based space applications within the spacecraft

**GROUND BASED SPACE APPLICATIONS**

MAC has also proven to be significantly advantageous for ground based space applications, in particular, for vacuum chamber environments
Some advantages of using MAC in vacuum chamber environments include:

- **Reducing outgassing rates**
  - During ground based vacuum testing, such as thermal cycle tests, bake-out runs, and other Thermal Vacuum (TVAC) tests

- **Limiting the use of cryogenic scavenger panels**
  - Cryogenic scavenger panels are normally used to trap outgassed contaminants that otherwise could condense on critical surfaces

- **Helpful in reducing the pump down process**
  - When the chamber is being evacuated by the vacuum pump

- **Achieving high vacuum and lower pressures more efficiently**
  - Than a pump could achieve on its own
Chamber A

- Originally built in 1965 as part of the Space Environment Simulation Laboratory at NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC) in Houston, Texas
- Best known for space environmental testing of the space capsules and equipment for NASA’s Apollo missions with & without the mission crew

Photo Credit: NASA

Apollo command and service module 2TV-1 in Chamber A for a full mission duration vacuum test in 1968

Astronaut Buzz Aldrin on the surface of the moon during Apollo 11

Photo Credit: NASA
Chamber A

**Dimensions:**

- 55 ft diameter by 90 ft tall vacuum chamber
- Has an interior volume of 400,000 cubic ft
- Has a hydraulically controlled 40 ft diameter 40 ton door
Chamber A

- Over the past several years, Chamber A has experienced significant upgrades to accommodate the arrival and testing of JWST in a space simulation environment.

James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)
- Successor to the Hubble Space Telescope
- Considered one of the most powerful infrared space telescopes ever to be built
- Has a 21.3 ft diameter primary mirror
- Has a tennis court sized five layer sunshield
- Will experience a cryogenic environment near Sun–Earth L2 Lagrangian point (about 1 million miles from Earth)

- Chamber A upgrades include:
  - **Liquid Helium Shroud**
    - Capable of reaching cryogenic temperatures as low as -262 °C to simulate the extremely cold environment that the telescope will be exposed to
  - **Clean Room**
    - Retrofitted to the test facility
  - **Pumping Systems**
    - Ultra-clean hydrocarbon-free high vacuum pumping systems
Chamber A

- With these impressive upgrades, Chamber A is now categorized as one of the largest high vacuum, cryogenic optical test chambers in the world!

- The Pathfinder model for JWST has been used for practicing ambient and vacuum testing that will be performed on the flight telescope

- Recently, MAC was implemented during the Pathfinder testing of the JWST Optical Ground Support Equipment (OGSE)
  - MAC was used as a mitigation technique to capture molecular contamination within the upgraded Chamber A vacuum test facility

*Full-scale model of JWST Pathfinder shown entering the recently upgraded Chamber A for cryogenic testing in 2015*

*Photo Credit: NASA/Chris Gunn*
Molecular Contaminants

- Due to Chamber A’s history prior to JWST, molecular contaminants, such as silicones, still remain within the chamber plenum.

- In particular, one of the main contaminant sources was the residual silicone from **Dow Corning® 704**
  - Also known as DC-704
  - Single component, silicone based diffusion pump oil
  - Commonly used for high vacuum systems
  - Designed to work well with diffusion pumps due to its properties
    - Low vapor pressure
    - Low volatility
  - Frequently used in Chamber A for Apollo mission testing

**Molecular Structure of DC-704**

```
C₂₈H₃₂O₂Si₃
```

TETRAMETHYL TETRAPHENYL TRISILOXANE
Molecular Contaminants

- Silicone based contaminants are known to outgas at ambient temperatures, and are extremely difficult to remove
  - If not properly mitigated, the outgassing effects of DC-704 can accumulate on contamination sensitive surfaces during vacuum testing
- As a result, many cleaning efforts were performed by the JWST Contamination Control team to remove DC-704 from the plenum
  - Although these cleaning efforts reduced the silicone levels significantly, there was still some residual DC-704
- Additionally, MAC was proposed as an innovative contamination mitigation method to be placed in strategic locations during OGSE tests scheduled in 2015
  - MAC added an extra level of precaution by cost effectively lowering the contamination risk and preventing harmful outgassed species originating from within the chamber environment from migrating and depositing onto JWST’s highly sensitive OGSE surfaces
Molecular Contaminants

“Proof of Concept” MAC Demonstration

- Occurred prior to its first large scale application during JWST’s Chamber A Commissioning Test in Oct 2014
  - Four 1 ft by 1 ft white MAC aluminum panel samples were placed throughout the chamber at various locations to detect sources of contamination
    - In particular, the migration of DC-704 from the plenum to the main level where the test equipment would be located during Pathfinder testing of the OGSE
- Chemical analysis of contaminated MAC samples
  - Demonstrated adsorption of various chemical species from within Chamber A, such as:
    - Hydrocarbons
    - DC-704 Silicone Based Diffusion Pump Oil
    - Methyl Silicones
  - Consequently, continued use of MAC for the OGSE tests in 2015 was planned to mainly capture DC-704, among other contaminants

Photo Credit: NASA

The discoloration of MAC is a visual indication for the collection of chemical species to the pores of the coating

Photo Credit: NASA
Application Efforts

- Fabrication & Installation
- MAC Barn Door Panels
- MAC Plenum Samples
**Fabrication & Installation**

**Fabrication Efforts**
- NASA GSFC custom designed 98 MAC samples in various sizes and substrate materials. This included a 6 month fabrication effort:
  - December 2014 - April 2015 (~4 months)
  - August 2015 - September 2015 (~2 months)
- Fabricated two sets of MAC samples
  - **MAC Barn Door Panels**: planned for use in the main level
  - **MAC Plenum Samples**: planned for use in the plenum

**Installation Efforts**
- Installed prior to the start of the two JWST Pathfinder testing efforts of the OGSE
  - **OGSE-1**: First OGSE test from May 2015 – June 2015
  - **OGSE-2**: Second OGSE test from September 2015 – October 2015
- MAC samples were exposed to the vacuum chamber environment
  - During installation activities prior to the test
  - Throughout the duration of the two tests
- MAC samples were removed and shipped back to NASA GSFC after the completion of the OGSE tests for chemical analysis and further testing

Both sets will be positioned in two different strategic locations within Chamber A to capture vacuum chamber contamination and prevent them from entering the test environment where the OGSE is housed.

On average, the two JWST OGSE tests in Chamber A lasted for about 34 ± 3 days.
MAC Barn Door Panels

Main Level of Chamber A

- "Barn Door" – Cryogenic Helium Shroud
  - During Pathfinder testing of the OGSE, the cryogenic helium shroud reaches temperatures:
    - As cold as -241 °C
    - As warm as room temperature
  - Internal wall of shroud
    - Painted with a thermal / optical black coating
  - External wall of shroud
    - Made of an aluminum finish

- Proposed MAC Location
  - Against the base of the external wall on the shroud to cover some of the exposed gaps near the perimeter along the barn door of Chamber A
    - To capture vacuum chamber contaminants that may have migrated from the plenum and prevent them from depositing on the sensitive JWST test equipment housed internal to the cryogenic helium shroud

Photo Credit: NASA/Chris Gunn

Base perimeter along barn door on external wall of cryogenic helium shroud
MAC Barn Door Panels

**Substrate Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>0.0625 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>6061-T6 Aluminum Alloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>6 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>11 in - 46 in (varies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border Edge</td>
<td>0.50 in - 0.75 in (varies)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6 in by 12 in Black MAC and White MAC Barn Door Panels**

*Border was implemented on samples to reduce possible coating damage due to handling and installation activities*

*Installation of a white MAC barn door panel on the external wall of the cryogenic helium shroud covering the gap along the base perimeter of Chamber A*
### MAC Barn Door Panels

#### Fabrication Efforts

- Fabricated a total of 65 samples (~ 57 ft²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular Adsorber Coating</th>
<th>Sample Dimensions (in x in)</th>
<th>Sample Border (in)</th>
<th>Sample Quantity</th>
<th>Coating Area (in²)</th>
<th>Total Coating Area (in²)</th>
<th>Total Coating Thickness (mils)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAC-W (White)</td>
<td>6 x 11</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 12</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 20</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 31</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 36</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 46</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>4275</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC-B (Black)</td>
<td>6 x 12</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x 20</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~ 57 ft²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Installation Efforts

- Installed a total of 53 samples (~50 ft²) for both tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Dimensions (in x in)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Total Coating Area (in²)</th>
<th>Sample Dimensions (in x in)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Total Coating Area (in²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 x 11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>6 x 12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 x 15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6 x 15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 x 20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>6 x 20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 x 31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>6 x 31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 x 33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6 x 46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 x 46</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2475</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>~ 29 ft²</strong></td>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>~ 21 ft²</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAC Plenum Samples

Lower Level of Chamber A

Plenum of Chamber A

- Encompasses a large volume
- Located beneath the chamber
- Classified as a confined space area
- During Pathfinder testing of the OGSE, plenum contamination (DC-704) may migrate towards the main level cryogenic helium shroud, and ultimately find a path into the chamber where the sensitive equipment will be placed

Proposed MAC Location

- MAC samples were placed against the walls of the plenum to capture contamination at its source

The original plan was to “wallpaper” the plenum with MAC as much as possible. However, due to the massive surface area to cover, it was not feasible to completely “wallpaper” the plenum within the given time frame.

As shown, NASA GSFC installed MAC samples on the walls of the plenum in the Chamber A test facility prior to the start of the two OGSE tests.
Variety of flexible substrates were explored for the plenum samples

- **Aluminum Foil**
  - Used primarily during OGSE-1 tests
  - At times, handling was a challenge due to the low tear resistance of the material

- **Kapton**
  - Limited use during OGSE-1 tests

- **Aluminum Laminate Materials**
  - Used primarily during OGSE-2 tests
  - NepTape® 1026
    - Typically used in industry as a second shield in multi-shielded Local Area Network (LAN) coaxial cables
    - Its layered structure allows the material to exhibit a higher tear resistance, and consequently is more flexible and easier to handle than aluminum foil coated MAC samples

A thin border less than 0.25 in was implemented on the plenum samples to avoid direct contact with the coating during handling and installation. This border provided a location to adhere Kapton tape to during its placement on the plenum wall.

**Construction of NepTape® 1026**

- 1 mil Aluminum Foil
- 0.92 mil Polyester Film
- 1 mil Aluminum Foil
MAC Plenum Samples

**Fabrication Efforts**

- Fabricated a total of 33 samples (~ 60 ft²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular Adsorber Coating</th>
<th>Flexible Substrate Material</th>
<th>Sample Dimensions (in x in)</th>
<th>Sample Quantity</th>
<th>Coating Area (in²)</th>
<th>Total Coating Area (in²)</th>
<th>Total Coating Thickness (mils)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAC-W (White)</td>
<td>5 mil Aluminum Foil</td>
<td>19 x 12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>2736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.5 x 13.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2498</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC-W (White)</td>
<td>Kapton Heaters</td>
<td>10 x 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4 to 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 x 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC-B (Black)</td>
<td>NepTape® 1026</td>
<td>24.5 x 18.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>1813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.5 x 18.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAC Plenum Samples

**Installation Efforts**

- Installed a total of 33 samples (~60 ft²) for both tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flexible Substrate Material</th>
<th>Sample Dimensions (in x in)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Total Coating Area (in²)</th>
<th>Flexible Substrate Material</th>
<th>Sample Dimensions (in x in)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Total Coating Area (in²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 mil Aluminum Foil</td>
<td>19 x 12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2736</td>
<td>24.5 x 18.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.5 x 13.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2498</td>
<td>NepTape® 1026</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapton Heaters</td>
<td>10 x 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 x 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>~38 ft²</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>~22 ft²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Testing Efforts

- Molecular Capacitance Testing
- Chemical Analysis
- Closing Remarks
Molecular Capacitance

**Molecular Adsorption Capacity**

- Also referred to as “molecular capacitance”
- Defined as the measure of the coating’s capability to **adsorb or entrap outgassed materials** (i.e. molecular contaminants)
- Dependent on parameters, such as:
  - Coating Thickness
  - Surface Area Coverage
  - Type of Contaminant
  - Duration of Exposure to Contaminant
- Calculated **gravimetrically**, based on mass changes in the coating due to exposure to the contaminant source
- Past test efforts confirmed that the molecular adsorption capacity of MAC is directly proportional to coating thickness
  - *This set of experimental data used STEARYL ALCOHOL at 45 °C as a model contaminant source at exposures between 88 and 160 hours*

- Also known as 1-octadecanol
- An organic fatty alcohol commonly found in consumer products, such as lubricants, resins, cosmetics, shampoos, perfumes, emulsifiers, and ointments
- Long chain hydrocarbon that is representative of commonly outgassed materials found in spaceflight applications

Stearyl alcohol was selected for these molecular capacitance tests because it is a highly volatile condensable material with a constant vapor pressure for a given temperature, which in turn results in a constant contaminant source rate for baseline comparison purposes
Prior to MAC fabrication, an additional molecular capacitance test was performed to determine if the coating specifically adsorbs DC-704.

DC-704 is a more complex high molecular weight chemical species than the previously tested model contaminant (stearyl alcohol).

- Has a higher molecular weight; about 1.8 times greater
- Has a lower vapor pressure and lower volatility properties

Due to the complexity of the silicone based single component fluid, test parameters such as temperature, were modified to reduce the test duration.

18 white MAC samples with a total coating thickness of 6.1 mils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Contaminant</th>
<th>Contaminant Source</th>
<th>Chemical Name</th>
<th>Chemical Formula</th>
<th>Molecular Weight</th>
<th>Vapor Pressure at 25 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Chain Hydrocarbon</td>
<td>Stearyl Alcohol</td>
<td>1-octadecanol</td>
<td>C$<em>{18}$H$</em>{38}$O</td>
<td>270.5 g/mol</td>
<td>2.7 x 10^{-6} Torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone Based Compound</td>
<td>DC-704 Diffusion Pump Oil</td>
<td>tetramethyl tetraphenyl trisiloxane</td>
<td>C$<em>{28}$H$</em>{32}$O$_2$Si$_3$</td>
<td>484.8 g/mol</td>
<td>2.0 x 10^{-8} Torr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant Source</th>
<th>Source Temperature</th>
<th>Exposure Time</th>
<th>Coating Thickness</th>
<th>Adsorption Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stearyl Alcohol</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>88 hrs – 160 hrs</td>
<td>6.1 mils</td>
<td>~ 2.3 mg/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-704 Diffusion Pump Oil</td>
<td>70 °C</td>
<td>115 hrs</td>
<td>6.1 mils</td>
<td>~ 1.2 mg/cm² *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The molecular adsorption properties reported for DC-704 is not its maximum capacity because the samples were not completely saturated during testing.
Molecular Capacitance

Quartz Crystal Microbalance (QCM) Collection Rate Response and Temperature Data

using DC-704 Diffusion Pump Oil as the Contaminant Source

"Ideal" Experimental Scenario:
- The test run is expected to approach the baseline when the pore sites on the coating become saturated and can no longer easily adsorb the flux of contaminants. When this state is achieved, the test system behaves as if MAC samples are no longer present during the test run.

Test Run Status:
- Due to time constraints, the test run was brought to an end at ~115 hours. As a result, it did not approach the baseline. This indicated that the MAC samples have not yet reached saturation. If the test was continued for a longer duration, the MAC samples will continue to adsorb until the majority of the pore sites are filled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Run</th>
<th>Test Run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-704</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>70 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>~127 hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chemical Analysis

Vacuum Desorption Bake-Out Method

- In industry, a common practice used to regenerate microporous materials, such as zeolite, involve **high temperature vacuum bake-outs** between 175 - 315 °C

- Performed on a single aluminum foil white MAC sample that had been deployed in the Chamber A plenum environment during OGSE-1 (May 2015)
  - Small piece (4 in by 3.5 in) was cut from Sample PM 13
  - Total coating thickness of 7 mils

- Constructed a bake-out box
  - Substrate Type: 6061-T6 aluminum alloy
  - Substrate Thickness: 0.050 in
  - Dimensions of 216 cubic in

- Baseline run without the MAC plenum sample was performed to determine the chamber background contamination that would deposit on the Liquid Nitrogen (LN2) cold plate

- Test configuration was jacketed with aluminum foil during testing to limit the chamber background deposition on the cold plate
Cold plate was immediately rinsed twice after repressing the chamber:

- **First rinse was with Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA)**
- **Second rinse was with Chloroform**

Rinsates were transferred to separate pre-weighed dishes and allowed to evaporate to dryness.

Remaining Non-Volatile Residue (NVR) was weighed and analyzed using two methods:

- **Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)**
- **Pyrolysis-Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)**

---

**Temperature Profile for Vacuum Desorption Test**

Sample PM 13 was tested inside the bake-out box for a duration of 5 hours in high vacuum around $3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr. The box was heater controlled at 300˚C, and the sample reached 250˚C for ~100 minutes.

---

**Table: Chemical Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rinse</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>NVR (mg)</th>
<th>GC/MS Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.04</td>
<td>• DC-704 diffusion pump oil (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Hydrocarbons (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>19.78 ± 0.04</td>
<td>• Hydrocarbons (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Other (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chemical Analysis

- Results demonstrate that chloroform removed over 5.5 times the amount removed from the initial rinse with IPA
  - This suggests that IPA does not sufficiently remove the cold plate contaminants that were collected from the sample bake-out at 250 °C

- IPA rinse results show DC-704 diffusion pump oil as the most prevalent NVR species
  - This suggests that most of the DC-704 from the cold plate was removed from the initial rinse

- Chemical species found during the chamber background and from the solvent itself were subtracted from the results shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rinse</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>NVR (mg)</th>
<th>GC/MS Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.04</td>
<td>- DC-704 diffusion pump oil (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Hydrocarbons (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>19.78 ± 0.04</td>
<td>- Hydrocarbons (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Other (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample PM 13 was tested inside the bake-out box for a duration of 5 hours in high vacuum around 3.0 x 10⁻⁵ Torr. The box was heater controlled at 300 °C, and the sample reached 250 °C for ~100 minutes.
Chemical Analysis

Sample Solvent Rinse Method

- Involves directly rinsing the MAC surface with a solvent
  - Destructive test that damages the coating surface

- Qualitatively provides a general approximation of the chemical species that were bound to the surface of the coating and can be dissolved using the selected solvent
  - Does not remove all the contaminants that are entrapped on the porous structure of MAC
  - Does not provide a complete representation to quantitatively assess the exact amount and types of contaminants that were collected on MAC

- Samples from each OGSE test were rinsed with chloroform
  - 4 OGSE-1 and 4 OGSE-2 barn door panels (Total: 8 samples)
  - 4 OGSE-1 and 4 OGSE-2 plenum samples (Total: 8 samples)

- Similar to the vacuum desorption method:
  - Rinsates from the samples were collected and allowed to evaporate to dryness in separate pre-weighed dishes. Remaining NVR was weighed and analyzed using FTIR and pyrolysis-GC/MS

SAMPLE SOLVENT RINSE PROCEDURE:
Rinsing the textured coating surface with solvent produced fine particles that were dispersed in the rinsates. Consequently, collected NVR was placed in a micro-vial inside a liner, which was heated in the GC inlet at a high rate of 30 °C/sec to a high temperature of 600 °C for pyrolysis. The volatile and semi-volatile compounds that evolved were then introduced to the GC column interface with the MS for a typical GC/MS run. The non-volatile compounds remain in the micro-vial to avoid inlet contamination.
### Chemical Analysis

- **NVR results of a single rinse of chloroform** on MAC samples from the JWST OGSE tests in Chamber A

- **Barn Door Panels**: *On average, NVR/area increased by a factor of 2 on the second set of panels*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location/Type</th>
<th>OGSE-1</th>
<th>OGSE-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installation Date: May 2015</td>
<td>Installation Date: September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample Size: 6 in by 11 in</td>
<td>Sample Size: 6 in by 12 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>NVR/Area (μg/cm²)</td>
<td>Sample ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD 42</td>
<td>13.48 ± 0.09</td>
<td>BB 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD 41</td>
<td>15.62 ± 0.09</td>
<td>BW 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD 43</td>
<td>13.74 ± 0.09</td>
<td>BW 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD 19</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.09</td>
<td>BB 06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>~ 12 μg/cm²</td>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Plenum Samples**: *On average, NVR/area slightly decreased by about 12 % for the second set of samples*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location/Type</th>
<th>OGSE-1</th>
<th>OGSE-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installation Date: May 2015</td>
<td>Installation Date: September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample Size: 6 in by 12 in</td>
<td>Sample Size: 6 in by 12 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>NVR/Area (μg/cm²)</td>
<td>Sample ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 04</td>
<td>47.93 ± 0.09</td>
<td>PW 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 05</td>
<td>84.73 ± 0.09</td>
<td>PW 05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 12</td>
<td>20.66 ± 0.09</td>
<td>PB 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 22</td>
<td>45.57 ± 0.09</td>
<td>PB 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>~ 50 μg/cm²</td>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chemical Analysis

- One MAC barn door panel (Sample BD 42) from OGSE-1 was rinsed three times to determine how much additional NVR will be removed with multiple rinses of chloroform.

- Results confirm that using this method does not fully remove the chemically adsorbed contaminants:
  - Two consecutive rinses remove the same amount of contaminant each time.
  - A third rinse showed a 64% reduction in NVR than the first two rinses.

---

### MAC Barn Door Panel - Sample Dimensions: 6 in x 11 in

**Other:** Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate - plasticizer, Methyl phenyl silicone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent Rinse</th>
<th>NVR (mg)</th>
<th>NVR/Area (μg/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.04</td>
<td>13.48 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.04</td>
<td>13.03 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regardless, the significance of this method is to qualitatively determine the types and relative amounts of chemical species that were detected from a solvent rinse of the coating surface.

- Each consecutive rinse displays the same chemical species:
  - Hydrocarbons (most prominent)
  - DC-704

- With each repeated rinse, there is a:
  - Gradual reduction of hydrocarbons from 90% to 79%
  - Gradual increase of DC-704 present from 8% to 17%

### Chemical Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent Rinse</th>
<th>NVR (mg)</th>
<th>NVR/Area (μg/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.04</td>
<td>13.48 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.04</td>
<td>13.03 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MAC Barn Door Panel - Sample Dimensions: 6 in x 11 in**

**Other:** Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate - plasticizer, Methyl phenyl silicone
Single Solvent Rinse Analysis Results from the OGSE-1 Test in May 2015

**MAC BARN DOOR PANELS**

High levels of hydrocarbons between 88 - 92 %

Low levels of DC-704 between 5 - 9 %

As expected, results show migration of DC-704 to the main level of Chamber A near the helium shroud and barn door

**MAC PLENUM SAMPLES**

High levels of DC-704 between 77 - 82 %

Low levels of hydrocarbons between 17 – 20 %

This is predictable considering that the plenum is the source of the silicone contamination

---

*Chemical Analysis*

*Other: Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate - plasticizer, Methyl phenyl silicone, DC 705 pump oil*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location, Type of MAC, and Sample ID Number</th>
<th>Percent of NVR Chemical Constituent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door N3 White MAC BD 42</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door N4 White MAC BD 41</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door W1 White MAC BD 43</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door W4 White MAC BD 19</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum NE White MAC PM 04</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum NW White MAC PM 05</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum SW White MAC PM 12</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum SE White MAC PM 22</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Sample Location, Type of MAC, and Sample ID Number*

**Other**

Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate - plasticizer, Methyl phenyl silicone, DC 705 pump oil
### Chemical Analysis

**Single Solvent Rinse Analysis Results from the OGSE-2 Test in September 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location, Type of MAC, and Sample ID Number</th>
<th>DC 704 Pump Oil</th>
<th>Other: Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate - plasticizer, Methyl phenyl silicone, DC 705 pump oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door Black MAC BB 20</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door White MAC BW 03</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door White MAC BW 12</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door Black MAC W2</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door Black MAC W6</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn Door White MAC W6</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum NE White MAC PW 03</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum NE White MAC PW 05</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum NW White MAC PB 01</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum SE Black MAC PB 04</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Same trends were observed for the MAC samples from the OGSE-2 test.
- Main contaminant adsorbed by the barn door panels were hydrocarbons.
- Main contaminant adsorbed by the plenum samples were DC-704.
- Reduction of 11-12% of DC-704 adsorbed for OGSE-2 plenum samples compared to OGSE-1 plenum samples.
- Relative amounts of hydrocarbons adsorbed on barn door panels were slightly lower, ranging between 85 - 87% for OGSE-2 test.
Chemical Analysis

FTIR Spectra Comparison for MAC Plenum Sample 14

The spectrum illustrates that the contaminated plenum sample is a better match to DC-704 when compared to the contaminated barn door panel spectrum, particularly in the 500 to 2000 cm\(^{-1}\) wavelength range.

FTIR Spectra Comparison for MAC Barn Door Panel 41
Closing Remarks

Mitigator and Indicator

- MAC can serve not only as a **contaminant risk mitigator**, but also as a **contaminant indicator** by identifying the molecular contamination risks in the chamber that may not be collected on post vacuum witness foils.
  - *For instance, molecular species that strike the coating surface are captured and less likely to be released during warm-up to ambient conditions.*

- Results from laboratory testing and chemical analysis methods have proven that MAC will continue to:
  - *Collect outgassed silicone based diffusion pump oil*
  - *Reduce the risk of molecular contamination from the chamber to test equipment*

Coating Particulation

- Particulation related anomalies from MAC were **not** observed during post test chamber inspections.
Conclusions
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Future Plans

- Continued use of MAC is planned for upcoming tests due to the successful application during the JWST OGSE tests in Chamber A
  - Currently planned for use for the **JWST Thermal Pathfinder Test**
    - *Tentatively scheduled for Fall 2016*

- Future work include:
  - Fine tuning the chemical analysis methods for determining the amount of contaminants adsorbed onto the coating
    - For example:
      - *Exploring different solvents for rinsing*
      - *Improving vacuum desorption tests for greater test efficiency*
  - Investigating other tear resistant substrates
  - Performing more analysis on future samples
  - Continuing to expand upon the benefits of using MAC for vacuum chamber applications
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