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X-ray Pulsar Navigation (XNAV)

A Celestial Navigation System

- A GPS-like system
- Multiple pulsars can provide a state measurement in 3-D

Applicable from Earth to Interstellar Trajectories

- Provides both position and timing information
- Onboard navigation
- Relative formation flying missions
- Interplanetary missions
- Planetary missions beyond Saturn
Pulsars (1/2)

A magnetized, rotating neutron star that rotates while emitting a beam of radiation

A subset of pulsars called millisecond pulsar (MSP) rotate with a period between 1.5-16 ms in the X-ray spectrum

- Their emissions are commonly supported by a loss of rotational energy
- MSPs can maintain a stable frequency comparable with atomic clocks

Ref. [4]
Pulsar emissions are measured and presented in the phase/frequency domain.

The total photon count rate is characterized by:

1. Phase ($\phi$)
2. The mean flux ($\alpha$) from the pulsar source
3. The total background flux ($\beta$)
4. Light Curve ($h(\phi)$)

A pulsar light curve is normalized so the curve integrates to 1 over a single phase.

Ref. [3]
XNAV has a significant research history beginning with discovery of the first radio pulsar in 1967

- Dr. Sheikh from the University of Maryland, College Park proposed using X-ray pulsar emissions for state estimation with an extended Kalman filter in his dissertation (2005)

Past and Current XNAV Related Projects

- Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) USA experiment (1993-2000)
- DARPA XNAV and XTIM Project, between Ball Aerospace, Microcosm Inc., Lockheed, and NRL (2005-2006)
- NASA NICER / SEXTANT Mission (2013 – Present)
NASA NICER Mission

Neutron Star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER)

Science X-ray observatory to explore the structure, dynamics, and energetics of neutron stars

Consists of 56 co-aligned X-ray concentrator optics using silicon drift detectors

Mounted on the International Space Station’s Express Logistics Carrier (ELC) with the Flight Releasable Attachment Mechanism (FRAM)

18 month mission duration

Ref. [4]
NASA SEXTANT Mission

Station Explorer for X-Ray Timing and Navigation Technology (SEXTANT)

- First time technology demonstration of real time onboard XNAV only orbit determination
- A software supplement to the NICER instrument
- Two week primary experiment
- Primary experiment goal of on board position knowledge ≤10 km worst direction
- Expected Launch in late 2016 on the SpaceX-12

Ref. [4]
XNAV Features

Useful XNAV pulsars are faint targets to a relatively noisy background environment

Celestial object observations are subject to occultations
  ◦ Pulsar x-ray detectors must overcome this signal to noise ratio within a reasonable cost/schedule

Observation time drives measurement accuracy
  ◦ Optimistic ~1000 second total observation time per measurement
  ◦ XNAV generates measurements within ~3-10 km position accuracy

Ref. [4]
Problem Statement

This thesis is a study of XNAV performance for a wide trade space of bounded Earth orbits with simulated X-ray detector space hardware.
Simulation Overview

The tracking performance of XNAV measurements are compared versus a given initial orbital state that is naturally propagated

The thesis uses these assumptions:

1. Based on the NICER instrument
2. The instrument collects photons from only one target at a given epoch
3. The instrument slews with no hardware restrictions
4. The entire pulsar observation schedule is produced before running the filter state estimate
5. A set amount of observation time is required for each pulsar, for each XNAV measurement
6. The spacecraft hardware can perform within the orbit trade space
Simulation Trade Space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft and Force Model</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geopotential Model</td>
<td>30x30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Body Perturbations</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Drag</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Radiation Pressure</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Orbit Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UTC Start Epoch</td>
<td>02/25/2017 00:00:00.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment Period</td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Major Axis (SMA)</td>
<td>6678 - 42158 km (LEO to Geosynchronous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity (ECC)</td>
<td>0 - 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination (INC)</td>
<td>0 - 180 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument of Periapsis (AOP)</td>
<td>0 - 360 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Ascension of the Ascending Node (RAAN)</td>
<td>0 - 360 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Anomaly (TA)</td>
<td>0 degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
XNAV Flow Diagram

1. X-Ray Photons
2. X-Ray Detector
3. X-Ray Photon Delay Estimation
4. XNAV Measurement Model
5. Extended Kalman Filter
6. State Estimate
Pulsar Photon Count Rate Model

XNAV pulsars produce different profiles of detected X-ray photons as they arrive
- Each photon’s time of arrival is calculated
- Timing accuracy of XNAV is inversely proportional to observation time on the pulsar

Total photon count rate uniquely characterizes each pulsar as part of the Poisson process:
\[
\bar{\lambda}(t) = \lambda(\phi(t)) = \beta + \alpha h(\phi(t))
\]
- \(\alpha\) = mean pulsed flux from pulsar
- \(\beta\) = total background rate
- \(h(\phi)\) = pulsar light curve function

A nonzero \(\beta\) will bias the associated photon arrivals

Ref. [5]
From Photon Timing to a XNAV measurement

The purpose of processing photon arrival times is to help estimate a phase/frequency difference between the Earth and the detector.

A useful pulsar for XNAV demonstrates light curve behavior for this purpose:
- Bright, hard, and narrow peaks
- Predictable dynamics of the pulsar source
- Minimal timing noise / single event offsets

Various operational issues hinder the search for the phase/frequency:
- Background Radiation
- Visibility Blockage

Ref. [2]
Background Radiation Model Formulation

The background environment is scaled in this thesis
  - Based on a nominal value at 0.1 Earth Radii

Scaling maximizes the background flux within the photon processing software limits

Increased background radiation can cause a measurement to be invalid

If the peak phase/frequency estimate is too noisy due to background radiation, it is not used for state estimation

Ref. [1]
Visibility Model Formulation

Periodic blockage of pulsars limit pulsar availability for XNAV measurements

An increase in occultations causes breakup in photon timing data, hindering phase/frequency estimation

Occultation: Sun, Earth, Moon
  - An avoidance zone from the line of sight (LOS) was enforced

Occultation: Highly variable background radiation areas
  - A longitude / latitude area over a range of Earth altitudes was enforced
Annual Pulsar Visibility

Semi-major Axis (SMA):
6678 km

Circular Equatorial Orbit

Chosen Start Epoch:
02/25/2017
00:00:00.000
Pulsar Scheduling Model

Each local XNAV measurement is chosen based on a local greedy search heuristic of navigation performance.

Steps to choosing the next XNAV measurement
1. A covariance is propagated directly to each measurement time
2. Potential measurements update the covariance without any photon phase/frequency estimation at their measurement times
3. The measurement that minimizes the resultant semi-major axis variance is chosen
Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) Settings

XNAV measurements are the only cause of state estimation convergence.

Modifications made to the filter
1. Process noise matrix is zeroed out
2. The truth / estimator propagation force models are an exact match
3. Initial state error is applied
4. The initial covariance is set so it bounds the actual error

6678 km Semi-Major Axis (SMA) Circular Orbit: Control Scenario with No Measurements
Results

The navigation performance overall was studied by quantifying the following:

1. Measurement Quality (% of measurements rejected and total number of measurements made)
2. Definitive State Error (Difference between the truth and estimate state)

To ensure convergence, the broader metrics for state accuracy are collected over the last day of state propagation.

The trade space provides a variety of orbit designs, so the following was studied:

- Sensitivity of changing one orbital element
- Sensitivity of fixing one orbital element and changing the rest
Five orbital elements were studied. Only the study of semi-major axis is presented today.
Orbits used for
Studying Initial Semi-Major Axis (SMA)
Geosynchronous Orbit

Orbit Plot

Definitive State Error

Position Errors (km)

Velocity Errors (km/s)

Orbit
PSR$_B^{1937+21}$
PSR$_B^{1821-24}$
PSR$_J^{0218+4232}$
PSR$_J^{0437-4715}$
Low Earth Orbit

Orbit Plot

Definitive State Error

Position Errors (km)

Velocity Errors (km/s)
Varying Only Initial SMA
Circular and Equatorial Orbit (2/2)
Conclusions

This was a first cut characterization of XNAV performance for tracking closed Earth orbits. The results are coupled and are sensitive to initial XNAV measurements. XNAV only tracking can converge to ≤ 10 km position error in 3 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbit Parameter</th>
<th>Influences</th>
<th>Ideal Orbit Range for XNAV Tracking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Major Axis</td>
<td>+ Drives pulsar visibility and average orbit speed</td>
<td>Maximum Value in Trade Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>− Can place orbit in area of high background radiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>+ Increase of visibility at apogee</td>
<td>0.0-0.2 (Dependent on Semi Major Axis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>− Decreases photon timing information around perigee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination Increases</td>
<td>− Occultation due to magnetic poles causes visibility breakup</td>
<td>Away from 90 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument of Perigee</td>
<td>• Rotates periods of pulsar visibility</td>
<td>Use value to define initial XNAV measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Ascension of the Ascending Node</td>
<td>• Rotates periods of pulsar visibility</td>
<td>Use value to define initial XNAV measurements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use value to define initial XNAV measurements
Unnecessary if Eccentricity is 0
Unnecessary if Inclination is 0 degrees
Thank you

NASA Goddard Spaceflight Center Navigation/Mission Design Branch
Dr. Carl Adams / Dr. Russell Carpenter

NASA SEXTANT Team
Dr. Jason Mitchell / Dr. Luke Winternitz

Naval Research Laboratory
Dr. Paul Ray / Dr. Kent Wood
References


This thesis modifies the orbit design, the pulsar information and the navigation filter (Cyan Boxes). It does not modify the simulation of pulsar measurement model (Orange Box). It uses the green arrowed path to simulate pulsar measurements.

Ref. [2]
XNAV Flow Diagram
Constant SMA
Variation of the other Orbital Parameters

The increase in SMA decreases the lower bound of velocity state errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semi Major Axis</th>
<th>Velocity Error Lower Bound</th>
<th>Circular Orbit Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (6678 km)</td>
<td>2.0e-3 km/s</td>
<td>7.2 km/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med (18505 km)</td>
<td>5.0e-4 km/s</td>
<td>4.6 km/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (42158 km)</td>
<td>8.0e-5 km/s</td>
<td>3.1 km/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Future Work

1. Running a Monte Carlo to produce performance statistics that randomizes initial state error
2. Focusing the trade space to further decouple orbit parameter relationships
3. Addition of further pulsars / variation of observation times per pulsar
4. Addition of force models to truth/estimate models
5. Filter tuning performance