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Purpose

• NASA needs to understand risk of CVD outcomes among astronauts
  • Inform HRP and Space Medicine

• NASA needs to understand any interactions between the occupational health effects of spaceflight exposure and normal aging
  • Guide occupational surveillance of astronauts in the future
Cardiovascular Alterations of Spaceflight

Fig. 3. LV mass measured by MRI before (Pre) and after (Post) the D-2 mission on 4 astronauts. Circles with error bars represent mean 6 SE before and after spaceflight.

Cardiovascular Alterations of Spaceflight

Fig. 1. Carotid distensibility coefficient (cDC using brachial pulse pressure for comparison to population data) is shown for the astronauts (left) and a reference population (right).

Cardiovascular Alterations of Spaceflight

Incidence of presyncope during stand and tilt testing after short- and long-duration flights. Presyncope is defined as the failure to complete 10 minutes of 80° upright tilt without symptoms.

Cardiovascular Disease

• Unsure if acute cardiovascular changes translate to overt disease
• Combined chronic effects of spaceflight and aging are not understood
• Spaceflight-related exposures may increase risk for CVD
  • Altered dietary and exercise habits
  • Physical/emotional stress
  • Circadian rhythm shifts
  • Radiation
  • Repeated exposures to microgravity
Limited Epidemiological Data on Astronauts

• Most recent epidemiological studies on CVD risk were published in 2000 (Hamm) and 2005 (Hamilton)
• No current data published on CVD Outcomes in astronauts
• Need to understand the health risks in astronauts as spaceflight exposures evolve
  • Short duration missions → Long duration missions (6 months to 1 year)
    → Very Long duration missions (3 years)

NASA does not have a current understanding of lifetime CVD risk in the astronaut corps
Comparing Astronauts to Other Cohorts

• Comparison to general population hampered by:
  • Selection bias
  • Healthy worker effect
  • Health status across time
  • Cohort effect
    • Changes to lifestyle, health and practice of medicine over 60 years
  • Small numbers of NASA Astronauts

• We have been actively seeking cohorts to compare the astronauts with and all have limitations.
Cohorts Considered for Comparison

- Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA)
- Defense Medical Surveillance System
- Framingham Heart Study
- Dallas Heart Study
- Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)
- Millennium Cohort
- Prospective Army Coronary Calcium study
- Air Force Aviators
- Cooper Center Longitudinal Study
Study Design

Historical Cohort Study

- Similar to a prospective cohort in that a sample from a population is monitored for the exposure of interest and then the outcome of interest
- Retrospective Identification of participants in cohort, classifying exposure of interest (spaceflight) and then reviewing records for outcomes of interest
- Common Occupational Epidemiologic Method
  - Used for rapid execution since do not need to wait for follow up time to accrue or study participants to be recruited
Study Questions

• Are there differences in the incidence of CVD Outcomes between each cohort and the NASA Astronaut Corps?
  • MI, Stroke, Revascularization

• Are there differences in the incidence of CVD risks between each cohort and the NASA Astronaut cohort?
  • Hypertension, Hyperlipidemia, Arrhythmias, Diabetes

• Are there differences between each cohort and the NASA Astronaut cohort in how CVD risk factors change across time?
  • Lipid profile
  • CV related behaviors – exercise, smoking
# Air Force Aviators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Study Design</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collaborators</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Subjects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample Size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusion Criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion Criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matching Variables</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Cooper Center Longitudinal Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaborators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura DeFina, MD – President/CEO, The Cooper Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina Radford, MD – Cardiologist, Cooper Clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Barlow, PhD – Senior Epidemiologist, The Cooper Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current or previous participant in CCLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-1 Cooper to Astronaut ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one exam following CCLS Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any CVD diagnosis at CCLS Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, Year of Study Entry, Sex, Baseline BMI, Education, Employment Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSC IRB in Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data

• Exposure of Interest
  • Spaceflight
    • Number of days in space
    • Number of missions

• CVD Outcomes
  • Primary – MI, revascularization and stroke
  • Secondary – HTN, hyperlipidemia, arrhythmias, diabetes
  • Changes to risk factors across time

• Covariates (as available at each exam):
  • Demographic variables
  • Vital signs
  • Laboratory Testing: Lipid, CRP, Fasting glucose, HbA1c, CACs, cIMT
  • Medication use
  • ECG interpretation
  • Behaviors: Exercise, smoking
  • Family history of cardiovascular disease
  • Military and/or piloting history
Proposed Analyses

• Logistic Regression
  • Dichotomous CVD outcomes
  • Odds of having CV outcome different between cohort and astronauts

• Survival Analysis
  • Time to CVD Outcome
  • Differences in time to CVD outcome between cohort and astronauts
Limitations

• Data harmonization within and between different cohorts may be difficult
  • Different data collection methods by cohort
  • Different data collection methods across time
  • Astronaut records initially collected for medical care

• Analyses based on current understanding of cardiovascular disease
  • As with any cohort, changes to how disease is diagnosed and managed across time
  • Accounting for those changes by using comparable birth cohorts that are followed longitudinally

• Differences in the way astronauts are selected versus how either cohort creates bias
Study Team

Lifetime Surveillance of Astronaut Health
- Jacqueline Charvat, PhD
- Mary Van Baalen, PhD

JSC Cardiovascular and Vision Laboratory
- Stuart M.C. Lee, PhD
- Michael B. Stenger, PhD

United States Air Force
- Eddie Davenport, MD

Cooper Clinic
- Carolyn Barlow, PhD
- Laura DeFina, MD
- Nina Radford, MD
Questions?
Back up
Strength of Cohorts Chosen

- Air Force
  - Aviators and astronauts chosen for health status

- Cooper Center Longitudinal Study
  - Breadth of cardiac measures on participants
Air Force Aviators

- Dr. Eddie Davenport, MD, FACC, Lt Col, USAF
  - Chief Cardiologist Aeromedical Consultation Service at Wright Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, OH

- Study Subjects
  - Current or previous aviator from the US Air Force

- Inclusion Criteria
  - Aviators with normal EKG at 35 years
  - Aviators who then had at least one additional EKG ~ 15 years following

- Exclusion Criteria
  - Aviators with any history of CVD prior to age 35 EKG

- Matching
  - Random sample of aviators who meet criteria will be
  - Matched 3-1 by year and gender

- Current Status
  - Air Force has identified an aviator sample
  - Currently at USAF IRB review
Cooper Center Longitudinal Study

- Laura DeFina, MD – President/CEO, The Cooper Institute
- Nina Radford, MD – Cardiologist, Cooper Clinic
- Carolyn Barlow, PhD – Senior Epidemiologist, The Cooper Institute

- Study Subjects
  - Current or previous participant in CCLS with at least one exam following baseline

- Inclusion Criteria

- Exclusion Criteria
  - Any CVD diagnosis at baseline

- Matching
  - Age, year of selection, gender, Baseline BMI, education and employment
  - Random sample of cohort members who meet criteria will be matched 3-1

- Current Status: JSC IRB in process