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ISS Inspection Camera Assets

- **EXTERNAL**
  - Mounted to structure
    - 4 standard definition TV cameras with lights on pan/tilt units
    - 2 wireless Nikon DSLR cameras mounted to cameras above (2 more planned)
    - 4 standard definition TV cameras on the JEM bulkhead and JEM Exposed Facility
  - Mounted to robotics
    - 4 standard definition TV cameras (2 with pan/tilt) on SSRMS
    - 4 standard definition TV cameras (2 with pan/tilt) on SPDM
    - 1 standard definition TV cameras with light and pan/tilt on MBS
    - 3 standard definition TV cameras (2 with pan/tilt) on JAXA JEM EF arm
  - Crew equipment
    - 3 standard definition TV cameras with lights (wireless) on helmet
    - Nikon digital SLR with selection of lenses and a flash unit
    - GoPro Camera
    - Infrared Camera

- **INTERNAL**
  - Crew handheld
    - Nikon D4 Digital SLRs, lenses
    - 1 Nikon D3S modified for near-IR
    - Selection of 2D and one 3D Video Camcorders
    - Minicam with fiberscope
External ISS Television Cameras

ETVCG – External TV Camera Group (4)
NTSC, 525 horizontal lines (USOS Standard)
CCD sensor
FOV: Max: 77x61  Min: 10x8°
Zoom ratio ~8:1
Note: Nikon D4 DSLR/ with 56-600 equiv. zoom lens to be attached to each ETVCG (2016/2017)

JEM EF – JAXA Experiment Module
Exposed Facility
NTSC, CCD
External SD Television Cameras
External HD Video and Still Cameras
ETVCG Inspection Challenges

- Limited camera installation locations, numerous blindspots

- Resolution limitations – generally not adequate for detailed inspections
  - Standard definition TV
  - Compression of the video for downlink
  - Distance and high incidence viewing
  - External high definition cameras planned for 2015 should dramatically improve capability

- Hardware failure and degradation reduces capability
  - Three of four ETVCG cameras currently have issues (pink tint, intermittent stuck zoom and intermittent stuck iris)
  - Limited spares and opportunities to replace

- JEM cameras are not readily available
  - Camera operational time is minimized to conserve life
  - Pan and tilt via uplinked command script
External TV Camera Coverage (ETVCGs (4) + JEM (4))
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ETVCG Inspection Challenges

• Limited camera installation locations, numerous blindspots

• Resolution limitations – generally not adequate for detailed inspections
  • Standard definition TV
  • Compression of the video for downlink
  • Distance and high incidence viewing

• Hardware failure and degradation reduces capability
  • Three of four ETVCG cameras currently have issues (pink tint, intermittent stuck zoom and intermittent stuck iris)
  • Limited spares and opportunities to replace

• JEM cameras are not readily available
  • Camera operational time is minimized to conserve life
  • Pan and tilt via uplinked command script
ETVCG “detectable” resolution at max zoom is approximately .25 inch at 25 feet .5 inch at 50 feet.
External Mounted Video Inspection Challenges

• Limited camera installation locations, numerous blindspots

• Resolution limitations – generally not adequate for detailed inspections
  • Standard definition TV
  • Compression of the video for downlink
  • Distance and high incidence viewing
  • *External high definition cameras planned for 2015 should dramatically improve capability*

• Hardware failure and degradation reduces capability
  • Three of four ETVCG cameras currently have issues (pink tint, controller problem, and slow iris)
  • Limited spares and EVA opportunities to remove and replace

• JEM cameras are not readily available
  • Camera operational time is minimized to conserve life
  • Pan and tilt via uplinked command script
External Robotic Television Cameras
(Mobile Servicing System)

SSRMS moves along truss when attached to Mobile Transport/Mobile Base System (MT/MBS).

SSRMS is operational along truss at MT Worksites and Power and Data Grapple Fixtures (PDGF) located on USOS modules and Russian FGB.

CLA - Camera and Light Assembly (CLA)

CLPA - Camera / Light / Pan-Tilt Unit Assembly
Both CLA and CLPA utilize the same CCD camera and lights

- FOV Max ~ 52° x 40°, ~9mm focal length,
  - Min ~ 6°x4°, ~84mm focal length
- Zoom ratio ~9:1
- The minimum viewing distance is 14 in.
- MSS camera “detectable” resolution at max zoom is approximately
  - ~.2 inch at 25 feet
  - ~.4 inch at 50 feet.
- Standard ETVCG and MSS frame rate: 30 fps
1. Two ORU/Tool Changeout Mechanisms (OTCMs)
   - 640x480, fixed focus, wide FOV, black/white
2. Two Camera/Light/Pan-Tilt Assemblies (CLPAs);
3. Body Roll Joint
4. SPDM Latching End Effector (LEE) with Camera/Light Assembly (CLA)
Robotics Inspection Challenges

• Robotic Operations are generally complex and require extensive planning.

• After planning joint angles/operations and then moving the manipulator to the inspection location, the viewing and resolution still might not be sufficient to resolve area of interest.

• Future inspection systems could plan to launch internally, but then go external through the JEM Airlock, however:
  • JEM Airlock usage is limited to a certain number of cycles per year
  • JEM Airlock usage requires use of limited IVA crew time
EVA Crew Cameras

Wireless Video System
- Three SONY XC-999 cameras
- HFOV = 85°, 56° and 30°
- Fixed depth of focus 12 inches to 25 feet.
- Detectable resolution .25-.5 inch at 10 feet.

Digital SLR
- Nikon D4 DLSR
- Lens focal lengths 10.5-180mm

GoPro
- Handheld EVA Video/Still Images
External/Internal IR Camera

Repackaged FLIR Systems ThermaCAM S60 Infrared Camera

Used for inspections of:
- Electrical components
- Radiators
- Solar Arrays
- Heaters

Infrared View of Damaged Thermal Radiator
(back-side surface)
Internal Crew Cameras

- Handheld still cameras provide the highest imaging resolution of any of the existing ISS imaging assets.

- Nikon D4 Digital Still Cameras
- Lenses ranging from 8mm to 1200mm
- One Nikon D3s modified for near IR photography/video

High Definition Video

Canon XF305 – mounted and handheld.

Panasonic 3DA1 – 3D video capability
Internal Crew Cameras

Drift Ghost S Action Camera to be used for:
- Obtaining HD video in tight spaces
- Over the shoulder views for ground situational awareness.

Sony XC-999 Mini-cam
Video camera with fiberscope

Binoculars
- 8x32
- 20x60
Crew External Inspection Challenges

- Limited windows, numerous blind spots
  - Distance and high incidence viewing

- Protective plastic scratch panes installed over Cupola and JEM windows reduce image resolution but need justification for removal and the removal and reinstallation process is time consuming (Cupola ~4 hours).

- Limited crew time for imagery acquisition support (IVA and EVA)

- EVA – no time for dedicated surveys
  - Lens usually selected for large field of view
Russian segment and Cupola windows provide coverage of truss aft surfaces and JEM windows provide coverage of port, forward truss surfaces not visible in ETVCG blind spots. Obstructed and Earth facing windows are not referenced.
Example Views from ISS Windows

MRM2 Starboard/Forward Window

JEM Window

MRM2 Port/Aft Window

Cupola Windows
ISS Window View Coverage

Brown shading shows window “blind spots”

Viewing from below
ISS Window View Coverage

Brown shading shows window “blind spots”

Viewing from above
Crew External Inspection Challenges

- Limited windows, numerous blindspots
  - Distance and high incidence viewing
- Protective plastic scratch panes installed over Cupola and JEM windows reduce image resolution but need strong justification for removal and the Cupola scratch pane removal and reinstallation process is time consuming (1-4 hours).

- Limited crew time for imagery acquisition support (IVA and EVA)
- EVA – no time for dedicated surveys
Crew External Inspection Challenges

• Limited windows, numerous blindspots
  • Distance and high incidence viewing

• Protective plastic scratch panes installed over Cupola and JEM windows reduce image resolution but need strong justification for removal and the removal and reinstallation process is time consuming (Cupola 1-4 hours).

• Limited crew time for inspection imagery acquisition (IVA and EVA)

• EVA – no time for dedicated surveys
  • Lens usually selected for large field of view
Other ISS Inspection Technologies

- Handheld Ultrasonic leak detector
- Ammonia Mass spectrometer

Challenges for Internal Inspection:
- Stowage can be in the way
- Crew time to rotate/reposition module racks can be hours
ISS Inspection Challenges Summary

• Regular, periodic inspection is limited to fixed line-of-sight views.

• Limited available IVA/EVA crew time
  - General ISS periodic inspection is lower priority to science and maintenance

• Scheduling ground controlled imagery surveys with mounted external cameras is not an issue, but they are low resolution and there are significant blind spots.

• Complex robotics operations inhibit using them for general purpose inspection surveys.
ETVCG & JEM cameras and Windows Viewing Coverage

Brown shading shows combined window and external stationary camera “blind spots”

Viewing from above

Viewing from below
ISS Visual Inspection
Technology Needs

• Fill in blind-spots and areas of low resolution resulting from fixed camera locations and windows
  • Prefer crew not required to operate

• Replace Shuttle fly-around imagery set which provided general ISS periodic inspection and views of overall ISS configuration

• Upgraded camera capabilities
  • Better resolution – we lack a good close-up, inspection capability
    • Robotic SPDM attachments planned - VIPER-2 and DDVS
  • Color, stereo, 3D vision for better depth determination
  • Penetrating sensor technology (e.g. backscatter x-ray)
    • Observable MMOD impact surface damage is not indicative of underlying damage
Orion Inspection Capabilities and Challenges
Orion Inspection Capabilities

• There is no Orion Program requirement for inspection of the Orion crew module and service module exterior.

• However, cameras installed at the tips of the four solar arrays provide a capability to visually survey the exterior surfaces.

• An inspection using the solar array cameras is planned for EM-1 to:
  • Evaluate an inspection capability
  • Provide situational awareness imagery
EM-1/EM-2 Solar Array Cameras

- Solar Array cameras are modified GoPro Hero 4
  - 12MP (4000 x 3000) CMOS Sensor
  - Streaming low resolution video via Wifi
  - Full resolution video and stills stored in camera microSD card for later WiFi transfer to vehicle comm system
    - Planned inspection modes: 12MP stills (Individual and Time Lapse)
    - Planned video rates (other events) of 4K @ 30 fps, 1080P @ 30 fps, Night Lapse
Orion CM Surface Inspection

• Crew Module (CM) inspection
  • Orient Crew and Service Module (CSM) with sun on –X (i.e. centerline) axis.
  • Pitch SAW1 through SAW4 inner gimbal forward 55-deg and capture full resolution still images.
  • Capability to fill in any missing CM surface by pitching and rolling arrays and camera as needed if inner gimbal lowered to 25-deg.
Orion SM Surface Inspection

- Service Module (SM) inspection
  - Orient CSM with the sun off to the side of the vehicle to avoid solar array shadows falling on CSM. Three separate SM imagery attitudes.
  - Pitch and roll solar arrays as needed and capture full resolution still images of SM surfaces.
Orion Inspection Challenges

- Desire to detect features as small as .25 inches.
  - Very high-quality lenses have been chosen for the SAW cameras, based on possible need for MMOD inspection.
  - Lens Field of View and Focus distance chosen to meet the .25 inch detection goal.

- Need favorable lighting for imagery
  - Illumination and shadows will be controlled with vehicle attitude

- CM surface reflectance
  - The CM surface will be covered in highly reflective metal tape leading to issues of sun glint into the lens or surfaces reflecting the blackness of space.
  - With an MMOD impact, “volcano”-like features, rather than holes, would be expected due to metallic tape covering TPS.
All five impacts clearly visible at normal incidence, with sufficient lighting.

Off-angle lighting (e.g. reflection from the white plate on the right) helps illuminate volcano sides. The sun forward of the CM should perform good highlighting in this way (testing needed).

45-deg incidence, contrast-stretched image. Impact detection diminished because look angle results in poor contrast (metallic “volcano” against metallic background, instead of black hole against metallic background as seen in left image). Low lighting also causes low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). We need to re-image this configuration in sunlight.

Impact test document image from a different camera

Metallic “volcanos”