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The Space Telecommunications Radio System (STRS) provides a common, consistent framework to abstract the application software from the radio platform hardware. STRS aims to reduce the cost and risk of using complex, configurable and reprogrammable radio systems across NASA missions. The NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) team made a software defined radio (SDR) platform STRS compliant by adding an STRS operating environment and a field programmable gate array (FPGA) wrapper, capable of implementing each of the platforms interfaces, as well as a test waveform to exercise those interfaces. This effort serves to provide a framework toward waveform development onto an STRS compliant platform to support future space communication systems for advanced exploration missions. The use of validated STRS compliant applications provides tested code with extensive documentation to potentially reduce risk, cost and effort in development of space-deployable SDRs. This paper discusses the advantages of STRS, the integration of STRS onto a Reconfigurable, Intelligently-Adaptive, Communication System (RIACS) SDR platform, and the test waveform and wrapper development efforts. The paper emphasizes the infusion of the STRS Architecture onto the RIACS platform for potential use in next generation flight system SDRs for advanced exploration missions.

I. Introduction

The STRS architecture is a framework for the design, development, operation and upgrade of space-based software defined radios. This framework aims to create a standard interface between the operating environment and a waveform application by using the Application Programmer Interface (API) while leveraging the constrained resources of space SDRs. This document provides a detailed description of the development process and tools, coding and implementation approaches, and performance benchmarks and tradeoffs for implementing a version of STRS onto a prototype SDR. The paper presents descriptions of how the radio operates, the internal APIs, the configuration files, constraints and lessons learned, and provides the execution process. The intended audience of this document is the developers of software architectures for
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SDR platforms, especially aero and space SDRs. The integrated power, avionics and software (iPAS) STRS Radio was implemented on RIACS platform, currently being used for radio development at NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC). The platform consists of a Xilinx ML605 Virtex-6 FPGA board, an Analog Devices FMCOMMS1-EBZ RF transceiver board, and an Embedded PC (Aiomtek eBox 620-110-FL) running the Ubuntu 12.4 operating system. Fig 1 shows the RIACS platform hardware.

![RIACS Platform Diagram](image)

Figure 1. RIACS Platform.

The general-purpose module (GPM) contains the implementation of the STRS infrastructure on the iPAS radio. It houses the operating environment (OE) and presents a communication conduit for command and data to and from the signal-processing module (SPM). The GPM is where the general purpose processor (GPP) hardware is contained and accessed by the operating system running the STRS project files. The signal processing module (SPM) encompasses the FPGA design, which consists of the FPGA wrapper that implements all the interfaces to the FPGA and abstracts them from the waveform, which is the FPGA implementation of the radio signal processing functions. The RF module (RFM) provides the analog and RF signal processing for the iPAS STRS radio. Fig 2 illustrates the top level design of the software and firmware components of the SDR within their specific hardware parts.

The FPGA implements the signal processing functions of the STRS radio architecture in the iPAS RIACS platform. The FPGA design consists of two parts—the FPGA wrapper and the test waveform. The FPGA Wrapper includes the logic and physical interfaces required for clock generation, reset signal generation, and Ethernet control and processing. Ethernet processing includes the stripping off of Ethernet headers, and the routing of received command packets, as well as transmit-side streaming data packets; the creation of packets for a command response and receive-side streaming data; finally, managing the control sequence of the transmission of command responses and receive-side streaming of data packets. The wrapper also includes the MicroBlaze processor implementation of the Inter-Integrated Circuit (IIC) bus interface to the RF Module for configuration purposes. The test waveform created for the iPAS STRS Radio demonstrates each FPGA wrapper interface. To test transmit-side streaming, it can perform bit error rate testing on transmit-side pseudorandom binary sequence (PRBS) streaming data. It can also generate PRBS streaming data packets for a receive-side streaming data source. The test waveform generates sine waves for I and
Q inputs to the RF transceiver. A binary phase shift keying (BPSK) modulator is included to modulate data from the PRBS generator or from transmit-side streaming data. Sampled I and Q outputs of the RF transceiver can be streamed to the embedded processor where it can be plotted to demonstrate proper functionality of the RF board and its interfaces. The test waveform does not fully implement all the signal processing functionality for a radio, but it exercises and demonstrates each interface in the FPGA wrapper. The wrapper and test waveform are used with the RF module to achieve the various tasks of a typical SDR. On the transmit side, the Analog Devices FMCOMMS1-EBZ board takes complex I and Q inputs (16-bits) into a high speed digital-to-analog converter (DAC) to create an analog signal. The DAC output signal is up-converted to the desired RF frequency by a quadrature modulator. On the receive side, the received RF signal is demodulated using direct-conversion to create I and Q analog signals. The analog signals are digitized using a 14-bit analog-to-digital converter (ADC).

A future user of the platform for an STRS radio would re-use the GPM code as well as the FPGA wrapper and replace the test waveform with their own radio signal processing functions and unique low level command functions under the STRS umbrella. The FPGA design is required to receive and process commands and provide command control and data to the test waveform. It must also receive and transmit streaming data from/to the embedded processor. This configuration would serve as a template for the user to build their application without having to start from scratch.

II. Background

The STRS Reference Implementation (RI) is an application with a series of coded APIs, designed for plug-and-play. The RI allows the processor to perform radio functions and implement the STRS API interfaces. The subsystems interoperate to provide radio control functionality. It serves as the framework for the instantiation and removal of waveforms and service components of the software, along with the execution of the methods of each component, using well-defined interfaces between each component. This framework provides the flexibility to use implementation standards for various mission classes, which can be instantiated and inherited from to create unique objects without having to create base classes. Furthermore, the RI also brings a set of test waveforms. These can be used to verify the expected behavior of a waveform within its current infrastructure. An overview of the software architecture of the RI can is illustrated in Fig 3. Please note that the acronym HAL stands for hardware abstraction layer. All other components are spelled out in the figure.

The set of APIs in the RI can be used as routines, protocols, and tools for building unique software applications. These APIs are standardized between the waveform application and the radio software. This allows for the infrastructure that supports the required services to run the waveform applications in all
systems with the same standard interfaces, with minimal rework. These APIs are specified by the architecture to separate the waveform from the operating environment (OE), thus making the waveform portable. STRS APIs provide a consistent interface for waveform and system management, timing, and logical device control. They allow applications to be instantiated and use platform services to enable streamlined communication between the waveform, other SDR applications and system components.

The iPAS hardware platform provides the component and interfaces used by the RI to implement STRS on the system, allowing designers to create waveform components written in either C or C++. Additionally, STRS configuration files contain platform and waveform specific information, which coupled with standardized interface allow for customization of installed waveforms, without hindering portability.

III. Implementation

A. Software Development on the GPP

The software design for the iPAS SDR is implemented on an Aiomtek eBox 620-110-FL, which contains an AMD G-Series APU T56N 1.65 GHz processor. This PC has a 200 GB hard drive and is running Ubuntu 12.04 LTS operating system. The development tools used for this GPM design are: Eclipse Indigo with all internal compilers for C/C++, as well as other Linux tools, such as GNU Make, and Bash Scripting.

The STRS command infrastructure on the iPAS radio is implemented on the GPM. Using the RI as a base framework, an interface was constructed, that would work with the iPAS SDR. The RI functionality was modified and a sample waveform was added. This method reduced code duplication and allowed the team to work with a known set of APIs that were previously reviewed and approved for release. A communication conduit for command and data transfer to the SPM was created with the RI as a basis. This conduit works with a command routing structure, in which commands are entered via the flight computer simulator (FCS). The Command and Control Module (CCM) converts the commands into calls to the STRS standard API within the OE. The OE controls the waveform application as requested. Then the waveform application replies to the commands back to the OE, which handles the responses and routes them via the CCM back to FCS. The basic functionality of this conduit is illustrated in Fig 4.

The development group at NASA Johnson Space Center uses this specific GPM hardware as their development platform. Therefore, in order to make the GRC system work with their hardware, the GRC team developed on the same type of unit. The STRS Architecture running on the GPP hardware can perform...
transmit-side streaming to the SPM, receive-side streaming from the SPM, command generation, command transmission to the SPM and command processing of responses from the SPM, all simultaneously. The GPP also controls the Ethernet communication protocol (set-up in TCP/IPv4), scheduler and dynamic memory allocation of the hardware and systemic pointing for all STRS command and control interfaces. This architecture controls the use of specific waveform characteristics by commanding the configuration of the iPAS test waveform; such as the mentioned PRBS generator, BERT, SDR source, SDR sink, etc. Additionally, the STRS-iPAS implementation includes debugging tools, such as documented status bits that can be queried. This allows the user to display any issues hindering the proper functionality of the SDR. Additional queries can be done to obtain the status of the first-in-first-out (FIFO) buffer during transmit-side streaming and observation of automatic speed adjustments of transmit-side, packets streaming. All these functional parts can be accessed via a standardized command hierarchy illustrated in Fig 5.

Ubuntu 12.04 was chosen as the operating system for implementing the STRS Reference Architecture. Ubuntu 12.04 offers many built-in features that allow for simplified set-up of communication protocols between different hardware components. Also, it provides a stable environment in which to develop, modify and test code, both in blocks and as a whole architecture. In addition to this, the STRS RI had been ported...
to Linux in a previous effort. Choosing Ubuntu 12.04 minimizes changes necessary for implementing the STRS RI on the iPAS platform.

B. Firmware Development on the FPGA

This programmable logic device (PLD) design of the iPAS-STRS SDR is implemented on a Xilinx ML605, Rev D Evaluation board which contains a Virtex-6 (xc6vlx240t-1ff1156) FPGA. An FMC mezzanine board (Analog Devices FMC1COMMS-EBZ, Rev B) is used for the RF front end. The development tool used for the PLD design is Xilinx ISE Design Suite System Edition version 14.4, which includes EDK and SDK. The Xilinx iSim simulator was used for design simulation of most of the VHDL modules.

STRS requires that the FPGA Wrapper for an STRS radio encompass all the possible radio FPGA interfaces. The wrapper abstracts the interfaces to the FPGA from the waveform, so that the waveform developer does not need to implement these interfaces. This approach also allows the platform developer to protect proprietary platform design information from a waveform developer. The wrapper can also include any other functionality that a radio would require, like power-on-resets and clock generation, which would be common to all radios on the platform. Additionally, it has interfaces to on-board resources like clocks, switches, and LEDs, as well as the implementation of the interface to the Ethernet physical interface (PHY) for receiving commands and streaming data from the GPM processor and for transmitting command responses and streaming data to the processor.

The wrapper also contains the interface to the RF front-end board and it utilizes a Xilinx clock wizard IP to generate clocks necessary for the Ethernet Media Access Controller (EMAC). The source input clock (200 MHz) for the clock wizard is single-ended and is generated within the MicroBlaze processor. The clock wizard creates the following clocks: a 200 MHz clock used by the Ethernet IP core and a 125 MHz single-ended clock which is used by the Ethernet interface. The Locked output from the clock wizard is used as the power-on-reset for the reset generator. Fig 6 contains a block diagram of the transmit side of the PLD design and Fig 7 contains the block diagram of the receive side of the PLD design.

Figure 6. Transmit Side Design.

Figure 6 illustrates the functionality of the transmit-side wrapper, including clock generation, reset signal generation, and the modules to receive streaming and command packets and remove Ethernet headers. Furthermore, the block diagram also shows the transmit-side waveform functions which include command parsing and decoding, conversion of streaming packet data into continuous streaming data, PRBS generation, and I and Q signal generation (sine waves).
Figure 7 contains the block diagram of the receive side of the PLD design. The receive-side waveform performs bit error rate testing of PRBS or streaming data. The receive-side wrapper packetizes command responses and receive-side streaming data and controls their transmission over the Ethernet port.

A single Ethernet port on the ML605 board was used for both commanding and streaming data. This was done because it required only one interface to be implemented. Since command packets are short and infrequent, they are unlikely to interfere with streaming data packets. The command packet length was defined to be 49 total bytes. Command responses are 60 bytes in length. Streaming data packets are 557 total bytes. In each case, 42 bytes are Ethernet header bytes which consist of the MAC header (14 bytes), IP header (20 bytes), and UDP header (8 bytes). The total length of the packets (i.e. the payload portion of each packet) can be changed to accommodate new designs simply by changing the appropriate constants.

The Waveform clocks originate in the DAC device on the RF Module for the transmit-side and the ADC device on the RF Module for the receive-side. These DAC and ADC clocks (approximately 196 MHz) are used in the wrapper to generate clock enable signals to control clocking within the waveform. In addition to the generation of the clocks and resets, the wrapper instantiates the EMAC interface and the radio test waveform. The wrapper also includes modules that provide the basic functionality to communicate in both directions to the Ethernet interface. Also it provides a series of error signals that register and combine the error flags generated from the wrapper submodules into a word called StatusBits. This word is used as an input to the Waveform module. This process allows the status bits from the wrapper to be sent in response to a status request command, if the waveform developer chooses to use them.

C. RF Module

The DAC and ADC for the iPAS STRS Radio are contained on the RF Module, Analog Devices FMCOMMS1-EBZ high-speed analog board. The FMCOMMS1-EBZ board is a mezzanine board, compatible with the Xilinx ML605 FPGA board, and must be inserted in only the FMC-LPC connector when used as part of the iPAS STRS Radio. This Analog Devices RF Front-End board comes with a reference design to aid developers in using the board. The reference design, implemented on the MicroBlaze soft processor on the FPGA board using Xilinx EDK and SDK tools, is complex and contains functionality not necessary for the STRS Radio implementation. It was decided to use the reference design only for the configuration of the RF board, and not for the data paths. The FPGA wrapper, therefore, connects directly to the digital-to-analog and analog-to-digital converters directly with VHDL code. This approach greatly simplifies the FPGA wrapper and the insertion of new waveforms.
IV. Testing

The SDR was tested to verify that all the built-in functionality was working properly. A total of eight different tests were performed. Each of the tests demonstrated that each component interface was able to transmit and receive data/commands without dropping packets. Additionally, these tests verified that the different interfaces were working well together in a single direction and bi-directionally. Figure 8 shows the hardware configuration that was used for this testing. The middle of the test configuration shows the STRS Radio Platform, which is the system under test. The items outside the platform are used either to run the test or to observe the operation of the platform. The Windows PC executes the Flight Computer Simulator Graphical User Interface (GUI) that is used to send STRS commands to the radio to configure, control, query the system, and display status. The second Windows PC is used to control and observe the demodulator, and to receive configuration information from the FPGA MicroBlaze processor over a USB UART connection. A monitor connected to the Axiomtek PC is used to execute the STRS software, to observe Ethernet packets, to plot received streaming packet data, and to display BER results.

Figure 8. SDR Test Configuration.

Although multiple tests were run, the most important of these is the Full Data and Command Path SDR system test with external sources. This test verified that all the interfaces of the SDR were working for commands and data simultaneously. Also it verified that the SDR could receive data from outside sources and transmit that data to another outside destination without dropping packets. Furthermore, this test verified that the modulation scheme of the test waveform was working properly using encoded data from an outside source.

A block diagram of the Full Data and Command Path SDR system test configuration is shown in Fig 9. The transmit-side of the FPGA was configured to use an external PRBS generator and stream PRBS data coming from a flight computer simulator via Ethernet. The PRBS data was modulated (BPSK) and sent to the RF Board. The output power of the RF Board was be measured, and the RF spectrum was observed on an external spectrum analyzer. The RF output was connected to the input of a commercial demodulator so that the data could be demodulated and BER testing could be performed. Simultaneously, the receive-side was configured to use a signal generator to send a signal into the external RF input of the RF Board. The signal was a sine wave slightly offset from the 2.4 GHz center frequency of the RF Board so that, after down conversion, the resulting ADC output signal would be a sine wave slow enough to be sampled in the FPGA.
and then packetized and transmitted to the GPM and consequently to the Flight Computer. The sampled received signal was observed at the ADC output using Xilinx ChipScope to verify the proper signal shape.

Figure 9. Full Data and Command Path Test Block Diagram.

V. Results

The results from our verification testing show that the iPAS RIACS SDR is able to transmit, receive in simplex mode and in duplex mode without dropping packets or any evidence of bit errors. This indicates that all the control interfaces, software, firmware, RF and timing schemas between the modules (GPM to/from SPM to/from RF) are working properly. Additionally, the successful capture of the transmitted sine wave shows that the system is able to decode the RF samples properly. Note that no demodulation is performed by the sample waveform since this is beyond the scope of a test waveform and is not necessary to demonstrate that the SDR is able to transmit and receive data from/to external sources as well as its internal sources.

VI. Conclusions

To conclude, we would like to highlight the benefits of using this platform as a development framework for STRS compatible waveforms. Providing an abstraction framework, that standardizes software architectures across the SDR, is a highly desirable feature in terms of software portability. By abstracting the hardware from the control interface, a developer can plug and play with existing code. This speeds up development time. There is no need to start code from the ground up, if we can reuse the high level architecture and simply write low level control interfaces that tie into the high level architecture. This architecture handles all the necessary command, control, scheduling and timing for the low level control applications. The beauty of the iPAS SDR is in the implementation of the architecture in a working SDR. Not only have we been able to abstract the low level control functions of this specific hardware, but also put in all the hooks to be STRS compliant. Therefore, a developer writing waveforms on this platform can simply bring their code as a new waveform, plug their low level control functions into the provided template and compile it under the STRS project. There is no need to be an expert on STRS to simply use it.

The iPAS RIACS SDR is a step forward in the effort to infuse STRS into flight missions. Benefits of STRS infusion include: having a common, stable and consistent abstraction layer across multiple hardware platforms to maximize code reuse, utilizing validated code with well documented functionality to implement aeronautics and space SDR technology, leveraging expertise and experience from other SDR development efforts that comply with STRS to minimize development time and minimizing risk and cost by integrating modular, compliant code onto a well understood and clear framework. The iPAS RIACS SDR provides a relatively simple framework for waveform development with an implemented STRS compliant platform to bring forth all the benefits of STRS on a practical application. This serves as a development cradle for future space communication systems in next generation avionics and space flight SDRs.
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