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What is a Space Service Volume (SSV)?

The Space Service Volume defines three interrelated performance metrics at each altitude:
- Availability
- Received power
- Pseudorange accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude Range</th>
<th>Volume Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8,000 to 36,000 km</td>
<td>Space Service Volume (High/Geosynchronous Altitudes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000 to 8,000 km</td>
<td>Space Service Volume (Medium Altitudes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface to 3,000 km</td>
<td>Terrestrial Service Volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GEO Altitude - 36,000 km
GPS Altitude - 20,183 km
Terrestrial Service Volume
Surface to 3,000 km

Space Service Volume (High/Geosynchronous Altitudes)
8,000 to 36,000 km

Space Service Volume (Medium Altitudes)
3,000 to 8,000 km
Past and Ongoing Development of the SSV

GPS SSV

2000
Initial SSV definition (GPS IIF)

2006
Current SSV specification (GPS III)

2015
GPS III SV11+ SSV proposed specification update (via IFOR)

Interoperable Multi-GNSS SSV

2005
Establishment of UN International Committee on GNSS (ICG)

2011
Introduction of Interoperable Space Service Volume to ICG

2015
Establishment of common definitions & documentation of SSV capabilities by all GNSS providers

ICG WG-B Multi-GNSS Analysis & Outreach

Provider SSV development
GPS SSV Development
GPS Space Service Volume: Executive Summary

• Current SSV specifications, developed with **limited on-orbit knowledge**, only capture performance provided by signals transmitted within 23.5° (L1) or 26° (L2/L5) of boresight.

• On-orbit data & lessons learned since spec development show **significant PNT performance improvements** when the full aggregate signal is used.

• **Numerous** operational missions in High & Geosynchronous Earth Orbit (HEO/GEO) **utilize** the full signal to enhance vehicle PNT performance
  – **Multiple** stakeholders **require** this enhanced PNT performance to meet mission requirements.

• **Failure to protect** aggregate signal performance in future GPS designs creates the risk of **significant loss of capability**, and **inability to further utilize performance** for space users in HEO/GEO

• Protecting GPS aggregate signal performance ensures **GPS preeminence** in a developing multi-GNSS SSV environment
Benefits of nearly-continuous GNSS use in SSV:

- Significantly *improves* real-time navigation performance (from: km-class to: meter-class)
- Supports *quick* trajectory maneuver recovery (from: 5-10 hours to: minutes)
- GNSS timing *reduces* need for expensive on-board clocks (from: $100sK-$1M to: $15K–$50K)
- Supports *increased* satellite autonomy, lowering mission operations costs (savings up to $500-750K/year)
- Enables new/enhanced capabilities and better performance for *HEO and GEO missions*, such as:

*Earth Weather Prediction using Advanced Weather Satellites*

*Space Weather Observations*

*Precise Relative Positioning*

*Launch Vehicle Upper Stages and Beyond-GEO applications*

*Formation Flying, Space Situational Awareness, Proximity Operations*

*Precise Position Knowledge and Control at GEO*
Key Civil Stakeholder: GOES-R

- GOES-R, -S, -T, -U: 4th generation NOAA operational weather satellites
- Launch: **19 Nov 2016**, 15-year life
  - Series operational through 2030s
- Driving requirements:
  - **Orbit position knowledge** requirement (right)
  - All performance requirements **applicable through maneuvers**, <120 min/year allowed exceedances
  - Stringent **navigation stability** requirements
  - Requirements unchanged for GOES-S, -T, -U

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Requirement (m, 1-sigma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-track</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-track</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- GOES-R **cannot** meet stated mission requirements with SSV coverage as currently documented
- NASA-proposed requirement formulated as **minimum-impact solution** to meet GOES-R performance needs
GOES-R

THE FUTURE OF FORECASTING

3X MORE CHANNELS
Improves every product from current GOES Imager and will offer new products for severe weather forecasting, fire and smoke monitoring, volcanic ash advisories, and more.

4X BETTER RESOLUTION
The GOES-R series of satellites will offer images with greater clarity and 4x better resolution than earlier GOES satellites.

5X FASTER SCANS
Faster scans every 30 seconds of severe weather events and can scan the entire full disk of the Earth 5x faster than before.

GOES 2005

GOES-R 2016

www.nesdis.noaa.gov

NOAA Satellite and Information Service
Proposed GPSIII SV11+ SSV Requirement

- Proposed requirement adds second tier of capability specifically for HEO/GEO users
  - Increased signal availability to nearly continuous for at least 1 signal
  - Relaxed pseudorange accuracy from 0.8m RMS to 4m RMS
  - No change to minimum received signal power
  - Applies to all signals (L1/L2/L5), all codes

### Comparison Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PR acc. (rms)</th>
<th>0.8 m</th>
<th>4m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1+ signal</strong></td>
<td>≥ 80%</td>
<td>≥ 99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4+ signals</strong></td>
<td>≥ 1%</td>
<td>≥ 33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Max outage</strong></td>
<td>108 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSV L1 HEO/GEO availability; 4m spec identical for L2/L5
GOES-R Mission Impact

- Modeled each type of GOES-R maneuver at each GPS availability level
- Only 1 signal is necessary to recover nav performance; max outage is key metric
- At current required availability (red), post-maneuver errors exceed requirement in all cases, for up to 3 hours
- Proposed SSV requirement (blue) just bounds errors within GOES-R nav requirement
- RSS requirement is shown for illustration; in actuality, each component meets individually
Requirement Development at a Glance

- Spring 2015: GPS ACE & MMS performance definitively demonstrate benefits of sidelobes for space users – socialization begins with GOES-R as example
- Aug 2015: Maj Gen Thompson briefed, supports updating GPS SSV requirement through IFOR
  - Monthly IFOR WG meetings w/ NASA, AFSPC, SMC (w/ Aerospace)
  - Major deliverables provided by NASA: Requirement Language, Statement of Need, Analysis of Alternatives
  - NASA coordinating with interagency stakeholders for letters of support/commitment
- 9 Feb 2016: Final IFOR WG
  - NASA delivered final products
  - SMC delivered ROM cost estimate for impact to GPS system; disconnect in expected impacts further discussion
- 26 Feb 2016: SMC/SY endorsement
- 22 Mar 2016: IFOR Co-Chair preliminary recommendation meeting
  - Proposed recommendation: objective requirement w/ NASA involvement in acquisition
  - USAF questions on AoA and forward plan led to IFOR-requested HPT
- 12–14 Apr 2016: NASA/AFSPC/SMC HPT
  - USAF/NASA MoA framework drafted
- 19 Apr 2016: NOAA endorsement
- 18 May 2016: Brief to PNT Advisory Board
  - Commitment from Maj Gen Thompson to reengage
- Oct 2016: AFPSC establishes Independent Strategic Assessment Group to study SSV requirement; outbrief due late Feb 2017
GPS SSV
Conclusions & Way Forward

• NASA has proposed an updated GPS SSV requirement to protect high-altitude space users from risk of reduced future GPS capability.
  – Key civil example user is GOES-R
  – Many other emerging users will require these capabilities in the future

• Available data suggests that the updated requirement can easily be met by a minimum-performing constellation of the previous design.
  – If true, cost to implement would be documentation/V&V only, not a hardware change
  – But, in the absence of direct verification data, a risk remains that the requirement would not be met by the current and future designs

• NASA seeks USAF engagement to seek and implement minimal-impact requirement based on best available data through SV11+ acquisition cycle

• NASA finds the proposed requirement critical to support future users in the SSV across the enterprise and is open to a commitment of funding based on a validated assessment.

• The proposed requirement is an innovative, whole-of-government approach that will protect and encourage next-generation capabilities in space at minimal cost.

• NASA encourages the work of the SSV Independent Strategic Assessment Group to provide independent analysis of proposed requirement and path forward.
Interoperable Multi-GNSS SSV Progress
International Committee on GNSS (ICG)

- Emerged from 3rd UN Conference on the Exploration and Peaceful Uses of Outer Space July 1999
  - Promote the use of GNSS and its integration into infrastructures, particularly in developing countries
  - Encourage compatibility & interoperability among global and regional systems
- Members include:
  - GNSS Providers: (U.S., EU, Russia, China, India, Japan)
  - Other Member States of the United Nations
  - International organizations/associations – Interagency Operations Advisory Group (IOAG) & others
  - 11th annual meeting hosted by Russia in Sochi, November 6-11, 2016

Summary of ICG Multi-GNSS SSV Development Efforts To-Date

- Interoperable, Multi-GNSS SSV coordination is accomplished as part of ICG Working Group B (WG-B): Enhancement of GNSS Performance, New Services and Capabilities
- ICG WG-B discussions have encouraged GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, BeiDou, QZSS, & NAVIC to characterize performance for space users to GEO
- 2016 ICG meeting was held Nov. 6-11, in Sochi, Russia, where:
  - All providers reaffirmed the criticality of GNSS for current and emerging space missions
  - Participating members are finalizing a guidance booklet on GNSS SSV & are jointly conducting analyses to characterize interoperability
  - Stakeholder ICG members will coordinate a global outreach initiative to educate & inform policy makers on the importance of a multi-GNSS SSV enabling space users to serve societal needs
ICG WG-B Phase 1 Results: 4+ Signal Main-Lobe Availability

Interoperable GNSS achieves 100% system availability
Conclusions

• The Space Service Volume, first defined for GPS IIF in 2000, continues to evolve to meet high-altitude user needs.

• GPS led the way with a formal specification for GPSIII, requiring that GPS provides a core capability to space users.

• Today, we continue to work in parallel tracks to ensure that the SSV keeps pace with user demands.
  – For GPS, with its well-characterized performance, we are working to update the SSV spec to capture the needs of emerging GPS-only users like GOES-R.
  – In partnership with foreign GNSS providers, we are working jointly to characterize, analyze, document, and publish the capabilities of an interoperable multi-GNSS SSV with ultimate goal of provider specification.

• Both approaches are equally critical: a robust GPS capability will enable and enhance new missions in single-system applications, while an interoperable GNSS SSV ensures that a wider capability is available as needed.