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Abstract

The Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) mission used laser altimetry measurements to determine changes in elevations of glaciers and ice sheets, as well as sea ice thickness distribution. These measurements have provided important information on the response of the cryosphere (Earth’s frozen surfaces) to changes in atmosphere and ocean condition. ICESat operated from 2003-2009 and provided repeat altimetry measurements not only to the cryosphere scientific community but also to the ocean, terrestrial and atmospheric scientific communities. The conclusive assessment of significant ongoing rapid changes in the Earth’s ice cover, in part supported by ICESat observations, has strengthened the need for sustained, high accuracy, repeat observations similar to what was provided by the ICESat mission. Following recommendations from the National Research Council for an ICESat follow-on mission, the ICESat-2 mission is now under development for planned launch in 2018. The primary scientific aims of the ICESat-2 mission are to continue measurements of sea ice freeboard and ice sheet elevation to determine their changes at scales from outlet glaciers to the entire ice sheet, and from 10s of meters to the entire polar oceans for sea ice freeboard. ICESat carried a single beam profiling laser altimeter that produced ~70 m diameter footprints on the surface of the Earth at ~150 m along-track intervals. In contrast, ICESat-2 will operate with three pairs of beams, each pair separated by about 3 km across-track with a pair spacing of 90 m. Each of the beams will have a nominal 17 m diameter footprint with an along-track sampling interval of 0.7 m. The differences in the ICESat-2...
measurement concept are a result of overcoming some limitations associated with
the approach used in the ICESat mission. The beam pair configuration of ICESat-2
allows for the determination of local cross-track slope, a significant factor in
measuring elevation change for the outlet glaciers surrounding the Greenland and
Antarctica coasts. The multiple beam pairs also provide improved spatial coverage.
The dense spatial sampling eliminates along-track measurement gaps, and the small
footprint diameter is especially useful for sea surface height measurements in the
often narrow leads needed for sea ice freeboard and ice thickness retrievals. The
ICESat-2 instrumentation concept uses a low energy 532 nm (green) laser in
conjunction with single-photon sensitive detectors to measure range. Combining
ICESat-2 data with altimetry data collected since the start of the ICESat mission in
2003, such as Operation IceBridge and ESA’s CryoSat-2, will yield a 15+ year record
of changes in ice sheet elevation and sea ice thickness. ICESat-2 will also provide
information of mountain glacier and ice cap elevations changes, land and vegetation
heights, inland water elevations, sea surface heights, and cloud layering and optical
thickness.
1. Introduction

ICESat was the first spaceborne laser altimetry mission for Earth science and was in operation from 2003 – 2009 [Schutz et al., 2005]. Because of laser lifetime issues, ICESat’s collection strategy was changed from continual operation to 30 day campaign periods two to three times each year. Despite this campaign mode operation, it was a very successful mission that enabled estimates of the overall mass change of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, as well as the regional changes that illuminate the underlying processes [Pritchard et al., 2009; Zwally et al., 2011 and 2015; Sørensen et al., 2011; Sasgen et al., Csatho et al., 2014, Khan et al., 2014].

One of the key findings of ICESat was that some outlet glaciers around the margins of these ice sheets are losing more mass quicker than expected [e.g., Pritchard et al., 2009; Zwally et al., 2011]. Investigations using ICESat data resulted in the discovery and subsequent mapping of sub-glacial lakes in Antarctica [Fricker et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2009] and the improvement of tide models under ice shelves [Padman et al., 2008; Ray, 2008]. ICESat altimeter data have been used to deconvolve ice and solid earth mass change signals for the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) data over Antarctic ice sheets [Gunter et al., 2009; Groh et al., 2012]. Furthermore, ICESat observations provided a comprehensive assessment of ice shelf thinning in Antarctica and subsequent links to dynamic thinning of grounded tributaries (Pritchard et al., 2012).
Outside of the ice sheets, ICESat data played a critical role in resolving mass changes of mountain glaciers and ice caps (Moholdt et al., 2010, Gardner et al., 2011, Gardner et al., 2012, Moholdt et al., 2012) that were determined to have contributed one third of total sea level rise observed over ICESat’s period of operation (Gardner et al., 2013). Glacier thickness changes from ICESat observations served as a basis to derive the first spatially resolved mass budget over the entire Hindu Kush–Karakoram–Himalaya region (Kääb et al., 2012), the peripheral glaciers, and ice caps of Greenland (Bolch et al., 2013).

ICESat also demonstrated that it is possible to extract sea ice freeboard, thickness, and volume from laser altimetry [e.g. Kwok et al., 2009; Farrell et al., 2009; Kurtz and Markus, 2012]. Freeboard is the height of the snow or ice surface above the local sea surface. Sea ice thickness can be derived from freeboard by assuming local hydrostatic balance and with assumptions or estimates of sea ice and water densities as well as snow load on top the ice floes [see, for example, Kwok et al., 2009, Connor et al., 2013, Farrell et al., 2015].

Time series of inter-annual variation and mission-length trends in sea ice thickness for the entire Arctic and Southern Oceans could be calculated. Recent observations of Arctic sea ice coverage from satellite passive microwave data show that record or near-record lows in ice extents occurred in the years 2005–12. In September 2012, the summer ice extent reached another record minimum of 3.6×10⁶ km² which was
2.2×10^6 km^2 or 30% less than the record set seven years earlier in September 2005.

With this record, seasonal ice now covers more than half of the Arctic Ocean. Results from ICESat showed that over the 5 years (2004-2008) for which we have ICESat data the overall sea ice thickness of the Arctic Ocean multiyear ice decreased by 0.6 m, and more than 40% of the thick multiyear ice was lost [Kwok et al., 2009].

Over decadal time scales, the combined record of submarine and ICESat thickness estimates suggest that winter thickness in the central Arctic has thinned from 3.64 m in 1980 to 1.75 m by 2009 [Rothrock et al., 2008; Kwok and Rothrock, 2009].

Extending the ICESat time series with more recent observations from CryoSat-2 shows that ~1500 km^3 of winter (February/March) sea-ice volume has been lost from the Arctic Ocean during the last decade between 2003 and 2012 [Laxon et al., 2013]. As a result, there is a reversal in both the volumetric and areal contributions of the multiyear and seasonal ice to the total volume and area of the Arctic Ocean ice cover. While thinner, seasonal ice is common in the peripheral seas and ice margins, the Arctic ice cover has clearly shifted to a regime where seasonal ice is now also prevalent in the interior of the Arctic Ocean. With a diminishing multiyear ice cover and thinner ice a significant fraction of the Arctic Ocean is now exposed to the atmosphere during the summer. For the coming decade, thickness estimates are needed for improved subseasonal-to-seasonal forecasts and refined projections of future climate patterns. ICESat also allowed for the first time a rough estimate of sea ice volume of the Antarctic sea ice cover [Kurtz and Markus, 2012].
Utilizing ICESat sea surface height measurements from leads across the Arctic sea ice pack, together with contemporaneous radar altimetry measurements from Envisat, Farrell et al. [2012] described the first mapping of the Arctic Ocean mean dynamic topography using satellite-only data. These sea surface height measurements were also used to derive a high-resolution, satellite-only marine gravity field model of the Arctic [McAdoo et al., 2013].

ICESat also enabled the estimation of global vegetation heights [e.g. Harding and Carabajal, 2005; Lefsky et al., 2007], global sea level anomaly and mesoscale variability features [Urban & Schutz, 2005], coastal ocean, ocean island and inland hydrology applications [e.g. Urban et al., 2008], as well as atmospheric characteristics [Spinhirne et al., 2005]. Lefsky [2010], Simard [2011], and Los et al. [2012] generated global canopy height maps using ICESat in combination with other remote sensing data. Since ICESat digitized and recorded the full temporal profile of the received energy, additional research efforts were focused on analyzing specific waveform metrics to determine topographic characteristics and vegetation structure (e.g. Neuenschwander et al., 2008).

Despite ICESat’s success the science community identified some limitations that prohibited the full exploitation of the dataset for scientific applications, particularly for determining change in the cryosphere. Therefore, different needs, requirements, and potential designs were discussed for an ICESat follow-on mission [Abdalati et al., 2010]. It was concluded that to understand the governing processes that drive the
large-scale changes in glacier and ice sheet elevation and sea ice thickness, changes in elevation should be monitored on a seasonal basis for the lifetime of the mission with improved spatial resolution beyond the observations provided by ICESat. Since the greatest elevation changes are known to occur at the glaciers along the margins of Greenland and Antarctica, there were added complications to the ICESat collection strategy in terms of deconvolving elevation change from surface slope and surface roughness. A single beam laser such as ICESat was not able to separate slope effects from true elevations changes on an orbit-by-orbit basis and thus many years of data were needed to separate these two effects [Howat et al., 2008; Pritchard et al., 2009; Moholdt et al., 2010]. Improved spatial resolution and the ability to measure the cross-track slope were a critical consideration when developing the ICESat-2 mission. The multi-beam instrument design, smaller footprint, and the ability to resolve rougher terrains, would enable more accurate mountain and peripheral glacier mass balance measurements, allowing for improved quantification of land ice contributions to present-day sea level rise.

Similarly, a smaller footprint size, or rather higher spatial resolution, with increased spatial sampling intervals, will also enhance sea surface height and sea ice freeboard retrievals, and subsequently sea ice thickness calculations. While ICESat’s campaign mode allowed the monitoring of inter-annual changes in sea ice thickness, monthly maps of sea ice thickness are needed to better understand freeze and melt processes as well as delineate dynamic versus thermodynamic sea ice thickening.
It was also determined that ICESat-2 should collect data over the mid- and lower-latitudes for land and ocean areas utilizing an operational off-nadir pointing capability in order to generate an optimized (non-repeat) collection of measurements for canopy heights that will contribute to the generation of a global carbon inventory assessment. Such an inventory is critical for understanding the global carbon budget.

To this end, the science objectives for ICESat-2 are defined as

- Quantify polar ice-sheet contributions to current and recent sea-level change and the linkages to climate conditions;

- Quantify regional signatures of ice-sheet changes to assess mechanisms driving those changes and improve predictive ice sheet models; this includes quantifying the regional evolution of ice sheet change, such as how changes at outlet glacier termini propagate inward;

- Estimate sea-ice thickness to examine ice/ocean/atmosphere exchanges of energy, mass and moisture;

- Measure vegetation canopy height as a basis for estimating large-scale biomass and biomass change.
This paper explains how these science objectives translate into science requirements and subsequently into the measurement concept and implementation of the ICESat-2 mission.

Other areas of Earth science will also benefit from the ICESat-2 mission. The atmospheric community will have access to derived atmospheric and cloud properties while the oceanography community will be given global ocean and wave heights. The hydrological community will be provided global inland water body height and associated properties (Jasinski et al., 2016), as well as terrestrial snow thickness and permafrost monitoring.
2. Science Requirements

Based on the mission objectives established by the ICESat-2 Project together with the ICESat-2 Science Definition Team the following Baseline Science Requirements were developed. These Baseline Science Requirements drive the mission design and the formal requirements flow-down to the spacecraft, instrument, and ground system component level. In addition, Threshold Requirements are defined that represent the minimum requirements that need to be met for the mission to be considered successful in case trade-offs are necessary because of underperforming components.

a) ICESat-2 shall produce an ice surface elevation product that enables determination of ice-sheet elevation change rates to an accuracy of better than or equal to 0.4 cm/yr on an annual basis.

For the Threshold Requirement the required accuracy is 2 cm/yr.

This high accuracy can be achieved because of the many independent measurements over each of the ice sheets. The value of 0.4 cm/yr for the entire areas of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets corresponds to mass changes of 51 Gt/yr for Antarctica and 6 Gt/yr for Greenland assuming that all changes occur due to changes in ice thickness with a density of 917 km/m$^3$. For Antarctica, this corresponds to about 85% of the current mass loss (assuming an average of – 60
Gt/yr; Shepherd et al., 2012) and to 2.5% of Greenland’s mass loss (assuming an
average of -240 Gt/yr; Shepherd et al., 2012). While the fraction for Antarctica
seems large, Antarctica mass balance estimates range from +100 Gt/yr to about -
200 Gt/yr (Shepherd et al., 2012). An accuracy of 51 Gt/yr is about 1/6 of the
current mass balance uncertainty. An accuracy of 57 Gt/yr in ice mass balance for
the two ice sheets combined corresponds to 0.15 mm in sea level change, which is
about ~5% of the current rate (Hay et al., 2015) and ~20% of the error.

b) ICESat-2 shall produce an ice surface elevation product that enables
determination of annual surface elevation change rates on outlet glaciers to an
accuracy of better than or equal to 0.25 m/yr over areas of 100 km² for year-to-
year averages.

For the Threshold Requirement the required accuracy is 0.5 m/yr.

Change detection to 0.25 m/yr will enable the detection of dynamically-significant
changes in outlet glaciers. For most Greenland outlet glaciers, the rate of surface
elevation change is on the order of a few meters to tens of meters per year, with
progressively smaller changes farther upstream [Pritchard et al., 2009; Thomas et al.,
2009]. Typical Greenland outlet glaciers are on the order of 2-5 km wide and 20-50
km long, so 100 km² is a typical area scale for the fast-changing parts of the ice sheet.
Measuring elevation changes to 0.25 m/yr will enable the determination of the
magnitude of outlet glacier changes, and will allow the monitoring of the extent to
which changes in the outlets are driving smaller changes, over larger areas, in the inland ice sheet. Understanding the inland extent of elevation changes driven by the outlets is critical for understanding the potential future contributions of Greenland and Antarctica to sea level rise [Price et al., 2011].

In Antarctica, where elevation change rates are smaller, greater accuracy is required. However outlet glaciers are generally larger in Antarctica, and the expectation is that the characteristics of the measurement error (e.g., correlation lengths) will be such that measurements will have sufficient accuracy for most large Antarctic outlet glaciers.

c) ICESat-2 shall produce an ice surface elevation product that enables determination of surface elevation change rates for dynamic ice features that are intersected by its set of repeated ground-tracks to an accuracy of better than or equal to 0.4 m/yr along 1-km track segments.

For the Threshold Requirement the required accuracy is 0.8 m/yr.

One of the biggest unexpected discoveries of ICESat was the number, size, and dynamics of subglacial lakes located under the Antarctic ice sheet. [Smith et al., 2009, Fricker et al., 2007]. Analysis of repeated ICESat tracks showed unexpected large elevation changes over many areas of the assumed stable inland Antarctic ice sheet. Similarly, ICESat repeat-track data have also been useful in measuring grounding-
line positions based on short-scale pass-to-pass surface changes. [Fricker et al., 2009, Brunt et al., 2010, Brunt et al., 2011]. The exact repeat-track orbit of ICESat enabled these studies of small-scale elevation changes and similar repeat tracks for ICESat-2 will enable the continuation of both of these types of studies, and, over the course of the mission, will allow estimates of grounding-line change for Antarctic ice shelves and Greenland outlet glaciers.

d) ICESat-2 shall produce an ice surface elevation product that enables resolution of winter (accumulation) and summer (ablation) ice-sheet elevation change to 10 cm at 25-km x 25-km spatial scales.

For the Threshold Requirement the required accuracy is 5 cm but is limited to areas with a slope of less than 1 degree (essentially excluding outlet glaciers).

This accuracy represents approximately 10% of the seasonal amplitude of ice surface elevation change for coastal Greenland. Measuring seasonal elevation changes offers multiple benefits to cryospheric studies: It allows calibration of atmospheric models estimating accumulation and ablation from the ice sheets [Ligtenberg et al., 2012] and validation of firn densification models [Munneke et al., 2015]. It also provides mass change time series comparable in accuracy and temporal resolution to gravimetric estimates of ice-sheet change (i.e. from GRACE), and it will allow the subtraction of the surface-mass-balance-driven elevation
change from outlet-glacier elevation changes, isolating the dynamic signal [Csatho et al., 2014].

e) ICESat-2 shall provide monthly surface elevation products to enable, when sea surface height references (leads) are available and under clear sky conditions, the determination of sea-ice freeboard to an uncertainty of less than or equal to 3 cm along 25-km segments for the Arctic and Southern Oceans; the track spacing should be less than or equal to 35 km at 70 degrees latitude on a monthly basis.

The Threshold Requirement retains the 3 cm freeboard uncertainty but relaxes the length scale to 50 km.

Deriving sea ice freeboard and subsequently sea ice thickness and changes in thickness requires the ability to discriminate the sea surface height from surrounding sea ice height for freeboard determination. Since only a small fraction (roughly 1/10) of the floating sea ice is above the water level, small errors in freeboard retrieval can result in large errors in the scaling of freeboard to estimates of sea ice thickness. The required 0.03 m height measurement precision corresponds to an accuracy of ~0.3 m in thickness or an overall uncertainty of less than 25% of the current annual ice-volume production of the Arctic Ocean. Measurement at this level will enable accurate determination of the spatial ranges of mean ice thickness of 2 to 3 meters across the Arctic and Southern Oceans.
Furthermore, monthly data sampling of the ice-covered Arctic and Southern Oceans is required to resolve the seasonal cycles in ice growth and decay. Monthly averages are the longest temporal scale that can be used to create coherent sea ice thickness maps without significant interference of the seasonal cycle. ICESat-2’s dense along-track sampling, and multi-beam configuration, will also provide detailed knowledge of sea ice surface characteristics and morphology.

**f) ICESat-2 shall make measurements that span a minimum of three years.**

The Threshold Requirement retains the three year operation requirement but allows the mission to only take science data for 182 day per year providing at least seasonal sampling.

![Greenland Ice Sheet](image)

*Figure 1: Greenland ice sheet cumulative mass change time series from NASA GSFC mascon solution (update to Luthcke et al., 2013). Mascon solution shown as dashed*
line with Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) filtered mascon solution
time series as solid line with seasonal minima determined from EEMD analysis (Loomis
and Luthcke, 2014)). Significant inter-annual variations are observed including the
extreme summer mass loss in 2012 followed by the recent pause in mass loss.

The mass evolution of the ice sheets exhibits significant seasonal and inter-annual
variations as observed by satellite gravimetry (Luthcke et al., 2013). Figure 1
presents the mass evolution of the Greenland ice sheet from a recent NASA GSFC
GRACE mascon solution (update to Luthcke et al., 2013). The time series exhibits
significant inter-annual variation including the extreme 2012 summer mass loss
followed by a pause in mass loss. A minimum of 3 years of ICESat-2 observations
are necessary to fully observe the seasonal and inter-annual variations in order to
compute the mass balance from ICESat-2, the decadal ICESat and ICESat-2 inter-
mission mass balance, and to facilitate comparison and combination with GRACE
and GRACE-Follow-On data for a multi-decadal mapping of ice sheet change.

The Threshold Requirement allows, if necessary, to operate ICESat-2 in a campaign
mode similar to ICESat in order to increase mission lifetime, but still capture the
extremes and inter-annual variations of the seasonal cycle.

g) ICESat-2 shall produce an ice surface elevation product that, in conjunction
with ICESat, enables determination of elevation changes on a decadal time scale.
This requirement is unchanged for the Threshold Requirements.

The detailed Greenland Ice Sheet laser altimetry record (1993-2012) using both airborne and satellite data shows large spatial and temporal variations of dynamic mass loss and widespread intermittent thinning with rapid thinning periods lasting from a few years to more than 15 years [Csatho et al., 2014]. This complexity of ice sheet response to climate forcing points to the need for decadal or longer monitoring of the ice sheets at high spatial resolution. Careful monitoring of measurement biases, trends, and errors is needed for the establishment of a long time series.

h) ICESat-2 shall produce elevation measurements, that enable independent determination of global vegetation height, with a ground track spacing of less than 2 km over a 2-year period.

This requirement is deleted in the Threshold Requirements.

Forests play a significant role in the terrestrial carbon cycle as carbon pools. Events, such as management activities [Krankina et al. 2012] and disturbances can release carbon stored in forest above ground biomass into the atmosphere as carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas that contributes to climate change [Ahmed et al. 2013]. While carbon stocks in nations with continuous national forest inventories (NFIs) are known, complications with NFI carbon stock estimates exist, including: (1)
ground-based inventory measurements are time consuming, expensive, and difficult
to collect at large-scales [Houghton, 2005; Ahmed et al. 2013]; (2) asynchronously
collected data; (3) extended time between repeat measurements [Houghton, 2005];
and (4) the lack of information on the spatial distribution of forest above ground
biomass, required for monitoring sources and sinks of carbon (Houghton, 2005).

Based on the global carbon budget for 2015 [Le Quere et al., 2015], the largest
remaining uncertainties about the Earth’s carbon budget are in its terrestrial
components, the global residual terrestrial carbon sink, estimated at 3.0 +/- 0.8 GtC/year for the last decade (2005-2014). Similarly, carbon emissions from land-use
changes, including deforestation, afforestation, logging, forest degradation and
shifting cultivation are estimated at 0.9 +/- 0.5 GtC/year. By providing information on
vegetation canopy height globally with a higher spatial resolution than previously
afforded by other spaceborne sensors, the ICESat-2 mission can contribute
significantly to reducing uncertainties associated with forest vegetation carbon.

It is anticipated that the data products for vegetation will be complementary to
ongoing biomass and vegetation mapping efforts. Synergistic use of ICESat-2 data
with other space-based mapping systems (e.g. the Global Ecosystem Dynamics
Investigation Lidar (GEDI); https://science.nasa.gov/missions/gedi/) or imaging
sensors, such as optical or radar (e.g. the NASA-ISRO SAR Mission (NISAR);
http://nisar.jpl.nasa.gov), is one solution for extended use of ICESat-2 data.
i) The ICESat-2 Project shall conduct a calibration and validation program to verify delivered data meet the requirements a, b, c, d, e, g and h.

This requirement is unchanged for the Threshold Requirements.

Calibration and validation of the ICESat-2 products is a critical component of the mission. Rigorous effort is required during pre-launch studies as the instrumentation is characterized and relevant models are developed to support an accurate understanding of the operational aspects of the instrument as environmental and mechanical parameters vary. Additionally, a comprehensive calibration and validation plan will be initiated once ICESat-2 is on orbit in order to establish an accurate understanding of all of the ICESat-2 data products in terms of uncertainties and potential biases. This effort will establish confidence in the scientific data and verify that the requirements of the mission have been achieved.

This requirement is obvious because without calibration and validation and without rigorous uncertainty and error assessment any geophysical products would remain questionable.
3. Measurement and mission concept

The baseline requirements above drive the top-level mission design, its implementation, and operations plan. The ICESat-2 mission carries a single instrument, the Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System (ATLAS). This section is divided into descriptions of the required sampling geometry, elevation precision, bias monitoring, geophysical corrections, and coverage. All are critical aspects considered when developing ICESat-2 and ATLAS technical capabilities.

The measurement concept of the ICESat-2 instrument is quite different from an analog laser altimeter like onboard ICESat. The ICESat-2 micropulse laser will produce much less energy per pulse but with a 10kHz repetition rate. This increased repetition rate will result in a 0.7 m separation for each laser pulse on the surface. This is ideal for rough and heterogeneous terrain such as glaciers or sea surface heights where the minimal gaps in along-track measurements will provide a higher fidelity of the topography. The inherent detection requirement associated with the lower power of the micropulse laser are detector sensitivities on the single photon level. This requirement is achieved through the use of photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) as detectors where single photons reflected from the surface will trigger a detection within the ICESat-2 receiver. Each individual photon will be time tagged and geolocated. This scenario is much different than the full-waveform data collected by ICESat for each laser footprint.
3.1. Sampling geometry

ICESat-2 will have a total of 6 beams organized in a 2x3 array. By slightly yawing the spacecraft during flight this will create three pairs of beams on the ground with each pair being separated by 3.3 km and a pair width of 90 m (see Figure 2). The pair width is adjustable on orbit by changing the yaw angle.

*Figure 2: ICESat-2’s sampling geometry. The beam pattern is a 3 x 2 array that, by slightly yawing the spacecraft, creates three pairs of beams on the ground. The*
planned separation for each pair is 90 m but this can be changed on orbit by changing the yaw angle.

To achieve high spatial resolution and discriminate elevation change from cross-track surface slope, closely separated pairs of beam are required. This is a critical capability needed to meet the science requirements associated with the ice sheets in particular. Figure 3 depicts the differences in the collection strategy of ICESat and ICESat-2 where the multi-beam configuration supports annual and seasonal elevation change determination independent of cross-track surface slope.

Figure 3: Comparison of elevation change retrievals from ICESat and ICESat-2. With an unknown slope $\Omega$ and near coincident tracks it is impossible to calculate elevation change from two single-beam tracks (ICESat; left). ICESat-2 (right) has pairs of beams that straddle the reference ground track so that its elevation can be extracted through interpolation of the elevations measured by the two beams.
The location of the laser spot will not perfectly follow the reference ground tracks (RGT) for repeated measurements due to limitations in pointing control. The actual laser spots may be a slightly offset (the orange and green lines in Fig. 3) from the RGT (black lines in Fig. 3). To meet the science objectives and ice sheet science requirements, ICESat-2 will utilize pairs of beams (Fig. 3, right side). The concept is that each time the satellite passes over the RGT one beam is to the left and one to the right of the RGT. This makes it possible to calculate the local cross-track slope and interpolate the elevation to the RGT. Because cross-track surface slope is not known a-priori it is ambiguous whether the elevation derived from subsequent passes is real change or whether the measured elevation differences are a result of track location differences over a sloped surface. For ICESat several years of data were required to extract the surface slope (assuming the slope did not change over that time period) before the elevation change could be determined [e.g. Howat et al., 2008; Pritchard et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009; Moholdt et al., 2010]. Multiple beam pairs will mitigate the uncertainties associated with the assumptions of surface slope characteristics ensued from ICESat single beam collection configuration.

A pair-spacing requirement of 90 m is based on a sampling analysis of airborne laser-altimetry data collected with the Airborne Topographic Mapper (ATM) over Russell Glacier, in Southwest Greenland, which spans a wide range of surface roughnesses (Figure 4, top). In this analysis, the collection of point elevation measurements was sampled using different potential beam spacings and random repeat-track geometries, and the RMS error calculated in the resulting surface-
change measurements. Figure 4, bottom, shows the elevation-difference accuracy as a function of surface roughness for different beam spacings. For all roughness values, the error increases with the pair spacing, but for the small (<0.5 m) roughnesses typical of the interior of the ice sheet, the ICESat-2 error is small for spacings less than 100 m, increasing sharply for larger spacings. This reflects the lack of significant surface topography at scales smaller than about 100-200 m over uncrevassed ice, which lets repeat track sampling at scales finer than 100 m correct for the shape of the surface topography, while at larger spacings, the fine-scale topography is undersampled and leads to an elevation-change error. To interpolate to the RGT, ICESat-2 needs to control the beam position to less than half the pair separation. Thus a pointing control <= 45 m is required.
Figure 4: Top: surface roughness, calculated as the RMS difference between elevation measurements and 200-meter linear segments, measured over lower Russell Glacier, Southwest Greenland. The scale is about 100 km horizontal and vertical. Northing and
Easting give coordinates in a polar stereographic projection with a true-scale at 70N and a central meridian of -45E. Bottom: Height-recovery errors as a function of beam spacing (W) and surface roughness for simulated ICESat-2 data. Roughness values less than 0.5 m are typical of inland ice while larger values reflect surface crevassing.

One component of the elevation error over areas with surface slope is directly related to geolocation knowledge derived in post-processing multiplied by the tangent of the slope. The requirement for pointing knowledge after post-processing is 6.5 m, which translates into an elevation error of about 0.5 m over slopes with 5 degrees, a typical slope of the glaciers along the coasts of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. For most of the ice sheets the slope is much smaller (Figure 5).

Figure 5 shows the surface slope magnitude and roughness calculated from ICESat elevation data, masked using information from a visible-imagery mosaic of Antarctica [Haran et al., 2005] to include only ice-sheet surfaces. These data cover the ice sheet to a latitude of 86 degrees, and accurately resolve variations in surface slope at horizontal scales as small as 170 m. Slopes are small (< 0.5°) except near coasts and where glaciers flow through mountains. Surface roughness is also small (< 0.25 m) except in coastal areas, in crevassed shear margins, and in a few parts of the ice-sheet interior where wind erosion produces meter-scale surface features.
Figure 5: Top: Ice sheet surface slope magnitude for the entire continent of Antarctica, calculated as the 68th percentile of surface slopes for 50x50 km squares on the ice-sheet surface. Data are in a polar stereographic projection with a true-scale at 70S.
The south pole is in the center of the figure with 0E straight up. Bottom, ice sheet roughness calculated as the 68th percentile of the absolute difference between each measured elevation and the average of its two nearest along-track neighbors, for the same grid used for the slope map.

ICESat-2’s orbit will have an inclination of 92 degrees enabling measurements up to 88 degrees north and south, with a 91-day exact repeat cycle. This will ensure seasonal repeat tracks that are needed for the seasonal ice sheet requirement (requirement d). Because, as stated in Requirement e), Arctic- and Southern Ocean-wide sea ice freeboard maps shall be generated on a monthly basis an orbit was chosen with a near-monthly sub-cycle resulting in an even distribution of tracks every month. Since ICESat took measurements in 30-day campaign modes, the actual increase in coverage compared to ICESat is nine times over a 91 period.

3.2. Elevation precision

Individual, timed and geolocated, photons do not in themselves provide direct information of the elevation of the surface because a priori the source of any given photon is unknown. It may have originated from reflection of a laser pulse off a cloud or sunlight of the same wavelength may have scattered back into the telescope. Photons from several shots need to be accumulated and statistically analyzed. Statistically the density of photons reflected from the surface is much greater than the more evenly distributed photons from the atmospheric column so that the
elevation of the earth surface can be determined using statistical characteristics and noise filtering. The actual elevation precision depends on the signal-to-noise ratio, on the length or distance over which laser shots are accumulated, and the precision with which each photon can be timed. Model calculations were used to predict ICESat-2’s radiometric performance over various surfaces and the results guided requirements flowdown and instrument design. Not all beams have the same energy to keep the required laser energy low and because cross-track slope retrieval is only needed for the highly reflective ice sheets where the number of signal photons is high. Therefore, each beam pair consists of a strong and a weak beam. The strong beam has four times the energy of the weak beam and consequently four times the number of returned laser photons per shot.

Table 1 shows the predicted number of return photons received per shot for different surface types and also the standard deviations of range for 100 shot accumulations, which is equal to 70 m along track. Return strength in photoelectrons per shot was calculated using the transmitted energy, the instrument optical throughput and detector efficiency, and atmospheric and surface reflectance parameters that define each design case. The temporal distribution of return photoelectrons was modeled using a transmitted pulse profile and receiver impulse response, and surface impulse responses derived from the surface parameters such as slope, roughness and type (ice or water) that define each design case. The number of detection events per shot was calculated using the number and
distribution of photoelectrons and a model of the PMT’s deadtime behavior. The range in the number of expected return photons and standard deviations for each surface type is a function of the environmental conditions such as surface roughness and reflectance. For high reflectivity targets, such as ice sheets, the weak beams returns a sufficient number of laser photons to enable elevation measurements.

Table 1: ATLAS expected performance in range using the current best estimates for winter and summer conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target type</th>
<th>Lambertian surface reflectance (532 nm)</th>
<th>N signal photons per shot (weak beam)</th>
<th>N signal photons per shot (strong beam)</th>
<th>100-shot std dev (weak beam) [cm]</th>
<th>100-shot std dev (strong beam) [cm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ice sheet (interior)</td>
<td>0.9 – 0.98</td>
<td>0.4 – 3.0</td>
<td>1.6 – 12.0</td>
<td>4 – 9</td>
<td>2 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice sheet (glaciers)</td>
<td>0.6 – 0.9</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.0</td>
<td>0.6 – 3.9</td>
<td>12 – 29</td>
<td>6 – 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea ice</td>
<td>0.8 – 0.9</td>
<td>0.6 – 2.1</td>
<td>2.3 – 8.5</td>
<td>5 – 8</td>
<td>3 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leads (much higher when specular)</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.2</td>
<td>0.05 – 0.2</td>
<td>0.2 – 1.0</td>
<td>2 – 5</td>
<td>2 – 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To enable the development of retrieval algorithms, an ICESat-2 airborne simulator, the Multiple Beam Experimental Lidar (MABEL) [McGill et al., 2013], was flown over sea ice [Kwok et al. 2014; Farrell et al., 2015], ice sheets [Brunt et al., 2014; Brunt et al., 2016], vegetated areas [Herzfeld et al., 2014; Gwenzi and Lefsky, 2014, Glenn et al., 2016], cities, oceans, and lakes [Jasinski et al., 2016] during different seasons.

MABEL's pulse repetition rate is variable (5 to 25 kHz) and was 5 kHz for the data presented here. At this nominal altitude and repetition rate, and at an aircraft speed of ~200 m s\(^{-1}\), MABEL samples a ~2 m footprint every ~0.04 m along-track. More specifications on MABEL are given in Appendix A. The spacing between the individual beams was configured to allow simulation of the planned beam geometry of ATLAS. Owing to non-uniform optical paths (fiber lengths) through the instrument, the transmit-pulse energies are generally not equal. Consequently, the number of signal photons per shot was also not equal. They furthermore differed between the different campaigns.
Descriptions of the campaigns as well as the data are available via \url{http://icesat-2.gsfc.nasa.gov/data}. The data collections were planned to provide the critical sample data needed in the development of the ICESat-2 algorithms by varying surface type and season of acquisition. The altitude of many of these flights was about 20 km (65,000 ft) above sea level so that 95% of the atmospheric contribution was between the instrument and the Earth's surface. This facilitates the development of algorithms for atmospheric properties and also provides realistic atmospheric photon distributions that may impact the ground finding algorithms. Figure 6 shows some examples from these flights for three surface types.
Figure 6: Typical ICESat-2-like data from MABEL over the Greenland ice sheet (top), sea ice (middle), and vegetated land surface (bottom). The histograms on the right show photon distributions for the areas between the two red and green vertical lines in the photon clouds. The distance between the lines is 200 m for these examples. In the actual algorithms that are currently being developed for operational processing this distance will be optimized and may vary as a function of signal-to-noise ratio, surface roughness, and number of signal photons.

The data show the time-tagged photon elevations as a function of distance along-track (Figure 6, left panel). While there appear a significant number of solar photons
in all three examples, the number of photons reflected from the surface is much greater and densely clustered compared to the more evenly distributed photons from either the atmosphere or solar background so that the elevation of the earth surface and also its properties can be extracted. The number of solar photons is primarily a function of the surface reflectivity and the solar angle. As shown in Figure 7, for a Lambertian surface, the highest clear sky solar background rate is about 14.5 MHz (for overhead sun), but since most of the high albedo areas are in the polar regions, where the solar zenith angles are generally large, high background rates of solar photons are about 10 MHz, which translates to two solar photon every ~60 m vertically. At night these photons will be minimal. The detectors themselves also are subject to some noise but measurements have shown that the detector dark count rate is 1000 Hz and thus negligible. The quantitative estimate of surface elevation and canopy heights is done by the generation of histograms (Figure 6, right panel) of photon densities and statistical analyses. This is an active area of research as algorithms are being developed primarily using MABEL data [Kwok et al. 2014; Farrell et al., 2015; Brunt et al., 2014; Brunt et al., 2016; Herzfeld et al., 2014; Gwenzi and Lefsky, 2014, Glenn et al., 2016; Jasinski et al., 2016].

35
Fig. 7: ATLAS clear sky solar photon rate as a function of surface albedo for different Solar Zenith Angles (SZA). Surface is assumed Lambertian. Simulations done with the Discrete Ordinates Radiative Transfer model (DISORT) [Stamnes et al. 1988]. ATLAS parameters used in the calculations include: telescope diameter (0.8 m), field of view (85μrad), detector quantum efficiency (0.15), total receiver transmission (0.504) and filter width (0.038nm).

The top row of Fig.6 shows an example of the interior Greenland ice sheet. For these relatively flat areas, the 200 m histogram has a very clear peak above the noise, enabling the identification of surface elevation. Figure 6 also indicates that for smooth high-reflectivity area, histograms over much shorter distances will be
sufficient to extract surface elevation with high confidence, increasing the along-track spatial resolution of elevation retrievals. Because each received photon is timed and geolocated, the length over which photons are accumulated for the calculation of surface elevation is flexible and can be optimized in algorithms depending on accuracy and precision requirements.

For sea ice (Fig.6, middle plots), there is an elevation difference between the flat leads and the rougher and higher sea ice. To estimate the freeboard, elevations of both the sea ice and the open water need to be calculated. The red vertical lines for sea ice and green vertical lines for the open water indicate example areas. The corresponding histograms have peaks at different elevations, which directly correspond to the sea ice freeboard. Kwok et al. [2014] and Farrell et al. [2015] provide a detailed discussion of the identification of leads using MABEL data for the retrieval of sea surface heights and the derivation of freeboard and thickness.

Figure 6 (bottom) shows an example of MABEL returns over vegetation. The histogram of photons between the red lines shows two distinct peaks. The upper, broader, peak is from photons reflected off the tree crowns whereas the lower, sharper peak is from the ground surface below the trees. Analysis of histograms or photon densities will allow the retrieval of tree heights and potentially also yield information of tree structure or type [Glenn et al., 2016]. The strength of the ground surface signal is a function of canopy density.
In addition to surface products, ICESat-2 will also collect data for the entire lower atmosphere. While every photon around the surface will be timed and geolocated to preserve full resolution and highest elevation accuracy, data over the atmospheric column are accumulated 30 m vertically and 280 m along-track onboard the spacecraft to reduce data volume. Figure 8 shows a plot of photon densities collected by the MABEL instrument. Areas of higher densities can be attributed to different types of clouds. The flat line of high photon densities at the bottom of Figure 6 are from surface returns. When the cloud optical depth becomes too high the surface signal is lost.

Figure 8: Photon densities for a 15 km range in altitude and horizontal distance of about 100 km; the brighter the color the higher the photon density. In addition to the surface different types of clouds (PBL stands for “planetary boundary layer”) can be
identified. Data were taken with the MABEL instrument on September 21, 2013 over the southern portion of the Chesapeake Bay.

3.3 Bias monitoring

Most ICESat-2 requirements are expressed in elevation change. It is therefore imperative to monitor changes in the instrument bias that may be expressed as range or elevation change. Several measures are taken to ensure that instrument changes are monitored and accounted for in post-processing. The mission has a requirement to monitor changes in elevation bias to 0.2 cm per year over the full life of the mission and to provide long-term trend analyses of observatory performance.

Pre-launch, the instrument team will characterize the change in range bias as a function of telemetered temperatures. On orbit, the instrument will monitor and calibrate changes in range bias using Transmit Echo Calibration. The Transmitter Echo is a small sample of the transmitted pulse, carried directly to the receiver by fiber optics. Monitoring its measured time of flight will indicate any changes in the receiver’s timing bias. This will be done for two beams and the results can be compared to the pre-launch data. In post-processing data analysis, range bias changes for the other four beams will be examined by comparing short-period (< 24 hrs) crossovers (in 10-day groups) of the calibrated with the un-calibrated beams.

Analysis of altimetry data during ocean scan maneuvers will be used to calibrate pointing and separate these errors from ranging errors [Luthcke et al., 2000;
Luthcke et al., 2005] Ocean scans are routine calibration activities where the instrument will be pointed off-nadir by ≤5 degrees and perform conical scans. The expected range bias error is determined from a high fidelity simulation where 10-days of altimeter range cross-over data are simulated between all known and unknown beams including altimeter range observation, orbit and attitude error. The crossovers are then edited to include only cross-overs with less than 1-day of time separation between crossing tracks in order to minimize correlation with geophysical signal. The 1-day binned cross-overs residuals are then reduced formally estimating the range biases for the unknown beams. One hundred simulations are run, each with a new realization of the errors. Figure 9 shows the standard deviation of the difference between the true range biases and the estimated range biases over the 100 simulations as a function of latitude. The range bias error is significantly smaller at high latitudes due to the increased number of crossover observations moving to high latitudes. These simulations suggest a <5 mm range bias calibration error every 10 days for the ice sheets. The long-term drift would be < 1mm/year.
Fig 9: Potential range bias error ($R_{bias}$) as a function of latitude for the beams that are not monitored by the transmitter echo calibration. This is the residual error after the calibration.

3.4. Geophysical corrections

The primary measurement of the mission is the photon time of flight from the satellite to the Earth’s surface and back, but most science applications require converting range into height with respect to a reference ellipsoid. Hence, the science-directed data products require systematic removal of various geophysical signals to enhance their scientific usability. Various present-day models of ocean tides, earth tides, pole tides, dynamic ocean response, and ocean loading, among other geophysical phenomena are used to determine these geophysical corrections.
A set of corrections will be applied to the ICESat-2 ATL03 data product (which provides latitude, longitude, and height for each recorded photon event). A design criterion is that these corrections be easily removable for investigations involving improvements to the corrections themselves or for cases when an investigator desires that a different model be applied.

Ocean tides: Incorporating the assessment by Stammer et al. [2014], ICESat-2 has adopted the GOT4.8 ocean tide model of R. Ray (NASA/GSFC). Over open oceans, ocean tides have typical amplitudes of ±80cm, but tides be as large as several meters in coastal and estuary regions as well as under ice shelves.

Ocean tidal loading: ICESat-2 has adopted loading harmonic grids from the GOT4.8 tide model of R. Ray (NASA/GSFC) and include 9 major and 16 minor tidal constituents. Over open oceans, ocean tidal loading amplitudes are on the order of 5% of the ocean tide. This correction ranges from -6 to 0 cm.

Solid earth tides: ICESat-2 has adopted the International Earth Rotation and Reference System (IERS) 2010 convention for solid earth tides to take into account the deformation (elastic response) of the solid earth (including the sea floor) due to the attractions of the Sun and Moon. These are applicable globally, and have amplitudes typically on the order of ±40cm.
Dynamic atmospheric correction and inverted barometer effect: ICESat-2 has adopted the utilization of global, empirical, 6-hour, AVISO MOG2D, 1/4°x1/4° grids to be used as a near-realtime Inverted Barometer (IB) and Dynamic Atmospheric Correction (DAC, Carrère & Lyard, 2003). These grids are forced by the European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF) model for the surface pressure and 10m wind fields. This combined correction typically has amplitude on the order of ±50cm.

The range delay through the atmosphere is a function of the total atmospheric pressure, the partial pressure of water vapor and air temperature. Depending on the atmospheric state, this correction is typically between -2.6 and -0.9 m. ICESat-2 uses the output of NASA’s Global Modeling and Assimilation Office GEOS-5 model to determine the state of the atmosphere and calculate the total atmospheric range correction.

Although all heights on ICESat-2 data products are referenced to the WGS-84 ellipsoid, there are several science applications that would benefit from the conversion factor between the ellipsoid and the geoid. ICESat-2 provides such a value to allow heights to be converted to the EGM 2008 geoid model in a mean tide system where the permanent tides are included.

The solid earth and ocean pole tides account for the tidal response of the earth to the centrifugal potential caused by small perturbations of the Earth’s rotational axis.
The value of these corrections is calculated based on IERS 2010 model conventions. Solid earth pole tides have amplitudes typically on the order of ±1.5cm while ocean pole tides have amplitudes typically on the order of ±0.2cm.

Table 2: Summary of auxiliary data and geophysical corrections. The Geoid are reference values, but not applied to the product. They are provided for easy comparison. The meteorological data are from the atmospheric correction model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Type</th>
<th>Input Parameters</th>
<th>Output Parameters</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocean tides</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Ocean height correction</td>
<td>GOT 4.8</td>
<td>±5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteorological data</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Surface and column temperature, pressure</td>
<td>NASA GMAO GEOS-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted barometer / Dynamic Atmospheric Correction</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Ocean height correction</td>
<td>MOG2D (AVISO)</td>
<td>±50 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean loading</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Ocean height</td>
<td>GOT 4.8</td>
<td>-6 to 0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction Type</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid earth pole tide</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Solid earth deformation</td>
<td>IERS Conventions (2010)</td>
<td>±1.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean pole tide</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Ocean height correction</td>
<td>IERS Conventions (2010)</td>
<td>±0.2 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid earth tides</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Solid earth deformation</td>
<td>IERS Conventions (2010)</td>
<td>±40 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geoid</td>
<td>lat, long</td>
<td>Reference surface</td>
<td>EGM2008, mean tide system</td>
<td>-105 to +90 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total column atmospheric correction</td>
<td>lat, long, time</td>
<td>Range correction</td>
<td>NASA GMAO GEOS-5</td>
<td>-2.6 to -0.9 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While several of these geophysical corrections are applied to the photon elevations, the atmospheric path delay correction is applied during the conversion of photon time of flight to range. In addition to these operational corrections, scientists may apply further corrections increasing ICESat-2 precision or accuracy depending on their discipline.
3.5 Coverage and operations

ICESat-2 will use a 91-day exact repeat frozen orbit at a 92-degree inclination angle, providing coverage up to 88 degrees North and South generating 1387 ground tracks. It has a nominal orbit altitude of ~500 km. Since the number of ground tracks and the inclination angle are different compared to ICESat, the ICESat-2 ground tracks do not align with the ICESat ground tracks. However, there are a substantial number of cross-over locations between the ICESat and ICESat-2 ground tracks, particularly in the polar regions, which will enable linking ICESat-2 data to ICESat.

ICESat-2 will do repeat-track observations for the polar regions. For mid-latitudes operational off-nadir pointing at different angles will generate a dense grid of measurements over a two-year period. These operational maneuvers are in response to the requirement h) in Section 2 that requires a track density of 2 km over two years. At the equator this leads to the following ground track pattern for the first two years of the mission (Fig. 10). This will enable dense sampling of canopy height measurements and thus provide carbon inventory during the first two years of the mission.
Figure 10: Ground track pattern at the equator for the first two years of operation. The bold blue lines show the first tracks for the 2-year period. These are the nominal 91-day repeat tracks. At the equator, the gap is 28.8 km. 91 days later the tracks will be shifted by 14.4 km to the right, reducing the gap by half. This halving of the gap will be repeated over two years, i.e. 8 times. The combination of ascending and descending orbits will result in track spacings of less than 2 km. The maximum off-nadir angle is about 1.5 degrees.

Figure 11 shows one day of reference ground track coverage. The areas in red and blue indicate the transition periods where the satellite changes from the repeat ground track to the “vegetation tracks” and back. Science measurements will be taken at any time during these transitions.
Figure 11: Illustration of one day of ICESat-2 orbits. The blue and red orbit sections indicate where the pointing transitions from the polar “repeat-track mode” to “land/vegetation mode”, respectively. The transition regions have been defined for all 1387 ground tracks and can be updated on orbit.

Figure 12 shows the conceptual mission operations plan. Over the polar regions, the satellite will be in repeat-track mode enabling seasonal repeat measurements. The satellite will point off-nadir over land to generate a dense grid of measurements. While ICESat-2 will generate ocean elevation maps, ICESat-2 will also perform regular calibration maneuvers over the ocean.
Figure 12: Conceptual mission operations plan. Calibration efforts will be performed over the ocean. TX Echo Calibration refers to Transmit Echo Calibration described in Section 3.3. Ocean Scan Measurements are also described in Section 3.3. TX/RX calibration corrects the set point of the control loop that keeps the transmitted beam aligned to the receiver field of view.
5. Instrument, spacecraft, launch vehicle, ground system

The ATLAS instrument is being built at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and will carry two 532 nm lasers, one operating at a time. The laser energy is adjustable and will be between 48 and 170 µJ per pulse with a nominal energy of 120 µJ for the strong spots and a quarter of it for the weak spots. The pulse width of each laser shot is 1.5 ns and the start pulse is timed at four points over the transmit waveform. Generally the start time will be the average of these four times but the separate measurements allow the monitoring of changes in pulse width and pulse shape symmetry. At the focal plane of the 0.8 m diameter telescope are 6 receiver fibers that send the light through a very narrow (+-19 pm) filter to eliminate most of the sunlight. The remaining photons are then detected by photo-multiplier tubes. ATLAS carries a redundant bank of detectors. More ATLAS parameters are provided in Appendix A.

The spacecraft is being built by Orbital ATK in Gilbert, AZ, and utilizes the heritage from the Landsat-8 satellite, which was also built by Orbital ATK. The spacecraft will carry fuel for a 7-year mission. To enable the required high precision orbit and pointing knowledge the GPS system and star trackers are directly mounted onto the ATLAS optical bench instead of on the spacecraft.

The ICESat-2 Observatory will be launched on board a United Launch Alliance (ULA)
Delta II 7420-10 launch vehicle at Vandenberg Air Force Base. The ICESat-2 mission will be the final launch for the Delta II program after more than 150 launches dating back to 1989.

The Mission Operation Center (MOC) will be in Reston, VA with a back-up MOC in Gilbert, AZ. The MOC performs observatory commanding and monitoring throughout the mission lifespan. This includes mission planning and scheduling, monitor and control of the spacecraft, controlling ground communications, and maintaining spacecraft flight software. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center is hosting the Instrument Support Facility (ISF) and the Science Investigator-led Processing System (SIPS). The ISF performs ATLAS mission planning, command, and control, ATLAS health and safety monitoring, and trend analysis of ATLAS operations. It maintains ATLAS flight software and configuration. The SIPS will provide the functions necessary to produce and distribute the routine science products for the ICESat-2 mission. A complete list of data products is given in Appendix B. Data products will be sent from the SIPS to the NASA Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) at the National Snow and Ice Date Center in Boulder, CO for distribution to the public. The data latency is 2 weeks for the geolocated range and elevation data, and 1 month for the geophysical data after completion of data accumulation required for the specific geophysical products.
6. Summary

ICESat-2 is a 2nd generation space laser altimeter for earth elevation measurements and differs substantially from its ICESat predecessor in concept, technology, data products, and operations compared to ICESat. Lessons learned and scientific findings from ICESat were considered in the design and development of ICESat-2.

The multi-beam approach is central to ICESat-2. This will enable the separation of slope effects from elevation changes on a track-by-track basis and will enable the retrieval of ice sheet elevation changes on a seasonal basis. Given that ICESat operated in 30-day campaign mode, ICESat-2’s three pairs of beams, together with the planned continuous operation, will result in 9 times better coverage. Furthermore, the footprint size and footprint spacing are significantly smaller to optimize elevation retrievals over heterogeneous glaciers and to optimize sea surface height estimates from the, often narrow, leads to enable sea ice freeboard retrievals. Operational off-nadir pointing over land areas will ensure optimum coverage for terrestrial and vegetation sciences. ICESat-2 will be the first time that a photon counting laser altimeter concept is realized on a space-borne platform.
Appendix A:

List of key mission parameters

Observatory:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbit inclination and coverage</td>
<td>92 degrees; coverage up to 88 degrees N and S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track repeat period (polar regions)</td>
<td>91-day exact repeat orbit with monthly sub-cycle for the polar regions and oceans. Operational off-nadir pointing over land areas to generate a dense grid of data over 2 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal altitude</td>
<td>500 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-major axis</td>
<td>6855.9539 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing control</td>
<td>45 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing knowledge</td>
<td>6.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal duration of mission</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATLAS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser wavelength</td>
<td>532 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitted pulse width</td>
<td>1.5 ns FWHM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse repetition rate</td>
<td>10 kHz (~0.7 m along-track spacing at nominal altitude)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beams</td>
<td>6 organized in 3 pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam spacing (across track) at nominal altitude</td>
<td>90 m within pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3 km between pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illuminated spot diameter (85% EE)</td>
<td>&lt;17.5 m at nominal altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope aperture diameter</td>
<td>0.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver field of view diameter</td>
<td>42.5 m at nominal altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar-blocking filter effective width</td>
<td>38 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon-counting detector</td>
<td>Hamamatsu photomultiplier with 16 detector elements for strong beams and 4 detector elements for weak beams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver dead time, per channel</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single photon time-of-flight precision</td>
<td>800 ps (standard deviation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MABEL:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Laser wavelength</strong></th>
<th>532 and 1064 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmitted pulse width</strong></td>
<td>1.5 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ER-2 nominal altitude</strong></td>
<td>~20 km (65,000 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse repetition rate</strong></td>
<td>5 – 25 kHz; operated at 5 kHz (~0.04 m along-track spacing at nominal altitude)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of beams</strong></td>
<td>As many as 16 (532 nm) and 8 (1064 nm) beams organized into 2 linear arrays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total ground swath</strong></td>
<td>~2 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Footprint size</strong></td>
<td>2 m (at nominal altitude)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telescope aperture diameter</strong></td>
<td>0.13 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receiver field of view diameter</strong></td>
<td>2 m (at nominal altitude)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photon-counting detector</strong></td>
<td>Hamamatsu model H7260 photomultiplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receiver dead time</strong></td>
<td>3 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single photon time-of-flight precision</strong></td>
<td>800 ps (standard deviation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B:

Overview of the operational ICESat-2 data products. The left column contains the product indicator name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Indicator</th>
<th>Telemetry Data</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATL00</td>
<td>Telemetry Data</td>
<td>Raw ATLAS telemetry in packet format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL01</td>
<td>Reformatted Telemetry</td>
<td>Parsed, partially reformatted into HDF5, generated daily, segmented into several minute granules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL02</td>
<td>Science Unit Converted Telemetry</td>
<td>Photon time of flight, corrected for instrument effects. Includes all photons, pointing data, spacecraft position, housekeeping data, engineering data, and raw atmospheric profiles, segmented into several minute granules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL03</td>
<td>Global Geolocated Photon Data</td>
<td>Precise latitude, longitude and elevation for every received photon, arranged by beam in the along-track direction. Photons classified by signal vs. background, as well as by surface type (land ice, sea ice, land, ocean), including all geophysical corrections (e.g. Earth tides, atmospheric delay, etc...). Segmented into several minute granules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL04</td>
<td>Uncalibrated Backscatter Profiles</td>
<td>Along-track atmospheric backscatter data, 25 times per second. Includes calibration coefficients for polar regions. Segmented into several minute granules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL06</td>
<td>Land Ice Elevation</td>
<td>Surface height for each beam with along- and across-track slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL07</td>
<td>Arctic/Antarctic Sea Ice Elevation</td>
<td>Height of sea ice and open water leads at varying length scale based on returned photon rate for each beam presented along-track.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL08</td>
<td>Land Water Vegetation Elevation</td>
<td>Height of ground including canopy surface posted at variable length scales relative to signal level, for each beam presented along-track. Where data permits include canopy height, canopy cover percentage, surface slope and roughness, and apparent reflectance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL09</td>
<td>Calibrated Backscatter and Cloud Characteristics</td>
<td>Along-track cloud and other significant atmosphere layer heights, blowing snow, integrated backscatter, and optical depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL10</td>
<td>Arctic/Antarctic Sea Ice Freeboard</td>
<td>Estimate of sea ice freeboard over specific spatial scales using all available sea surface height measurements. Contains statistics of sea surface and sea ice heights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL11</td>
<td>Antarctica / Greenland Ice Sheet H(t) Series</td>
<td>Time series of height at points on the ice sheet, calculated based on repeat tracks and/or cross-overs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL12</td>
<td>Ocean Elevation</td>
<td>Surface height at specific length scale. Where data permits include estimates of height distribution, roughness, surface slope, and apparent reflectance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL13</td>
<td>Inland Water Height</td>
<td>Along-track inland and near shore water surface height distribution within water mask. Where data permit, include roughness, slope and aspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL14</td>
<td>Antarctica / Greenland Ice Sheet H(t)</td>
<td>Gridded Height maps of each ice sheet for each year based on all available elevation data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL15</td>
<td>Antarctica / Greenland Ice Sheet dh/dt</td>
<td>Gridded Height change maps for each ice sheet, for each mission year, and for the whole mission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT16</td>
<td>ATLAS Atmosphere Weekly</td>
<td>Gridded Polar cloud fraction, blowing snow frequency, ground detection frequency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL17</td>
<td>ATLAS Atmosphere Monthly</td>
<td>Gridded Polar cloud fraction, blowing snow frequency, ground detection frequency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL18</td>
<td>Land/Canopy Gridded</td>
<td>Gridded ground surface height, canopy height, and canopy cover estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL19</td>
<td>Mean Sea Surface (MSS)</td>
<td>Gridded ocean height product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL20</td>
<td>Arctic / Antarctic Gridded Sea Ice Freeboard</td>
<td>Gridded sea ice freeboard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL21</td>
<td>Arctic/Antarctic Gridded Sea Surface Height w/ in Sea Ice</td>
<td>Gridded monthly sea surface height inside the sea ice cover.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Figure 1:**

Greenland ice sheet cumulative mass change time series from NASA GSFC mascon solution (update to Luthcke et al., 2013). Mascon solution shown as dashed line with Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) filtered mascon solution time series as solid line with seasonal minima determined from EEMD analysis (Loomis and Luthcke, 2014)). Significant inter-annual variations are observed including the extreme summer mass loss in 2012 followed by the recent pause in mass loss.

**Figure 2:**

ICESat-2’s sampling geometry. The beam pattern is a 3 x 2 array that, by slightly yawing the spacecraft, creates three pairs of beams on the ground. The planned separation for each pair is 90 m but this can be changed on orbit by changing the yaw angle.

**Figure 3:**

Comparison of elevation change retrievals from ICESat and ICESat-2. With an unknown slope $\Omega$ and near coincident tracks it is impossible to calculate elevation change from two single-beam tracks (ICESat; left). ICESat-2 (right) has pairs of beams that straddle the reference ground track so that its elevation can be extracted through interpolation of the elevations measured by the two beams.
Figure 4:

Top: surface roughness, calculated as the RMS difference between elevation measurements and 200-meter linear segments, measured over lower Russell Glacier, Southwest Greenland. The scale is about 100 km horizontal and vertical. Bottom: Height-recovery errors as a function of beam spacing (W) and surface roughness for simulated ICESat-2 data. Roughness values less than 0.5 m are typical of inland ice while larger values reflect surface crevassing.

Figure 5:

Top: Ice sheet surface slope magnitude for the entire continent of Antarctica, calculated as the 68th percentile of surface slopes for 50x50 km squares on the ice-sheet surface. Bottom, ice sheet roughness calculated as the 68th percentile of the absolute difference between each measured elevation and the average of its two nearest along-track neighbors, for the same grid used for the slope map.

Figure 6:

Typical ICESat-2-like data from MABEL over the Greenland ice sheet (top), sea ice (middle), and vegetated land surface (bottom). The histograms on the right show photon distributions for the areas between the two red and green vertical lines in the photon clouds. The distance between the lines is 200 m for these examples. In the actual algorithms that are currently being developed for operational processing this distance will be optimized and may vary as a function of signal-to-noise ratio, surface roughness, and number of signal photons.
**Figure 7:**

*ATLAS clear sky solar photon rate as a function of surface albedo for different Solar Zenith Angles (SZA). Surface is assumed Lambertian. Simulations done with the Discrete Ordinates Radiative Transfer model (DISORT) [Stamnes et al. 1988].* 

ATLAS parameters used in the calculations include: telescope diameter (0.8 m), field of view (85µrad), detector quantum efficiency (0.15), total receiver transmission (0.504) and filter width (0.038nm).

**Figure 8:**

*Photon densities for a 15 km range in altitude and horizontal distance of about 100 km; the brighter the color the higher the photon density. In addition to the surface different types of clouds (PBL stands for “planetary boundary layer”) can be identified. Data were taken with the MABEL instrument on September 21, 2013 over the southern portion of the Chesapeake Bay.*

**Figure 9:**

*Potential range bias error (Rbias) as a function of latitude for the beams that are not monitored by the transmitter echo calibration. This is the residual error after the calibration.*

**Figure 10:**
Ground track pattern at the equator for the first two years of operation. The bold blue lines show the first tracks for the 2-year period. These are the nominal 91-day repeat tracks. At the equator, the gap is 28.8 km. 91 days later the tracks will be shifted by 14.4 km to the right, reducing the gap by half. This halving of the gap will be repeated over two years, i.e. 8 times. The combination of ascending and descending orbits will results in track spacings of less than 2 km. The maximum off-nadir angle is about 1.5 degrees.

Figure 11:
Illustration of one day of ICESat-2 orbits. The blue and red orbit sections indicate where the pointing transitions from the polar “repeat-track mode” to “land/vegetation mode”, respectively. The transition regions have been defined for all ground tracks and can be updated on orbit.

Figure 12:
Conceptual mission operations plan. Calibration efforts will be performed over the ocean. TX Echo Calibration refers to Transmit Echo Calibration described in Section 3.3. Ocean Scan Measurements are also described in Section 3.3. TX/RX calibration corrects the set point of the control loop that keeps the transmitted beam aligned to the receiver field of view.