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Background

- Needs to advance current understanding of flow physics in modern highly-loaded compact compressor stages.
- Needs to develop prediction tools based on higher-fidelity CFD tools (DES, LES, DNS, etc.).
Changes in multi-stage compressor design

Less spacing between blade rows.
Higher loading per blade rows.
Main aerodynamic performance effects of closely-coupled compressor blade rows

1. Upstream influence of pressure field of the downstream blade row.
2. Effects of wake dispersion on the downstream blade row.
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Earlier investigations of the wake dispersion on compressor performance

L. H. Smith (1966): 1.2 points efficiency gain when axial spacing is reduced from 37 to 7 percent of chord.

0.68 points due to upstream pressure effects.
0.52 points due to wake recovery.
Objectives

- Investigate effects of wake dispersion on the compressor performance.
- Conducted in one and a half stage axial compressor with two spacing between rotor and stator (112% and 29% of rotor axial chord at mid-span).
- LES was applied for the flow simulation.
Axial compressor stage at JHU test facility
Pressure rise characteristics of the original compressor stage

Previous LES
- Hah et al.[2015]
- Hah[2017].
Applied LES procedure

- 3rd-order scheme for convection terms.
- 2nd-order central differencing for diffusion terms.
- Sub-iteration at each time step.
- Dynamic model for sub grid stress tensor.
- Multi-block I-grid, 1.2 billion nodes for 4-3-4 simulations with 60 radial nodes inside tip gap.
## Test section and blade geometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casing Diameter ($D$) [mm]</td>
<td>457.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub Diameter ($d$) [mm]</td>
<td>365.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Diameter ($D_R$) [mm]</td>
<td>455.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Blade Chord ($c$) [mm]</td>
<td>102.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Blade Span ($\gamma$) [°]</td>
<td>45.08, 43.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Blade Stagger Angle ($c_A$) [mm]</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Blade Axial Chord [mm]</td>
<td>53.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Tip Clearance [mm]</td>
<td>0.64 (0.62% of $c$), 1.8 (1.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured Tip Clearance ($h$) [mm]</td>
<td>0.5 (0.49%), 2.4 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft Speed ($\Omega$) [rad s$^{-1}$] {RPM}</td>
<td>50.27 {480}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Blade Tip Speed ($U_T$) [ms$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>11.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds Number ($U_T \cdot c/\nu$)</td>
<td>$1.07 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cross section of two configurations
(tip clearance of 0.5 mm, 0.8% rotor span)

112% spacing

29% spacing
Calculated effects of axial spacing between rotor and stator on total pressure rise

1. Effects of non-uniform pressure field of stator on rotor performance.
2. Effects of rotor wake on stator performance (wake recovery and wake/stator interaction).
Absolute pressure rise from rotor LE to stator TE

- Rotor TE
- Stator LE
- Stator TE
- 29% spacing
- 112% spacing
- Loss generation slope inside stator
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Upstream effects of stator pressure field
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29 % spacing
Pt distribution due to wake dispersion
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Pt distribution at rotor exit
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Pt distribution at stator TE
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Pt loss from Stator LE to TE
Breakdown of wake mixing loss from rotor TE to stator TE

- Stator LE to Stator TE
- Rotor TE to Stator LE

Wake Mixing Loss

29% Spacing
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Time-averaged Pt at stator TE

29% spacing

112% spacing
Measured Pt at stator exit, 1&1/2 stage high speed compressor (Lurie and Breeze-Stringfellow[2015])
Instantaneous radial vorticity, 29% spacing

30% Span

50% Span
Flow physics of wake recovery
Local strain rate of rotor wake inside stator passage
Turbulence energy transfer through wake stretching

1. Turbulence energy production. (Soranna et al.[2006])

\[ P_{ij} = -u_i'u_k \frac{\partial \overline{U_j}}{\partial x_k} - u_j'u_k \frac{\partial \overline{U_i}}{\partial x_k} \]

2. Wake stretching can transfer energy to different frequency domain.

3. Phenomena of small eddy interaction.
No wake stretching in flat plate stators, Pt at 30% span, 29% spacing

Original stator blade

Flat plate blade
Concluding remarks

- 0.5 % Pt gain with the reduced spacing, 63 % is due to upstream pressure effect and 22 % is due to wake recovery.
- Wake recovery is due to energy transfer from turbulence to main flow. Turbulence production becomes negative due to opposing strains as wake stretches in non-equilibrium turbulence.
- Energy transfer occurs through small 3-D vorticities.