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Observatory is designed to allow rapid slew/settle for Wide Field Instrument (WFI) survey, while allowing long-term Coronagraph Instrument (CGI) observations.

- CGI has internal control system to correct for slow-varying, thermal-induced errors.

By design, the instruments are shielded from the Sun by the solar array-sunshield (SASS) and Outer Barrel Assembly (OBA).
Introduction to WFIRST Integrated Modeling

- Modeling and analysis work that crosses discipline boundaries and requires observatory level coordination
  - Thermal distortion analysis: structural-thermal-optical performance (STOP) analysis
  - Dynamic jitter analysis: disturbance, structural, and optical analysis
- Ground to orbit effects
  - cool-down and gravity release
- On-orbit variation
  - dynamic jitter, thermal variation, moisture desorption, and material creep
- Uses tools and processes that have been flight validated on other NASA Goddard missions (Solar Dynamic Observatory, Global Precipitation Mission, Landsat-8, Neutron Star Interior Composition Explorer) and used extensively on James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), that have been enhanced to meet WFIRST needs
  - Enhanced to model large monolithic glass optics and cold alignments
  - Also working closely with JWST on lessons learned
WFIRST WFI has similar line-of-sight (LOS) stability and long-term wavefront error (WFE) requirements as the Hubble Space Telescope (HST).

- Image motion requirements are reasonable: 10-20% of resolution limit (or pixel size).
- JWST has a larger WFE stability requirement due to larger and more complex (segmented) primary mirror.
- High confidence in meeting these requirements given HST and JWST experience.

Driving WFIRST WFI stability requirement is 1 nm within 180-sec (largest possible exposure window).

- Derived from weak lensing ellipticity knowledge requirement.
- WFE occurs within exposure window cannot be corrected during post-processing.

Primary mirror (PM) stability is the dominant contributor to observatory stability performance.

- Telescope thermal and dynamic environments must be made stable to meet stability requirements.
### Coronagraph Instrument (CGI) Stability Requirement Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Need From Observatory</th>
<th>CGI Control</th>
<th>CGI Req (TBR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pupil shear drift</td>
<td>0.001 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.001 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoS drift (RMS per axis, equiv on sky)</td>
<td>8 mas</td>
<td>Fine Steering Mirror (FSM)</td>
<td>0.2 mas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoS jitter (RMS per axis, equiv on sky)</td>
<td>12 mas</td>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>0.4 mas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS WFE drift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>10 nm</td>
<td>Focus Mechanism Deformable Mirror</td>
<td>0.07 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>1.2 nm</td>
<td>Deformable Mirror</td>
<td>0.05 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coma</td>
<td>1.2 nm</td>
<td>Deformable Mirror</td>
<td>0.01 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>1 nm</td>
<td>Deformable Mirror</td>
<td>0.01 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS WFE jitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>0.07 nm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.07 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>0.05 nm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coma</td>
<td>0.01 nm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>0.01 nm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trefoil</td>
<td>0.02 nm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.02 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without CGI Control

Post CGI Compensation

CGI achieves required stability using active control with Observatory stability driven by WFI requirements.
- Thermal settling is not required, and only dynamic settling time is considered.
- Jitter settling is met by limiting wheel speed operational range.
- ACS slew-settle time is driven by actuator capability, control algorithm formulation, and damping of appendage modes (i.e. SA, HGA boom).

### Slew Time Requirement (6 wheels)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slew Time Requirement</th>
<th>Slew Time Requirement (6 wheels)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gap Filling Slew</td>
<td>The Observatory shall slew in less than 23 seconds for a gap filling slew of (\leq 212) arcsec, with all wheels functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Field Slew</td>
<td>The Observatory shall slew in less than 78 seconds for a long field slew of 0.82 degree, with all wheels functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Field Slew</td>
<td>The Observatory shall slew in less than 56 seconds for a short field slew of 0.41 degree, with all wheels functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Microlensing Slew</td>
<td>The Observatory shall slew in less than 92 seconds for a slew of 1.16 degrees, with all wheels functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 Degree Slew</td>
<td>The Observatory shall slew in less than 3700 seconds for a slew of 180 degrees, with all wheels functioning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settle Time Requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&lt;1 Degree Settle Time</th>
<th>The Observatory shall settle within 10 seconds, average value over a science sector, for slews &lt;1 deg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 10 Degrees Settle Time</td>
<td>The Observatory shall settle within 10 seconds plus 1 second per degree of slew, average value over a science sector, for slew angles between 1 and 10 deg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 Degrees Settle Time</td>
<td>The Observatory shall settle within 20 seconds for slews &gt;10 deg.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stability Mitigation Tools

- **Ground-to-orbit**
  - Cool-down effects: cold alignments and cool figuring
  - Gravity release: characterization of gravity effects and pre-launch alignments based on analysis of gravity release
  - Sufficient flight compensation to correct for residual alignment errors

- **Thermal Variation**
  - Active thermal control
  - Use proportional heaters on Payload thermal control system (TCS)
  - Minimize changes in Sun vector direction in the Observatory frame
  - WFI has no real-time compensator
  - CGI can use steering mirror, focus mechanism, and deformable mirrors (DMs) to correct for slow-varying thermal induced errors

- **Material Stability**
  - Use flight alignment mechanisms for alignment error compensation
  - Plan for periodic on-orbit alignment adjustments
Dynamic Stability Mitigation

- Primary mitigation strategy for wheel-induced jitter is passive isolation
  - Analysis shows 2-stage isolation meets requirements for an acceptable wheel speed range
  - Supplemented by targeted damping if necessary
- Payload vibration isolation system (PVIS): between spacecraft bus (reaction wheel, high gain antenna actuator) and Payload (telescope/instruments)
  - Baseline approach is to modify existing WFIRST D-struts to achieve the best isolation performance possible.
- Reaction wheel assembly (RWA) isolation system
  - Potentially, ops concept modifications (wheel speed limits during certain observations, scheduling least sensitive science campaigns after slews, ...)
- High gain antenna (HGA) gimbal actuator
  - Avoid stepping HGA during science exposure
  - Implement boom damper
- WFIRST has fixed solar array/sun shield
- General strategies to mitigate effects from other disturbance sources
  - Other disturbances are instrument mechanisms and thruster firings
  - Avoid moving mechanisms and firing thrusters during science exposures
  - Implement dampers for appendage modes to reduce settling time
- Limit wheel speed range to <40Hz to meet WFI LOS and WFE jitter requirements.
- Point in time results show WFI results meet requirements with accepted Model Uncertainty Factors (MUFs).
- When idealized isolator model is replaced with wheel vendor isolator model, some performance degradation is expected.
  - Only need to meet requirement 95% of the time.
- Processed CGI wheel-induced jitter results with realistic wheel speed profiles.
- Normalized CGI Zernike jitter predictions by their requirements.
- Assumed 2-hour observation periods and only need to meet requirements 70% of the time.
- Point in time results show acceptable CGI results with reduced confidence.
  - CGI WFE jitter requirements can be met for some wheel speed ranges (+/- ~5.5 Hz).
  - CGI LOS jitter requirement is met through internal closed-loop control system.

CGI jitter requirements are challenging but achievable.
WFI STOP Results

Observatory Thermal Figure Var<180sec

Current Best Estimate: 0.08 nm RMS
Requirement: 0.4 nm RMS

Observatory Thermal Variation (long term)

Current Best Estimate: 2.97 nm RMS
Requirement: 26.5 nm RMS

Results from 35 field points are shown.

WFI thermal stability requirements are met with large margins after a worst-case slew.
CGI STOP Results

CGI WFE Drift over ~140 hours

X=-0.288um  Y=-0.726um

Pupil drift estimate: 0.726
Pupil drift requirement: 1.0 um

CGI thermal stability requirements are met with good margins.

Peak drift estimate: 0.25 nm RMS
All Zernike requirements >= 1.0 nm RMS
When pointed inertially, there is a small amount of Earth relative motion (~1-2 deg/day).

- Plots shown LOS (0.4 mas RMS) and WFE (0.4 nm RMS) jitter generated from stepping the HGA actuators at this rate to maintain contact with Earth.

HGA boom damping, micro-stepping, and low-detent motors are assumed in these jitter results.

- Micro-stepping requires constant power to actuators
- Without low detent, microstepping will provide ~2x jitter reduction.
- With low detent, microstepping will provide 5-10x jitter reduction but will require gravity off-load during ground deployment testing.
**WFIRST STOP Process**

- **Observatory Thermal Model**
  - Thermal Analysis
  - Temperature Profiles
  - Map Temps to NASTRAN Grid Points

- **Payload Structural Model**
  - Thermo-elastic Analysis relative to 293 K

- **MATLAB w/ DOCS**
  - Calculate surface Zernikes 4-11; Convolve alignment/Zernikes with LOM

- **CODE-V**
  - Payload Optical Model

- **Linear Optical Model (LOM) w/Local Optical Coord Systems**

- **RBE-3 optical element rigid body motions**

- **SigFit**
  - Perturbed system ray trace
  - \( \Delta WFE, \Delta LOS \)

- **TD + NASTRAN Thermal Analyzer**
  - Nastran Thermal Load Cases

---

Discipline Model

Analysis / Process

Intermediate Result

Final Result
STOP Capability Limitations

- STOP analysis drivers
  - Finite resolution (mesh size) drives model run time and model integration time.
  - Design maturity limits model fidelity.
  - Computing power limits model and analysis run time.

- Experimental validation
  - Cannot test to sub-nanometer level due to facility noise
  - Rely on over-drive testing
  - Design in linearity to allow extrapolation (i.e. ball joint to flexures, see MCR replies, may use flexures instead of latches...)

- Material and joint behavior are not drivers
  - There are extensive material database and joint characterization based on heritage flight programs
  - WFIRST plans to perform material and joint characterization as part of our test program
WFIRST Jitter Process

**Structures**
- Observatory Modal Structural Model → Normal Modes Analysis → Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues
- Observatory Model → LOS/WFE Sensitivity Analysis → Linear Optical Model (LOM)
- Mechanical Disturbances (RWAs; HGAs, Mechanisms) → Reduced Dynamics Model → Time or Frequency-Domain Analysis

**Optics**
- Observatory Optical Model

**IM**
- Telescope WFE/LOS Jitter [0-to-400 Hz]
  Integrated jitter vs wheel speed, and cumulative jitter vs frequency for set wheel speed.

**Final Result**
- Re-use in ACS Fine Pointing Control (Drift) Analysis
- Re-use in ACS Fine Pointing Control (Drift) and STOP Analyses
Jitter Capability Limitation

- Model accuracy degrades at frequencies above 100 Hz.
  - Only know system dynamic response to within some bound
  - Rely on isolators to reduce sensitivity to modeling errors
- Require accurate characterization of isolators to validate flight performance predicts.
  - Internal isolation modes and structural flexibility limit isolation performance at high frequencies
  - Important to manage mechanical shorts such as cables or heat straps across the isolator interface (e.g. design soft cables)
- Measure and characterize input disturbances to high precision
- If additional isolation performance is needed, active cancellation is an option
  - Active control increases robustness to modeling uncertainty but with added risk, cost, and complexity.
- Same model size and test limitations as STOP
Sub-nanometer accuracy: A model will be defined to have sub-nanometer accuracy when it achieves a specified probability of predicting the magnitude of a sub-nanometer change in optical response within a specified error bound when acted on by flight level thermal and mechanical disturbances.

The specified error bound is represented by a multiplicative MUF:
- Separate MUFs for Thermal, Thermal Distortion, Moisture Desorption, Gravity Release, and Jitter
- Based on historical accuracy of discipline modeling tools
- Validated as far as possible via analysis and test

WFIRST will do this by creating models that:
1. predict sub-nanometer optical response when acted on by flight level disturbances,
2. match the measured response to (possibly non-flight-level) disturbances within a specified error bound, and
3. are shown to be valid to extrapolate from test disturbance amplitudes to flight disturbance amplitudes.
Concluding Remarks

- Tools, processes, and analysis capabilities developed on NASA GSFC past missions are being utilized on LUVOIR.
- Due to LUVOIR size and tight stability requirements, an active, non-contact vibration isolation system may be required for jitter performance.
BACK-UP SLIDES
WFIRST IM team has extensive experience

IM team has established a tight working relationship across organizations and disciplines, and with system teams.
**WFIRST Disturbance Sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Active during</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WFI Science Exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacecraft</td>
<td>Reaction Wheel Actuators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Gain Antenna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thrusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFI</td>
<td>Element Wheel Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Fold Mirror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fold 1 Mechanism TBR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCS Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI</td>
<td>Fast Steering Mirror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deformable Mirror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CGI Focus Corrections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RWA and HGA are by far the most significant jitter source, and has been the focus of investigation through Phase A; other sources will be analyzed in Phase B.