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Outline

• Diversity of Space Radiation Environments: Space is not a Place
  • Low Earth, Low Inclination orbits of Earth
  • Polar and Geostationary
  • Interplanetary
  • Other planets and Beyond

• Radiation Threats
  • Degradation Mechanisms
  • Spacecraft Charging
  • Single-Event Effects

• Radiation Hardness Assurance (RHA)
  • Testing
  • Mitigation
Space Is Not A Place: Many Different Space Radiation Environments

• Radiation Effects
  • Cumulative Effects
    • Materials/surface degradation, Total Ionizing and Displacement Damage Dose (TID and DDD)
    • Prompt effects—Single-Event Effects (SEE), Transient Radiation Effects on Electronics (Sadly, we can’t ignore this anymore)

• Relevant environment for any particular radiation effect depends on many factors:
  • Position in space
    • Proximity to Sun
    • Longitude and latitude near planet with magnetic field
    • Altitude above a planet (geometric and magnetic shielding)
    • Proximity to (cough, cough) manmade sources
  • Time
    • Solar Maximum vs. Solar Minimum
    • Solar Weather, Wind and Events
  • Material/Shielding around susceptible element
  • Material Properties (thermal + electrical conductivity, optical properties,...)

• Sources of Radiation
  • Galactic Cosmic Rays—present in all space environments; planetary magnetic fields provide shielding in some orbits
  • Charged particles trapped by planetary magnetic fields
  • Solar Particle Events—sporadic large increases in particle fluxes due to Solar Coronal Mass Ejections, flares, etc.
  • Space Plasma environment (including atomic oxygen) important for surface degradation in some orbits

• These many radiation environments tell us: Space is not a place
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Where Is Space Radiation And Where Does It Come From?

• Galactic Cosmic Rays (GCR)—VERY high energy, All Z
  • Small flux means main concern is SEE, even hard devices

• Solar Particle Event (SPE)—Moderate energy, Z variable
  • Intermittent high flux—SEE, TID, DDD all concerns

• Trapped radiation belts—mainly protons and electrons
  • High, variable flux; protons—TID,DDD, SEE; e⁻— TID,DDD, charging (electrostatic discharge (ESD))
Effects of Space Radiation

**Solar Panels**
Degraded power from TID/DDD
ESD from surface charging

**Avionics**
DDD/TID degradation/failure
SEE/ESD malfunction/failure

**Power Electronics**
DDD/TID degradation/failure
Destructive SEE failure

**Contamination**
Materials degraded by TID/DDD, atomic O, charging contaminate optics, etc.

**Guidance and Navigation**
Station-keeping/pointing errors from SEE
Star tracker false stars (SEE) and noisy pixels (DDD)

**Communications**
Bit flips/lost data due to SEE/ESD

**Thermal from TID/plasma**
Darkening of surfaces
Materials degradation

**Optics**
Darkening of optics from TID
Detector degradation from DDD

**Surface/Materials**
Degradation due to plasma, atomic oxygen, TID, charging

**Payload**
Loss of data, availability, total loss due to SEE, ESD
Degradation, eventual failure due to TID, DDD
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SEE and ESD—Relative Risks and Rates

Adapted from Space Radiation Environment – A Short Course, NSREC 2016, Paul O’Brien and Joe Mazur

Key: CRRES—Combined Release and Radiation Effects Satellite; MEP—Microelectronics Package Space Experiment; SEU—Single-Event Upset; VTCW—vehicle time code word

To be presented by Raymond L. Ladbury at the NASA Ames Research Center Radiation Meeting, Mountain View, CA, November 6, 2017.

**Attribution of Anomalies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of Forms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESD - Internal Charging</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD - Surface Charging</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD - Uncategorized</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Charging</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total ESD &amp; Charging</strong></td>
<td><strong>162</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEU - Cosmic Ray</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEU - Solar Particle Event</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEU - South Atlantic Anomaly</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEU - Uncategorized</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total SEU</strong></td>
<td><strong>85</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Array - Solar Proton Event</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Radiation Dose</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Damage</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Atlantic Anomaly</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Radiation Damage</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrometeoroid/Debris Impact</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Proton Event - Uncategorized</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Field Variability</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Effects</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Oxygen Erosion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Drag</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR background</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I onospheric Scintillation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energetic Electrons</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Miscellaneous</strong></td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After Koons et al. 6th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, 2000
Radiation Effect Characteristics: Single-Event Effects

Characteristics and sources of error determine RHA approach, testing protocols and effective mitigations

- Radiation tests potentially destructive, so must be done on sample representative of flight parts
  - SEE usually vary little part to part or lot to lot
    - Not always true, especially for destructive SEE
- Poisson process
  - Just as likely for first ion as for the last
  - All SEE are independent of all others
  - Process is Poisson per unit ion, not per unit time
- Vulnerability depends on application conditions
  - Voltages, temperature, state of operation...
  - Parts only vulnerable when biased
- Relevant environments include
  - Galactic Cosmic Rays
  - Trapped protons
  - Solar-Event protons and heavy ions

- “Representative”=same process and mask set
- Poisson process implies: even low-rate modes can occur any time in the mission
  - High fluence, high-LET heavy-ion test for worst-case application conditions important to ensure detection
  - Heavy ions are not only far more ionizing than proton recoils, they allow test conditions to be selected—both to encompass worst case and to assess SEE mode dependence
- Mitigation strategies
  - Threat avoidance by using parts not susceptible to SEE modes of concern or avoiding application conditions where susceptibility manifests
  - Redundancy—independs of each SEE means redundancy is an effective mitigation strategy
    - Pay in currency of the realm—bits for bits, function for function (hot spares), system for system
    - Poisson per ion means vulnerability depends on environment
    - For hot spares—primary and redundant unit rates increase with environment; not so for cold spares
    - Cold spares more effective for increased survivability
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Radiation Effect Characteristics: Cumulative Effects

- Multiple Cumulative effects: Total Ionizing Dose, Displacement Damage Dose, Atomic Oxygen and energetic plasma exposure

- Consider TID as most complicated mode
  - Cumulative effect—each krad(Si) absorbed make failure more likely with the next krad(Si)
  - Damage accumulates whether parts biased or not

- TID test potentially destructive, so performed on sample representative of flight parts
  - Part-to-part and lot-to-lot variation often significant

- TID susceptibility often application dependent

- Relevant environments include
  - SPE protons and trapped protons and electrons

- DDD shows less part-to-part, lot-to-lot and application dependence
  - Plasma and Atomic O even less

- “Representative” sample= from flight wafer diffusion lot

- Effect is cumulative w/ or w/o bias applied—so threat avoidance is preferred mitigation; redundancy ineffective
  - Avoid susceptible parts or conditions where more susceptible
  - Shielding reduces dose/plasma/particle flux to acceptable limit
  - Design margin reduces probability of susceptibility as long as susceptibility vs. stress distribution is well behaved

- Susceptibility test needs to encompass WC conditions
  - e.g for TID, dose rate, biases, temperature...

- Part-to-part variation means sample size is important
  - Most general method assumes binomial statistics, but requires large sample sizes (230 parts for Ps=99% w/ CL=90%)
  - Assuming failure vs. dose well behaved reduces sample size, but introduces systematic error if distribution pathological

Key: CL—confidence level; Ps—probability of survival; WC—worst case
Radiation Effect Characteristics: Spacecraft Charging (It’s complicated)

- Two different modes of charging
  - Surface Charging has 2 contributing mechanisms
    - Photoelectric effect depends on illumination of surfaces and yield positive charging
    - Plasma charging depends on low-energy plasma environment and yields negative charging
  - Internal charging caused by high-energy electrons penetrating dielectrics in spacecraft
  - Both modes also depend on how quickly charge bleeds off of charged surface/dielectric (e.g. resistivity of path to ground)

- Charging neither Poisson, nor cumulative
  - Depends on integral of environment on timescales comparable to discharge time

- Testing is complicated
  - Needs to be performed on representative sample
  - Resistivity depends on sample storage conditions
  - Very difficult to test and model differential charging

- “Representative” means same resistive properties
  - e.g. Same material + outgassing in thermal vacuum

- Mitigation approach is threat avoidance
  - Design rules limit resistivity from metal to ground
  - Operational rules associated with eclipse conditions
  - Shielding can decrease high-energy electron fluxes
  - Environmental monitoring of plasma, electron fluxes

- Redundancy unlikely to be effective
  - Primary redundant systems are in same environment
  - Biased and unbiased systems have same risk

- Testing is difficult
  - Sample resistivities (and therefore integration times) may increase with time as system outgasses
  - System geometries important to reflect differential charging—important for determining charging rate
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Which Environments are Important?

- **Single-Event Effects** caused by protons (trapped and SPE) and heavy ions (GCR and SPE)
  - Average environments determine whether mission meets requirements
    - Average trapped proton environment (AP9@50% confidence or AP8)
    - CREME-96 GCR and Average SPE proton and heavy-ion environments
  - Peak environments important to determine if redundancy overwhelmed by WC environment
    - WC trapped proton environment (South Atlantic Anomaly, AP9@>90% Confidence)
    - SPE proton and GCR environments (e.g. CREME-96 Solar Flare or ESP and PSYCHIC @>90% Confidence)
    - GCR environment does fluctuate, but changes are tiny compared to trapped proton and solar particle event changes.

- **Cumulative Effects**
  - Except for very short missions (<1-2 years), average environments dominate
  - Solar particle events can dominate TID/DDD for short missions, but few devices susceptible at such doses
  - Other effects (plasma and atomic Oxygen)—need model of average conditions throughout mission

- **Charging depends on environments averaged over scales of hours to a day or so**
  - Ensuring surfaces grounded, limiting high-resistivity dielectrics limits timescales considered
  - SPE and trapped electron fluctuations (e.g. AE9 at high confidence level over several hours)
Space Radiation Environments Pose a Variety of Threats

- Different threats important for different mission environments
  - Charging important mainly where space plasma and energetic electrons present (GEO, Polar, Jupiter...)
  - Cumulative effects most important where ionizing and energetic particle fluxes are high
  - SEE occur in all environments

- Time scales also vary for different threats
  - Charging takes place over several hours of (usually elevated) environment
  - TID/DDD and other cumulative effects develop and worsen over years
    - Often failure preceded by period of gradual degradation
    - SEE can occur at any time during the mission with equal probability (modula the environment)

- Threat characteristics determine appropriate hardness assurance, testing and mitigation options
  - SEE are Poisson processes
    - Need to be detected if susceptibility present
    - Independent of each other, so appropriate redundancy can be an effective mitigation
  - Cumulative effect failure rates increase w/ dose/time
    - Mitigation means threat avoidance (shielding, part substitution, increased design margin...)
  - Spacecraft charging risk accumulates for all systems when environment is elevated
    - Mitigation means threat avoidance (shielding, low-resistivity path to ground...)

- Knowing what risks apply to your mission is key—where and when change risk calculus