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Ron Hochstetler Lighter-Than-Air Expertise

- Aviation Specialist (SAIC) LTA SME support to SimLabs
- Director, SAIC LTA Programs
- Deputy Program Manager for SAIC SKYBUS 80K UAS airship
- Project Manager US Army “Transport Airship” Study
- Technical support to Phase I DARPA ”ISIS” stratospheric airship
- Senior Project Analyst for CargoLifter GmbH, Germany
- Program Manager supporting US Navy Airship Program Office
- Tour Manager for Airship Industries commercial airship
- Assistant to Program Manager for YEZ-2A Navy airship
- Mechanic/Assembler on Helistat heavy lift hybrid airship
There is an ongoing Government interest in the potential of airships for cargo transport
  - Conducting vertical or near-vertical airlift of heavy, outsized freight with little or no ground infrastructure is an attractive cargo transport option

USTRANSCOM wanted to understand the principal issues that are holding back commercial development of airship transports

NASA Ames Research Center was commissioned by USTRANSCOM to study the airship industry’s ability to design, develop, and operate cargo airships

Principal study objectives were to identify:
  - Current and near term state of the cargo airship industry
  - Roadblocks hindering cargo airship development
  - Steps needed to remove impediments to cargo airship deployment
Demand Side: Airships Missions and Users

• **Interest in cargo airships greatest since the age of the great rigid airships**
  - Commercial airship transport ranges from tens of miles to a few hundred miles (highest demand), with a small number of long distance missions
  - Threshold demand for airship transport of 10 to 15 tons, with a middle range demand around 45 to 50 tons, rising to interest in 150 tons plus

• **Principal commercial application supporting "resource extraction"**
  - Airship provides access to remote or difficult to access areas where conventional transport is prohibitively expensive or environmentally restricted

• **Particular interest in moving outsized or heavy “project freight”**

- [Canadian northern home construction](#)
- [Heavy vertical lift](#)
- [Equipment transport](#)
- [Canadian ice road replacement](#)
Demand Side: Airships Missions and Users (cont.)

• DoD demand is for insertion of materials into critical points not easily reached
  o Reduce number of moves required in the Area of Operations
  o Provide US forces with advantage of adaptive power projection
  o Move new things in new ways (support to Seabasing concepts, etc...)
Supply Side: Airship Companies

• Industry survey assessed capabilities of 51 organizations

• Seven companies represent majority of existing airship operators
  o Five (all manufactures) have aerostat operational capabilities
  o Thirty six companies have potential (or aspiration) to develop and manufacture their LTA designs
  o Three firms were focused on LTA design only with no manufacturing interest

• Eighteen firms active designing, building, or operating airships or aerostats
  o These were funded with full-time staff and currently offering airships, aerostats, or operating LTA systems (or able to do so)

• Thirteen firms had some level of funding, some staff (mostly part-time)
  o But did not yet have an ongoing business of supplying or operating LTA vehicles

• Fourteen firms had some level of LTA design or development commitment
  o But not actively moving forward due to insufficient or pending funds
Recent Airship Programs

- Hybrid Air Vehicles (HAV) converted LEMV into proof-of-concept commercial cargo airship demonstrator (soon to make first flight)
- Lockheed Martin ready to construct several LMH-1 commercial cargo hybrid airships pending market orders
Recent Airship Programs (cont.)

- Ohio Airships taxi tested proof-of-concept “winged” hybrid cargo airship
Recent Airship Programs (cont.)

- Millennium Airships plan to develop SkyFreightner rigid shell cargo airship employing a thrust augmented vectoring propulsion/airfoil
- TP Aerospace is finalizing design of ATLAS 50 cargo airship
  - Uses electric propulsion and both roll-on-roll-off and hovering payload deliveries
Recent Airship Programs (cont.)

• The French Dirisolar company is designing their fully solar powered electric DS 1500 proof-of-concept airship using aluminum tubing and sail fabric

• Another French company, A-NSE is investigating their unique variable shape and variable volume non-rigid airship concept
  o May enable optimization of airship hulls for high speed, or for hovering flight by changing hull shape as needed

Dirisolar concept installation of solar cells

CFD simulation of A-NSE side lobe design concept
Recent Airship Programs (cont.)

- An Argentinian firm Aerovehicles is progressing through initial design of their Aerocat rigid hybrid cargo airship concepts
- Zeppelin NT maintains their airship design, manufacturing, and operational experience providing 3 new Zeppelin N 07 airships to Goodyear
Recent Airship Programs (cont.)

- Two Russian companies RosAeroSystems and Airship GP proposing heavy lift commercial cargo airship designs, with each incorporating several novel technologies not employed in previous airship designs.

- RosAeroSystems ATLANT with internal hydrogen ballonets

- Airship GP Super-hybrid

- ATLANT turboprop and turbojet lifting engines

- Layout of Super-hybrid internal propulsion units

- Super-hybrid helium and air/steam chambers
Airship Design Trends and Technologies

• Near-buoyant airship with single ellipsoidal shape and hybrid designs combining gas lift with the dynamic lift of an airplane or helicopter

• Other airship shapes are being explored:
  o Winged and rotary hybrid
  o Lenticular, deltoid, and spherical hulls

• Airship hulls compromise between exclusive performance capabilities
  o Streamlined hull for high speed long distance operations
  o Non-directional hull for hovering precision and wind gust mitigation
    ▪ With hovering cargo delivery airship doesn’t land to pick up or deliver cargo
Airship Design Trends and Technologies (cont.)

- Airships combine modern rigid and fabric structures
  - Strength-to-weight ratios of airship fabrics increasing. Most popular include Vectran and Technora

- Chromoly-4130 tubing and aluminum still in use but Kevlar, graphite laminates, and Nomex honeycomb being adopted
  - Aerospace composite tubing used for gondolas, (majority of Zeppelin N 07 hull is made of graphite tubes)
  - TP Aerospace “rigid” hull structures made of inflatable high pressure fabric tubes

- Some rigid hulls combine internal rigid parts (longerons and stringers) with lightweight rigid shell
  - One rigid shell airship uses aerospace aluminum sheet while others use rigidized sheets of composite fabric
Airship Design Trends and Technologies (cont.)

- **Aerospace and non-aerospace engines used, none are optimized for airships**
  - Aero-diesels are in use (350 hp) but larger airships need high power versions
  - Turboprops are being chosen despite higher fuel consumption

- **New propulsion alternatives include:**
  - Electric motors powered by solar cells, and hybrid-electric systems combining diesel engine and electric generator
  - An airship was test flown using diesel engine driving a hydraulic pump to power hydraulic propulsion units mounted on the airship hull
Airship Design Trends and Technologies (cont.)

- Designers developing methods to control airship buoyancy
  - Compress the lifting gas and store it onboard
  - Heat the lifting gas to increase its volume and subsequent lift
  - Use superheated steam as a cheap, safe lifting gas that can be vented

- Russian patent issued for a chemical additive rendering hydrogen non-flammable (Russia Patent No. 2441685, 2 October 2012)
  - Allows safe use of cheap hydrogen as lifting gas that can be vented

Images:
- Skylite GeoShip buoyancy compensation system
- Steam balloon
Airship Design Trends and Technologies (cont.)

- Designers of hybrid lifting body airships are incorporating air cushion landing systems (ACLS)
- These hovercraft systems allow the airship to land and taxi on undeveloped surfaces, and bodies of water
  - ACLS promises independence from prepared operating ground sites

Lockheed Martin LMH-1 ACLS skirts
HAV AIRLANDER ACLS skirts deployed for landing
Airship Design Trends and Technologies (cont.)

• **Airship cargo handling systems**
  
  o Internal carriage with conventional roll-on-roll-off ramps into a payload bay
  
  o Carriage of large, outsized loads or standard shipping containers externally attached below the airship
  
  o Sling load systems being designed for hovering payload delivery

- Lockheed Martin LMH-1 unloading CONEX box
- USIC concept for external attachment of cargo boxes
- Lockheed Martin hybrid with sling load
New and Enabling Airship Technologies

- New technologies offer substantial advantages for cargo airship operations
  - Specially molded micro "riblet" structures, similar to shark skin, applied to LTA hull surfaces may reduce drag by 6.25%
  - Hydrophobic surface treatments that strongly repel water may reduce accumulation of rain, snow, and ice
  - Solid-state circuits convert electricity into plasma flow accelerating air adjacent to hull skin could provide low power propulsion (25 kt. +) and boundary control to reduce hull drag
Survey of Airship Modeling and Simulation Tools

- Survey looked at nine modeling and simulation tools developed for airship sizing, design, development, and operations.
- Other tools in existence were considered proprietary by owners, and were not made available for this study.
- Gap analysis identified tool development required to meet needs of current and future airship design efforts.

**CargoLifter Thermodynamic/Aerostatic model**

**Advanced Airship Analysis and Design (A3D) tool**
### Airship Modeling and Simulation Tool Attributes

#### Concept Studies and Design Trades
- Airship sizing and weight
- Performance/Mission parameters
- Mission requirements development
- Project schedule
- Business plan and costs
- Concept of operations (CONOPS) development

#### Modeling, Analysis, and Simulations
- Numerical modeling (CFD, FEM)
- Aerodynamics
- Aerostatics, aeroelastics, and thermodynamics
- Flight mechanics and dynamics
- Loads computation and interface loads
- Concept of operations (CONOPS) simulation

#### Tool Capability:
- Functional
- Marginal
- None
### Airship Modeling and Simulation Tool Attributes

#### Design and Specification Development
- Overall airship layout
- Structures (rigid and fabric)
- Propulsion (engines and props)
- Cargo carriage and handling
- Weights
- Flight control system
- Ground handling systems

#### Analysis in Certification Phases
- Transient, flight, and mission phases
- Stability and controllability
- Mission performance capability
- Manufacturing and assembly process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>NAPSAP</th>
<th>YEZ-2A</th>
<th>OAR</th>
<th>ASPEN</th>
<th>Cargo Lifter</th>
<th>Burgess-Mayer</th>
<th>LTASIM</th>
<th>AOM</th>
<th>A3D (AFRL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tool Capability:**
- Functional
- Marginal
- None
Modeling & Simulation Tools Survey Findings

• **Some tools have limited capability, but none are fully developed, validated models**
  - Several tools are outdated, have low fidelity, or lack depth of analytical insight
  - Most provide sizing and assessments of design, mission, CONOPS, and mfg costs
  - Only three tool sets had capabilities beyond airship sizing (CargoLifter had detailed analysis)

• **Costs and competitive secrecy are factors limiting creation of airship simulation tools**
  - No incentive for airship firms to create modeling and simulation tools useful to competitors
  - Modeling tools take a back seat to cost of constructing the actual vehicle
  - Extrapolations from inaccurate models propagates financial uncertainty and technical risk

None of the tools surveyed represented single-discipline programs developed for high-fidelity analysis of detailed designs for modern airships
Airship Industry Study Conclusions

- Significant airship industry capability exists to develop cargo airships
- The airship industry is aging and fragmented among a few, small-sized companies and independent airship engineers
- The aerospace community offers a rich source of technologies and development tools useful to the airship industry
  - New materials, propulsion systems, and control software are available to enable the construction and operation of large modern airships
- An industry accepted airship engineering database has yet to be defined
  - This knowledge is not collected or archived in a single authoritative location
- Group of potential airship users is expanding but commitment of funds for airship utilization constrained due to lack of assurance (confidence) in the viability of airship technologies and airship operational cost/effectiveness
- Conundrum is that little investment in airship development is made available until a flight test prototype can be demonstrated to work
- Consequently, the money to design, build, and fly a prototype is dependent on assurances the vehicle will perform as intended
Airship Industry Study Conclusions (cont.)

• What's missing is an airship expert resource that can provide the comprehensive, validated analytical tools needed to aid airship developers in their decision-making about proposed airship designs, technologies, and operations.

• This is a persistent obstacle that has kept the airship industry from breaking through into sustainable production of capable airships for market segments that clearly wish to utilize them.

• Today's potential airship users and investors also require technical and operational insights that can provide them with a solid basis for making confident investment decisions about particular airship concepts or operational proposals.

• However, there is no independent entity that can provide analytical insights in sufficient detail to offer a credible response to questions from potential airship users or airship industry investors as to the technical viability of an airship design or its likelihood of operational success.
Recommendations

A complete and integrated set of airship modeling and simulation tools is needed, based on a full understanding of fundamental airship properties.

Assemble an LTA Knowledge Base and Tool Set

1. Collect LTA design and operations data in one searchable on-line repository
2. Develop a design process tool set specifically for airship vehicles
3. Establish validated set of modeling and simulation tools for use by airship firms
4. Develop cost analysis tools for airship design, manufacture, and operations
Utilize the Tools and Knowledge Database

1. Investigate critical LTA design concepts, structures, materials, or sub-systems
2. Conduct operational analysis of LTA vehicles and CONOPS to identify challenges
3. Conduct modeling and simulation studies of LTA mfg concepts and techniques
   a) Reduce labor and assembly costs, improve airship maintenance in the field
Recommendations (cont.)

Private-Public Airship program

- Government (USTRANSCOM) could provide leadership for a public-private-partnership for research into cargo and UAS carrier missions
- NASA Ames can provide guidance for developing engineering tools for the airship transport industry
- Private-Public Airship program utilize commercial airship for development and validation of airship modeling and simulation tools
Presentation SUMMARY

• Conducted NASA Industry Study for USTRANSCOM
• Surveyed publicly available information for Airship Modeling and Simulation Tools
• Survey and GAP Analysis of Tools indicates:
  o Tools are needed in the public domain for high-fidelity analysis and design for modern airships
• Recommendations:
  o Assemble and Utilize an LTA Knowledge Base and Tool Set that will be available in the public domain
  o Establish a Private-Public Airship program to develop and validate tools to benefit the Airship Community
Questions?
Backup Slides
Conventional Airships vs Hybrid Lifting Bodies

- Conventional hull is inherently more stable in pitch due to lower center of gravity
- Conventional hull has less surface area than multi-lobed hybrid of same gas volume
  - Hybrid requires more fabric
  - Hybrid empty weight is heavier
  - Hybrid has greater drag, producing greater fuel consumption

A lifting-body hybrid with three lobes separated horizontally by half of the lobe diameter will have a 6% greater surface area than a single-lobed airship of the same gas volume. The three-lobed hybrid also will have a frontal area that is 23% greater than the single-lobed airship.
CargoLifter – Late 1990’s

- Design and manufacture CL-160 - 160 metric ton lift cargo airship
- Computer controlled propulsion and positioning systems
- Vertical thrusters provide 40 tons of lift
  - Bow/stern thrusters provide positioning stability during load exchanges and mooring
- Semi-rigid graphite composite tubular keel
- 16 GE turboshift engines for primary propulsion, station keeping, and ship’s power
- Featured a crane for vertical payload exchange with ground based ballast
- Did not need to land to load or unload payload

Side view of CL-160 structural concept

CAD rendering of CL-160 gondola structure