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• Satellite remote sensing provides a large scale view at areas impacted by disasters, especially in widespread flooding events.

• A constellation of NASA, NOAA, commercial and international partner satellites provide a wide array of information in varying wavelengths, spatial resolutions and repeat cycles.

• Effort to focus on optical remote sensing (VIS, NIR, IR) are often thwarted by widespread cloud cover, especially in flooding events.
  • Storm systems (tropical or extratropical) can bring cloud cover that can linger for several days
Optical vs. SAR Remote Sensing

- Optical systems passively receive thermal emissions or reflected components from the object they are observing, while synthetic aperture radar (SAR) allows for penetration through most clouds and precipitation, varying with wavelength.

- SAR systems also penetrate through dense vegetation canopy, depending on wavelength. Allows for through-cloud observations of floods and other severe weather damage.

- Backscattering mechanisms depend upon the surface that is being sampled:
  - Smooth surfaces like undisturbed water can appear dark (low dB)
  - Vegetation orientation impacts dB return from various polarizations.

Figure 1. Comparison of passive and active remote sensing. This figure courtesy of NASA ARSET training module on Synthetic Aperture Radar.
The Alaska Satellite Facility (ASF) at the University of Alaska Fairbanks is the NASA Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) specializing synthetic aperture radar processing and archiving. The DAAC is part of the Earth Science Data and Information System (ESDIS) Project based at Goddard Space Flight Center.

ASF maintains an archive of the European Space Agency’s Copernicus Sentinel 1A and 1B satellites. Sentinel 1A/1B are two C-Band instruments that provide a 12 day repeat cycle of most places on Earth. In addition to the Sentinel 1 data, ASF also has archived data from ALOS-1, ERS-1 & 2 and UAVSAR.

ASF and Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) have been working together to better connect SAR data and products with decision makers, especially in disaster response.

In order to expedite the processing and reduce latency of the date, ASF designed a collaboration tool, that helps with prototyping tools and recipes that use SAR imagery.

The Hybrid Pluggable Processing Pipeline (HyP3) provided tools to generate Sentinel-1 derived products and pull them to MSFC, who in-turn generated flood products for government agencies such as the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) during their response.
Late April/Early May 2017 Flooding

- In late April 2017, a storm system brought several days of heavy rain to portions of Missouri that caused rivers to swell and begin flooding.
- Many of these areas just experienced similar record flooding in December 2015/January 2016.

**Figure 2.** Three day rainfall totals across portions of Missouri that caused widespread flooding. Image is courtesy of the NOAA/National Weather Service-St. Louis.

**Figure 3.** Several river gauges and their readings during this flooding event. Image is courtesy of the NOAA/National Weather Service-St. Louis.

---

**May 2017 River Flood Crest Summary**

**Meramec River**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>May 2017</th>
<th>Record</th>
<th>Previous Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan</td>
<td>36.52’</td>
<td>36.52’ (2017)</td>
<td>33.50’ 08/01/1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>33.05’</td>
<td>33.42’ (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eureka</td>
<td>46.11’</td>
<td>46.11’ (2017)</td>
<td>46.06’ 12/30/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley Park</td>
<td>43.31’</td>
<td>44.11’ (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold</td>
<td>45.62’</td>
<td>47.26’ (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Big River**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>May 2017</th>
<th>Record</th>
<th>Previous Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byrnesville</td>
<td>30.02’</td>
<td>30.20’ (1915)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Response between NASA Disasters Program & FEMA

- Collaborations with ASF provided several products to be used during the response to this flooding event
  - RTC-Radiometrically terrain corrected images are corrected for SAR geometry and radiometry.
  - Change detection product identifies change between two images that meets a certain threshold.
  - False color composite allows for rapid, visual identification of key features and potential changes in those features.
- FEMA used these products to help with their modeling and analysis of infrastructure impacted and potential damage replacement costs.

Figure 4. Terra MODIS true color image from 4 May 2017 showing expansive cloud shield over portions of Missouri where flooding was located.
a) Sentinel 1A dB radiometrically terrain corrected (RTC) image acquired on 22 April 2017. The image was acquired by ESA and processed using the HyP3 system at ASF.

b) Sentinel 1A dB radiometrically terrain corrected (RTC) image acquired on 4 May 2017. This image show considerable amount of flooding around the rivers.

c) Using data from a before (Fig. 5) and after (Fig. 6), ASF has developed a change detection tool for HyP3 which identifies areas of significant change (flooding) between the two scenes.
Response between NASA Disasters Program & FEMA

a) Sentinel 1A RGB composite from 22 April 2017 located just north of Grand Tower, IL. The flood plain on fields near the river are shown in orange/brown, while the surround vegetation is green.

b) Sentinel 1B RGB composite from 4 May 2017 after the heavy rains caused flooding. Water appears blue while the wet flood plain/fields are shown in dark brown.

c) Sentinel 1 change detection product (pink) overlaid on the RGB composite from the 4 May 17. The Change Detection product shows where the area is more wet from the rains than in April, likely flooding.

d) SPOT6 true color RGB from 5 May 2017. The SPOT true color RGB after skies cleared. Although a day later, the water is still apparent, coinciding with the ChgDet and RGB images.
Conclusions & Future work

- Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) provides additional remote sensing capabilities to monitor and provide decision support during disaster response

- The NASA Disasters Response program is a partner in helping to elevate SAR to additional government agencies (i.e. FEMA, NGB) looking incorporate remote sensing into their DSS

- Collaborations with ASF helped to reduce latency of processing SAR data and derived products, allowing for the data/products to get to end-users quicker
  - This was critical in building relationships and trust between the NASA Disasters Program and partners
  - The relationship building in this event paid off when it came to the 2017 hurricane season response with federal partners

- Future work will focus on continued development of products utilizing SAR that can assist in disaster response and reducing latency of processing
  - Additional future work will focus on validating quantitative products to provide metrics for success.

ASF DAAC 2017, contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data 2017

Sentinel 1 RGB following Hurricane Harvey.

Sentinel 1 flood map following Hurricane Harvey.