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This course provides an introduction to the design and development of life support systems to sustain humankind in the harsh environment of space. The life support technologies necessary to provide a respirable atmosphere and clean drinking water are emphasized in the course. A historical perspective, beginning with open loop systems employed aboard the earliest crewed spacecraft through the state-of-the-art life support technology utilized aboard the International Space Station today, will provide a framework for students to consider applications to possible future exploration missions and destinations which may vary greatly in duration and scope. Development of future technologies as well as guiding requirements for designing life support systems for crewed exploration missions beyond low-Earth orbit are also considered in the course.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Approx Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcome/Introduction</td>
<td>Greg Schunk</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda/Speakers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is Environmental Control and Life Support?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Metabolic Needs</td>
<td>Bob Bagdigan</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>1:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical ECLSS Functions</td>
<td>Bob Bagdigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Perspective</td>
<td>Bob Bagdigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury/Gemini/Apollo/Skylab/Shuttle/ISS</td>
<td>Bob Bagdigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guiding Requirements from NASA Standards</td>
<td>Jay Perry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere Revitalization</td>
<td></td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>1:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Jay Perry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 Removal</td>
<td>Jim Knox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2 Generation</td>
<td>Kevin Takada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2 Recovery/CO2 Reduction</td>
<td>Zach Greenwood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace Contaminant Control</td>
<td>Jay Perry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Control</td>
<td>Jay Perry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Layne Carter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Processing</td>
<td>Layne Carter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine Processing</td>
<td>Layne Carter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges for Future Exploration</td>
<td>Jay Perry</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>4:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration phases, duration, dormancy, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question and Answer</td>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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To Keep Humans Alive in Space, We Must…

- Control Cabin Pressure
- Control Cabin Atmosphere Composition & Purity
- Control Temperature, Humidity, & Particulates
- Monitor Cabin Environment
- Ventilate Cabin
- Detect and Suppress Fires
- Supply Water
- Collect, Stabilize, Store, & Dispose of Wastes
- Supply Oxygen
- Remove Carbon Dioxide
- Recycle Water
- Recycle Oxygen
- Produce Food
- Recycle Oxygen
- Respond to and Recover from Environmental Emergencies
- All Missions
- Long Missions
Life Support for Mercury & Gemini

• 1-2 astronauts
• 1-14 day missions
• chlorinated potable water & oxygen stored in tanks
• CO$_2$ removed from atmosphere w/ expendable LiOH
• wastewater vented overboard
Life Support for Apollo

Command Module
- 7-10 day missions
- 3 crew
- Fuel cell by-product water used for drinking, chlorinated manually by crew
- Wastewater vented overboard or used for supplemental evaporative cooling
- O₂ stored in tanks
- CO₂ scrubbed w/ LiOH
- Rudimentary waste collection

Lunar Module
- 1-3 day missions for 2 crew
- Iodinated potable water stored in tanks
- Wastewater collected in tanks
- O₂ Storage
- CO₂ scrubbed w/ LiOH
Life Support for Skylab

• 3 missions (28, 59, & 84 days)
• 3 astronauts each mission
• Potable water provided for consumption & hygiene
• Iodinated potable water stored in tanks (10 x 70-gal tanks)
  • Periodic iodine injections by crew
  • Manual colorimetric checks
• Wastewater vented overboard
• Stored O₂
• CO₂ scrubbed w/ molecular sieve and vented overboard
Life Support for Space Shuttle

- 7-16 day missions typical
- 6-7 crew typical
- fuel cell by-product water used for potable water
  - iodine added automatically via flow-thru iodinated resin
- wastewater vented overboard
- stored (cryo) O₂
- CO₂ scrubbed with LiOH
International Space Station Life Support

- Continuously occupied since 10/01
- 90-180 day increments typical
- 3--6 crew typical, more in coming years
- CO₂ removal w/ regenerable zeolite mole sieve
- Trace contaminant control w/ expendable adsorption & catalytic oxidation
- H₂O supplies:
  - cabin humidity condensate processed to potable water (Russian hardware)
  - cabin humidity condensate & urine processed to potable water (U.S. Water Recovery System since 2008)
- O₂ supplies
  - oxygen generation via water electrolysis (US & Russian systems)
  - oxygen recovery via Sabatier CO2 reduction (US)
  - expendable perchlorate candles (emergency backup)
Waste & Hygiene Compartment
ISS Regenerative ECLSS

Launched July 2006
Activation July 2007

Launched November 2008
Activation March 2009
Human Space Exploration Phases from ISS to the Surface of Mars

Today

Phase 0: Exploration Systems
Testing on ISS

Phase 1: Cislunar Flight
Testing of Exploration Systems

Phase 2: Cislunar Validation
of Exploration Capability

Phase 3: Crewed Missions
Beyond Earth-Moon System

Ends with one year
crewed Mars-class
shakedown cruise

Asteroid Redirect-Crewed
Mission Marks Move from
Phase 1 to Phase 2

Ends with testing,
research and
demos complete*

Planning for the details and specific
objectives will be needed in ~2020

Mid-2020s 2030

Phase 4a: Development
and robotic preparatory
missions

Phase 4b: Mars
Human Landing
Missions

* There are several other
considerations for ISS end-of-life
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• Orion Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle (MPCV)
  • Transport 4 crew to Deep Space Gateway
  • ~21 day mission duration
• Deep Space Gateway (DSG)
  • Support multiple NASA, U.S., commercial, & international partner objectives
  • Supports buildup of Deep Space Transport
  • With Orion, supports 4 crew up to 42 days
• Deep Space Transport (DST)
  • Transport crew between DSG & Mars vicinity
  • 6-9 month 1-way transits
  • Dormant periods while crew is on Mars surface
Guiding Requirements from NASA Standards
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Introduction

- The Global Exploration Strategy
  - International Space Exploration Coordination Group
  - 14 member agencies
  - 5 themes
  - Path to Mars exploration

- U.S. 2010 Space Exploration Policy
  - Goals similar to the GES themes
  - Missions beyond the moon by 2025
  - Missions to Mars by mid-2030s
Preparing for the Challenge

- Design reference mission architecture studies
  - Mars DRA 5.0 in 2009, updated in 2014
- Technical needs assessments
  - Reliable, maintainable life support system
- Technical area roadmaps
  - Human health, life support, and habitation systems
- Learning from the ISS experience
  - Valuable insight on design, development, testing, flight operations, and international collaboration
- Requirements from NASA standards and supporting documents
  - NASA-STD-3001; NASA/SP-2010-3407
• **Mission duration**
  – Opposition class: 400-650 days transit; 30-90 days surface; 500-630 days total
  – Conjunction class: 360-420 days transit; 475-540 days surface; 830-960 days total
  – Enable high end: 650 days transit, 540 days surface, total 1190 days

• **Crew size**
  – 4 crewmembers operationally sufficient
  – 6 crewmembers may reduce mission risk

• **Habitat size**
  – 280 m$^3$ pressurized volume; 24 m$^3$ habitable volume per crewmember
  – Larger pressurized volumes up to 660 m$^3$ studied

• **EVA**
  – Up to 16 individual EVA events per week for 71-week surface mission
Atmosphere Revitalization Guidance

- Accommodate metabolic loads and demands
- \( \text{CO}_2 \) and trace contaminant control
  - \( \text{CO}_2 \) to 1000-day SMAC max; 2 mm Hg target
  - Trace contaminants <1000-day SMAC
- Particulate matter control
  - <3 mg/m\(^3\) for >10 \( \mu \)m and <100 \( \mu \)m
  - <1 mg/m\(^3\) for >0.5 \( \mu \)m and <10 \( \mu \)m
- Oxygen supply
  - Supply to pressure up to 24.8 MPa
- Cabin pressure and composition
  - 20.7 kPa – 103 kPa with inert diluent
- Cabin temperature and humidity
  - 18° C - 27° C; 25% - 75%
- Resource recovery
  - Transit: >75% of \( \text{O}_2 \) from \( \text{CO}_2 \)
  - Mission: >90% of \( \text{O}_2 \) from \( \text{CO}_2 \)
- Venting losses <10%

**Table: Compound Activity Rates (kg/CM-h)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPOUND</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>RATE (kg/CM-h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>0.0378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.0706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Exercise</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 )</td>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal/Post Ex.</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal/Post Ex.</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Water Recovery and Management Guidance

- Achieve >98% overall water recovery
  - >85% water from urine
  - >95% water from brine
- Survive 500-day dormancy
- Enable water recovery from trash, human waste, and process byproducts
- Maintain potable water quality
- Maintain potable water aesthetics
- Provide minimum water quantity

**WATER USE QUANTITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATER USE</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drinking</td>
<td>2 kg/CM-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food hydration</td>
<td>0.5 kg/CM-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal hygiene</td>
<td>0.4 kg/CM-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical support</td>
<td>5 kg + 0.5 kg/CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA support</td>
<td>0.24 kg/h of EVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth entry fluid loading</td>
<td>1 kg/CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-landing support</td>
<td>4.5 kg/CM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Environmental Monitoring Guidance

- Provide multiple functions
  - Atmospheric monitoring
  - Water quality monitoring
  - Microbial monitoring
  - Contingency events

- Minimize monitoring needs by
  - Selecting chemicals with low toxic hazard
  - Selecting chemicals with minimal LSS and cabin impact

- Monitoring data
  - Temporal trend analysis
  - Near real-time display
• Pre-Phase A/Early Phase A
  – Allocations mass, power, and volume undefined
• Electrical power
  – 120 VDC; undefined allocation of DRA5 22 kW
• Structural
  – Must enable open physical layout for maintainability
• Must address exploration figures of merit
  – Maintainability, robustness, and scalability
Conclusions

• Exploration vision builds toward Mars missions
  – Global Exploration Strategy
  – U.S. 2010 Space Exploration Policy

• Reference architectures, exploration goals, and technology needs guide development
  – Mars DRA5 as the guide
  – Updated as knowledge base grows

• Guiding requirements are readily available
  – NASA-STD-3001
  – NASA/SP-2010-3407
Additional Sources
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What is Atmosphere Revitalization?

Atmosphere Revitalization

CO₂ Removal

CO₂ Reduction

Trace Contaminant Control Subassembly

Fire Detection & Suppression

Temperature & Humidity Control

Waste Management

Urine Recovery

O₂/N₂ Control

Oxygen Generation

Product Water

Water/Waste

Usable By-Product

Residual Products for Potential Reuse

Legend
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# Life Support System Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Atmosphere Pressure</th>
<th>Condition Atmosphere</th>
<th>Respond to Emergency Conditions</th>
<th>Control Internal CO₂ &amp; Contaminants</th>
<th>Provide Water</th>
<th>Prepare for EVA Operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• O₂/N₂ Pressure Control Assemblies (USOS/RS)</td>
<td>• Cabin Air Temperature &amp; Humidity Control Assemblies (All)</td>
<td>• Smoke Detectors (All)</td>
<td>• CO₂ Removal Assembly (USOS/RS)</td>
<td>• Potable Water Processor (USOS/RS)</td>
<td>• O₂/N₂ Pressure Control Assemblies (USOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Positive &amp; Negative Pressure Relief (USOS-Transport)</td>
<td>• Ventilation Fans (USOS, RS, MPLM)</td>
<td>• Portable Fire Extinguishers (All)</td>
<td>• CO₂ Vent (USOS/RS)</td>
<td>• Urine Processor (USOS/RS)</td>
<td>• O₂/N₂ Distribution (USOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• O₂/N₂ Storage (USOS, RS, Progress)</td>
<td>• Air Particulate Filters (All)</td>
<td>• Fire Indicators and Fire Suppression Ports (All)</td>
<td>• Trace Contaminant Control Assembly (USOS/RS)</td>
<td>• Process Control Water Quality Monitor (USOS)</td>
<td>• O₂/N₂ Storage (USOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• O₂ Generation Assembly, O₂ Solid Chemicals (RS)</td>
<td>• Intermodule Ventilation Fans &amp; Valves (All)</td>
<td>• Portable Breathing Apparatus and Masks (All)</td>
<td>• Major Constituent Analyzer (USOS)</td>
<td>• Condensate Storage (USOS/RS)</td>
<td>• Major Constituent Analyzer (USOS) (Shared)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Major Constituent Analyzer (USOS) (Shared)</td>
<td>• Ducting (All)</td>
<td>• O₂/N₂ Pressure Control Assemblies (USOS) (Shared)</td>
<td>• CO₂ Reduction Assembly (RS)</td>
<td>• Fuel Cell Water Storage (USOS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gas Analyzer (RS) (Shared)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CO₂ LIOH Removal (RS)</td>
<td>• Waste Water Distribution (USOS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>MISSION DURATION</th>
<th>CABIN VOLUME (m³)</th>
<th>CREW SIZE</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGICAL APPROACH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>34 hours</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Atmosphere: 100% O₂ at 34.5 kPa. Atmosphere supply: Gas at 51.7 MPa. CO₂ removal: LiOH. Trace contaminants: Activated carbon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Atmosphere: 100% O₂ at 34.5 kPa. Atmosphere supply: Supercritical storage at 5.86 MPa. CO₂ removal: LiOH. Trace contaminants: Activated carbon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Atmosphere: 100% O₂ at 34.5 kPa. Atmosphere supply: Supercritical storage at 6.2 MPa. CO₂ removal: LiOH. Trace contaminants: Activated carbon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skylab</td>
<td>84 days</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Atmosphere: 72% O₂/28% N₂ at 34.5 kPa. Atmosphere supply: Gas at 20.7 MPa. CO₂ removal: Type 13X and 5A molecular sieves regenerated by vacuum swing. Trace contaminants: Activated carbon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Shuttle</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Atmosphere: 21.7% O₂/78.3% N₂ at 101 kPa. Atmosphere supply: Gas at 22.8 MPa. CO₂ removal: LiOH. Trace contaminants: Activated carbon and thermal catalytic oxidation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Space Station</td>
<td>180 days</td>
<td>Up to 600</td>
<td>3 to 6</td>
<td>Atmosphere: 21.7% O₂/78.3% N₂ at 101 kPa. Atmosphere supply: Gas at 20.7 MPa/water electrolysis. CO₂ removal: Silica gel with type 13X and 5A molecular sieves regenerated by vacuum/temperature swing. CO₂ reduction: Sabatier reactor (scar for future addition). Trace contaminants: Activated carbon and thermal catalytic oxidation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ISS ECLSS – The Exploration “Launch Platform”

- Reduce resupply
- Reduce expendable resources
- Increase operational robustness
- Reduce complexity
- Improve life cycle economics
- Improve loop closure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>STANDARD</th>
<th>DESIGN POINTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Pressure</td>
<td>97.9-102.7 kPa</td>
<td>&lt;0.23 kg/d leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide Partial Pressure</td>
<td>0.7-1 kPa</td>
<td>0.52-1.5 kg/p-d; 1 kg/p-d average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Partial Pressure</td>
<td>19.5-23.1 kPa</td>
<td>0.49-1.25 kg/p-d; 0.84 kg/p-d average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Vapor</td>
<td>4.4-15.5°C dewpoint</td>
<td>0.87-4.3 kg/p-d; 1.82 kg/p-d average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace Chemical Contaminants</td>
<td>&lt;SMACs in JSC 20584</td>
<td>SAE 2009-01-2592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 mg/m³ average; &lt;1 mg/m³ peak for 0.5 to 100-micron size</td>
<td>10⁹ particles/p-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbes</td>
<td>500 CFU bacteria/m³; 100 CFU fungi/m³</td>
<td>3,000 CFU/person-minute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

kg/p-d = kilogram/person-day
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Process Types & Unit Operations

- Separations
  - Physical adsorption
  - Absorption
  - Filtration

- Reactions
  - Chemical adsorption
  - Oxidation
  - Reduction
  - Electrochemical

- Process gas drying
- Process gas purification
  - CO₂ removal
  - Trace contaminant removal
  - Particulate matter removal

- Atmospheric gas handling
  - Storage
  - Conditioning

- Atmospheric gas production
  - High pressure capability
  - In-situ resource recovery and use
• Core processes
  – Filtration
  – Dehumidification
  – CO₂ removal
  – Trace contaminant control
• Loop closure
  – CO₂ reduction
  – O₂ generation
Realize improvements through a functional, unit operation-driven approach

- Focus on ISS ECLSS strengths and weaknesses
- Employ robust design principles to achieve stage-wise optimization

- Leverage core process technologies from heritage systems as appropriate
- Attention to design modularity to address commonality across mission and vehicle architectures
Helpful Sources
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Carbon Dioxide Removal: From the Moon to Mars
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Average Human Metabolic Balance (lb/person-day)

- Oxygen: 1.84
- Water: 7.77
  - Drink: 3.56
  - In food: 2.54
  - Food Prep: 1.67
- Food Solids: 1.36
  - Oxygen: 0.44
  - Hydrogen: 0.08
  - Carbon: 0.60
  - Other: 0.24
- Total In: 10.97

- Carbon Dioxide: 2.20
- Water: 8.53
  - Urine: 3.31
  - Sweat & respiration: 5.02
  - Feces: 0.20
- Solids: 0.24
  - In urine: 0.13
  - In sweat: 0.04
  - In feces: 0.07
- Total Out: 10.97

Sustaining people in space requires managing all of their “ins and outs”
Daily Metabolic Requirements for Life Support

**Needs**

- Oxygen = 0.84 kg (1.84 lb)
- Food Solids = 0.62 kg (1.36 lb)
- Water in Food = 1.15 kg (2.54 lb)
- Food Prep Water = 0.76 kg (1.67 lb)
- Drink = 1.62 kg (3.56 lb)
- Metabolized Water = 0.35 kg (0.76 lb)
- Hand/Face Wash Water = 4.09 kg (9.00 lb)
- Shower Water = 2.73 kg (6.00 lb)
- Urinal Flush = 0.49 kg (1.09 lb)
- Clothes Wash Water = 12.50 kg (27.50 lb)
- Dish Wash Water = 5.45 kg (12.00 lb)
- Total = 30.60 kg (67.32 lb)

**Effluents**

- Carbon Dioxide = 1.00 kg (2.20 lb)
- Respiration & Perspiration Water = 2.28 kg (5.02 lb)
- Food Preparation, Latent Water = 0.036 kg (0.08 lb)
- Urine = 1.50 kg (3.31 lb)
- Urine Flush Water = 0.50 kg (1.09 lb)
- Feces Water = 0.091 kg (0.20 lb)
- Sweat Solids = 0.018 kg (0.04 lb)
- Urine Solids = 0.059 kg (0.13 lb)
- Feces Solids = 0.032 kg (0.07 lb)
- Hygiene Water = 12.58 kg (27.68 lb)
- Clothes Wash Water Liquid = 11.90 kg (26.17 lb)
- Latent = 0.60 kg (1.33 lb)
- Total = 30.60 kg (67.32 lb)

Note: These values are based on an average metabolic rate of 136.7 W/person (11,200 BTU/person/day) and a respiration quotient of 0.87. The values will be higher when activity levels are greater and for larger than average people. The respiration quotient is the molar ratio of CO₂ generated to O₂ consumed.
### Health effects of respiratory exposure to carbon dioxide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Limits, percent in air (partial pressure, torr)</th>
<th>Health Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4 - 0.65 (3 - 5)</td>
<td>Headaches, visual disturbances, behavioral changes noted in conjunction with microgravity-induced increases in inter-cranial pressure on the International Space Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 3 (15.2 - 22.8)</td>
<td>Unnoticed at rest, but on exertion there may be marked shortness of breath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (22.8)</td>
<td>Breathing becomes noticeably deeper and more frequent at rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 5 (22.8 - 38)</td>
<td>Breathing rhythm accelerates. Repeated exposure provokes headaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (38)</td>
<td>Breathing becomes extremely laboured, headaches, sweating and bounding pulse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5 (57)</td>
<td>Rapid breathing, increased heart rate, headaches, sweating, dizziness, shortness of breath, muscular weakness, loss of mental abilities, drowsiness, and ringing in the ears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - 15 (60.8 - 114)</td>
<td>Headache, vertigo, vomiting, loss of consciousness and possibly death if the patient is not immediately given oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (76)</td>
<td>Respiratory distress develops rapidly with loss of consciousness in 10-15 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 (114)</td>
<td>Lethal concentration, exposure to levels above this are intolerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25+ (190+)</td>
<td>Convulsions occur and rapid loss of consciousness ensues after a few breaths. Death will occur if level is maintained.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Objectives:
• To orbit a manned spacecraft around Earth
• To investigate man’s ability to function in space
• To recover both man and spacecraft safely

Mission Specifics:
• 1 astronaut
• Up to 34 hour missions
• CO₂ removed from atmosphere with expendable LiOH
Project Gemini: Bridge to the Moon

Objectives:
• To test an astronaut's ability to fly long-duration missions
• To understand how spacecraft could rendezvous and dock in orbit around the Earth and the moon

Mission Specifics:
• 2 astronauts
• Up to 14 day missions
• CO₂ removed from atmosphere with expendable LiOH

• To perfect re-entry and landing methods
Project Apollo: Landing on the Moon

Objectives:
• Landing Americans on the moon and returning them safely to Earth.
• Establishing the technology to meet other national interests in space.
• Achieving preeminence in space for the United States.

Misson Specifics:
• 3 astronauts
• Up to 12.5 day missions
• Carrying out a program of scientific exploration of the Moon.
• Developing man's capability to work in the lunar environment.
• CO₂ removed from atmosphere with expendable LiOH
2LiOH(s) + CO₂(g) → Li₂CO₃(s) + H₂O(g)

One gram of anhydrous lithium hydroxide can remove 450 cm³ of carbon dioxide gas, or about 90% by mass.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lithium_hydroxide
By Mondalor - Own work, CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=7159838
Apollo 13: Disaster Averted

Issue:
- After loss of oxygen tanks and power in CM, crew used LM as lifeboat
- LiOH in LM sized for 2 crew for 2 days (2 canisters), vs. 3 crew for 3.6 days
- Estimated CO$_2$ concentration without taking action: 50%
- Actual CO$_2$ concentration rose to ~13 torr

Challenge:
- Integrate active environmental control system in LM with CM LiOH canisters

Solution:
- Combine cardboard from EVA cue card, plastic bag from liquid cooling garment, suit hose, and duct tape
Project Apollo: Landing on the Moon

Objectives:
• Landing Americans on the moon and returning them safely to Earth.
• Establishing the technology to meet other national interests in space.
• Achieving preeminence in space for the United States.
• Carrying out a program of scientific exploration of the Moon.
• Developing man's capability to work in the lunar environment.

Misson Specifics:
• 3 astronauts
• Up to 12.5 day missions
• $\text{CO}_2$ removed from atmosphere with expendable LiOH
Skylab: America’s First Space Station

- Three Skylab missions with a total of 171 days in the early 1970’s.
- Molecular Sieves 13X and 5A were successfully used for CO₂ and H₂O removal on Skylab for 171 days without hardware anomaly.
- 70% of metabolic water and 100% of metabolic CO₂ for 3 crew was removed via a regenerable vacuum swing adsorption process.
- Three 2BMS units would provide sufficient removal for 6 non-exercising crew.
Space Shuttle: A Reusable Launch Vehicle

Objectives:
- Reusable launch system and orbital spacecraft
- Launched satellites, interplanetary probes, Hubble Telescope
- Conducted numerous science experiments
- Launched all U.S International Space Station modules

Mission Specifics:
- 135 missions with 5 orbiters (2 destroyed in accidents)
- Up to 10-day missions, or 16-day missions with EDO
- CO₂ removed from atmosphere with expendable LiOH
- Supplemental removal with RCRS on extended missions

Extended Duration Orbiter (EDO) Regenerable CO₂ Removal System (RCRS)
- First spacecraft use of solid amine (liquid amine bound to a resin or pellet)
- Need for space vacuum for regeneration required
  LiOH supplement for launch and landing
Current Spacecraft Carbon Dioxide and Humidity Removal Systems

- The International Space Station uses a 4 Bed Molecular Sieve to remove CO$_2$ from the ISS.
- Anomalies due to flaws in the containment design have highlighted the need for a more robust sorbent configuration (**such as structured sorbents**).
- The 4BMS design returns water to the cabin and can either vent CO$_2$ or store it in an accumulator for subsequent reduction reaction and water recovery.
Current Spacecraft Carbon Dioxide Removal Systems

- The International Space Station uses a “4 Bed Molecular Sieve” (4BMS) to remove CO₂ from the ISS.
- The 4BMS desiccates with silica gel and zeolite 13X, and removes CO₂ with zeolite 5A. CO₂ is vented presently, though will be reclaimed in the near future.
- Anomalies due to flaws in the containment design have highlighted the need for a more robust sorbent configuration (such as structured sorbents).
The Challenges of Going Beyond the ISS for ECLSS

- 1-3 years transit time
- 2 days transit time

- Atmosphere samples
- Spare hardware, consumables
- Emergency Crew Return
- Trash

228,000,000 kilometers
390 kilometers
Oxygen Generation
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ISS Regenerative ECLSS Architecture

USOS CABIN

CREW

Urine

Wastewater

Potable water

Oxygen

Carbon Dioxide

Water Recovery System (WRS)

URINE PROCESSOR ASSEMBLY (UPA)
- Distillation Assembly

WATER PROCESSOR ASSEMBLY (WPA)
- Gas Separator
- Particulate Filter
- Multifiltration Beds

Water

Oxygen

Hydrogen

CO2 REDUCTION ASSEMBLY (CRA)
- Sabatier Reactor

Power Supply Module (PSM)

Oxygen Generation System (OGS)

Solid Polymer Electrolysis (SPE)

USOS CABIN
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Integrated Process

1. Oxygen & hydrogen produced in 28-cell stack
2. \(O_2\) delivered to cabin
3. \(H_2\) mixed with excess re-circulated water, separated dynamically, and vented overboard or to Sabatier
4. Makeup water periodically added and stored within rotary separator
5. Oxygen lines purged with nitrogen for safety after shutdowns

---

**Cell Stack** (produces oxygen)

**Ion Exchange Bed** (removes iodine)

**Two-phase Fluid Sensors** (check for gas bubbles)

**Feed water with air returned to Node 3**

**To Node 3 vent or Sabatier**

**Absorber** (traps liquid water)

**Hydrogen Sensors** (detect cell stack leaks)

**Nitrogen from Node 3**

**Feed water from Node 3**

**Dome** (contains hydrogen leaks)

**Heat Exchanger** (rejects waste heat)

**Pump** (recirculates water)
ISS OGS Key Components

- Rotary Separator Accumulator
- Hydrogen Sensor
- Cell Stack Power Supply Module (PSM)
- Cell stack (28 cells)
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Cathode Feed Cell Membrane (Nafion)
ISS OGS Rack at MSFC

Sabatier (not shown)

AAA

RPCM

PSM

DI Bed
Nitrogen Purge

Pump, Heat Exchanger

Oxygen Outlet
Hydrogen Sensor

Controller

Cell Stack
Rotary Separator Accumulator

Interfaces
(nitrogen, coolant, vacuum, feedwater, wastewater, power, 1553)

At MSFC in 2005
### ISS OGS Key Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max crew size supported</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical crew size supported</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Production</td>
<td>20.4 lb/day (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>2.6 lb/day (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Consumption</td>
<td>23 lb/day (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen consumption per crew member</td>
<td>1.8 lb/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGS Launch Weight</td>
<td>1774 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGS Power Consumption</td>
<td>3955 W (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGS Volume</td>
<td>1 ISPR Rack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ISS OGS Status

- OGS operational on ISS, so far producing a total of ~12,000 lb of oxygen and ~1,500 lb of hydrogen
- OGS developed by MSFC and United Technologies Aerospace Systems (UTAS) in Windsor Locks, CT
- Launched in 2006 on Space Shuttle Discovery
- First activated in 2007 in the US Lab
- Relocated to Node 3
- May be relocated back to the US Lab
- Several other oxygen sources available to the crew:
  - Russian Elektron oxygen generator
  - Progress oxygen tanks
  - New oxygen and hydrogen flow meters
  - Airlock High Pressure Gas Tanks (HPGT) for EVAs

OGS Rack on ISS
OGA Testbed in the E-Chamber

- Functionally equivalent to ISS OGA
  - Use development cell stack, RSA, pump, and PSM
  - Use commercial sensors, valves, etc.
- Demonstrated integrated operation with Sabatier
  - Supply H2 to Sabatier
- Support troubleshooting of on-orbit ISS operations
- Demonstrate incremental improvements that will be incorporated into ISS OGA and an Exploration OGA
  - New smaller cell stack design with chemically stabilized Nafion
  - New hydrogen sensor technologies
  - Delete hydrogen dome and wastewater interface
Oxygen Recovery and CO2 Reduction Overview
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1960’s Technology ID’d

- **Carboxy (CO₂ Electrolysis)**
  - 2CO₂ ↔ CO + O₂

- **Methoxy (Sabatier)**
  - CO₂ + 4H₂ ↔ CH₄ + 2H₂O

- **Bosch Process**
  - CO₂ + H₂ ↔ CO + H₂O
  - 2CO ↔ CO₂ + C(s)
  - CO + H₂ ↔ H₂O + C(s)
1980-90’s Tech Development

Sabatier Development Unit developed by Hamilton Sundstrand

Competed for ISS

Sabatier won because:
  • Lower power
  • Smaller system
  • No consumables
  • Clean process
  • Sufficient for low earth orbit
CO₂ Reduction Assembly

- Sabatier Reactor
  - \( \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4 \)
- CO₂ Management System provides compressed storage of CO₂ until needed
- OGA provides H₂
- O₂ recovery ~47%
Oxygen Recovery

Net loss of hydrogen must be resupplied as water from Earth

Launch Costs
1 lb Water ~ $31,000
1 gallon Water = 8.3 lbs
1 gal Water ~ $257,300

Need to recover and recycle more for long-duration missions
Ongoing Technology Development

• Long Duration Missions
  – Lunar or Mars Surface
  – Mars Transit

• All Systems – Increase Reliability and Robustness
  – Improve on ISS?
    or
  – New Technology?

• Increase $O_2$ Recovery and Recycling with a highly reliable and robust system
Today

- **Carboxy (CO₂ Electrolysis)**
  - \(2\text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}_2\)

- **Methoxy (Sabatier)**
  - \(\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\)

- **Bosch Process**
  - \(\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}\)
  - \(2\text{CO} \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{C(s)}\)
  - \(\text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{C(s)}\)

- **Others**

  Explored by small businesses and NASA. Significant challenges with materials and separating dissolved CO₂ from liquid.

  Carbon Dioxide Reduction Assembly in operation on ISS. Post-processors now of interest (Plasma Pyrolysis Assembly).


  E.g. Ionic Liquids
Sabatier Reactor (SOA)

• Sabatier Reaction: $\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$

• Pros
  – Minimal-fouling catalytic reaction (Ruthenium cat.)
  – High single pass conversion (>90%)
  – Significant testing and analysis
  – High TRL level
  – Flight information prior to extended duration missions

• Cons
  – What to do with the methane?
  – Loss of hydrogen resulting in incomplete oxygen recovery
Methane Post-Processing

Hydrogen-rich operation of CRA would eliminate CO_2 and would provide hydrogen to PPA

Small quantities of water and carbon dioxide

H_2

CRA

CH_4, CO_2, H_2O

H_2, CH_4

PPA

H_2, CH_4, C_2H_2

H_2 Purification

C_2H_2

H_2

CO_2

H_2O
Methane Post-Processing

• Plasma Pyrolysis Assembly
  – Plasma generated by microwaves
  – \(2\text{CH}_4 \rightarrow 3\text{H}_2 + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2\)
  – Pros
    • Recover some of the hydrogen from methane
    • Limited solid carbon to clean
  – Cons
    • What to do with acetylene?
    • Still lose some hydrogen

• Hydrogen Purification
  – Electrochemical cell stack

Plasma observed in viewport
3rd Generation Plasma Pyrolysis Assembly
• Chemistry

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RWGS} & : 
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow & \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO} \\
2\text{CO} & \rightarrow & \text{CO}_2 + \text{C(s)} \\
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow & \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{C(s)} \\
\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2 & \rightarrow & 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{C(s)}
\end{align*}
\]

• Challenges for Space Application
  – Power Consumption
    • High Temperature Reactions
  – Catalyst Resupply
  – Volume/Mass

1980’s Bosch System
Bosch Technology

**Bosch Process**

- Crew Members breathe in O$_2$ and breathe out CO$_2$
- CO$_2$ is combined with H$_2$ and fed to the Series-Bosch System

**Series-Bosch System**

- Reactor 1
- Reactor 2
- Separation
- Carbon

- H$_2$O produced in Reactor 1 can be used for drinking and washing or electrolyzed to produce O$_2$
- Carbon product from Reactor 2 might be used to make filters, to make carbon ropes, or as a filler for radiation shielding materials.
Questions?
Trace Contaminant Control
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Background

- **Spacecraft viewed as a tight building**
  - Atmosphere recycled
  - Minimal leakage
- **Design to avoid sick building syndrome symptoms**
  - Nasal and eye irritation
  - Dry mucous membranes
  - Fever
  - Joint and muscle pain
  - Lethargy
  - Nosebleeds
  - Dry skin or skin rash
  - Headache
Approach to Air Quality Design

- Set air quality standards based on crew health
- Evaluate contamination sources
  - Minimize generation sources via material selection
  - Specify active control systems
- Collect data to validate the approach
  - Material and equipment offgassing data
  - Human metabolic data
  - Contamination control system performance
  - Mission timeline
- Predict cabin air quality
- Validate approach by collecting in-flight samples
  - Compare measured air quality to predictions
Cabin Air Quality Factors

Cabin Air Loads

- Crew Metabolism & Activities
  - Exercise
  - Sanitary & Hygiene
  - Housekeeping
  - Food Preparation & Consumption
  - Medical Testing

- Payload Facility Operation
  - Venting
  - Accidental Releases

- Microbial Metabolism

- Crew Exchange

- Contingency Events
  - Fire
  - Extravehicular Activity
  - Contamination control system upsets

Control Processes

- Atmosphere Revitalization
  - Trace Contaminant Control
  - Carbon Dioxide Removal

- Temperature and Humidity Control
  - Condensing Heat Exchanger
  - Bacteria & Particulate Filters

- Spacecraft Leakage

- Human Respiration
  - 20 to 30 m³ Air/Day/Person

Combined Loads & Control Processes

- Crew Transfer and Cargo Vehicle Docking
  - Adsorption/Desorption from Surfaces
• Establish a design load model
• Consider the crew size and vehicle size
• Establish active trace contaminant control equipment performance goals
• Evaluate system-generated chemical contamination sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTAMINANT NAME</th>
<th>GENERATION RATE(^b)</th>
<th>OFFGASSING (mg/day-kg)(^a)</th>
<th>METABOLIC (mg/day-person)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Methyl alcohol</td>
<td>1.3 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>Ethyl alcohol</td>
<td>7.8 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-butanol</td>
<td>Butyl alcohol</td>
<td>4.7 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanal</td>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>4.4 × 10(^{-6})</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanal</td>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>1.1 × 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Benzol</td>
<td>2.5 × 10(^{-5})</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylbenzene</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>2 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylbenzenes</td>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>3.7 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furan</td>
<td>Divinylene oxide</td>
<td>1.8 × 10(^{-6})</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>Methylene chloride</td>
<td>2.2 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-propanone</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>3.6 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylsilanol</td>
<td>Trimethyhydroxysilane</td>
<td>1.7 × 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexamethylcyclosiloxane</td>
<td>D3 siloxane</td>
<td>1.7 × 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azane</td>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>8.5 × 10(^{-5})</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>Carbonous oxide</td>
<td>2 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>Dihydrogen</td>
<td>5.9 × 10(^{-6})</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>Carbane</td>
<td>6.4 × 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Offgassing rate is for the mass of internal, non-structural equipment.  
\(^b\) Offgassing and metabolic sources may be supplemented by system sources as they are identified.

Crew and Vehicle Size Considerations

- TCC design-driving compounds are primarily from crew metabolic sources
  - Four compounds account for 94% of the crew metabolic load
  - Ammonia is the most significant design driver
  - Formaldehyde is a secondary design driver
  - Methane, carbon monoxide, and dichloromethane are tertiary design drivers
- Active TCC equipment flow rate more strongly driven by crew size
  - Equipment offgassing from 65000 kg of equipment equivalent to 4 crewmembers

![Graphs showing flow rate and contribution to total contaminant load vs crew size and equipment mass.](image-url)
• Performance goal is the percentage of the individual compound SMAC
  • Usually 50% SMAC with 10% to 20% functional margin
  • Achieves <40% SMAC

• Performance goal impacts on TCC equipment design
  • Flow rate impacts
  • Component mass and volume impacts
  • Pressure drop and power impacts

• Performance goal no lower than 30% SMAC is reasonable for active TCC equipment design
ISS Trace Contaminant Control

THERMAL CATALYTIC OXIDIZER

POSTSORBENT BED

ACTIVATED CARBON BED
Lessons Learned from Flight Operations

• System fluid selection is important
  • Compatibility with ECLS systems to minimize impacts and provided for easy cleanup in the event of a leak into the cabin
  • Examples include thermal fluids, cleaning solutions, and payload chemicals

• Pervasive chemical contaminant sources can accumulate
  • Low equipment offgassing sources can become significant
  • Examples include formaldehyde and polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) sources

• Chemical and physical properties must be considered
  • Expendable TCC process technologies that use irreversible chemical reactions can drive maintenance schedules
  • Example is LiOH reaction with HCl to form LiCl which is highly hygroscopic

• Process technology obsolescence must be considered
  • Suppliers of adsorbents and catalysts used for TCC equipment respond to market demands that are stronger than space exploration needs
  • Multiple process technology suppliers should be cultivated
Predicting Cabin Air Quality

• Define trace contaminant load
  – Equipment offgassing data and human metabolic products

• Define assumptions
  – Offgassing rates are constant with time
  – Cabin volume is well mixed
  – Cabin leakage is nearly zero
  – Steady cabin temperature and relative humidity
  – No gas phase reaction between contaminants

• Employ predictive modeling tool
  – Routines for multiple removal technologies
  – Solve basic mass balance equation
  \[
  \frac{dC_i}{dt} = \frac{r_i}{V} - \frac{(C_i/V)\sum \eta_j v_j}{V}
  \]
  – Calculate toxic hazard index
  \[
  T = \frac{\sum C_i}{C_{s,i}}
  \]
Conclusion

• Active TCC equipment design is a vital component of the life support system.
• Active TCC equipment design precedes detailed knowledge of vehicle characteristics.
  • Challenges associated with selecting design performance goals relative to individual compound SMACs.
  • Challenges associated with incorporating toxic hazard as a design criterion.
  • Designing to 34.5% of SMAC is a reasonable design performance goal.
• The metabolic load component most greatly influences TCC equipment design until the vehicle size approaches that of the ISS.
• A TCC equipment design for exploration missions may benefit from using both high flow, low aspect ratio and low flow, high aspect ratio adsorbent beds.
  • Provide for both crew health and ECLS system equipment health maintenance.
  • Reduce technical risk presented by emerging contaminant compounds of interest.
• Future work includes the following:
  • Conducting periodic market research on core process technologies.
  • Evaluating promising candidates relative to exploration mission figures of merit.
  • Studying arrangement of TCC components in the ECLS system architecture.
  • Refining testing methods, including contaminant injection and gas phase monitoring.
  • Incorporating lessons learned from ISS flight operations into the future design.
Selected Sources

Particulate Matter Design Considerations
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The Particulate Matter Challenge

• **Many sources**
  – Fabrics - lint
  – Crew – skin & hair
  – Food debris
  – Activities – paper, plastic, miscellaneous debris
  – Surface dust intrusion

• **Standards**
  – 3 mg/m³ for <100 µm
  – 0.3 mg/m³ for <10 µm
Defining the Load for Design

- **Basic load**
  - 95 wt% >500 µm
  - Fraction <500 µm
    - 2 wt% <100 µm
    - 0.6 – 1.6 mg/CM-minute

- **Surface dust load**
  - 227 grams dust/CM-EVA
  - Fraction <10 µm remains suspended (7 wt% of total)
  - 15.9 grams/CM-EVA or 7X the basic daily load

- **Dust intrusion barriers need to be >99% effective**
• Particle capturing mechanisms
  – Diffusion
  – Interception
  – Straining
  – Inertial impaction
\[ E_T = 1 - (1 - E_I)(1 - E_D)(1 - E_R)(1 - E_{DR})(1 - E_G) \]

- Media filtration
- Capturing Efficiency
  - Components: impaction, diffusion, interception, combined diffusion/interception, and gravity
- Particle diameter influences most penetrating particle size (MPPS)
- Flow influences pressure drop & penetration
• Other separation techniques
  – Cyclonic separation
    • Efficiency determined from the 50% particle cut size
    • Design parameters: number of turns, inlet diameter, and velocity
  – Electrostatic separation
    • Efficiency dependent on the particle migration velocity, electrode surface area, & gas flow rate
    • Particle electrical resistivity plays a role – moderate resistivity is best
    • Risk for ozone production
  – Packed beds
    • High aspect ratio beds shown to remove ultrafine (<0.1 μm) and course (>1 μm) particulates
    • Efficiency is order of magnitude lower for HEPA MPPS (0.3 μm)
    • Not suitable alone for particulate filtration
    • May require protection from particulate loading (application dependent)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>BENEFITS</th>
<th>DISADVANTAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Media Filtration                 | • Low to very high efficiency  
• Very broad size range from nanometers to 10’s of microns | • Challenges under high dust loading conditions requiring pre-filtration and filter logistics management to provide good capacity  
• Regeneration possible but complicated |
| Cyclone separation               | • Size range limited to particles larger than a few microns  
• Large holding capacity  
• Can handle large particle concentrations  
• Regenerable | • Large pressure drop  
• Requires flow cessation for regeneration  
• Emptying the particulate collection receiver |
| Inertial Impaction               | • Large holding capacity  
• Can handle large particle concentrations  
• Particulate capture in scroll reduces handling by crew during maintenance  
• Regenerable | • Large pressure drop for small particle size  
• Requires flow cessation for regeneration  
• Scroll mechanism introduces complexity |
| Electrostatic Precipitation      | • Effective for capturing small particles  
• Regenerable | • Complexity  
• Power consumption  
• Ozone generation |
| Hybrid media and packed bed filtration | • Primarily for gaseous contaminant removal  
• Can offer some particle pre-filtration | • Complexity and compatibility  
• Requires particulate matter pre-filters |
• Particulate matter removal is a LSS key function
• Consideration must be given to:
  – Airborne particulate level standards
  – Particulate sources and loads
  – Removal techniques and their defining characteristics
  – Factors influencing efficiency and mission economics
• A flexible, multi-stage concept shows promise for providing needed performance relating to:
  – Total efficiency
  – Size
  – Power
  – Maintainability
  – Logistics
Selected Sources
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WRS & OGS Architecture Overview

Water Recovery System (WRS)
- Urine Processor Assembly (UPA)
  - Vapor Compression Distillation (VCD)
- Water Processor Assembly (WPA)
  - Rotary Gas Separator
  - Particulate Filter
  - Multifiltration Beds
  - Catalytic Oxidation Reactor
- Oxygen Generator Assembly (OGA)
  - Solid Polymer Electrolysis (SPE)

Oxygen Generation System (OGS)
- CO2 Reduction System (CRS)
  - Sabatier Reactor

USOS CABIN
- Crew
  - drinking
  - hygiene
  - urine flush

BIOLOGICAL PAYLOADS

TFAWS 2017 – August 21-25, 2017
UPA in WRS2 Rack
Why Process Urine?

- Urine processing is not required to keep crew on ISS, you can resupply water from the ground
- For a nominal crew of 6, about 20 pounds of urine produced per day
  - Currently only processing US Crew Urine ~10 pounds/day
- UPA recovers 85% of the water from the urine
- With launch costs currently at $55,000/Kg
- UPA currently makes $187,000 worth of resupplied water per day

**UPA currently recovers per year**

$68,255,000

If UPA returns to 6 crew and 85% per year

$154,798,000

Disclaimer: Old data, but gives an idea of impact
Urine from WHC

Wastewater Tank

Urine from WHC

Distillation Assembly (DA) (Distills wastewater)

Fluids Pump

Coolant (promotes condensation within purge pump)

Purge Pump (removes gases from Distillation Assy.)

Purge Gas to Node 3 cabin

Separator (separates water from purge gases)

Brine Filter (removes precipitants)

Advanced Recycle Filter Tank Assy. (accumulates & stores brine for disposal)

Product water to Water Processor Assembly

Advanced Recycle Filter Tank Assy.
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Why Process Urine

• Urine processing is not required to keep crew on ISS, you can resupply water from the ground
• For a nominal crew of 6, about 20 pounds of urine produced per day
  – Currently only processing US Crew Urine ~10 pounds/day
• UPA recovers 85% of the water from the urine
• With launch costs currently at $55,000/Kg
• UPA currently makes $187,000 worth of resupplied water per day

UPA currently recovers per year

$68,255,000

If UPA returns to 6 crew and 85% per year

$154,798,000
Fluid In $\mu$G
Fluid In $\mu$G
UPA in WRS2 Rack
UPA On-Orbit
UPA Simplified Schematic

Distillation Assembly (Distills wastewater)

Purge Pump (removes gases from Distillation Assembly)

Coolant (promotes condensation within purge pump)

Wastewater Tank

Urine from Node 3

Fluide Pump

RFTA (accumulates and stores brine for disposal)

Product water to WPA

Purge Gas to Node 3 Cabin

Separator (separates water from purge gases)
Distillation Assembly

Distillation Unit

TFAWS 2017 – August 21-25, 2017
Water Vapor Pressure Curve

Pressure in mmHg

760 mmHg = 14.7 psia
51.7 mmHg = 1 psia

Temperature in degrees F

TFAWS 2017 – August 21-25, 2017
Detail View of Distillation Assembly

Light Blue = Distillate (purified water)    Yellow = Pre-treated Urine Mix
Pink = Air                                Orange = Brine
Detail View of Distillation Assembly

- Centrifuge Tank (rotating)
- Feed Tube
- Stationary Bowl Assy
- Fluid Manifold Assy
- Steam Deflector
- Front Plate Assy
- Magnetic Driver Coupler Assy
- Pulley Target Assy (rotating)
- Demister (rotating)
- Liquid Level Sensor
- Shaft Assy (stationary)
Fluids Control and Pump Assembly
Fluids Pump Leak

![Image of a damaged pump with leaks](image-url)
Fluids Pump Leak -2
Wastewater Storage Tank Assembly

1. Inlet QD, QD02-M
2. Metal Shell
3. End of bellows (sweeper)
4. Stop
5. Quantity Sensors, Q1
6. Pressure Sensor, P17
ARFTA DRAIN
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Exploration Phases

Today

Phase 0: Exploration Systems *Testing on ISS*

Phase 1: Cislunar *Flight Testing* of Exploration Systems

Phase 2: Cislunar *Validation* of Exploration Capability

Phase 3: Crewed Missions Beyond Earth-Moon System

Phase 4a: Development and robotic preparatory missions

Phase 4b: Mars Human Landing Missions

Ends with testing, research and demos complete*

Asteroid Redirect-Crewed Mission Marks Move from Phase 1 to Phase 2

Ends with one year crewed Mars-class shakedown cruise

Planning for the details and specific objectives will be needed in ~2020

* There are several other considerations for ISS end-of-life

Mid-2020s 2030

Source: J. Free, Architecture Status, March 28, 2017 presentation to the NAC.
The Challenges

- ECLS component sizing and physical layout.
  - Fit and form
  - Accessibility for in-flight maintenance
  - Minimal spare part mass
  - Earth-independent logistics

- Earth-independent logistics
  - Minimal spare part mass
  - Earth-independent logistics

- Accounting for and managing dormant periods.

- Establishing the degree of autonomy needed.
  - Control architecture based on open-source core flight software
  - Autonomous, smart control necessary to accommodate communication lag
  - System and environmental monitoring

- Managing technology obsolescence.
  - Adsorbents, catalysts, membranes, etc.

- Higher degree of ECLS consumable mass closure.
  - The role of in-situ resource utilization

- Airborne Martian dust standard.

- Mission environments and impacts on the LSS.
  - Partial gravity, radiation, planetary protection, etc.

- Accommodating plants in the cabin environment.
Guidance from ISS

- Minor contaminants from pervasive sources can become major challenges.
- Chemical and physical properties are important.
- Atmospheric gas permeation into LSS processes must be considered.
- Develop and integrate software early.
- Near real-time environmental monitoring is essential.
- Design for statistical loads, not average loads.
- Learn the hardware’s language.