https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20180002412 2018-07-23T08:44:56+00:00Z



UAS Air Traffic Management (UTM)

# Small Unmanned Aircraft Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) Initial Assessment

*Jaewoo Jung, Corey Ippolito, Christopher Rogers,*
*NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA*

*Robert Kerczewski, Alan Downey,*
*NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio*
*and*
*Konstantin Matheou*
*Zin Technologies, Inc., Brook Park, Ohio*

**Presented by:**
**Bob Kerczewski**

*2018 ICNS Conference* *10-12 April 2018*

# Small UAS EMI Initial Assessment

**OUTLINE**

- Introduction
- UAS Traffic Management Project Overview
- Radio Frequency (RF) Channel Sensing Payload Overview and Description
- DJI S1000 small UAS Description
- EMI Assessment Overview
- NASA Ames RF Test Lab (RTL) Description
- EMI Test Method
- EMI Test Results
- Summary and Conclusion

# Small UAS EMI Initial Assessment

## INTRODUCTION

### The Technical Challenge:

How to manage millions of small UAS (sUAS) that will operate in low altitude airspace, outside of conventional air traffic control

Lack of EMI characteristics, lack of RF measurement practice on sUAS

### NASA's UAS Traffic Management Project:

Develop comprehensive, validated airspace operations and integration requirements to safely enable large-scale persistent access to sUAS

### UTM Command and Control (C2) Communications

Analysis of UTM C2 approaches, including measurements of the RF environment at altitude using an RF channel sensing payload carried by a DJI S1000 sUAS

Need to characterize the EMI performance of the S1000 sUAS in order to enable correct interpretation of RF channel sensing data

# UTM Project Overview

## What is Unmanned Aircraft System Traffic Management (UTM)?

- **UTM is an "air traffic management" ecosystem for uncontrolled operations**
- UTM utilizes industry's ability to supply services under FAA's regulatory authority where these services do not exist
- UTM development will ultimately identify services, roles/responsibilities, information architecture, data exchange protocols, software functions, infrastructure, and performance requirements to enable the management of low-altitude uncontrolled UAS operations

**UTM addresses critical gaps associated with lack of support for small UAS**

# UTM Project Overview

## UTM Principles

(a.k.a. Things That UTM Will Help With…)



# UTM Project Overview

## Risk-based Conflict Mitigation Strategy

### TCL1 (Remote)

- Visual Line of Sight
- Notice of Operation
- Position-Sharing (Optional)

### TCL 2 (Rural)

- Beyond Visual Line of Sight
- Intent Sharing
- Strategic De-confliction
- Geographic Containment

### TCL 3 (Suburban)

- Beyond Visual Line of Sight
- Intent Sharing
- Strategic De-confliction
- Geographic Containment
- Conflict Alert
- Detect and Avoid (DAA)

### TCL 4 (Urban)

- Beyond Visual Line of Sight
- Intent Sharing
- Strategic De-confliction
- Geographic Containment
- Detect and Avoid (DAA)
- Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V)

# RF Channel Sensing Payload

## Overview and Description

### Potential UTM C2 Communications

A number of candidate technologies are being tested for application to UTM C2 communications

Of particular interest are commercial cellular networks – LTE/4G, as well as the industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) bands

The RF channel sensing payload will examine the RF environment in the relevant LTE/4G and ISM bands

LTE Bands of Interest

| Band | Base Station Transmit Bands | User Equipment Transmit Bands |
|---|---|---|
| 700 MHz | 717-768 MHz | 699-716 MHz, 777-798 MHz |
| 800 MHz | 832-869 MHz | 807-824 MHz |
| 850 MHz | 852-894 MHz | 814-849 MHz |
| 1700 MHz | N/A | 1710-1780 MHz |
| 1900 MHz | 1930-1995 MHz | 1850-1915 MHz |
| 2100 MHz | 2110-2170 MHz | 1920-1980 MHz |
| 2300 MHz | 2350-2360 MHz | 2305-2315 MHz |
| 2500 MHz | 2496-2690 MHz | 2496-2690 MHz |

ISM band of interest

5.725-5.875 GHz

# RF Channel Sensing Payload

## Overview and Description

### RF Channel Sensing Payload

Design based on software defined radio (SDR), Ettus Models E310, E312

0-6 GHz receive band; A-to-D conversion and post-processing delivers a frequency-domain spectrum analysis of a measured frequency band.

Payload mounts to the bottom of the S1000 (shown later)

E310

External Battery

GPS Antenna

Omni Antenna
(L-Band or C-Band



# DJI S1000 Description

Photo Credit: DJI

| Manufacturer/ Model: | Dà-Jiāng Innovations Science and Technology Co., Ltd (DJI)/S-1000+ |
|---|---|
| Configuration: | Octocopter |
| General Characteristics: | |
| Length | 100 cm |
| Height | 48 cm |
| Weight | 4.2 kg |
| Payload Weight | 5 kg |
| Propulsion: | |
| Motor Power | 500 W |
| Motor RPM | 9600 RPM |
| Flight Parameters: | |
| Max Ground Speed | 13.4 m/s (30 MPH) |
| Battery | 6S 16000 mAh LiPo |
| Flight Time | 15 Minutes |

**For Electromagnetic Interference, considered as a representative model for octocopter with carbon fiber frame**

# DJI S1000 Description



# EMI Assessment Overview

## Identify and quantify any EMI signals in the measurement bands of interest

EMI signals originating in the sUAS vehicle carrying the payload in the bands of interest can confuse the identification of the signals we are trying to measure

The EMI assessment is intended to identify any such signals, enabling any in-band EMI signals to be known and subtracted from data captured during resulting RF channel sensing flight tests

It may also be possible to reduce or eliminate EMI sources prior to flight testing if they prove to be particularly problematic

The S1000 sUAS consists of several electrical and mechanical components potentially capable of creating EMI

Careful measurement of the EMI environment created by the S1000 while in an operating configuration was conducted in the RF Test Lab (RTL) at the NASA Ames Research Center

# NASA Ames RTL Description

## Facility for accurate and precise EMC testing in a shielded environment

RTL conducts high precisions RF measurements and full compliance EMC (electromagnetic compatibility) testing

Such testing can be expensive, but eliminating or delaying EMC/EMI testing can be very risky; a problem identified late in the project life cycle can be extremely expensive to remediate or may even endanger the mission

The RTL provides a safe, secure, accurate/precise and affordable solution

Tests are conducted in the RTL EMI/RFI Shielded Enclosure

# EMI Test Method

## General Approach

Compliance level standards per MIL-STD-461 - equipment and personnel

Electrical integration and RF test equipment enables flexible testing environment

As an example of similar types of testing occurred on Astrobee, a free-flying robotic payload bound for the International Space Station. The lab was able to help Astrobee pin point precise EMI sources during their hardware development build

The RTL's RFI/EMI shielded enclosure provides attenuation levels of 56 dB at 1 kHz and 100 dB from 200 kHz to 10 GHz

The enclosure is equipped with RF absorber that completely covers all walls and ceiling adding an additional -15dB to -50dB of attenuation from 450 MHz to 40 GHz.

# EMI Test Method

## S1000 Testing

Measurements were conducted using a receive antenna consisting of a double ridge guide horn specifically designed to meet MIL-STD specifications for frequencies from 700 MHz to 10 GHz.

Calibration of the test configuration and emissions measurements were conducted with an Anritsu MS2035B Vector Network Analyzer + Spectrum Analyzer

Measurements were taken in smaller frequency bands covering the areas of interest for the RF channel sensing payload, rather than across 0 to 6 GHz, allowing lower resolution bandwidths without excessive measurement times:

700 MHz-930 MHz

1700 MHz-1800 MHz

1900 MHz-2700 MHz

5700 MHz to 5950 MHz

# EMI Test Method

## S1000 Testing

The test configuration inside the EMI/RFI shielded enclosure

# EMI Test Method

## S1000 Testing

Some views of the payload mounted to the S1000



# EMI Test Method

## Test Configurations

S1000 was tested at three rotor RPM levels – ambient (0 RPM), slow (5500 RPM), and fast (8800 RPM) - For safety reasons, propellers were removed

Six orientations of the S1000 relative to the receive horn antenna were tested – with the S1000 front, left, right, top, bottom, and rear facing the receive horn antenna

EMI may originate from different S1000 components and be shielded or reflected by the S1000 structure or payload

S1000 in Front Configuration



S1000 in Top Configuration

# EMI Test Results

## Ambient Measurements (0 RPM)

No EMI signals observed in the four test bands - indicates that there are no other EMI signals present in the measurement attributable to other sources

700 MHz-930 MHz,
Front Orientation,
Ambient Condition,
1 MHz RBW




1900 MHz-2700 MHz,
Front Orientation,
Ambient Condition,
3 MHz RBW




1700 MHz-1800 MHz,
Front Orientation,
Ambient Condition,
1 MHz RBW




5700 MHz-5950 MHz,
Front Orientation,
Ambient Condition,
1 MHz RBW



# EMI Test Results

## Low RPM (5500 RPM)

No EMI signals observed in the test bands of interest. There were three other signals not in the bands of interest, as shown in this 0 to 6 GHz spectrum scan (100 kHz RBW).

900 MHz S1000 C2 signal

1850 MHz WiFi




2800 MHz Aeronautical Radar

3200 MHz Shipborne Surface Radar

# EMI Test Results

## Low RPM (5500 RPM)

In all testing, no effects of S1000 orientation were observed except the following

In the 1900-2700 MHz range we see signals at 2400 MHz, attributable to the indoor WiFi network inside the building - these signals are not seen in the top and bottom S1000 orientations

Since these signals do not originate from the S1000, it was concluded that the top and bottom orientation block this signal from reaching the receive antenna

2400 MHz WiFi




1900 MHz-2700 MHz, Rear Orientation, Low RPM, 1MHz RBW




1900 MHz-2700 MHz, Top Orientation, Low RPM, 1MHz RBW

# EMI Test Results

## High RPM (8800 RPM)

No EMI signals observed in the test bands of interest.

700 MHz-930 MHz,
Front Orientation,
High RPM Condition,
1 MHz RBW




1900 MHz-2700 MHz,
Front Orientation,
High RPM Condition,
100 Hz RBW




1700 MHz-1800 MHz,
Front Orientation,
High RPM Condition,
100 Hz RBW




5700 MHz-5950 MHz,
Front Orientation,
High RPM Condition,
100 Hz RBW



# Summary and Conclusions

**The S1000 does not produce measurable EMI in the bands of interest**

- There are emissions from the S1000 C2 wireless link that do not appear in the RF channel sensing payload's bands of interest
- There are some other signals observed that are traceable to known external sources and not considered to be originating from the S1000 - none of these appear in the bands of interest

**The RF channel sensing payload should be able to make measurements free from interpretation errors due to S1000 EMI**

**Similar sUAS should produce similar EMI characteristics**

- Given these results and the main components of the S1000, a carbon fiber frame with eight motors, one can expect similar EMI from similar sUAS types
- However, sUAS platforms significantly different (e.g. aluminum, plastic, foam construction) may need EMI testing
- EMI testing methods and procedures applicable to other types of sUAS have been presented in this paper

# Thank you!

For further information contact:

Jaewoo Jung

NASA Ames Research Center

jaewoo.jung@nasa.gov

Robert J. Kerczewski

NASA Glenn Research Center

rkerczewski@nasa.gov

# Backup Charts

# UTM Project Overview