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Advancing Technology and Science Through Flight

1. Perform flight research and technology integration to revolutionize aviation and pioneer aerospace technology

2. Validate space exploration concepts

3. Conduct airborne remote sensing and science observations

- Ikhana MQ-9 Predator B Unmanned Aircraft System
- Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA)
- X-56 Multi-Utility Technology Testbed
To Separate the Real from the Imagined Through Flight
To Separate the Real from the Imagined Through Flight
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Edwards AFB, California, main campus:

- Year-round flying weather
- 301,000 acres remote area
- Varied topography
- 350 testable days per year
- Extensive range airspace
- 29,000 feet of concrete runways
- 68 miles of lakebed runways
- Supersonic corridor
- U.S. Air Force Alliance
Civilian Transport Wake Surfing
Prior Wake-Surfing Flight Research

1986
- Proof of concept
- No data link
- 10% power reduction
- Rudimentary peak-seeking control

1996
- Research data link and autopilot
- 14% fuel savings (manual)
- Validated system requirements
- Detailed wake effect mapping

2001
- Manually flown
- No data link or autopilot
- 9% fuel savings (2-ship)
- Inconclusive 3-ship evaluation

2003
- Manually flown
- No data link or autopilot
- 29% fuel savings
- Promising dissimilar aircraft test

2010
- Proof of extended formation concept
- Production military data link and autopilot
- 7-8% fuel savings (manual)

2012 - 2013
- Modified C-17 autopilot
- Production military data link
- 10% fuel savings (autopilot)
- Wake avoidance algorithms

**Fuel Savings (lbs)**

- **Mission Profile**
  - 41K/0.84 (Formation Flight)
  - 40K/0.85 (Formation Cruise)

- **Formation Decent**
  - Estimated Duration Speed Brake Was Out
  - Lost ~100 lbs

- **Formation Climb**
  - 13.9% Fuel Savings

- **Formation Time**
  - 8:00:00 to 10:45:00

**Substantial fuel burn savings observed, exceeding 10% over 90 min segment and totaling >4000 lbs for the mission.**

**Human Factor (HF) Rating**
- Multiple human factors ratings taken on workload, ride quality, and fatigue – all satisfactory.
Wake-Surfing Experiment Overview

Automated Cooperative Trajectories (ACT) 3 main objectives:

1. Gather data to help characterize the benefits and impacts of wake surfing for civil transport aircraft.

2. Evaluate the suitability of ADS-B as a data link for autonomous, cooperative flight procedures.

3. Advance the state of the art in tools, algorithms, and methods for wake surfing guidance and control.

Test conditions:

- 4,000 ft in trail
- Cruise flight: M0.7, 35,000 ft
- Straight-and-level flight
- 30+ minute legs
- Autopilot control of wake-relative cross-track and vertical-track position
- Pilot control of along-track spacing

Flights completed in May 2017
ADS-B Enabled Experimental Autopilot

1090 MHz ADS-B DATA LINK

- Local Aircraft Tx
- Neighbor Aircraft Rx
- Neighbor Aircraft Rx
- Neighbor Aircraft Rx

SHIP AVIONICS
- Ownership Navigation
- ILS Autopilot

ACT SYSTEM
- Relative Navigation
- Pilot Displays
- Wake Prediction
- Automatic Trajectory Control
Experimental Autopilot Interfaces

• Inputs
  - ADS-B In (1090 MHz ES)
  - Trail aircraft navigation and state data
  - Throttle and control surface positions

• Control Paths
  - Analog localizer and glideslope commands to the ILS autopilot
  - Along-track and throttle cues to a custom pilot tablet display, yoke-mounted

• Instrumentation
  - Autopilot data
  - ADS-B traffic
  - Fuel flow gages
  - Flight director data (lead + trail)
  - Independent GPS (lead + trail)
  - Ride quality sensors (lead + trail)

Operator Interfaces

- Lead aircraft selection (virtual / real)
- Controller gains and parameters
- 3-axis position relative to the wake
- Arm / engage / disengage
Relative Navigation & Wake Prediction

- Lead Ground Track
- Trail Ground Track
- ADS-B Reported Lead Position (LLA)
- ADS-B Reported Lead Velocity (NED)
- $\xi = \psi - G_t$
- 3-D line fit in ECEF

NATO Unclassified
Timing uncertainty in ADS-B message data results in larger errors in along-track as compared to cross-track.

Each knot of error in cross-track wind speed adds another 10 ft of error in the predicted wake location.
Despite good results in the piloted sim, the pilots initially found the throttle cues “Unsatisfactory” in flight.

For the final flight, the pilot along-track error cue was re-designed with an increased range of view, and a relaxed acceptable error criteria.
Display Changes Assessment

The modified display reduced the pilot workload to “Satisfactory” and improved post-flight calculation of fuel flow savings.
Fuel Flow Reduction

Flight Test Technique:

1. Engage in straight-and-level flight
   - 4,000 feet aft of the lead
   - 400 feet outboard
   - 150 feet below

2. 5-minute tare points

3. Wake mapping
   - Command incrementally deeper into wake effects
   - Discontinue Mapping when wake effects (rumbling) were felt / heard

4. Performance dwells of 3-5 minutes

5. 5-minute tare point
Fuel Flow Reduction
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theory 2-4% 4-6% 6-8% 8+ %
Passenger Ride Quality Instrumentation

- **Accelerometers on seat rails of both airplanes**
  - 3-axis accels sampled at 200 Hz
  - Separate accels for low and high frequency measurements
  - Internal data logging with time stamp

- **Sound dosimeter**
  - Mic at passenger ear location
  - Records 1-minute time-average sound levels
  - 100 Hz to 5 kHz, 40-140 dB

- **Pre-flight and post-flight surveys of pilots and research crew**

- **An additional accelerometer was mounted to the ceiling of the aft baggage compartments of both airplanes to measure tail buffeting**
Passenger Ride Quality

- Increased seat rail vibration levels recorded during two of the performance dwell test points
- Slight increases in cabin noise levels
- No change in vibration levels recorded in the aft baggage compartment
Passenger Ride Quality

- The forward cabin location experienced the least amount of wake-induced vibration, with almost no change in the lateral axis.
- The vertical-axis showed the largest increase in vibration.
- The peak vertical-axis vibration frequency ranged from 16 to 25 Hz. Peak lateral vibration occurred between 18 and 23 Hz.
- Mid-cabin effects had a slightly more narrow bandwidth than at the forward cabin location.
Passenger Ride Quality Metrics (RQM)

In the 1970s, NASA LaRC conducted a series of studies to develop a criteria to predict passenger discomfort due to vibration and noise.

**Vibration Tests**
- 852 test subjects
- motion simulator fitted with six tourist-class aircraft seats
- 10 - 15 second excitations
- lateral, vertical, longitudinal, roll, and pitch vibrations
- rated as “comfortable” or “uncomfortable”

**Noise and Vibration Tests**
- 60 test subjects
- combinations of noise and vibration
- 4 sound levels, 6 octave bands
Applying NASA RQM

Applying the NASA RQM for vertical and lateral vibration and plotting against fuel flow reduction, the relationship shows a significant increase in discomfort metric above ~3.3% fuel flow savings.

Wake-induced noise contributions to the discomfort measure were found to be minor.
Cabin Seat Rail Accels vs. Fuel Flow Reduction due to Wake Surfing
Passenger Ride Quality Comments

Summary of the post-flight questionnaires:

- 9 participants (2 pilots, 6 engineers, 1 videographer); majority are frequent flyers
- Wake Surfing Comfort Response:
  - “Comfortable”: 45% (4 of 9)
  - “Neutral”: 45% (4 of 9)
  - “Uncomfortable”: 10% (1 of 9)
- 10% reported “Writing” would be difficult
- 33% reported “Sleeping” would be difficult

Comments:

- “Similar to light turbulence”
- “Rhythmic, pulsing sound - not unpleasant but noticeable”
- “Like driving over a slightly-washboarded road”
- “I found the view of contrails outside my window unsettling”
- “The appearance of the wake was larger than I had originally imagined”