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Abstract
Ceramic materials play increasingly important roles in aerospace applications because ceramics have unique properties, including high temperature capability, high stiffness and strengths, and excellent oxidation and corrosion resistance. Ceramic materials also generally have lower densities as compared to metallic materials, making them excellent candidates for light-weight hot-section components of aircraft turbine engines, rocket exhaust nozzles, and thermal protection systems for space vehicles when they are being used for high-temperature and ultra-high temperature ceramics applications. Ceramic matrix composites (CMCs), including non-oxide and oxide CMCs, are also recently being incorporated in gas turbine engines for high pressure and high temperature section components and exhaust nozzles. However, the complexity and variability of aerospace ceramic processing methods, compositions and microstructures, the relatively low fracture toughness of the ceramic materials, still remain the challenging factors for ceramic component design, validation, life prediction, and thus broader applications.

This ceramic material section paper presents an overview of aerospace ceramic materials and their characteristics. A particular emphasis has been placed on high technology readiness level (TRL) enabling ceramic systems, that is, turbine engine thermal and environmental barrier coating systems and non-oxide type SiC/SiC CMCs. The current status and future trend of thermal and environmental barrier coatings and SiC/SiC CMC development and applications are described.

Introduction
Ceramics are important materials for aerospace applications because of their high temperature capability (high melting point), high stiffness and strengths, and excellent resistance to oxidation and corrosion. Ceramic materials also generally have lower densities and thus higher specific strengths as compared to metallic materials. Currently used engineering or structural ceramics (a.k.a. crystalline inorganic non-metallic materials) in aerospace include ceramic thermal and environmental barrier coatings (EBCs) for protecting hot section components of aircraft turbine engines from high heat flux in high temperature combustion environments, rocket exhaust nozzles, and thermal protection systems for space vehicles. Ceramic matrix composites (CMCs), including non-oxide and oxide CMCs, are also being incorporated in turbine engines in high pressure and high temperature section components and turbine exhaust nozzles with long duration design operating lifetimes.

Although ceramic materials have many attributes that make them excellent materials for high temperature and ultra-high temperature protective coatings and structural materials, the current uses have been limited due to their low toughness, large variability in mechanical properties, and complex environmental effects in harsh operating conditions. The complexity and variability of aerospace ceramic
processing methods, compositions and microstructures also make the material design and validation a more challenging task.

This ceramic material section paper presents an overview of aerospace ceramic materials and their characteristics. The focus is on important enabling ceramic systems for aerospace applications, particularly turbine engine thermal and EBC systems: non-oxide type SiC/SiC CMCs. This section also covers the ceramic materials for various applications, material system properties and durability performance associated with processing, and thermal, thermomechanical, and environmental life design considerations. A brief discussion of laboratory and simulated operating environment tests is included.

The paper is divided into the following subsections: thermal barrier coatings, environmental barrier coatings, and particularly SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composites. Monolithic ceramics have limited fracture toughness, they are used as constituents of the ceramic surface coatings, ceramic matrices, fibers or fiber coatings, and therefore some of their pertinent properties are also described or compared with processed coatings or composites. In each of the subsections, a brief history and material system improvements will be presented. In the CMC section, the ceramic fiber attributes will also be briefly discussed. The current status and future trend of CMC development and applications are described.

**Thermal Barrier Coatings**

The performance and efficiency of aero propulsion turbine engines are directly related to the operating temperatures. Ceramic thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) are technologically important because of their ability to increase turbine engine operating temperatures and reduce cooling requirements, thus help to achieve engine performance and emission goals (Refs. 1 to 10).

The advances in ceramic material and processing technologies, particularly for zirconia based ceramics, have resulted in the application of ceramic TBCs on air cooled, critical turbine engine hot-section components, such as combustors, high pressure turbine vanes and blades, as shown in Figure 1. Since the initial entry into commercial service in 1980s (Refs. 2 and 9), TBCs have achieved significant temperature benefits that are surpassing other materials including nickel based single crystal superalloys and cooling technology advances achieved in the last three decades (Refs. 6 and 9). TBCs have provided high pressure turbine (HPT) component metal temperature reduction up to 100 °C, and future potential greater than 200 °C reductions is expected (Ref. 9), particularly when more advanced low thermal conductivity coatings are incorporated.

Thermal barrier coatings are complex, two-layer or multilayered, multimaterial systems. A typical TBC system consists of a two layers: a ceramic zirconia (ZrO$_2$) coating top coat, and a metallic bond coat (either NiCrAlY, NoCoCrAlY, CoNiCrAlY or PtAl) that are deposited on a nickel-based superalloy substrate. In addition, low diffusion and protective Al$_2$O$_3$ scales, thermally grown oxide (TGO) on the TBC bond coat, are also critical for thermal barrier coating technology. The TGO scales, formed on the bond coats between the alloy bond coat and ceramic thermal barrier coatings, the bond coat temperatures, and its cyclic endurance, are important design parameters in determining the thermal barrier coating life (Ref. 11).
Figure 1.—A schematic diagram of a high bypass turbofan engine. Thermal barrier coatings are extensively used in the hot-section of turbine components, including combustors, high pressure turbine (HPT) vanes, and HPT blades (the inset pictures show examples of the thermal barrier coated turbine components).

TBCs and the material systems are processed and integrated using various processing methods, including commonly used plasma spray and electron beam – physical vapor deposition (EB-PVD). Other thermal spray processing methods such as suspension plasma spray (SPS) and plasma spray – physical vapor deposition (PS-PVD) are also being developed and used (Ref. 12). Turbine engine airfoil components (turbine vanes and blades) typically have thermal barrier coating thicknesses ranging from 100 to 250 µm, whereas combustor or other non-rotating components have a coating thickness ranging from 250 to 500 µm. The coating system compositions, microstructures and properties can greatly affect the coating durability during engine operations. Microstructures of plasma sprayed and EB-PVD thermal barrier coatings are shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that micro-cracks and porosity in the plasma sprayed splat type of coatings, with both intra-columnar and inter-columnar porosity in EB-PVD columnar coatings, are present in the microstructure, which help to increase the thermal strain tolerance and reduce the thermal conductivity of the coating systems.

Zirconia (ZrO₂) is used for thermal barriers because it has a high melting point (approximately 2700 °C), low intrinsic thermal conductivity (approximately 2.0 to 2.5 W/m-K), and relatively high coefficient of thermal expansion, and thus is an ideal ceramic material for protecting nickel based superalloy components for high temperature TBC applications. Oxide alloy dopants such as Y₂O₃ or rare earth (RE) oxides (e.g., Yb₂O₃, Gd₂O₃) are added to stabilize the zirconia and retain the high temperature phases,
particularly favorable metastable tetragonal phase structure, or cubic phase structure, which suppresses the detrimental martensitic tetragonal to monoclinic phase transformation during service (Refs. 13 and 14). The current state of the art ZrO$_2$ – (6 to 8) wt% Y$_2$O$_3$ TBC compositions have the metastable tetragonal (t') phase structures, which possesses high toughness and excellent cyclic durability (Refs. 9 and 15). NASA’s early coating TBC development showed the best furnace cyclic life and durability for the TBC coating systems with a composition range of ZrO$_2$ - 6 to 8 wt% Y$_2$O$_3$, as illustrated in Figure 3. The more recent work by Mercer et al. (Ref. 16) showed that the metastable tetragonal coating composition 7YSZ (ZrO$_2$- 7 wt% Y$_2$O$_3$) has a higher fracture toughness value (3.0 MPa m$^{0.5}$), compared to another commercially available higher Y$_2$O$_3$ content TBC composition ZrO$_2$-20wt% Y$_2$O$_3$ (1.0 to 1.2 MPa m$^{0.5}$).

Figure 2.—Microstructures of thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) on metallic substrates. (a) A plasma-sprayed TBC system; (b) an electron beam-physical vapor deposition (EB-PVD) TBC system.

Figure 3.—NASA’s early studies show the best furnace cyclic life and durability for the thermal barrier coating (TBC) systems with a composition of ZrO$_2$-6 to 8 wt% Y$_2$O$_3$ (Ref. 15).
Although t’ phase coatings have the advantages of higher toughness and are generally more durable for rotating components, where erosion and impact resistance can be of major concern, the coating materials intrinsically are metastable, and therefore their use temperature is limited to 1200 to 1250 °C for long-term operation in turbine engine environments. The t’ coatings also have higher thermal conductivity and fast sintering (ceramic coating densification) that can reduce the coating initial porosity, resulting in the significant thermal conductivity increase and reduced cyclic durability (Refs. 17 and 18). To further increase the turbine engine efficiency and operating temperatures, higher temperature and lower thermal conductivity coating systems have been in development in last two decades (Ref. 19 to 23). Among the advanced low thermal conductivity thermal barrier coatings are the multicomponent defect-clustering coatings (Ref. 23). The advanced oxide coatings were designed by incorporating multicomponent, paired-cluster dopants in conventional zirconia-yttria oxides. The dopant oxides were selected based on the cation-anion interatomic and chemical potentials, lattice elastic strain energy, polarization and the electroneutrality of the oxides. Because defect clusters can attenuate and scatter lattice phonon waves as well as radiative photon waves at a wide spectrum of frequencies, the coatings have significant reductions in the oxide intrinsic lattice and radiation thermal conductivity. The creation of the thermodynamically stable and highly distorted lattice structures, with essentially immobile defect clusters and/or nanoscale ordered phases, effectively reduces the mobile defect concentration and suppress the atomic mobility and mass transport, thus significantly improving the oxide sintering-creep resistance and mechanical properties. Thermal conductivity of various multicomponent defect cluster thermal barrier coatings, along with other advanced low thermal conductivity thermal barrier coatings, are summarized in Figure 4(a) to (c), (Refs. 23, 24, and 9). These figures show thermal conductivity of various multicomponent defect cluster and other types of advanced low thermal conductivity thermal barrier coatings, as function of dopant concentrations, and compared with the t’ phase ZrO$_2$-(6-8) wt% Y$_2$O$_3$. The sintering-induced thermal conductivity increases are also shown as the coating thermal conductivity values for as-processed ($k_0$), after 5 and 20 hr at temperatures ($k_5$ and $k_{20}$). The advanced defect cluster thermal barrier coatings showed lower initial thermal conductivity (as-processed thermal conductivity $k_0$), as well as slower increases in the sintering induced thermal conductivity, as characterized from the conductivity values of the coatings after 5 and 20 hr at temperatures ($k_5$ and $k_{20}$). A low thermal conductivity defect cluster coating, ZrO$_2$-$9.5$wt%Y$_2$O$_3$-$5.6$Yb$_2$O$_3$-$5.2$Gd$_2$O$_3$, rare earth zirconate (Gd$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ and Sm$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$), and a few other compositions and their specifications may be found in the literature (Refs. 25, 26, 12, and 27).

Figure 4(a) to (c): (a) Plasma spray low conductivity defect cluster coatings; (b) EB-PVD defect cluster coatings; (c) Thermal barrier coating with various dopant concentrations. These figures show thermal conductivity of various multicomponent defect cluster and other types of advanced low thermal conductivity thermal barrier coatings, as function of dopant concentrations. The data are compared with the t’ phase ZrO$_2$-(6 to 8) wt% Y$_2$O$_3$. The sintering induced thermal conductivity increases are also shown as the coating thermal conductivity values for as processed ($k_0$), after 5 and 20 hr at temperatures ($k_5$ and $k_{20}$).
Figure 4.—(a) Plasma-sprayed thermal barrier coatings (TBCs), thermal conductivity tested 1371 and 1482 °C (Ref. 24). (b) EB-PVD TBCs, thermal conductivity tested 1316 °C (Refs. 23 and 9). (c) Thermal conductivity comparisons for various advanced composition EB-PVD thermal barrier coatings, including YSZ, multicomponent defect cluster coatings, and Gd$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ (Ref. 9).
Future TBC systems will be more aggressively designed for the thermal protection of engine hot-section components, thus allowing significant increase in engine operating temperatures, fuel efficiency and engine reliability. However, the coating reliability and durability under high temperature, high thermal gradient cyclic conditions still remain as major challenges (Refs. 18, 28, and 29). Particulate erosion, impact, and engine ingested low melting calcium magnesium aluminosilicate sand dusts or volcanic ashes during service have further complicated the life designs of turbine airfoil TBCs (Refs. 30 to 35). Figure 5(a) and (b) shows cyclic lives of turbine airfoil thermal barrier coatings under various temperature conditions and degradation mechanisms. The coating life is exponentially reduced with increasing temperature, because interface damage effects are significantly increased from the accelerated oxide scale growth and increased cyclic stress-temperature amplitudes during the cycling, as shown as Arrhenius behavior of furnace cyclic lives. The erosion – bond coat oxidation based failure map for 7YSZ and defect cluster low conductivity thermal barrier coatings, also showing the Mach 0.3 particulate erosion is a more dominated failure mode at lower temperatures while the surface heat flux can reduce thermal barrier coating cyclic life and durability. The erosion-based coating failure life can be increased with temperature because the t’ phased coating toughness and plasticity increase with temperature (Ref. 32). The coating failure modes are usually complex, largely depending on envisioned engine operating conditions, processed coating composition, architecture and microstructures. The increases in engine temperature, pressure and heat flux can raise durability issues for current coating systems. The development of next generation advanced thermal barrier coatings will greatly rely on better understanding of the coating behavior and failure modes under the high-temperature, high-thermal gradient cyclic conditions.
Figure 5.—(a) Arrhenius behavior of furnace cyclic lives of EB-PVD thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) compiled for 7YSZ TBCs using NiAl and PtAl bond coats (Ref. 29).

(b) The erosion–bond coat oxidation based failure map for 7YSZ and defect cluster low conductivity TBCs, showing the Mach 0.3 particulate erosion is a more dominated failure mode at lower temperatures while the surface heat flux can reduce TBC cyclic life and durability (Ref. 32).
Environmental Barrier Coatings (EBCs)

The ever-increasing demands for developing more efficient, low emission and high performance aircraft and space vehicle propulsion engines have required new hot-section component materials that are significantly lighter and with higher temperature capabilities. Current nickel-based superalloys are reaching the upper limit of their temperature capabilities, and therefore SiC fiber-reinforced SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composites (CMCs) have been envisioned as alternative next generation turbine engine hot-section materials (Refs. 7, 36, 37, and 8). Silicon-based ceramics and composites, such as SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composites, are desirable because they have low density, high temperature creep strength and oxidation resistance in dry oxidizing environments. Environmental barrier coatings (EBCs) are required to prevent the SiC/SiC CMCs from water vapor attack in engine combustion environments, due to volatilization of the protective silica (SiO$_2$) scales on SiC when reacting with water vapor during the operation (Ref. 38). The loss of SiO$_2$ from the ceramic surfaces leads to the accelerated strength degradation under combined thermal and mechanical loading conditions in the engine operating environments. Therefore, environmental barrier coatings are considered essential in enabling the CMC component technologies for next generation aerospace propulsion engine systems.

The SiO$_2$ surface recession occurs when the SiO$_2$ scale reacts with water vapor in engine combustion environments, forming gaseous Si(OH)$_4$ and thus resulting in the volatilization of the SiO$_2$ scales of SiC/SiC CMC materials (Ref. 38). The volatilization and reaction occurs with the water vapor according to the following equation:

$$\text{SiO}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O (gas)} = \text{Si(OH)}_4 \text{ (gas)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The surface recession mechanism is dependent on the gas velocity and can be dramatically accelerated as the velocity increases (Refs. 38 and 39). For turbine engine conditions, the velocity factor is related to the gas Mach number and/or heat transfer coefficient, and film-cooling can also be integrated, studied and modeled (Ref. 40). Figure 6 depicts a schematic diagram of the SiC/SiC CMC recession due to SiO$_2$ volatilization in a convective and convective plus film cooling conditions (Ref. 40). For the SiC forming SiO$_2$ scale with the unit silica activity, the surface recession rate $K_{\text{recession}}$ due to the silica volatilization by the reaction with water vapor has the velocity and pressure dependence according to Equation (2) (Ref. 38):

$$K_{\text{recession}} = C V^{1/2} \frac{P_{(\text{H}_2\text{O})}^2}{(P_{\text{total}})^{1/2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

- $C$ constant
- $V$ gas velocity
- $P_{(\text{H}_2\text{O})}$ total partial pressure of water vapor
- $P_{\text{total}}$ total combustion chamber pressure
The early generation EBCs consist of Si bond coat, mullite-based intermediate coat and barium-strontium-alumino-silicate (BSAS, Ba\(_{1-x}\)Sr\(_x\)Al\(_2\)Si\(_2\)O\(_6\); 0 < x < 1) top coat, developed in the NASA Enabling Propulsion Materials (EPM) Program (Refs. 41, 42, 12, and 43). The EBC material systems have shown a good compatibility with SiC/SiC CMC systems, and the coating feasibility and durability have also been tested in various land based turbine validation tests (Refs. 44 to 46). The temperature limits and stability of the first generation environmental barrier coating systems have also been well studied, the use temperature is generally limited to 1300 °C in contact with the silicon bond coats (Refs. 47 to 49). As an example, for BSAS, Ba\(_{1-x}\)Sr\(_x\)Al\(_2\)Si\(_2\)O\(_6\); 0 < x < 1 environmental barrier coating case, the surface recession rate in micrometer per hour, is determined by the following equation (Refs. 46 and 7)

\[
K_{\text{recession (BSAS)}} = 10^{-5654/(T\text{°C}+273)} \times P^{1.5} \times V^{0.5} \times a_{\text{SiO}_2}
\]

where \(a_{\text{SiO}_2}\) = the SiO\(_2\) activity in the EBC. The lower activity of BSAS resulted in a reduced recession rate of the EBC coated SiC/SiC CMC systems.

The next generation engine systems currently envisioned with higher component operating temperatures demand more advanced environmental barrier coating systems. The second-generation EBCs have temperature capability up to 1482 °C, using rare earth metal or transition metal disilicate and monosilicate compositions with low silica activity (e.g., Yb\(_2\)Si\(_2\)O\(_5\), Gd\(_2\)Si\(_2\)O\(_5\), Er\(_2\)Si\(_2\)O\(_7\), Y\(_2\)SiO\(_5\), Yb\(_2\)SiO\(_5\), Gd\(_2\)SiO\(_3\)), for protecting SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composites (Ref. 50). Recent laboratory mass spectrometric measurements showed that the rare earth silicates have low silica activities, thus possessing low volatility (Ref. 51). Third-generation coatings include advanced thermal and environmental barrier coating systems with surface temperature capability up to 1650 °C (Refs. 7 and 52). Some recession rates for selected environmental barrier coatings including BSAS are shown in Figure 7, and compared with the SiC/SiC CMCs and Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) monolithic ceramics. The recession rates are determined using NASA’s High Pressure Burner Rig in conditions of up to 16 atm, and 200 m/s combustion gas velocity, at various temperatures. The SiO\(_2\) recession rates on SiC/SiC CMC have also been determined at 1300 °C, as shown in Figure 8, with the approximate gas velocity dependence of 0.46 to 0.6, and total pressure dependence of 1.97 (at water vapor partial pressure approximately 9 percent) (Ref. 40).
Figure 7.—Environmental barrier coating (EBC) recession determined under high pressure and high gas velocity conditions (Ref. 40). The test pressure was generally at 6 atm with the gas velocity of 30 m/s unless otherwise indicated.

Figure 8.—The SiC/SiC recession rates at 1300 °C under various pressure and gas velocity conditions (Ref. 40).

Multicomponent rare earth–silicates, hafnium-aluminate silicates, and hafnium - rare earth silicate EBC systems, along with hafnia (HfO2)–silicon, and rare earth-silicon based bond coat systems have also recently been used for 1500 °C capable EBC systems to significantly improved temperature capability and calcium magnesium aluminosilicate (CMAS) resistance (Refs. 43, and 53 to 56). Table I illustrates the advanced environmental barrier coating materials and multilayer structures that have evolved for improving temperature capability, environmental stability, and toughness for turbine engine applications. The EBCs have evolved from BSAS to rare earth silicates to rare earth – hafnium – silicate multicomponent
### TABLE I.—EVOLUTION OF NASA EBC TECHNOLOGY FOR SiC/SiC CERAMIC MATRIX COMPOSITES: EBC SYSTEM DEVELOPMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine components</td>
<td>Combustor</td>
<td>Combustor/ (vane)</td>
<td>Combustor/vane (hybrid plasma spray EB-PVD processing)</td>
<td>Turbine vane/ turbine blade - Vane/blade EBCs - Equivalent APS combustor EBCs</td>
<td>Airfoil components</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top coat</td>
<td>BSAS (APS)</td>
<td>RE, SiO₂ or RE, SiO₃ (APS)</td>
<td>-(Hf, Yb, Gd, Y)O₃, ZrO₂/HfO₂ – RE silicates - ZrO₂/HfO₂ + BSAS (APS and EB-PVD) RE-HfO₂-alumino silicate (APS and EB-PVD)</td>
<td>RE-Hf-silicate; RE-HfO₂-graded silica processing (EB-PVD)</td>
<td>Advanced RE-Hf+X silicates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlayer</td>
<td>̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅̅️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBC</td>
<td>Mullite+BSAS</td>
<td>BSAS + mullite</td>
<td>RE silicates or RE-Hf silicates RE dope mullite-HfO₂ or RE silicates Multicomponent RE silicate systems Multicomponent RE silicate/self-grown RE-Si+X based systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond coat</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Oxide+Si bond coat HfO₂-Si-X, doped mullite/SiC nanotube Optimized Gen IV HfO₂-Si-X bond coat 1482 °C bond coat Optimized Gen IV HfO₂-Si-X bond coat 1482 °C 2011 goal 1482 °C+ (TRL 3 to 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>250 to 400 µm</td>
<td>250 to 400 µm</td>
<td>250 to 500 µm 250 µm 127 µm 25 to 100 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface temperature capability</td>
<td>Up to 1316 °C</td>
<td>1316 °C</td>
<td>1650 °C with 1316 °C CMC 1482 °C with 1316 °C CMC Up to 1650 °C with 1316 °C, 1482 °C CMC Up to 1650 °C with 1316 °C, 1482 °C CMC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond coat temperature capability</td>
<td>Limited to 1350 °F</td>
<td>Limited to 1350 °F</td>
<td>1420 °C+ advancement 1482 °C 1482 °C (2011 goal) 1482 °C+ (TRL 3 to 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “X” indicates alloyed dopants.

EBCs, while the EBC bond coats have also advanced from silicon to hafnia (HfO₂) – silicon, rare earth – silicon to rare earth - hafnium – silicon systems with controlled oxygen and silicon activities for ceramic matrix composite turbine airfoil applications (Ref. 57). The rare earth silicate–mullite or alumina coating systems have also been recently considered beneficial because of significantly lower oxygen permeability for mullite and Al₂O₃ as compared with that for the ytterbium disilicate EBC (Refs. 58 and 59). Low diffusion and Ultra-High Temperature Ceramic (UHTC) protective coatings or composites are also being developed (Refs. 60 to 62).

The design of environmental barrier coatings for aerospace propulsion engines have significantly benefited from early generation monolithic coating developments, and also from the turbine thermal barrier coating experience. In general, coating material system design considerations should include coating temperature capability, environmental and mechanical stability, chemical compatibility (e.g., no reactions occurring forming low melting phases), and phase stability during the service operation. High toughness and low thermal conductivity are important properties for turbine airfoil environmental barrier coatings, where a thin coating is required for aerodynamic requirements, ensuring durability in high-pressure and high-velocity gas flow, and for particulates or molten sand impingements during service. As shown in Figure 9, coatings should be designed to be operated in a safe region (shadow area) where lower
stiffness coatings should be designed to increase the strain tolerance when higher thermal stresses from a larger thermal expansion mismatch or large thermal gradient is present. With increasing distance from the bond coat toward surface EBC layers, the coating system generally has increased thermal expansion mismatch stresses or thermal gradients (due to lower thermal conductivity of the top coatings). Thus the stiffness should be reduced to ensure higher strain tolerance and to maintain the coating stability and durability.

The EBCs have demonstrated the feasibility and significantly improved high temperature and environmental stability of the EBC-CMC systems in laboratory testing and simulated engine rig conditions (Refs. 43, 63, and 64), and SiC/SiC CMC turbine shrouds have been incorporated in the engine design and applications. Challenges still remain for significantly improved environmental durability and thermomechanical fatigue resistance in turbine engine high heat flux environments to achieve the prime-reliant environmental barrier coating designs in aero turbine environments.

**SiC/SiC Ceramic Matrix Composites (CMCs)**

SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composites reinforced by continuous-length, polycrystalline high strength SiC fibers are revolutionary hot-section materials for aerospace propulsion engines. Significant progress has been made in the development and recent implementation of SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composite materials for the engine hot section components (Refs. 37 and 7). The engine performance benefits are attributed to the low density, higher temperature capability, and resistance to oxidation and corrosion of SiC/SiC composites, thereby allowing designs with higher component temperature and reduced cooling as compared to nickel base superalloys. The weight reductions realized by applying SiC/SiC CMC to engine rotating components can further reduce the design complexity and weight of engine structures. The
temperature capability of the current-state-of-the-art SiC/SiC CMC systems have the temperature capability of 1316 °C (2400 °F), which is an improvement with a potential temperature benefit over superalloys exceeding 200 °C. As shown in Figure 10, the development of enabling CMC and environmental barrier coatings, will result in a step increase in the temperature capability of gas turbine components. Generation II CMCs have a temperature capability of 1316 °C, which is an improvement, whereas future generation CMC materials are envisioned to be capable of 1482 °C when advanced SiC fibers and matrix materials are used.

The SiC/SiC CMCs generally have two processing routes. The first route was developed and demonstrated in the NASA Enabling Propulsion Material (EPM) Program under a CMC combustor program (Refs. 65 and 37). The method emphasizes chemical vapor infiltration (CVI), by first applying a CVI-BN fiber interphase coating (0.1 to 0.5 µm thickness) for the SiC fiber tow preform, followed by a thin matrix CVI–SiC layer over the BN interphase coating. This CVI process is then followed by a SiC fine particulate slurry infiltration at room temperature, and finally ends with a silicon melt Infiltration (MI) at 1400 °C. This process is often referred to as the CVI-SMI or CVI-MI process and is illustrated in Figure 11(a).

The second CMC process route was developed by General Electric for processing SiC/SiC (HiPerComp) turbine engine components (Ref. 66). This method fabricates prepregged unidirectional 2D tapes, by using a polymer-based binder containing SiC, Si and carbon particulates, along with CVI processing precoated fiber tows. The 2-D tapes can be stacked into 3-D preforms, heated to high temperature (1400 to 1450 °C) for casting and melt-infiltration of CMC components by a reactive melt-infiltration process. The HiPerComp SiC/SiC CMCs have residual silicon levels of 5 to 15 vol% and also generally requires thicker fiber coatings (1 µm, typically BN, Si-doped BN, SiC and Si3N4) to prevent the fibers to be reacted with silicon at the high-temperature reactive process. The detailed HiPerComp CMC thermophysical, elastic and fracture strength properties are given in the Reference 44. Figure 11(b) illustrates the prepreg – melt-infiltration processes for SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composites.

Figure 10.—The development and implementation of SiC/SiC CMC along with advanced ceramic environmental barrier coatings will result in a step increase in the temperature capability of gas turbine hot-section components. The Generation II CMCs have the temperature capability of 1316 °C, which is an improvement while the future generation CMC materials are envisioned to be capable of 1482 °C when advanced SiC fibers and matrix materials are used.
Advances have been made in the various materials constituents of CMCs. Ceramic fibers, in particular, play a significant role in the high performance CMCs. Factors affecting the SiC fiber creep and rupture properties include grain size; impurities, such as the residual oxygen content (in particular
affecting the primary creep stage, grain boundary relaxation), porosity and surface roughness and other defects. The steady-state creep strain rate $\dot{e}$ (percent strain per hour) of the ceramic fibers can be written as (Refs. 37 and 68)

$$\dot{e}_{\text{steady-state}} = \frac{(C/d)}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{Q_s}{RT}\right)$$

(4)

where

- $C$ an empirical constant
- $d$ average grain size, nm
- $\sigma$ applied stress, MPa
- $n$ stress exponent
- $Q_s$ secondary or steady-state creep activation energy
- $R$ gas constant
- $T$ temperature in kelvin

Table II summarizes the key fiber process and creep-related properties for two important fiber types, Hi-Nicalon-Type S and Sylramic-iBN SiC fibers. Figure 12 shows the creep-stress rupture curves for selected materials. Hi-Nicalon-Type S and Sylramic-iBN fiber reinforced composites have good rupture life at the envisioned 1315 °C (Refs. 68 and 37).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber types</th>
<th>Maximum use temperature, °C</th>
<th>Elastic modulus E, GPa</th>
<th>Tensile strength, GPa</th>
<th>Creep constant, $C$</th>
<th>Stress exponent, $n$</th>
<th>Grain size, nm</th>
<th>$Q_s$, kJ/mole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hi-Nicalon-Type S</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>2.6 to 2.8</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nippon Carbon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylramic-iBN</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{17}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NASA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II.—HIGH TEMPERATURE SiC/SiC CMM SiC-BASED FIBER CREEP PROPERTIES

Figure 12.—The Larson-Miller plot for CVI-SMI SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composites with various fiber types as 2-D 0/90-balanced fabric with approximately 18 percent fiber volume fraction aligned in stress direction (Refs. 68 and 37). Hi-Nicalon-Type S and Sylramic-iBN fiber reinforced composites have good rupture life at the envisioned 1315 °C.
CMC development has been continuing for achieving 1482 °C+ temperature capabilities (Ref. 69). The polycrystalline fiber development efforts focused on thermal–chemical treatments to increase fiber grain size and grain size uniformity, reduce porosity and defects by sintering, and reduce and modify the grain boundary low-viscosity phases. Advanced CMC architectures for achieving higher fiber volume fractions, 3-D fiber architectures and CVI for improved rupture and interlaminar strengths have also been in development (Refs. 37 and 70). Some examples of 3-D architecture CMCs are shown in Figure 13. Hybrid CMC processing by chemical vapor infiltration – polymer-infiltration and Pyrolysis (CVI-PIP), with multiple PIP cycles, has achieved silicon-free, dense matrix, and have shown improved temperature capability and rupture to 1482 °C. It looks promising with improved through thickness thermal conductivity (Refs. 37 and 71). Figure 14 shows an example of high heat flux, thermal gradient testing results of advanced EBC coated CVI+SMI (average CMC and hybrid CVI-PIP CMCs), demonstrating 300 to 400 hr creep and fatigue durability at 2700 °F (1482 °C) (Ref. 72). The advanced CVI-PIP CMC material showed low creep strains at significantly higher temperatures as compared with the CVI-SMI CMCs. The high heat flux simulated engine environment fatigue life durability of environmental barrier coated prepreg melt infiltration (MI), CVI-SMI, and CVI-PIP SiC/SiC CMC systems has also been highlighted in the literature (Refs. 43, 64, and 60).

Figure 13.—Various SiC/SiC CMC architecture designs for improved rupture and interlaminar strengths (Refs. 73 and 70). (a) 2-D five-harness satin. (b) 3-D orthogonal. (c) Angle interlock. (d) Braid.
High temperature ceramics materials are crucial for aerospace applications because of their very unique properties. Advanced ceramics possess high temperature or ultra-high temperature capabilities, low density, high temperature creep rupture strengths, as well as excellent oxidation and corrosion resistance. Engineered ceramic structural materials are continued to be a main focus for advanced propulsion engine and air-vehicle structural applications because of the ever-increasing needs for efficiency and higher temperature operations.

Zirconia (ZrO₂) based thermal barrier coatings have been among the most successful applications of modern ceramic materials. Thermal barrier coating systems of ZrO₂-(6-8)wt%Y₂O₃, along with the improvements of nickel-based single crystal superalloy and bond coat technologies, have revolutionized turbine engine industries. Advanced low conductivity multicomponent ZrO₂-9.5wt%Y₂O₃-5.6Yb₂O₃-5.2Gd₂O₃, and rare earth zirconate (such as Gd₂Zr₂O₇ and Sm₂Zr₂O₇), have also been incorporated into engine applications. TBCs with approximately 100 to 150 µm thickness have provided a temperature reduction up to 100 °C for high pressure turbine airfoils, with further advancement towards 200 °C temperature reductions when low conductivity TBCs are implemented. The durability and reliability of turbine engine hot-section components have also been significantly improved largely due to the advances in high stability, high toughness and high strength top coats and bond coats.

Ceramic thermal and environmental barrier coatings have been successfully developed and implemented for protecting emerging SiC/SiC ceramic matrix composite turbine engine components in high temperatures combustion environments. Fundamental degradation mechanisms have been extensively studied due to the stability concerns of the volatile SiO₂ scales for the Si-based ceramics and silicate-based environmental barrier coatings in turbine engine combustion moisture environments. Environmental barrier coating materials, including BASA, mullite, rare earth silicates, rare earth aluminate silicates, and hafnium-rare earth silicates have been developed to provide up to additional...
300 °C temperature capability over the current state-of-the-art thermal barrier coating systems. Advanced hafnia – silicon and rare earth – silicon, rare earth – hafnium – silicon based bond coats with controlled oxygen activities have also been developed for 1482°C temperature capable turbine airfoil environmental barrier coating systems.

SiC CMCs reinforced by continuous, polycrystalline high strength SiC fibers are another revolutionary applications of engineered ceramic materials. The turbine engine performance with the CMC components has benefited largely from the materials high temperature capability and low density, thus allowing the design exceeding 200 °C temperature increases compared with nickel base superalloys with significantly reduced cooling. The weight reductions realized by applying SiC/SiC CMCs to engine rotating components can further reduce the design complexity and weight of engine structures. The development and implementation of commercial grades prepreg – MI and CVI-SMI SiC/SiC CMCs have achieved the temperature capability of 1316 °C, while the future SiC/SiC CMC materials are being developed to be capable of 1482 °C when advanced SiC fibers, 3-D fiber architectures and matrix materials are incorporated.

Although ceramic materials have many attributes for high temperature and ultra-high temperature applications, the applications have been limited to less critical components due to their relatively low toughness, low damage tolerance, large variability in their mechanical properties. Complex environmental effects on component design and durability are less understood in thermal gradient and fatigue operating conditions. Advances in testing, modeling and validation methodologies in combined high-heat-flux, simulated thermal gradient environment and fatigue conditions will significantly facilitate the developments of design databases and simulation tools. The understanding of ceramic material characteristics, their underlying degradation mechanisms and interactions will help revolutionize the component design methodologies and component life prediction.
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