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introduction
Wake Surfing Background

Wake Surfing extracts energy from the upwash of another aircraft’s wake vortex.


Reduce Drag, Fuel Use, and Emissions

- Air Cargo Operators
- Civilian Passenger Aircraft Pairs
- Dissimilar Aircraft Pairings: ex: fighter-tanker missions
- 3-Ship Staggered V Formations
- 4+ Aircraft Formations
  - string stability
  - downstream wake effects
- HALE
- Small UAVs

Q: What is the lower size limit?
Prior Wake Surfing Flight Research


Wake Surfing Challenges

**Dr. Erbschloe, USAF AMC Chief Scientist (2008):**

“We will only be interested in formation flying for aerodynamic benefit if it is:

- Safe.
- Aircrew friendly.
- Aircraft friendly.
- Makes business sense.
- Makes operational sense.”

**Other challenges identified by the USAF:**

- Pilot Training
- Pilot Tactical Duty Day Restrictions
- Equipage for Aircraft other than the C-17
- Domestic and Foreign Air Traffic Control

**Industry Perspectives, WakeNet USA 2013:**

- Air Cargo Companies
- Major Carriers and Regional Airlines
- Airline Pilot Association

**Challenges identified by Industry:**

- Lack of Civilian Airframe Data
- Passenger Discomfort
- Wake Crossing Prevention
- Cost of Equipage
- Air Traffic Control Procedures
- FAA Approval
NASA G-III Wake Surfing Flight Experiment

**Trail Airplane:**
- NASA C-20A (G-III Military Variant)
- Production Avionics augmented with:
  - Experimental Programmable Autopilot
  - Pilot Tablet Displays
  - Commercial ADS-B In
- Video Recording of Fuel Flow
- Cabin Vibration and Noise Sensors

**Lead Airplane:**
- NASA G-III
- Production Avionics with ADS-B Out
- Cabin Vibration Sensors
ADS-B Enabled Experimental Autopilot

1090 MHz ADS-B Data Link
- Non-secure data link
- Broadcast twice-per-second at random variations
- Horizontal resolution ~16.7 feet / 1 knot
- Vertical resolution ~25 feet / 64 ft per min
- Accuracy dependent on transmitting avionics
- No wind or weather information

Research Autopilot
- Wake drift and descent predictions
- Wake-relative navigation
- Trajectory control
- Analog ILS localizer and glideslope commands
- Throttle cues to pilot display

Operator Interfaces
- Lead aircraft selection
- Controller gains and parameters
- 3-axis wake-relative position commands
- Arm / engage / disengage
Experiment Conditions

**Test Operations:**
- **Mach 0.7, 35,000 feet**
- **4000 feet in trail**
- **30-40 minute test legs**
- **W-291 restricted airspace over the Pacific Ocean**

**Test Conditions**
- **Day VMC**
- **Calm to light turbulence**
- **Contrails preferred but not required**
The autopilot computes a wind- and descent-corrected trajectory for the trail airplane. This trajectory is relative to the lead airplane’s wake.

One knot of error in cross-track wind speed adds 10 ft of error to the predicted wake location.

**Test Method:**

1. **Wake-Free Tare**  
   (Minimum of 3 minutes)

2. **Wake Ingress / Mapping**

3. **Stabilized Wake Surfing: Performance Dwell and Ride Quality Evaluation**  
   (Minimum of 5 minutes)

4. **Wake-Free Tare**  
   (Minimum of 3 minutes)
performance benefits
Fuel Flow Estimation

\[ \hat{m}_0(m) = \hat{m}_R(m) - \left( \frac{\partial \hat{m}}{\partial V} \right) \Delta V - \left( \frac{\partial \hat{m}}{\partial \dot{V}} \right) \dot{V} \]

cockpit fuel flow meters
Fuel Flow Estimation

Tare Point Trim Estimates

Estimate Uncertainty

Tare Quadratic Fit

Fuel Quantity, lb x 1000

Fuel Flow, PPH/100

Fuel Flow, PPH/100 vs. Fuel Quantity

tare fuel flow vs. fuel quantity

Airspeed Rate Correction

Tare Point Data

Airspeed Rate, kcas/sec

Airspeed Rate, kcas/sec

Wake-Based Correction

Tare-Based Correction

Mean Tare-Corrected

Steady-State Time Segments

Example fuel flow corrections for in-wake performance point.
(local linear fit vs. tare-based correction)

\[
\frac{\partial \dot{m}}{\partial V}
\]

for all tare points
Fuel Flow Reduction Results

Seven test points were completed on the final flight.

\( \blacktriangle \): Points of constant throttle setting (20-plus seconds).

Wake ingress was stopped when wake effects (rumbling) were felt in the cabin. Post-flight analysis showed this occurred around 3.5% fuel flow reduction.

This potentially limited the maximum measured benefit.
Fuel Flow Reduction Results

The steep gradient of wake effects vs. position prevented the controller from stabilizing at a single location within the wake for extended periods.

Two of the test points (2 and 7) significantly exceeded the 3.5% ride quality threshold.

A maximum performance benefit of >8% was achieved briefly, consistent with previous wake surfing results.
Wake Effect Map

An independent measure of the wake location was unavailable for verification of the wake prediction algorithm.

In general, the largest benefits were measured closest to the predicted core location.

The gradients of the flight measurements appear to be more steep than predicted.
Secondary Effects

Pitch Trim
Wake-induced drag savings are accompanied by a reduction in trim angle of attack. The flight-measured change in pitch trim vs. fuel flow reduction matches theoretical predictions.

Roll Trim
The wake field produces an asymmetric lift distribution across the wing, resulting in increased roll trim with higher fuel savings. The measured aileron and spoiler deflections show a correlation with measured fuel flow reduction.
passenger ride quality
Passenger Ride Quality Instrumentation:

- Accelerometers mounted to the seat rails of both airplanes
  - 3-axis accels sampled at 200 Hz
  - separate accels for low and high frequency measurements
  - internal data logging with time stamp
- Sound dosimeter with microphone at approximate passenger ear location
  - records and logs 1-minute time-average sound levels
  - 100 Hz to 5 kHz, 40-140 dB
- Pre-flight and post-flight surveys of pilots and research crew
- An additional accelerometer was mounted to the ceiling of the aft baggage compartments of both airplanes to measure tail buffeting
Passenger Ride Quality: Cabin Vibration

- Occurred in the strongest part of the wake
- Strong variation with fore-aft cabin location
- Described as “rumbling”, compared to light turbulence or a driving on a washboarded road
Passenger Ride Quality: Cabin Vibration

- Wake-induced vibrations are similar to those of light turbulence at higher frequencies.
- Light turbulence contains low frequency content not found in the wake.
- Cabin vibrations on the lead airplane during wake surfing were similar to non-turbulent conditions, suggesting measured effects on the trail airplane were due to flight within the wake.
Passenger Ride Quality: Cabin Noise

- Slightly increased cabin noise levels were recording during wake surfing, as compared to flight in calm air and in the weaker portions of the wake.

- A similar increase in noise was also recorded during the more severe of the two “light turbulence” turbulent tare points.

Dosimeter noise recorder, trail aircraft cabin installation
In the 1970s, Jack Leatherwood and others at NASA LaRC conducted a series of studies to develop a criteria to predict passenger discomfort due to vibration and noise.

- **Vibration Tests**
  - 2200 test subjects
  - motion simulator fitted with six tourist-class aircraft seats
  - 10 - 15 second excitations
  - lateral, vertical, longitudinal, roll, and pitch vibrations
  - rated as “comfortable” or “uncomfortable”

- **Noise and Vibration Tests**
  - 60 test subjects
  - combinations of noise and vibration
  - 4 sound levels, 6 octave bands

---

from “Human Discomfort Response to Noise Combined With Vertical Vibration,” Leatherwood, April 1979
• Frequency-weighted acceleration measurements are combined to form a Discomfort Metric: DISC.

• For sinusoidal vibrations, the DISC metric was developed with the following excitations:
  - **Vertical:** 1 - 30 Hz | 0.04 - 0.34 g
  - **Lateral:** 1 - 10 Hz | 0.04 - 0.34 g
  - **Roll:** 1 - 4 Hz | 0.23 - 2.62 rad/s²

• A DISC of 1 predicts that 50% of passengers will find the ride uncomfortable.

• Note: Leatherwood’s Noise and Duration corrections were not applied for the following results.

Note: Leatherwood’s Noise and Duration corrections were not applied for the following results.

---

• DISC metric values were calculated for lateral and vertical vibrations recorded at the forward and mid-cabin locations.

• DISC plotted vs. fuel flow reduction shows the gradual onset of wake discomfort below 3.5%.

• Above 3.5% the DISC is consistently high.

• Using the Leatherwood criteria, the peak DISC values calculated at the two cabin locations during wake surfing fall within the region of values measured for light turbulence.

• Even in calm air, the DISC values are quite high, suggesting this metric may over-predict passenger discomfort.
• Frequency-weighted acceleration measurements are combined to form a Vibration Dose Value: VDV.

• The ISO metric addresses the following frequency ranges:
  0.5 - 80 Hz: health, comfort, and perception
  0.1 - 0.5 Hz: motion sickness

• VDV-based human comfort rating predictions increase with exposure time raised to the \( \frac{1}{4} \) power.

• Motion sickness increases with the square root of the exposure time.

• The ISO standard gives a relationship between VDV and descriptive “likely reactions” in terms of comfort value.
ISO-2631 Passenger Ride Quality Metric

- The ISO metric predicts increased passenger discomfort due to wake surfing vs. calm air, although not as severe as light turbulence.

- Even the calm air predictions are solidly ‘uncomfortable’ for flights longer than 5 hours, which may indicate over-prediction of passenger discomfort by this metric.

- The ISO metric predicts no appreciable increase in passenger motion sickness due to wake surfing vs. flight in calm air, and significantly less motion sickness than flight through light turbulence.
Passenger Ride Quality Survey

Summary of the post-flight questionnaires:

• 9 participants (2 pilots, 6 engineers, 1 videographer); majority are frequent flyers
• Wake Surfing Comfort Response:
  • “Comfortable”: 45% (4 of 9)
  • “Neutral”: 45% (4 of 9)
  • “Uncomfortable”: 10% (1 of 9)
• 10% reported “Writing” would be difficult
• 33% reported “Sleeping” would be difficult

Comments:

• “Similar to light turbulence”
• “Rhythmic, pulsing sound - not unpleasant but noticeable”
• “Like driving over a slightly-washboarded road”

• “I found the view of contrails outside my window unsettling”
• “The appearance of the wake was larger than I had originally imagined”
## Conclusions:

1. ADS-B is adequate for moderate wake surfing benefits.
2. Accurate wind estimates are critical for wake prediction.
3. Sustained fuel savings are possible above 5% for wake surfing at extended trail distances.
4. There is significant ride quality degradation at higher fuel flow savings.
5. Automatic control is a necessity, including throttles.

## Recommendations:

1. Develop and test robust wake estimation, performance optimization, and wake-crossing prevention algorithms.
2. Through modeling and flight research, improve understanding of the causes of ride quality degradation.
3. Characterize wake strength, descent, and decay downstream of the trail airplane.
4. Develop routing and scheduling algorithms for civil operators, and meta-aircraft operations for air traffic control.
Examples of Wake Dynamics

B-767
A. Brown, AIAA 2007-289

B-737
© B. Whittaker

DC-8
NASA ACCESS Mission Video

B-757
NASA SUCCESS Mission Video
Relative Navigation and Wake Prediction

The trail airplane flies a wake-relative trajectory.

Wake prediction functions in the autopilot compute a wind-corrected trajectory for the trail airplane. This trajectory is relative to the lead airplane’s wake.

Timing uncertainty in ADS-B messages results in larger errors in along-track vs. cross-track.

One knot of error in cross-track wind speed adds 10 ft of error to the predicted wake location.
Simplified Wake Location Prediction

\[ \xi = \psi - Gt \]

- Actual Lead Ground track
- Parallel ground tracks
- Estimated Vortex Formation Axis
- Estimated Lead Ground Track
- ADS-B Reported Lead Position
- Trail Ground track
- Ltrack
- Xtrack
Despite good results in the piloted sim, the pilots initially found the throttle cues “Unsatisfactory” in flight.

For the final flight, the pilot along-track error cue was redesigned with an increased range of view, and a relaxed acceptable error criteria.

The modified display reduced the pilot workload to “Satisfactory” and improved post-flight calculation of fuel flow savings.