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Abstract

Our previous studies employing the self-organizing map (SOM) clustering technique to ozonesonde data have found significant links among meteorological and chemical regimes, and the shape of the ozone (O$_3$) profile from the troposphere to the lower stratosphere. These studies, which focused on specific northern hemisphere mid-latitude geographical regions, demonstrated the advantages of SOM clustering by quantifying O$_3$ profile variability and the O$_3$/meteorological correspondence. We expand SOM to a global set of ozonesonde profiles spanning 1980-present from 30 sites to summarize the connections among O$_3$ profiles, meteorology, and chemistry, using the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis and other ancillary data. Four clusters of O$_3$ mixing ratio profiles from the surface to the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere (UT/LS) are generated for each site, which show dominant profile shapes and typical seasonality (or lack thereof) that generally correspond to latitude (i.e. Tropical, Subtropical, Mid-Latitude, Polar). Examination of MERRA-2 output reveals a clear relationship among SOM clusters and covarying meteorological fields (geopotential height, potential vorticity, and tropopause height) for Polar and Mid-latitude sites. However, these relationships break down within ±30° latitude. Carbon monoxide satellite data, along with velocity potential, a proxy for convection, calculated from MERRA-2 wind fields assist characterization of the Tropical and Subtropical sites, where biomass burning and convective transport linked to the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) dominate O$_3$ variability. In addition to geophysical characterization of O$_3$ profile variability, these results can be used to evaluate chemical transport model output and satellite measurements of O$_3$. 
1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation: Geophysical Characterization of Ozonesonde Profiles

Ozonesondes provide high vertical resolution (~150 m) O3 measurements from the surface to the mid-stratosphere with excellent precision and accuracy (Witte et al., 2017 and refs therein); several records from around the globe span multiple decades. They provide an independent source of validation for O3 measurements from satellites (Bian et al., 2007; Boynard et al., 2009; Thompson et al., 2003a; 2012; 2017; Ziemke et al., 2011), output from chemical transport/climate models (CTM, CCM; Considine et al., 2008; Stone et al., 2016; Tilmes et al., 2016), and assimilated O3 (Dethof and Holm, 2004; Wargan et al., 2016).

Because of their high vertical resolution, ozonesondes are able to capture fine details in the geophysical features that control the variability in O3. The characterization of meteorological and chemical regimes and their effect on O3 aids the interpretation of various satellite and model products. On short timescales, frequent campaign-based sonde profiling has validated high-resolution model output of transport processes from Asia to the US (Lin et al., 2012a), stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange (STE; Lin et al., 2012b; Ott et al., 2016), and convective activity (Thompson et al., 2008). On greater timescales, long-term ozonesonde networks characterize seasonal and inter-annual impacts of STE (Lin et al., 2015), biomass burning, the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO; Chandra et al., 2002), and monsoon convection (Yonemura et al., 2002; Thompson et al., 2011) on the tropospheric O3 budget.

The thousands of sonde profiles from long-term stations help form O3 climatologies from which seasonal variability in the O3 profile can be quantified. Climatologies are typically derived from averaged O3 profiles on a latitudinal and monthly or seasonal basis (Logan, 1999; McPeters et al., 1997; McPeters and Labow, 2012; Sofieva et al., 2014; Labow, 2018).
et al., 2015). However, Stauffer et al. (2016; 2017) showed that analysis of averaged O$_3$ profile data conceals information on geophysical controls of short-term O$_3$ profile variability in the troposphere to lower stratosphere. Averaged O$_3$ climatologies by convention cannot fully capture variability on timescales shorter than months or seasons, or from climate oscillations like ENSO, the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO), or the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO). Ozone averages may also inhibit potential diagnoses for disagreement between sonde measurements, satellite products (see Stauffer et al., 2017 Figure A1), and model output.

1.2 Self-Organizing Map (SOM) Clustering of O$_3$ Profiles

One way to characterize short-term variability from large O$_3$ profile data sets in a geophysically meaningful way is to cluster the O$_3$ data into groups with similar profile shapes, disregarding information on profile month or season. The benefits of clustering large sets of ozonesonde profiles with SOM are made clear by several recent studies examining varying regions and O$_3$ regimes. Jensen et al. (2012) applied SOM to O$_3$ profiles at two tropical Atlantic sites, Ascension Island and Natal, Brazil. Clusters of O$_3$ profiles at the two sites represented a set of “typical” O$_3$ profile shapes, a set of convectively-influenced low O$_3$ profiles, and two clusters with O$_3$ enhancements from African biomass burning. Prototypical meteorological conditions and air parcel trajectories were linked to the dominant O$_3$ profile shapes, which were occasionally, but not always, seasonally-dependent. Stauffer et al. (2016) continued in a similar manner by analyzing sonde profiles from four US sites from the surface to 12 km. They found that the O$_3$ profile clusters depended more on synoptic-scale meteorology than seasonality. The O$_3$ profile clusters diverged from monthly O$_3$ climatologies by over ±100 ppbv in the UT/LS at the US sites for several O$_3$ profile clusters.
In a companion paper, Stauffer et al. (2017) characterized SOM O\textsubscript{3} profile clusters at Trinidad Head, CA, and their links to meteorology, chemistry (namely carbon monoxide; CO), and surface O\textsubscript{3} pollution at inland CA sites. As in Jensen et al. (2012), clusters of O\textsubscript{3} profiles over the US were much more closely linked to transport and large-scale meteorological and chemical conditions than to season. Gallardo et al. (2016) used SOM to generate O\textsubscript{3} profile clusters at Rapa Nui (Easter Island) in the subtropical South Pacific. Rapa Nui’s latitude near the meandering subtropical jet leads to highly variable O\textsubscript{3} profiles that show characteristics of both the mid-latitudes (tropopause height variations) and tropics (convectively-influenced). As a result, seasonal climatologies are poor representations of week-to-week changes in O\textsubscript{3} at Rapa Nui.

These previous applications of SOM clustering of O\textsubscript{3} profiles over limited geographical regions motivate us to expand our analyses to an assortment of prototypical global ozonesonde sites. We address two important science questions in this study: 1) How do O\textsubscript{3} profiles cluster for various regions, each of which are known to exhibit differing O\textsubscript{3} distributions? 2) What are the links among the O\textsubscript{3} profile clusters, meteorology, and chemistry, and how do they depend on latitude or region (e.g. mid-latitudes versus tropics)? Given that past SOM analyses of sonde O\textsubscript{3} profiles have yielded significant links to meteorological and chemical signatures, we expect lessons from this study to apply to future chemical model validation and diagnostics.

2 Data and Clustering Methods

2.1 Global Ozonesonde Data Set
The 30 ozonesonde stations chosen for this analysis are shown in Figure 1 and are detailed in Tables 1 and 2. In general, sites were selected based on their use in previous sonde-based climatologies (e.g. Logan, 1999; McPeters and Labow, 2012; Tilmes et al., 2012). Subtropical and Tropical stations were chosen for their sufficient number of records, requiring that the selected stations capture the longitudinal distribution of the tropospheric O$_3$ zonal wave-one feature over all seasons (Hudson and Thompson, 1998; Thompson et al., 2003b; Sauvage et al., 2006). For compatibility with the MERRA-2 output, we analyze only ozonesonde data from 1980 onward. Every site has more than 200 profiles, with the majority of stations contributing >1000 profiles (>46,000 samples in total). The locations are categorized by latitude: Polar ($\phi > \pm 60^\circ$), Mid-Latitude ($\phi = \pm 30$-$60^\circ$), Subtropical ($\phi = \pm 15$-$30^\circ$), and Tropical ($\phi = 0\pm 15^\circ$).

Ozonesonde data are obtained from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre (WOUDC, WMO/GAW UV Radiation Monitoring Community, http://www.woudc.org) and the Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ; Thompson et al., 2003a) archive (http://tropo.gsfc.nasa.gov/shadoz). Data from Boulder and Hilo are obtained from the NOAA ESRL GMD archive. Refer to Table 2 for a list of supporting institutions. Ozone profile data from Uccle and De Bilt, and the SHADOZ stations (except Samoa) have been reprocessed to reflect the latest guidelines for homogenization of historical ozonesonde data (Smit et al., 2012; Smit et al., 2014; Van Malderen et al., 2016; Deshler et al., 2017; Thompson et al., 2017; Witte et al., 2017). Reprocessed data from Uccle and De Bilt can be obtained directly from the station data managers (see Acknowledgments). Table 1 lists the various types of ozonesondes used in the historical data sets. The differing instrument types are not expected to influence our results. Sonde chamber tests (Smit et al., 2012) and evaluation of reprocessed data (Witte et al., 2017) show that instrument artifacts are greatest above the UT/LS.
2.2 MERRA-2 Reanalyses

A suite of variables (e.g. geopotential height, temperature, potential vorticity, relative humidity, and U and V wind) on pressure levels (925, 850, 700, 500, 250 hPa; 200 hPa for U and V winds) from the MERRA-2 reanalysis (GMAO, 2015; Bosilovich et al., 2015) provide meteorological context for the O₃ profile data. The instantaneous, assimilated meteorological data are available every three hours on a 0.5° x 0.625° horizontal grid from 1980-present. Statistics will be presented for MERRA-2 grid points closest in space (time) to each ozonesonde site (profile).

2.3 Satellite CO Data

Stauffer et al. (2017) showed correspondence between Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS; Aumann et al., 2003) CO data and O₃ SOM clusters from Trinidad Head, CA, distinguishing pollution and STE effects on the O₃ profiles. Therefore, we employ the daily L3 V6 (gridded to 1° x 1° horizontal resolution) CO total column data to help differentiate chemically- versus meteorologically-driven O₃, especially in the tropics where biomass burning pollution has the greatest impact. AIRS CO data is available from September 2002 – present; we restrict sonde and AIRS CO comparisons to these years.

2.4 SOM Specifics

The SOM (Kohonen, 1995) method carried out here is similar to that described in Stauffer et al. (2016; 2017). Briefly, the user determines the N number of clusters desired
and the dimensions of the SOM, the number of iterations of the SOM algorithm, and the altitude range of data to cluster, among other inputs (see Appendix A of Stauffer et al., 2016 for examples). For each site, the entire 100 m averaged O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratio profile data set is input into the SOM algorithm to obtain the initial nodes (analogous to cluster centroids) via a linear interpolation between the two largest principal components of the O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratio set. This interpolation ensures that the initial nodes, which are shaped like O\textsubscript{3} profiles, cover the large variability of the whole O\textsubscript{3} profile set. With initial nodes generated, the entire O\textsubscript{3} profile set is continuously input into the SOM equation for the number of user-determined iterations. Individual O\textsubscript{3} profiles are assigned to their best-matching node for each iteration based on similarity in shape (minimum Euclidean distance), and the nodes are recalculated as the mean of their member profiles. As the iterations approach the final user-chosen amount, fewer and fewer profiles are reassigned nodes, until there are no cluster membership changes. The result is N number of O\textsubscript{3} profile clusters, where the SOM cluster nodes are equivalent to the mean of each O\textsubscript{3} profile cluster. For further details on SOM, as well as quantitative comparisons to the similar k-means algorithm that summarize our preference for SOM, refer to Stauffer et al. (2017) and Appendix A of Stauffer et al. (2016).

Major differences between this and the previous Stauffer et al. studies analyzing SOM for O\textsubscript{3} profiles are as follows: 1) We optimized our clustering by using a 2x2 SOM with 4 clusters to avoid clusters with too few or too many members, and to keep analysis of clusters from 30 sites from becoming too cumbersome. When using SOM with 9 clusters as in Stauffer et al. (2016; 2017), clusters at two of our Tropical sites contained a dozen or less profiles, too small for meaningful analysis. 2) SOM clusters were calculated using O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratio profiles from the surface to the average annual tropopause altitude (nearest km) at each of the sites. This variable altitude was chosen to capture day-to-day and seasonal changes in the tropopause height at higher latitude sites, as well as UT/LS O\textsubscript{3} dynamics at Tropical and
Subtropical sites. 3) Once the SOM was generated for each site, we numbered the clusters from 1 to 4 based on average O$_3$ amount in the cluster. This was done to simplify analysis so that cluster 1 is consistently defined as “low O$_3$” for each site, up to cluster 4, which contains the highest O$_3$ for each site.

3 Ozone Variability and Seasonality of Profile Clusters

An example of the four-cluster SOM output from representative sites in each of the latitude groups is shown in Figure 2. This demonstrates how O$_3$ profiles in the clusters compare against the overall median profile from the sites (cyan on every graph) and O$_3$ variability within the clusters. Membership statistics (profile numbers) are shown for each cluster. In general, profile shapes/cluster means from sites within each latitude region are similar, particularly outside of the tropics. Clusters from sites at high latitudes (Ny-Ålesund example in Figure 2a) are determined entirely by UT/LS O$_3$ variability/tropopause height, with a progressively lower tropopause altitude and dwindling membership as clusters progress from 1 to 4. Note that the altitude ranges for each latitude group vary but are low enough to avoid seasonal stratospheric O$_3$ depletion events near the poles. Profiles and cluster membership at Mid-Latitude sites (Wallops Island example in Figure 2b) resemble higher latitude sites (note different y-axis scales), with the exception of increased tropospheric O$_3$ variability and the emergence of pollution effects in cluster 2 at Wallops Island.

Clusters from Subtropical (Irene example in Figure 2c) and Tropical sites (Samoa example in Figure 2d) are much more sensitive to tropospheric O$_3$ amounts than are higher latitudes. At Irene, only one cluster exists with a notably lower tropopause (cluster 3), containing just 8% of all profiles. Profile clusters at lower latitudes can be defined largely by
tropospheric pollution enhancements; the difference between clusters 1 and 4 at Irene is a ~25 ppbv O$_3$ increase below 10 km. SOM O$_3$ clusters from Samoa in Figure 2d show how UT/LS O$_3$ is linked to lower tropospheric O$_3$ in the tropical Pacific. Profiles in cluster 1 contain low O$_3$ throughout the entire surface to 17 km altitude range, whereas clusters 3 and 4 display higher O$_3$ at all altitudes up to the UT/LS. Cluster 2 contains O$_3$ that represents the overall mean profile from Samoa. We find that clusters 2 or 3 typically contain O$_3$ that mirrors the overall average profile for most sites, though they rarely combine to comprise a majority of profiles for a given site (see Table 3).

### 3.1 Ozone Profile Cluster Types

The O$_3$ profile SOM cluster means (nodes) from all 30 sites are presented in Figure 3, and depict the transition of O$_3$ profiles from low to high latitudes (blue = tropics to red = high latitudes on Figure 3). Compare this figure to Table 3, which contains cluster statistics from all 30 sites. Figure 3 is a convenient way to visualize the dominant O$_3$ profile types and the variability in O$_3$ with latitude, and longitude in the case of the tropics. We observe the tropical wave-one pattern (Thompson et al., 2003b; 2017) in low- to mid-tropospheric O$_3$ from the tropical Pacific (low tropospheric O$_3$ amounts at Watukosek, Kuala Lumpur, and Samoa) to the tropical Atlantic (high tropospheric O$_3$ amounts at Natal and Ascension Island). The invariance of the tropopause altitude at low latitudes from clusters 1 to 4 is also evident. This contrasts with higher latitude sites, whose O$_3$ values extend beyond the x-axes in clusters 2, 3, and 4 in Figure 3. The “S-shape” in tropical tropospheric O$_3$ arising from convective transport is especially evident at sites with the lowest upper tropospheric O$_3$ like Kuala Lumpur and Watukosek. In terms of vertical structure in the O$_3$ profiles, SOM clusters show the covariance of near-surface and UT/LS O$_3$ in the tropics and subtropics. Low near-
surface O$_3$ tends to occur in conjunction with low UT/LS O$_3$, and vice versa. This feature and its links to geophysical processes are explored in Section 4.3. In this paper, we focus mostly on the vertical structure of O$_3$, but we note the role that horizontal transport may play in the O$_3$ profile clusters. Stauffer et al., (2017) demonstrated that SOM clusters can distinguish STE and horizontal pollution transport. That study focused only on surface to 6 km O$_3$, making it possible to identify O$_3$ laminae indicative of horizontal transport processes.

One consistency among sites from all latitudes is that the plurality of O$_3$ profiles is nearly always contained in the lowest O$_3$ cluster 1 (see Table 3). There are only 4 exceptions, all from sites in the Subtropics and Tropics (Naha, Watukosek, Ascension, and Irene). Additionally, the highest O$_3$ cluster 4 contains the lowest percentage of profiles except at Natal, Réunion, and Irene.

3.2 Seasonality of O$_3$ Profile Clusters

Our prior SOM cluster analyses (Jensen et al., 2012; Stauffer et al., 2016; 2017) indicate that clustering profiles is not identical to seasonal categorization, demonstrating the value in highlighting geophysical rather than seasonal variability. The histograms of profile months for each site are broken down by latitude group in Figure 4. Cluster 4 profiles display a clear seasonal cycle for all latitude groups, signaling that there is a preferred time of year for the highest O$_3$ amounts, whether the high O$_3$ is located in the UT/LS (higher latitudes) or in the troposphere (tropics). Sites from the subtropics to polar latitudes all observe the highest SOM cluster O$_3$ in their respective spring seasons, when tropopause altitudes are volatile. The Tropical sites (Figure 4a, cluster 4) also tend to display the highest O$_3$ in their respective spring seasons (cf Thompson et al., 2003a; 2012). Kuala Lumpur, just 2.7° north of the equator, shows a strong preference for higher O$_3$ in April through June as the ITCZ...
moves northward, while the four Southern Hemisphere Tropical stations exhibit peaks in September to November (Austral spring). Histograms from the other clusters at most sites are flatter than cluster 4, indicating that those profiles occur scattered throughout the year. Exceptions are noted for certain Tropical sites in clusters 1 and 2, which show coherence likely related to convectively active seasons and low UT/LS O₃. The large percentage of low O₃ cluster 1 profiles that occur in January at Hanoi is also striking (Figure 4b). Coherent seasonality is also displayed by Subtropical and Mid-Latitude cluster 3 profiles, which mimic the cluster 4 seasonality, but with histogram peaks spread over a larger number of months. It is difficult to draw conclusions about seasonality for most non-tropical site profiles from clusters 1 and 2, as those clusters contain a large number of profiles that occur throughout the year.

4 Profile Links to Meteorological and Chemical Data

4.1 MERRA-2 Meteorological Reanalyses

We analyze meteorological fields from MERRA-2 at periods coincident with O₃ profiles in the SOM clusters to characterize the large-scale dynamic features associated with the profile shapes. Stauffer et al. (2016) showed strong links between 500 hPa geopotential height (Z) and O₃ profile clusters at four US sites. Figure 5 shows 500 hPa Z composite means and anomalies (from MERRA-2 1981-2010 daily climatology) corresponding to the O₃ SOM clusters at four example sites, one from each latitude group. At Polar and Mid-Latitude sites (Marambio and De Bilt examples on Figure 5a, b), a consistent pattern emerges relating synoptic-scale meteorology and the O₃ profile shape. Low O₃ in cluster 1 is associated with a mid-level ridge and above-average tropopause height (not shown). Cluster
2 (the “nominal” O₃ cluster) shows no significant anomalies, and clusters 3 and 4 each show increasingly significant trough features and a well-below-average tropopause height. This is true for all Polar and Mid-Latitude sites, and is best seen in Figure 6, which shows the distributions of 500 hPa Z anomalies for the MERRA-2 grid point closest to each site organized by latitude. The magnitude of the Z anomalies tends to increase with latitude up to about ±60°, with average Z anomalies of < -120 m in cluster 4 for many Mid-Latitude sites. Other meteorological variables that tend to co-vary with Z show similar distributions (i.e. temperature, relative humidity, and potential vorticity).

There are no distinct large-scale patterns in Z (or tropopause altitude variations) for locations within 30° of the equator (Réunion and Ascension Island in Figure 5). The distributions of 500 hPa Z anomalies in Figure 6 are centered about zero for all 10 sites in the Subtropical and Tropical regions. The meteorological dynamics that govern variability in the tropics and subtropics are entirely different from higher latitudes where temperature gradients are strong, so we look to other ancillary data to characterize the links between geophysical processes and O₃ profiles.

4.2 Convection and the MJO

MERRA-2 U and V were used to separate the wind fields into divergent (velocity potential; VP) and non-divergent (stream function) components. Stream function contours are nearly parallel to geopotential height contours in the mid-latitudes, but the VP contribution to the large-scale flow grows in the tropics, where the wind tends to deviate from geostrophic balance. As with the geopotential heights in Figure 6, stream function is a poor predictor of SOM O₃ cluster in the subtropics and tropics. An exception occurs at Irene, with
large stream function anomalies noted for cluster 3 profiles (Figure S1). This type of feature was not apparent in the geopotential height patterns (cf Figure 6).

Velocity potential, which describes tropical convective activity and is related to MJO evolution (Ventrice et al., 2013), is closely linked to SOM cluster number (Figure 7). Previous studies examining MJO phase and O₃ profiles/columns have noted the MJO association with large anomalies in subtropical lower stratospheric O₃ (Tian et al., 2007; Li et al., 2012) and in tropospheric O₃ in the tropical Pacific (Ziemke and Chandra, 2003). The MJO can modulate the total O₃ column (trough-to-peak changes) by about 5-10 DU. We show examples of MERRA-2 calculated 200 hPa VP (VP200) means and anomalies (from 1981-2010 daily climatology) from four sites (Hanoi, Kuala Lumpur, Natal, and Samoa; Figure 7). Negative VP anomalies (green colors) are associated with enhanced convection on regional scales, and are linked to cluster 1 low O₃ profiles. Convection lifts O₃-poor air from the tropical boundary layer to the UT/LS, leading to the classic tropical “S-shape” O₃ profiles in cluster 1. Positive VP anomalies indicate suppressed convection, and are linked to the higher O₃ profiles from clusters 3 (not shown on Figure 7) and 4.

Figure 8 shows the VP anomaly mean and 95% confidence intervals of the mean for the four O₃ profile clusters at all 30 sites. Confidence intervals were calculated using 10,000 bootstrap resamples of the VP anomaly distribution. The VP anomalies diverge farther from zero for sites near the equator, with low O₃ clusters generally associated with negative VP anomalies (enhanced convection), and higher O₃ associated with positive VP anomalies (suppressed convection). Note that the largest VP anomalies often do not occur directly over the site locations as in geopotential height for higher latitudes (cf Figures 5 and 7). Although correspondence between VP and SOM cluster exists for all Subtropical and Tropical sites to some degree (including some mid-latitude sites like Tateno and Kagoshima in Japan), there is a sharp difference in VP magnitude among West Pacific and other sites. Velocity potential
anomalies at sites in the West Pacific are much greater than at locations such as Hilo, Natal (Figure 7c), Ascension Island, and Samoa, which are each closer to the equator than Hanoi but in the opposite Western Hemisphere, where VP anomalies are typically smaller. We find that the VP/SOM cluster linkages correspond to total O₃ column anomalies spanning ~15 DU from profiles in lowest O₃ clusters to the highest, larger than the trough-to-peak changes that previous studies have attributed to the MJO. Specifically, at the five Tropical sites, total column O₃ in cluster 1 profiles (large negative VP anomalies) average -6.6 DU below climatology, while cluster 4 profiles (large positive VP anomalies) average +8.5 DU above climatology. This suggests that VP provides an excellent characterization of the dependence of tropical O₃ on convection and the MJO.

We explore evidence for SOM O₃ profile cluster and MJO linkages further with an explicit MJO index, the Real-time Multivariate MJO Index (RMM; Wheeler and Hendon, 2004). The RMM Index is formed via a principal component analysis of near-equatorially averaged 850 hPa U wind, 200 hPa U wind, and interpolated satellite outgoing longwave radiation data. RMM1 and RMM2, based on the two largest principal component time series calculated from those meteorological variables, form the RMM Index that can be separated into eight MJO phases, which indicates regions of the tropics where convection is most active. Daily RMM values are obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology and are available from June 1974 onward (http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/mjo/graphics/rmm.74toRealtime.txt). We plot the average RMM1 and RMM2 values corresponding to each O₃ profile cluster for Hanoi (9a), Kuala Lumpur (9b), Natal (9c), and Watukosek (9d), the same sites presented in Figure 7.

Figure 9 shows similar patterns in RMM Index for the three Pacific sites (all but Natal in Figure 9). At the Pacific sites, cluster number 1 (lowest O₃ amounts) tends to coincide with MJO phases 4 and 5, when enhanced convection is expected in the Tropical West.
Pacific/Indonesia. Cluster number 4 (highest O₃ amounts) tends to be associated with MJO phases 1 and 8, when convection is most active in the Western Hemisphere, and is suppressed in the Pacific. The different MJO phase space plot at Natal (Figure 9c) reflects its tropical Atlantic location, with low O₃ (cluster 1) associated with MJO phases 1 and 8, and high O₃ (cluster 4) linked to enhanced convection in the opposite hemisphere, but suppressed convection locally. We note that the RMM Index values shown in Figure 9 do not amount to “strong” MJO events (RMM1² + RMM2² ≥ 1; Wheeler and Hendon, 2004) on average. However, we have computed the 95% confidence intervals on the average values shown (error bars in Figure 9), again using 10,000 bootstrap resamples. At all four sites in Figure 9, the average RMM Index for clusters 1 and 4 are significantly different from each other, meaning that our linking of the MJO to SOM O₃ profile clusters is statistically rigorous. The significant differences in average RMM between clusters 1 and 4 also exist at Ascension and Samoa (6 of 7 sites between 21°N and 14°S except Hilo).

4.3 Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) CO

To add to the meteorological context provided above, we look for chemical clues to help describe the varying tropospheric O₃ amounts at the Subtropical and Tropical locations. Carbon monoxide has a lifetime of several months and can be used as a tracer for biomass burning, which has a strong influence on many of the Tropical ozonesonde sites used in this study (e.g. Jensen et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2012). AIRS CO data are incorporated and analyzed in a way similar to the MERRA-2 data. Note that AIRS data only cover the period back to 2002. Figures 10-12 and Figure S2 show total CO column composite means and anomalies (from 2002-2016 monthly climatology) corresponding to O₃ SOM cluster at four example sites: Kuala Lumpur (Figure 10), Watukosek (Figure 11), Irene (Figure 12), and
Sapporo (Figure S2). At Subtropical and Tropical locations, there is congruence between CO and SOM clusters with CO column anomalies averaging as high as +4.5x10^{17} \text{molec./cm}^2 (~25\% above the mean) upwind of Irene in cluster 3 (red contours on Figure 12). In the case of Irene cluster 3, it appears a sharp gradient in CO is observed near the location of the subtropical jet/trough feature (cf Figure S1), with Irene affected by a lower tropopause altitude (see Figure 2c) and lower CO (blue contours) than what is observed south of the site. Similar large positive CO anomalies are observed in conjunction with higher tropospheric O_3 at Kuala Lumpur in clusters 3 and 4, and Watukosek cluster 4. Negative CO anomalies, though generally smaller in magnitude than positive anomalies, accompany the low O_3 clusters 1 and 2 at the low-latitude sites. The example from Sapporo (Figure S2) shows an example of how the correspondence between CO and O_3 cluster commonly breaks down at higher latitudes. This is due primarily to the dominance of the Z and tropopause height variation over other signals in the O_3 clusters.

The high regional CO amounts and seasonality of cluster 3 at Irene show evidence of typical biomass burning conditions throughout Central Africa (i.e. July-October; Figure 4b) but the seasonality information for Kuala Lumpur and Watukosek is less clear for the high CO clusters 3 (Kuala Lumpur: All months but June-September; Figure 4a) and 4 (Watukosek: May-July, October-November). This is because Watukosek and Kuala Lumpur O_3 responds to more sporadic biomass burning fires (CO anomalies; Thompson et al., 2001; Yonemura et al., 2002) and convective transport (VP anomalies) or the MJO cycle as we demonstrated here. ENSO, fire activity, and tropospheric O_3 amounts also tend to covary at some of the stations examined here (Chandra et al., 2002), though a full treatment of ENSO and related analyses are beyond the current study scope. At Irene, Reunion, Ascension, Natal, and Samoa the biomass burning enhancement of O_3 follows a more regular seasonal cycle with
most significant effects in Austral winter and spring (Oltmans et al., 2001; Jensen et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2012).

The tendency for the MJO and biomass burning to covary in the tropical Pacific was described in Reid et al., (2012), who found that a minimum in fire counts and a maximum in precipitation on the Maritime Continent (near Watukosek and Kuala Lumpur) occurred during MJO Phase 4. This explains the cluster 1 (low O₃) association with MJO Phases 4 and 5, negative VP200 anomalies, and low CO at the Tropical Pacific sites. Over the course of an entire burning season, ENSO is the greatest indicator for total biomass burning activity. However, sub-seasonally, the MJO is the greatest predictor of the timing of biomass burning (Reid et al., 2012). We also find that precipitation (indicated by the MJO) and biomass burning (indicated by CO) follow a similar pattern, and show its link to O₃ profile shape and O₃ amounts in the tropical Pacific. This effect also leads to the covariance in near-surface and UT/LS O₃ amounts noted in Figure 3, as low O₃ air is convectively lofted during periods of low burning activity, and high O₃ accumulates during periods of greater burning activity and suppressed convection.

5 Conclusions

We used a combination of SOM statistical clustering, MERRA-2 meteorological reanalyses, a daily MJO index, and AIRS CO satellite data to characterize global ozonesonde records for 30 sites from 1980-present. Our results reveal the major geophysical contributors to O₃ profile variability at sites ranging from 69°S to 82°N, including ten sites within subtropical and tropical latitudes. Four clusters of O₃ profiles were generated independently for each site with SOM and arranged according to O₃ amount.
Descriptions of SOM cluster O\textsubscript{3} based on latitude (Polar, Mid-Latitude, Subtropical, and Tropical) can be summarized as follows: 1) Polar and Mid-Latitude O\textsubscript{3} profile clusters depend mostly on large-scale atmospheric dynamics diagnosed by geopotential (and tropopause) height. The SOM algorithm was run on O\textsubscript{3} data from the surface to the average tropopause height for each site. Thus, UT/LS O\textsubscript{3} variability drives the clustering at Polar and Mid-Latitude sites. 2) SOM clustering at Subtropical and Tropical locations within 30° of the equator showed strong dependence on mid-tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} variation, with less volatility in UT/LS O\textsubscript{3}. Horizontal temperature and tropopause altitude gradients are small at these latitudes, so geopotential height was a poor indicator of SOM cluster. Velocity potential calculated from MERRA-2 wind fields at the low-latitude stations and an explicit MJO index (RMM) showed strong links between VP/convection and SOM clusters, especially at West Pacific sites (Hanoi, Kuala Lumpur, Watukosek). Satellite-based carbon monoxide data from AIRS also showed correspondence among SOM O\textsubscript{3} clusters and column CO at the Subtropical and Tropical sites, where biomass burning has significant effects on O\textsubscript{3} profiles. The AIRS CO and VP/MJO analyses indicate how convection and biomass burning vary together and drive tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} variability in the tropics, with suppressed convection and high CO leading to high amounts of tropospheric O\textsubscript{3}, and vice versa.
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Table 1. Ozone sonde site locations and associated metadata. Sondes listed are Electrochemical Concentration Cell (ECC), Brewer-Mast (BM), Carbon-Iodide (CI), and a Brewer-Mast type sonde made in the German Democratic Republic (GDR). Note that only data after 1980 are considered in this paper and in the data samples column (N).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>WOUDC #</th>
<th>Lat (°)</th>
<th>Lon (°)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Record (Month/Year)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sonde Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alert</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>82.49</td>
<td>-62.34</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12/87 - 12/14</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ny-Ålesund</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>78.93</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11/90 - 7/13</td>
<td>2235</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolute</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>74.70</td>
<td>-94.96</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1/66 - 12/14</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>58.74</td>
<td>-94.07</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10/73 - 12/13</td>
<td>1358</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>53.54</td>
<td>-114.10</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>10/70 - 12/14</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Bay</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>53.31</td>
<td>-60.36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9/80 - 12/14</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>52.41</td>
<td>20.96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2/79 - 5/93; 6/93 - 5/16</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>GDR; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindenberg</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>52.21</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1/75 - 6/92; 7/92 - 8/14</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td>GDR; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bilt</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>52.10</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11/92 - 10/16</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uccle</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50.80</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11/66 - 3/97; 3/97 - 11/16</td>
<td>4710</td>
<td>BM; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47.80</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>11/66 - 7/16</td>
<td>4573</td>
<td>BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payerne</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>46.49</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>9/68 - 8/02; 9/02 - 3/16</td>
<td>5061</td>
<td>BM; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapporo</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>43.06</td>
<td>141.33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1/69 - 11/09; 12/09 - 7/16</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>CI; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>-105.25</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>3/79 - 11/16</td>
<td>1572</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallops Island</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>-75.48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5/70 - 7/16</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tateno</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36.06</td>
<td>140.13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12/68 - 11/09; 12/09 - 7/16</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>CI; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kagoshima</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.60</td>
<td>130.60</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>2/69 - 3/05</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>CI; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naha</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>26.21</td>
<td>127.69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/89 - 10/08; 11/08 - 7/16</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>CI; ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanoi</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>105.80</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9/04 - 9/15</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>19.43</td>
<td>-155.04</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9/82 - 11/16</td>
<td>1498</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>101.27</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1/98 - 6/16</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>-5.42</td>
<td>-35.38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1/98 - 7/16</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watukosek</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>-7.50</td>
<td>112.60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6/01 - 10/13</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>-14.23</td>
<td>-170.56</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1/98 - 8/16</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>-21.06</td>
<td>55.48</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1/98 - 4/16</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>-25.90</td>
<td>28.22</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>7/90 - 10/93; 11/98 - 4/16</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauder</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>-45.00</td>
<td>169.68</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>8/86 - 4/15</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marambio</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>-64.23</td>
<td>-56.62</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>11/88 - 9/16</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syowa</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-69.01</td>
<td>39.58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4/66 - 3/10; 4/10 - 7/16</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>CI; ECC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Supporting institutions for the ozonesonde sites used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>Local Supporting Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alert</td>
<td>Environment and Climate Change Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ny-Ålesund</td>
<td>Alfred-Wegener Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolute</td>
<td>Environment and Climate Change Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>Environment and Climate Change Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>Environment and Climate Change Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Bay</td>
<td>Environment and Climate Change Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>Polish Institute of Meteorology and Water Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindenberg</td>
<td>Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bilt</td>
<td>Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uccle</td>
<td>Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium (KMI/IRM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeißenberg</td>
<td>Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payenne</td>
<td>MeteoSwiss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapporo</td>
<td>Japan Meteorological Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder</td>
<td>NOAA/ESRL Global Monitoring Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallops Island</td>
<td>NASA/GSFC WFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tateno</td>
<td>Japan Meteorological Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kagoshima</td>
<td>Japan Meteorological Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naha</td>
<td>Japan Meteorological Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanoi</td>
<td>Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>NOAA/ESRL Global Monitoring Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur</td>
<td>Malaysian Meteorological Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watukosek</td>
<td>Lembaga Penerbangan dan Antariksa Nasional (LAPAN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascension</td>
<td>NASA/GSFC and USAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>NOAA/ESRL Global Monitoring Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>Université de La Réunion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene</td>
<td>South African Weather Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauder</td>
<td>National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marambio</td>
<td>National Meteorological Service of Argentina/Finnish Meteorological Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syowa</td>
<td>Japan Meteorological Agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Ozonesonde profile cluster membership by site. The number and percentage of each site’s total profiles are provided, with the average tropospheric O₃ mixing ratio for each SOM cluster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>Cluster 1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>O₃ (ppbv)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>O₃ (ppbv)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>O₃ (ppbv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ny-Ålesund</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolute</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Bay</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindenberg</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>91.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bilt</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uccle</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>1472</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payerne</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapporo</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallops Island</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>101.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tateno</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>76.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kagoshima</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naha</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanoi</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watukosek</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascension</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauder</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marambio</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syowa</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Locations of ozonesonde sites colored by latitude region. European site locations are provided in the figure inset.
Figure 2. Examples of O\textsubscript{3} profile SOM clusters from each of the four latitude regions: Polar (A, Ny-Ålesund), Mid-Latitude (B, Wallops Island), Subtropical (C, Irene), and Tropical (D, Samoa). Individual profiles are shown in grey, with SOM cluster mean in black. For reference, the median and 20\textsuperscript{th} and 80\textsuperscript{th} percentile O\textsubscript{3} for the entire site data set is shown in cyan. Note the different vertical axes on each plot.
Figure 3. SOM cluster means (nodes) for all 30 ozonesonde sites arranged by cluster (numbers on plots). Sites are organized and colored by absolute value of latitude from Tropical (blues) to Polar (reds).
Figure 4. Histograms of launch months within each SOM cluster (numbers on plots) for profiles at all 30 ozonesonde sites. Plots are arranged in groups of Tropical (A), Subtropical (B), Mid-Latitude (C), and Polar (D) sites for clarity.
Figure 5. Contoured map of average MERRA-2 500 hPa geopotential heights (Z; black contours; dm) and anomalies (colors; m) from climatology (1981-2010) corresponding to each SOM cluster (numbers on lower left of plots). Examples from Polar (A, Marambio), Mid-Latitude (B, De Bilt), Subtropical (C, Reunion), and Tropical (D, Ascension) regions are shown. The site location is marked by the cyan dot in the center of the panels.
Figure 6. Box and whisker plots of MERRA-2 500 hPa geopotential height anomaly distributions for all 30 ozonesonde sites arranged by latitude and SOM cluster number. The black dot represents the distribution median, with box edges representing the 25th and 75th percentile interquartile range. Whiskers are drawn to 1.5 times the interquartile range from each box edge, with any points beyond that marked as outliers (cyan dots).
Figure 7. Contoured map of average MERRA-2 calculated 200 hPa velocity potential anomalies (colors) from climatology corresponding the 1\textsuperscript{st} (lowest O\textsubscript{3}) and 4\textsuperscript{th} (highest O\textsubscript{3}) SOM clusters (numbers on lower left of plots) at Hanoi, Kuala Lumpur, Natal, and Watukosek. The site location is marked by the cyan dot in the center of the panels.
Figure 8. Mean and 95% confidence intervals of the mean MERRA-2 calculated 200 hPa velocity potential anomalies for all 30 ozonesonde sites arranged by latitude and SOM cluster number. The dot represents the distribution mean, with the 95% confidence interval of the mean shown by the error bars. Site means that are significantly different from a zero VP200 anomaly are colored red.
Figure 9. Real-time Multivariate MJO Index (RMM) phase space diagrams associated with each of the four $O_3$ profile clusters (numbers next to colored dots) for Hanoi (A), Kuala Lumpur (B), Natal (C), and Watukosek (D). The colored dots are the mean for each cluster, with the error bars representing the 95% confidence interval of the mean. The regions of enhanced convective activity (e.g. Indian Ocean) associated with each MJO phase 1-8 are labeled on the panels. The black circle represents “strong” MJO events ($RMM_1^2 + RMM_2^2 \geq 1$).
Figure 10. Contoured map of average AIRS total CO columns and anomalies from monthly climatology (2002-2016) corresponding to each SOM cluster (numbers on plots) at Kuala Lumpur. The site location is marked by the cyan dot in the center of the panels.
Figure 11. Contoured map of average AIRS total CO columns and anomalies from monthly climatology (2002-2016) corresponding to each SOM cluster (numbers on plots) at Watukosek. The site location is marked by the cyan dot in the center of the panels.
Figure 12. Contoured map of average AIRS total CO columns and anomalies from monthly climatology (2002-2016) corresponding to each SOM cluster (numbers on plots) at Irene. The site location is marked by the cyan dot in the center of the panels.