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Orbit propagation is fundamental for space-based mission analysis, requiring software tools to predict the time-based positions and velocities of orbiting satellites. Many system analysts currently rely on commercial software, which is effective but expensive. NASA’s Space Communications and Navigation (SCaN) Strategic Center for Networking, Integration, and Communications (SCENIC) project intends to provide these analysis capabilities by using a combination of internal and open-source software, allowing for a greater flexibility while maintaining low costs. Several routines were created to take different forms of user input to translate input into the standard set of orbital elements to be utilized within the current SCENIC analysis capabilities. These inputs range from two-line elements (TLEs) and Cartesian vectors, to coordinate transformations and Walker Delta constellations. These routines will be integrated into the SCENIC user interface (UI) in order to provide greater customization and flexibility for orbit propagation and other dynamic-analysis capabilities.

1.0 Introduction

The prediction of orbits is crucial to performing space mission analysis. Satellite orbit determination and propagation methods involve utilizing the position and velocity information of a satellite orbit in order to determine orbital elements. However, analytical solutions to orbit determination problems are only possible for the simplest problems. As a result, a combination of analytical and numerical solutions are necessary for problems that are more complex. Furthermore, satellite data often does not directly observe inertial position and velocity. Instead, data are often received from radar, telemetry, optics, or the Global Positioning System (GPS) (Ref. 1), which need to be converted into the orbital elements. The complexity of analytical orbit determination as well as varying inputs provided from different data sources necessitates the use of software for space system analysts to effectively predict and utilize spacecraft orbits.

Space system analysts performing orbital analysis often rely on commercial software that can reliably predict spacecraft orbits. Although commercial software can be both reliable and effective for mission purposes, these tools are often expensive and difficult to work with due to the changing needs of the user. They may only perform calculations for specific inputs, forcing the user to comply with the needs of the software. To combat these drawbacks, the Space Communications and Navigation (SCaN) Strategic Center for Networking, Integration, and Communications (SCENIC) plans to utilize a combination of
MATLAB® (MathWorks®) and JavaScript routines with NASA-developed open-source toolboxes to effectively replicate the functionalities needed of orbit propagation without relying on proprietary commercial software. SCENIC will decrease project costs, while enabling the flexibility of possible analyses with a high degree of customization for future mission analysis capabilities.

SCENIC has provided a verified and validated orbit propagation tool that can reliably propagate various satellite orbits; however, there is a growing need for added functionalities to provide the user with more options for inputting data via a web-based, user-friendly interface. Further development into user-friendly tools that add greater degrees of customization was the primary focus of this project. In the following section, the methodology of this endeavor is described. Section 3.0 details the results and new functionalities that will be added to SCENIC. Section 4.0 provides a conclusion and highlights the potential for future work.

2.0 Methodology

Creation of these specific routines was heavily influenced by the need to create very user-friendly methods as well as to provide readable and maintainable code. The process of creating a routine began by implementing the algorithm in MATLAB® along with the use of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) Spacecraft Planet Instrument C-matrix Events (SPICE) Toolkit (Ref. 2), an open-source toolbox that contains many planetary constants, such as gravitational parameters and radii. The MATLAB® scripts were then implemented in a front-end routine by using JavaScript and the Angular web-development framework.

Each specific routine developed needed to be accurately documented and tested. Workflows were created to give the reader a better understanding of the logic behind the routine. Unit tests were also developed for each individual routine to provide extensive test cases. In addition, each function contained detailed headers and extensive commenting throughout the code to reduce sources of confusion for future developers reading the code. Function headers include a function description, input and output arguments with units, other files needed to run the function, and author and revision information. Meaningful function and variable names were used to further reduce sources of confusion for future readers.

Next, the JPL Horizons On-Line Ephemeris System (Ref. 3) provided the capability to validate the routines by comparing the results to real-world data. After extensive documentation, verification, and validation, these routines were provided to the SCENIC development team for their integration into the SCENIC web-based user interface (UI) to improve the user’s experience with the orbit propagation tool. Following this process is in line with NASA–STD–7009A, Standard for Models and Simulations (Ref. 4).

3.0 Results

The routines created as part of this project are described in this section. Several of the routines implemented as part of this project are used to convert specific input into the orbital elements used by the SCENIC UI, which are the semimajor axis (SMA), eccentricity, inclination, argument of periapsis, mean anomaly, and right ascension of the ascending node (RAAN).

3.1 Two-Line Element (TLE) Sets

A routine to convert TLE sets into the six orbital elements used by SCENIC was implemented. A TLE set is a data format that is used to describe the orbit of a geocentric satellite. Figure 1 shows an example of a TLE set for a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) weather satellite.
TLEs explicitly contain all six orbital elements used by SCENIC with the exception of the SMA. However, SMA $a$ can be calculated in units of kilometers from the Earth’s gravitational constant, $\mu$, and the mean motion, $n$, of the satellite by using Equation (1).

$$a = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\mu}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{(24 \times 3,600)}\right)^2}} \cdot \frac{1,000}{\mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

Both the MATLAB® and front-end JavaScript versions allow the user to input as many TLE sets as they need. The user can then choose from a list to view the desired satellite’s orbital elements. Figure 2 shows an example of the output for the MATLAB® version using a TLE set from the CelesTrak (Ref. 6) online database. Figure 3 shows a screenshot of the corresponding UI built with JavaScript and Angular.

The TLE routine has many features to make it as user-friendly as possible. The routine provides error messages to the user if the satellite numbers do not match between lines or if a checksum is not correct for a line. In addition, the routine allows the user to input TLE sets that have many formatting mistakes, such as blank lines, leading and trailing spaces, or lines that are backward.

### 3.2 Cartesian State Vectors

JPL Horizons provides the Cartesian state vectors for a desired satellite orbit at a specific date and time. JPL Horizons provides $x$, $y$, and $z$ position and velocity for any target body, which can be extracted and used to determine the six orbital elements for SCENIC. Figure 4 shows JPL state vector data for the International Space Station. The output shows the state vectors as well as the date and time of the observation.
Figure 2.—MATLAB® two-line element output example, where AP is argument of periapsis, MA is mean anomaly, RAAN is right ascension of ascending node, and SMA is semimajor axis.

Figure 3.—Two-line element (TLE) front-end interface, where RAAN is right ascension of ascending node, and SMA is semimajor axis.
A MATLAB® routine was created to convert the Cartesian vectors into orbital elements. In order to convert the data, the angular momentum vector, \( \mathbf{h} \), was first calculated from the position and velocity vectors \( \mathbf{r} \) and \( \mathbf{v} \), respectively. The angular momentum vector was then used to determine the eccentricity vector, \( \mathbf{e} \), and the orbital inclination, \( i \), which were determined by using Equations (2), (3), and (4)

\[
\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}
\]

(2)

\[
\mathbf{e} = \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\mu \| \mathbf{r} \|}
\]

(3)

\[
i = \cos^{-1} \frac{h_z}{\| \mathbf{h} \|}
\]

(4)

where \( h_z \) is the angular momentum in the z-direction.

Next, the vector pointing toward the ascending node, \( \eta \), was determined and then used to calculate the RAAN, \( \Omega \), and the argument of periapsis, \( w \). Equations (5), (6), and (7) were implemented in the routine to complete these calculations, where \( e_z \) is the eccentricity in the z direction, \( \eta_x \) is pointing toward the ascending node in the x-direction, and \( \eta_y \) is pointing toward the ascending node in the y-direction.

\[
\eta = (0, 0, 1)^T \times \mathbf{v}
\]

(5)

\[
\Omega = \begin{cases} 
\cos^{-1} \frac{\eta_x}{\| \eta \|} & \text{for } \eta_y \geq 0 \\
2\pi - \cos^{-1} \frac{\eta_x}{\| \eta \|} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(6)
The true anomaly, $\theta$, was then calculated in order to determine the eccentric anomaly, $E$, and finally the mean anomaly, $M$, of the orbit. Equations (8), (9), and (10) were utilized to implement these calculations.

$$
\begin{cases}
\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{||\mathbf{e}|| ||\mathbf{r}||}\right) & \text{for } \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0 \\
2\pi - \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{||\mathbf{e}|| ||\mathbf{r}||}\right) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\theta =
\begin{cases}
\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{||\mathbf{e}|| ||\mathbf{r}||}\right) & \text{for } \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0 \\
2\pi - \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{||\mathbf{e}|| ||\mathbf{r}||}\right) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

$$
E = 2 \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\tan \theta}{\sqrt{1 + ||\mathbf{e}||}}\right)
$$

$$
M = E - ||\mathbf{e}|| \sin E
$$

Lastly, the SMA, $a$, was determined by using Equation (11). With the six orbital elements (SMA, eccentricity, inclination, RAAN, argument of periapsis, and mean anomaly), the results were outputted for the user.

$$
a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{||\mathbf{v}||^2}{||\mathbf{r}||} - \frac{||\mathbf{v}||^2}{\mu}\right)
$$

After the MATLAB® routine to determine orbital elements from the Cartesian state vectors was created, a unit verification test with multiple test cases was created in order to compare outputs to the corresponding orbital elements from the JPL Horizons database. Additionally, a front-end routine built with JavaScript and Angular was created that performs the same task, but also accounts for the epoch date and time as well as the input units for each data point from the JPL Horizons database. A sample output of the front-end interface is shown in Figure 5, taking the input from Figure 4 and outputting the orbital elements.
3.3 Equatorial to Ecliptic Coordinate System

Spaceflight analysis heavily depends upon the coordinate system used to understand the position and motion of objects relative to the spacecraft. Selecting the right coordinate system can improve analysis capabilities as well as reduce the prospect for potential errors. A routine was created in order to obtain the ecliptic latitude and longitude of an object at a certain right ascension and declination. To do so, right ascension and declination data were obtained from JPL Horizons in the equatorial coordinate system, which were then converted into the ecliptic coordinate system in order to output the ecliptic longitude and latitude.

The equatorial coordinate system has an origin at the center of Earth with a primary direction toward the vernal equinox and a right-handed convention. Coordinates in the equatorial coordinate system are defined with a right ascension and declination. Figure 6 provides a visual for the equatorial coordinate system.
The ecliptic coordinate system is centered either at the Sun or Earth and has a primary direction toward the vernal equinox. Unlike the equatorial coordinate system, the ecliptic system depends on longitude and latitude. A diagram of the ecliptic coordinate system is shown in Figure 7.

The routine to convert between equatorial and ecliptic coordinate systems started with taking right ascension $\alpha$ and declination $\delta$ input from JPL Horizons, and then calculating the ecliptic longitude, $\lambda$, and latitude, $\beta$, by using Equations (12) and (13). The axial tilt, $\phi$, of the central bodies was hard coded in by using planetary fact sheets provided by Goddard Space Flight Center (Ref. 9).
\[
\lambda = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \delta + \tan \delta \sin \phi}{\cos \alpha} \right)
\] (12)

\[
\beta = \sin^{-1} (\cos \phi \sin \delta - \sin \alpha \cos \delta \sin \phi)
\] (13)

A MATLAB® routine for the coordinate transformation as well as a unit verification test were created and then implemented into an Angular framework by using JavaScript. The user inputs right ascension and declination as well as a central body in order to obtain latitude and longitude in the output. Figure 8 shows the outputs on the Angular interface for a sample test case.

3.4 Periapsis and Apoapsis Distances to Semimajor Axis (SMA) and Eccentricity

A routine to convert periapsis \( r_p \) and apoapsis \( r_a \) distances to SMA and eccentricity was also created. In an elliptical orbit, the periapsis is the closest point in the orbit and the apoapsis is the farthest point in the orbit. By using the periapsis and apoapsis altitude distances for a particular orbit, coupled with the radius of the central body, the SMA and eccentricity can be calculated by using Equations (14) and (15).

\[
a = \frac{r_p + r_a}{2}
\] (14)

\[
e = \frac{r_a - r_p}{r_a + r_p}
\] (15)

A second MATLAB® routine was created, converting radius distances to SMA and eccentricity. The two routines are nearly identical, and both were combined into one front-end interface by using JavaScript. The user decides whether to input radius or altitude data and then selects a central body in order to receive an output on SMA and eccentricity. A sample output is shown in Figure 9.
Figure 9.—Front-end output for altitude to semimajor axis and eccentricity routine.

3.5 Walker Delta Pattern Constellations

Satellite constellations are groups of satellites that work together to provide optimal coverage, dependent upon specific mission requirements. Satellites in the same constellation typically have similar eccentricity, inclination, and SMA. Walker Delta Pattern constellations are a common type of constellation with the notation \( i: t/p/f \), where \( i \) is the inclination, \( t \) is the total number of satellites in the constellation, \( p \) is the number of orbital planes, and \( f \) is the phasing parameter (Ref. 1). A routine to output the orbital elements for each satellite in a Walker Delta constellation was created in both MATLAB® and JavaScript with the use of the Angular framework. The user inputs inclination, number of satellites, number of planes, the phasing parameter, and the SMA as well as values for the starting RAAN and starting mean anomaly. All satellites in a given Walker Delta constellation will have the same inclination and SMA, an eccentricity of 0 and an argument of periapsis of 0. Each satellite in a given plane will have the same RAAN, and the spacing between ascending nodes, \( \Omega_{\Delta} \), of adjacent planes is calculated by using Equation (16).

\[
\Omega_{\Delta} = \frac{360}{p}
\]  

Equation (16)

Satellites are equally spaced in each plane, and the mean anomaly spacing, \( M_{\Delta} \), between adjacent satellites is calculated by using Equation (17).

\[
M_{\Delta} = \frac{360}{t/p}
\]  

Equation (17)

To calculate the phase difference between adjacent planes, Equation (18) is used.

\[
f_{\Delta} = f \times \frac{360}{t}
\]  

Equation (18)

A sample of the front-end interface built with JavaScript and Angular is shown in Figure 10. The user enters the relevant input information and then chooses the desired satellite’s orbital elements to display by using the drop-down list.
In order to verify that the satellites in the output are evenly spaced, RAAN versus mean anomaly were plotted by using MATLAB®. Figure 11 shows a plot of RAAN versus mean anomaly for a 0: 48/8/1 Walker Delta constellation. Figure 11 shows that the satellites are evenly spaced out in the given constellation.
4.0 Conclusion

Orbit propagation is an essential tool for performing time-dynamic capabilities such as line of sight, dynamic link analysis, dilution of precision navigation analysis, and orbital availability and latency calculations. The routines created as part of this project contribute toward the Space Communications and Navigation (SCaN) Strategic Center for Networking, Integration, and Communications (SCENIC) goals of providing a web-friendly user interface (UI), rapid flexibility, and a high level of customization. The front-end JavaScript routines support web-based flexibility and have increased the orbit propagation tool’s level of customization by giving the user more options for entering orbital data.

There is work that still needs to be done regarding the SCENIC orbit propagation tool. Specifically, routines to model orbits involving Lagrange points and halo orbits could be implemented to further increase the level of customization. In addition, the routines and test data need to be provided to the SCENIC development team for the integration of these features into the SCENIC UI.
Appendix—Nomenclature

AP argument of periapsis
GPS Global Positioning System
MA mean anomaly
NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
RAAN right ascension of ascending node
SCaN Space Communications and Navigation
SCENIC Strategic Center for Networking, Integration, and Communications
SMA semimajor axis
SPICE Spacecraft Planet Instrument C-matrix Events
TLE two-line element
UI user interface

Symbols

\(a\) semimajor axis
\(e\) eccentricity vector
\(e_z\) eccentricity in the z-direction
\(E\) eccentric anomaly
\(f\) phasing parameter
\(h\) angular momentum vector
\(h_z\) angular momentum in the z-direction
\(i\) orbital inclination
\(M\) mean anomaly
\(n\) mean motion
\(p\) number of orbital planes
\(r\) position vector
\(r_a\) apoapsis distance
\(r_p\) periapsis distance
\(T\) superscript in Equation (5)
\(t\) total satellites in constellation
\(v\) velocity vector
\(w\) argument of periapsis
\(\beta\) ecliptic latitude
\(\delta\) declination
\(\lambda\) ecliptic longitude
\(\eta\) vector pointing toward ascending node
\(\eta_x\) pointing toward ascending node in y-direction
\(\eta_y\) pointing toward ascending node in x-direction
\(\mu\) gravitational constant
\(\phi\) axial tilt
\(\theta\) true anomaly
\(\Omega\) right ascension of ascending node
\(\alpha\) ascension
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