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Introduction

- NASA is developing advanced space-rated vacuum seals for future missions to LEO and deep space.
- Seals must exhibit extremely low leak rates to ensure that astronauts have sufficient breathable air for extended missions.
- In some applications, seals are not mated during portions of the mission and are left uncovered and exposed to conditions in space (vacuum, atomic oxygen, ultraviolet radiation) before mating.
- Exposure can cause degradation of seal material resulting in higher leak rates.
Objectives & Approach

• Objectives of this study:
  – Determine if addition of titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) to baseline silicone material provides protection to seals from damage caused by ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure
  – Evaluate how much UV radiation exposure seals could tolerate and still satisfy leak rate requirements

• Approach:
  – Fabricate seals out of baseline silicone material with and without TiO$_2$ additive
  – Expose seals to atomic oxygen (AO) and increasing levels of UV radiation
  – Perform leak tests on seals before and after exposures
Seal Test Specimens

• Test specimens were subscale versions of multi-piece seal design:
  – Elastomer element: Two seal bulbs connected by web
  – Metallic retainer:
    • Installed between seal bulbs with periodic bosses that pass through openings in web
    • Anchors elastomer element to structure
  – Installed in groove
• Materials:
  – Baseline S0383-70 silicone
  – Baseline S0383-70 silicone + TiO₂
AO Exposures

- All seals were exposed to nominal AO fluence of $8.8 \times 10^{19}$ atoms/cm$^2$ (Kapton H) in Large Area Atomic Oxygen Exposure Facility at NASA Glenn Research Center
  - Corresponded to about two days of exposure in LEO for ram-facing (i.e., forward-facing) surfaces
  - Duration was chosen based on assumption that a vehicle would spend a short amount of time in LEO before travelling to a destination beyond LEO where AO is no longer present
UV Radiation Exposures

- UV radiation exposures were performed in X-25 Solar Simulator Facility at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center
- Seals were simultaneously exposed to both near UV (NUV) and vacuum UV (VUV) radiation
  - NUV: Wavelength range of 250 to 400 nm
  - VUV: Wavelengths up to ~200 nm
- Exposures were performed under vacuum
Leak Tests

• Seals were installed in groove in bottom plate of leak test fixture and compressed against flat surface on top plate of test fixture
• Test conditions:
  – Fully and partially compressed seals
  – Most tests at 23°C (73°F); some at -7°C (19°F) and 56°C (133°F)
• Tests were performed using pressure decay methodology; leak rates were quantified using mass point leak rate technique with comprehensive error analysis
• Reported leak rates were for inner seal bulb of each test specimen
• Leak rates for exposed seals decreased as test progressed with most of decrease in first 24 hr of test. Steady state leak rates were:
  – 35-60% of initial values for UV radiation exposures up to 1000 ESH
  – 60-70% of initial values for seals exposed to 1772 or 2500 ESH of UV radiation
• Behavior may be beneficial for long-term sealing applications as seals seem to “recover” over time from AO and UV radiation exposure
• Leak rates for unexposed seals remained constant throughout a test
Test Results: Effects of Test Duration, Baseline Seals

• Leak rates for baseline seals also decreased as tests progressed, although magnitude of decrease was less than what was seen for seals with TiO$_2$ additive.

Note: Leak rates are at 23°C for fully compressed seals.
Test Results: Effects of Exposure to AO & UV Radiation

- Leak rates generally increased as amount of UV radiation exposure increased.
- Addition of TiO₂ to baseline seal material provided protection from damage caused by UV radiation exposure.
  - For UV radiation exposure levels up to 1000 ESH, leak rates were ~4-5X higher for seals made of baseline compound than for seals with TiO₂.
  - Seal with TiO₂ additive had similar leak rate after 2500 ESH UV radiation exposure as baseline seal exposed to only 1250 ESH of UV radiation.

Note: Leak rates are steady state values at 23°C for fully compressed seals.
Test Results: Effects of Test Temperature

- Leak rates increased as temperature increased for unexposed seals.
- However, leak rates generally decreased as temperature increased for exposed seals. Unclear why exposed seals behaved differently than unexposed seals.
- After exposure to 1000 ESH of UV radiation, leak rates at warm and cold temperatures for seals made of baseline material were 3-5X higher than those for seals made of TiO$_2$ material.
Test Results: Effects of Test Temperature (cont.)

- At each test temperature, leak rates for baseline seal exposed to 1250 ESH of UV radiation and TiO$_2$ seal exposed to 2500 ESH were comparable (within 3-10%) → Further evidence that addition of TiO$_2$ provided protection from damage caused by UV radiation exposure.
Test Results: Effects of Partial Compression

- Leak rates generally decreased as temperature increased.
- In most cases, final leak rate at 23°C was greater than what was measured initially at that temperature.
- Under “worst-case” conditions (partial compression, temperature extremes), leak rates for seals made of TiO₂ material were below leakage threshold in all cases.

Note: Leak rates are steady state values for partially compressed seals (0.066 cm gap). Test order noted by numbered boxes.
Test Results: Effects of Partial Compression (cont.)

- At each test temperature, leak rates for baseline seal exposed to 1250 ESH of UV radiation and TiO\(_2\) seal exposed to 2500 ESH were comparable and above the leakage threshold.

Note: Leak rates are steady state values for partially compressed seals (0.066 cm gap). Test order noted by numbered boxes.
Summary & Conclusions

- Tests were performed to evaluate addition of TiO₂ to baseline silicone material as potential approach for improving seal resistance to damage from UV radiation
- The following findings were observed for seals exposed to AO and UV radiation:
  - Leak rates decreased as leak tests progressed. Behavior may be beneficial for long-term sealing applications as seals seem to “recover” over time from exposure.
  - Leak rates generally decreased as test temperature increased for both fully and partially compressed seals (opposite of what occurs for unexposed seals)
  - Seals made of baseline silicone material with TiO₂ consistently exhibited lower leak rates than seals made of baseline material → New material was more resistant to damage from UV radiation exposure
  - Seals made of TiO₂ material withstood 1000 ESH of UV radiation exposure and still satisfied leak rate requirements even under worst-case conditions of partial compression at temperature extremes
- Based on results of these tests, seals made of baseline silicone material with TiO₂ additive show promise of being able to withstand increased exposure to AO and UV radiation for future seal applications beyond LEO
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