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The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Space Technology Mission Directorate (STMD) has been developing a new Strategic Framework to guide investment prioritization and communication of STMD strategic goals to stakeholders. STMD’s analysis of global trends identified four overarching drivers which are anticipated to shape the needs of civilian space research for years to come. These Mega-Drivers form the foundation of the Strategic Framework. The Increasing Access Mega-Driver reflects the increase in the availability of launch options, more capable propulsion systems, access to planetary surfaces, and the introduction of new platforms to enable exploration, science, and commercial activities. Accelerating Pace of Discovery reflects the exploration of more remote and challenging destinations, drives increased demand for improved abilities to communicate and process large datasets. The Democratization of Space reflects the broadening participation in the space industry, from governments to private investors to citizens. Growing Utilization of Space reflects space market diversification and growth. This paper will further describe the observable trends that inform each of these Mega Drivers, as well as the interrelationships between them within STMD’s new Strategic Framework.

I. Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>Asteroid Redirect Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMD</td>
<td>Aeronautics Mission Directorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMOS</td>
<td>Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTS</td>
<td>Commercial Orbital Transportation Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTS</td>
<td>Commercial Off-The-Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSLI</td>
<td>CubeSat Launch Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DARPA</td>
<td>Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLR</td>
<td>German Aerospace Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELaNa</td>
<td>Educational Launch of Nanosatellites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP</td>
<td>Electric Propulsion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
<td>European Space Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA</td>
<td>Federal Aviation Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>Federal Communications Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>Geostationary Orbit, or Geosynchronous Equatorial Orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Global Positioning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSO</td>
<td>Geosynchronous Orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEOMD</td>
<td>Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HST</td>
<td>Hubble Space Telescope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS</td>
<td>High Throughput Satellites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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II. Introduction

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Space Technology Mission Directorate (STMD) is developing a Strategic Framework to ensure technology development investments are aligned with trends in the space industry and provide a vision for the future of space technology [1]. The goal is to reframe STMD strategy to focus investment prioritization and communication on impacts, outcomes, and challenges first; and on technologies and systems second. The Framework will enable STMD to respond to new challenges in a dynamic global environment. At the highest level of the Framework, STMD identified overarching trends that have shaped, are shaping, and will shape the space industry over many years. These overarching trends informed the definition of four Mega-Divers:

1) Increasing Access
2) Accelerating Pace of Discovery
3) Democratization of Space
4) Growing Utilization of Space

The Mega-Divers represent the beginning of a top-down approach to analyzing the Mission Directorate’s strategic goals, and they are a step towards focusing on the most high-impact investments for Agency strategic goals in science and exploration.

III. The Process

The structure of the STMD Strategic Framework approach was modeled after one successfully pioneered by NASA’s Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate (ARMD) over the past five years, incorporating lessons learned and changes where appropriate [2]. At its highest level, this Strategic Framework, shown in Fig. 1, includes Mega-Divers informed by overarching trends in the space industry and a dynamic global environment. The identification of these trends involved detailed industry research, literature review, and analysis of forecasted impacts based on current space technology developments. In addition, conversations with STMD customers (e.g., the Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate (HEOMD), Science Mission Directorate (SMD), U.S. space industry, and other government agencies) as well as industry review were critical to trend identification. Subject matter experts, STMD Principal Technologists, and members of the Strategic Planning and Integration (SPI) team within STMD identified four Mega-Divers through the consolidation of these identified trends and current technology developments.
Following the Mega-Drivers research, a handful of Strategic Thrusts were crafted to address key avenues of investment for STMD. Each of the Strategic Thrusts have a set of Outcomes, which are measurable achievements STMD would like to see accomplished over the next few decades. As community-level goals, the Outcomes involve and respond to STMD’s customers and other entities in the space industry. The Strategic Framework is described in more detail in Ref. [1].

**Fig. 1: STMD’s Planned Strategic Framework.**

**IV. Mega- Driver 1: Increasing Access**

Over the past two decades, space has become increasingly accessible, and it has become easier for both traditional and new players to launch assets into space, move around in space, and land and operate on other planetary surfaces. The first Mega-Driver, *Increasing Access*, reflects the combination of an increase in the availability of a broad range of launch options, more capable and efficient propulsion systems, access to planetary surfaces, and new platforms to enable exploration, science, and commercial activities at reduced cost and risk of those platforms over time.

**A. Launch Price Reductions over Time**

The price of access to space drives prices across the space industry. Several factors have influenced the cost to launch: increased competition in the international and private sectors, higher launch rates, technology development, and regulation changes. Launch providers have benefitted from reusable rockets, additive manufacturing techniques, improved propellants, modular systems, and other advancements. Diversifying launch architectures, the expansion of the rideshare market, and smaller/lighter satellites are also driving down launch prices [3].

Additionally, new launch vehicles introduced in the private sector create increased competition that reduces launch prices. Within the United States, a few companies have dominated within the past 30 years: Boeing with its Delta II and IV and Lockheed Martin with the Athena and Atlas V, both companies now working together in the United Launch Alliance; Orbital with the Pegasus, Taurus, Minotaur-C, and Antares; and SpaceX with their Falcon 1, 9, and Heavy variants. International competition has also increased; since 1980, Israel, India, Japan, Iran, Italy, South Korea, and New Zealand have demonstrated launch capabilities to join Russia, the United States, Ukraine, China, and the European Space Agency (ESA). The increased competition has driven lower-priced launch vehicles, with SpaceX publically claiming the goal of lowering launch prices by an order of magnitude [4]. The company has benefited from many new development and manufacturing techniques, such as 3D printing, simplified production of engines, increased usage of composite materials, and a focus on reusability.
As displayed in Fig. 2, while the global minimum launch price per unit mass has not changed over the last decade the average price per unit mass showed a decreasing trend. Further, although the global minimum has not changed, the launch vehicle capacity for the equivalent price per unit mass has increased significantly. This behavior can be attributed entirely to the introduction of the Falcon 9 vehicle, as prior to Falcon 9 there were no commercial launches on a launch vehicle with capability greater than 10,000 kg. When considering solely domestic launch vehicles there is a clear decreasing trend in the last decade. Lastly, there was a related trend that in the last few years there simply more launches and payloads, signifying a fundamental change in the LEO market. Correspondingly, Fig. 3 presents the launch price per unit mass for commercial Geostationary Earth Orbits (GEO), which shows there was an increase from 2005–2009 but that there has been a steady downward trend after 2009. This trend holds for both domestic and international launch vehicles. As with LEO launches, Falcon 9 is driving down the price per unit mass of commercial GEO launches, particularly in the domestic market.

**Fig. 2** Commercial launch prices per kilogram to LEO, (a) total range and (b) zoomed-in, with the annual minimum and the annual average by US and non-US launches and the global annual average, all sized by launch mass capacity [5]-[53].

**Fig. 3** Commercial launches to GEO with the annual minimum and the annual average by US and non-US launches and the global annual average, all sized by launch mass capacity [5]-[53].

**B. Increase in Launch Availability and Options**

A key aspect of Increasing Access is the increasing availability of launch vehicles, including the growth and diversification of vehicle options. On the government and military side, there has been fluctuation over the years of the number of launches per year; however, the 2010s have seen some stabilization to a near constant rate [54]. The number of commercial launches per year, on the other hand, has grown in the 2000s, especially in the last few years.
Bloomberg reported that more commercial orbital launches were planned for 2017 and 2018 than in any year in the previous decade [54]–[55]. This growth in availability has a significant impact on the space industry as a whole.

Much of the growth comes from new launch services entering the market, which represent a variety of classes of vehicles, service options, and launch architectures. One increasingly prevalent launch option in today’s launch services sector is a secondary payload. Since the 1960s, secondary payloads have been manifested on a rocket with a primary payload (primarily for military purposes) to allay the launch costs for both the primary and secondary customers. In the early 2010s, this secondary payload market began to be dominated by CubeSats [55]. In 2013, after five straight years with 30 or more secondary payloads, a record-setting 115 secondary payloads were manifested, nearly three times the previous record of 36 set in 2009 [56]. This number has continued to grow. Nearly 350 SmallSats were launched in 2017, with the Russian Soyuz alone scheduled to fly 120 small spacecraft, including 72 on one launch [57]–[65]. In 2017, an Indian Polar Satellite Launch Vehicle (PSLV) launched a record-breaking 104 satellites on a single vehicle. Unsurprisingly, many launch providers have responded accordingly and entered the rideshare market. Arianespace’s Vega Rocket will begin a Small Spacecraft Mission Service in 2019 with a new SmallSat adapter that can accommodate up to 15 small spacecraft or CubeSat deployers [58]. These ride-along spacecraft aspire to operate similar to airline passengers, with the entrance of “payload aggregator” companies like Spaceflight Industries, whose tagline is “Buy a seat, not a rocket.” Spaceflight Industries boasts a network of providers including the SpaceX Falcon 9, Russian Soyuz, Arianespace Vega, Virgin Orbit LauncherOne, Rocket Lab Electron, Indian PSLV, and others [59]. In addition to rideshare options, new launch vehicles are under development in a variety of classes—small, medium, heavy, and super heavy. SpaceX’s Falcon Heavy launched successfully in February 2018 and is the first new super heavy rocket since the Delta IV Heavy’s first successful flight in 2007, and before that, the U.S. Space Shuttle debut in 1981. The Space Angels investment group has identified 13 maiden flights of small launch vehicles planned for 2018–2021, including Vector’s Vector-R, Virgin Orbit’s LauncherOne, Firefly’s Alpha, and the now-successful Electron (launched by Rocket Lab in January 2018) [60]. A total of 30 small launchers were listed as “under development” in 2016 from the U.S., Spain, United Kingdom, New Zealand, China, and others [60], and more start-ups sought investment since then. With access to space increasing, the demand from industry accelerating, and the market diversifying worldwide; (discussed in additional Mega-Drivers) a multitude of launch options will continue to be developed and will continue to have an immense impact on the space industry.

C. Emergence of SmallSat Markets

Miniaturization of satellite components has led to the development of small, affordable platforms for mini-, micro-, and nanosatellites. Though originally used in academia, these types of satellites have found an array of applications ranging from remote sensing to telecommunications [3][64]–[65]. As of 2017, NASA had 71 CubeSats missions either launched or in-development for science, technology, exploration, and STEM purposes [66]. Components that were originally made for large space programs like integrated circuits and solar cells have been adopted for terrestrial use and produced in large volume, thereby lowering their cost and making them affordable for use in small satellites [67]–[68]. With the increasing availability of additive manufacturing and other advanced manufacturing techniques, satellite firms can incorporate specialized components into their designs at a faster pace without being forced to scale production to recoup costs [67]–[69]. This shift has introduced new developmental and operational models characterized by rapid design iterations, lower design life and performance, higher risk acceptance, and use of constellations [70]–[71].

These developments have lowered the entry barrier for small commercial players and non-traditional nations. Growth in CubeSats and other small satellites has been a driver for new start-ups with business models centered around or related to small satellites, with examples including Accion, Cape Analytics, Enview, Rocket Lab, and Planet Labs [72]. In total, over 200 SmallSats have been launched since 2012 [65]. The emergence of the small satellite market has led to Increasing Accessibility of space and a diversification of space utilization apart from the traditional uses.

D. Increasing Availability of High Efficiency and Scalable In-Space Transportation Systems

Increasing Access also refers to the ability to access destinations within the solar system and beyond. There is a significant demand to expand human and robotic operations in deep space in the coming years, and a demand from certain portions of the science community to push deeper into our solar system. These mission objectives create a high demand for high efficiency and scalable in-space transportation systems. For human class missions, in-space propulsion technology is slowly advancing under the demand to move tens to hundreds of tons of mass through high energy maneuvers, e.g. for human exploration of Mars.
The maturation of electric propulsion (EP) systems is enabling missions into deep space as well as in-orbit transfer and maintenance maneuvers. These EP systems can reduce the required launch mass due to their high propulsive efficiency. As seen in Fig. 4, EP has been used in more government and commercial missions each year since its introduction [62]. Additionally, development of scalable propulsion systems has been an important driver for a range of robotic and human mission concepts. For example, EP can be used for a large robotic mission to an asteroid, in the case of NASA’s Asteroid Redirect Mission (ARM) concept, or scaled down to take the first interplanetary CubeSats to Mars, in the case of Mars Cube One (MarCO). The MarCO Micro Propulsion System is a self-contained cold gas thruster system that was developed by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) and launched with the InSight Mars rover in May 2018 [63].

E. Increasing Accessibility to Planetary Surface Destinations

Fig. 5 First contact and lander missions on planetary bodies [73]–[148].

As seen in Fig. 5, as technology has improved, more challenging destinations have become accessible to spacecraft, from the Martian surface to probes in the atmospheres of the outer planets [73]–[148]. Additionally, more complex missions have become possible, as demonstrated by an evolution from fly-by or orbiting spacecraft to landers, rovers, and ascent vehicles for sample return. Technological feats, such as landing on comets and asteroids and attempting sample returns, have increased the knowledge of bodies within the solar system. Several ongoing missions plan to return samples from asteroids, such as Japan’s Hayabusa 2 and NASA’s OSIRIS-Rex. In addition to new destinations, there are also new participants as additional countries continue to become involved with these endeavors, including relative newcomers to planetary surface operations like India and Japan. Newer participants in the space industry have also performed exploration of the Moon and Mars. In the near term, NASA plans to extend to more challenging destinations, including a planned mission to Europa to continue the search for life another Martian lander, InSight,
launched in May of 2018, and the planned Mars 2020 rover [149]. Thus, access is not only increasing in the form of new destinations but also in the expanding presence on established destinations by new and traditional players alike.

**F. Changes in Regulation of Access to Space over Time**

Growing commercialization of space and shifts in technology have been driving changes to federal and state regulation in the United States. The FAA oversees federal regulation regarding launch licensing, a process which has significantly improved since the early 1980s when multiple agencies were involved [150]–[155]. Furthermore, the DARPA Launch Challenge is seeking technological innovation regarding quick and repeatable access to space [156], which could result in more launches and put pressure on FAA launch regulations to become more flexible. Growth in small satellites has also impacted federal policy and regulation. The FCC, which regulates non-government use of radio communications in space, is seeking input on a new process to streamline licensing procedure for small satellites [157]. Developing commercial space enterprises require new regulations [158], which will pave the way for future companies and markets to develop.

**G. Shift in Procurement Models**

In February 1988, President Reagan issued a policy directive stating, “Federal agencies will procure existing and future required expendable launch services directly from the private sector to the fullest extent feasible” [155]. This marked a change in how NASA would do business, shifting from purchasing products to procuring services.

In 2005, the ISS Commercial Cargo Services (CCS) program was formed to meet this intent and evolved into the Commercial Orbital Transportation Services (COTS), with the goal of moving away from the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) typically used for procurement and instead using the Space Act Agreement (SAA) to form commercial partnerships and develop commercial launch systems [159]. NASA’s Phase 1 COTS program started in 2006 and selected Space Exploration Technologies (SpaceX) and Rocketplane Kistler to develop capabilities to transport cargo and crew to low-Earth Orbit [160]. In 2007, NASA ended work with Rocketplane Kistler, and in 2008, initiated an SAA with Orbital Sciences Corporation (now part of Northrop Grumman) to develop cargo transportation capabilities [160]. Commercial Resupply Services contracts were awarded to SpaceX and Orbital to resupply the ISS; since then, the number of commercial resupplies has risen from one in 2012 to a peak of seven in 2017, as shown in Fig. 6 [161]–[162]. Overall, a change in how NASA procures expendable launch services helped the development of new commercial launch vehicles, and it is expected that those vehicles will continue to increase the Accessibility of Space.

**V. Mega-Driver 2: Accelerating Pace of Discovery**

*Accelerating Pace of Discovery* reflects the desire of the space community to explore more remote and challenging destinations with humans and robotics, which also creates an increased demand for the capability to downlink and process large datasets. Increased data accessibility, in combination with sensing and computing advances, have increased the volume of data returned from space. At the same time, advances in data processing, the use of mathematical models, machine learning, and artificial intelligence (AI) are allowing for an increased pace of discovery from this data.

**A. Science Goals are Driving Exploration Deeper into Space**

One of the key drivers of change is the science community’s continuous push deeper into our solar system and beyond. The decadal surveys set science goals for the coming years, and the Planetary Science 2013–2022 Decadal Survey highlighted missions to planetary surfaces and moons that humanity has never explored before, including Europa as well as Uranus and its moons [163]. Furthermore, expansive exploration of Mars, including with a new rover, has been at the forefront of planetary exploration for many years. In fact, the cumulative entry mass on Mars is increasing exponentially, as seen in Fig. 7 [164]–[165].
The motivation to design and fly more aggressive missions is supported by the many highly successful missions over the past 30 years. The Hubble Space Telescope, Chandra X-ray Observatory, Solar TErrestrial RElations Observatory (STEREO), Lunar Atmosphere and Dust Environment Explorer (LADEE), Cassini-Huygens mission to Saturn, Mars Science Laboratory, and New Horizons mission to Pluto are a few examples. In the coming decades, astrophysics will focus on deeper observations to find more exoplanets and to achieve higher fidelity measurements. Two new astrophysics missions are the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), which will use cryo-cooled mid-infrared detectors, unfolding mirror segments, and a five-layer deployable sunshield to surpass Hubble’s capabilities; and the Wide Field InfraRed Survey Telescope (WFIRST), which will perform a microlensing survey of exoplanets, dark energy, and infrared astrophysics. Key planned missions include the Interstellar Mapping and Acceleration Probe (IMAP), which will examine the protective boundary of the heliosphere around our solar system and the generation of cosmic rays, and the Magnetosphere Energetics, Dynamics, and Ionospheric Coupling Investigation (MEDICI). These are the top solar-terrestrial probe science targets recommended by the National Research Council’s Committee on a Decadal Strategy for Solar and Space Physics [166]. Many planned astrophysics and heliophysics missions seek to take advantage of “quieter” regions beyond LEO that allow uninterrupted views of deep space and a constant power source (i.e. no eclipse cycles), which can only be enabled by developing technologies.

B. Expanding Presence of Humans in Space

Discovery is accelerating in human exploration and presence in space as well. In the United States, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Transition Authorization Act of 2017 outlined a Human Exploration Roadmap which directs human exploration to expand to cis-lunar space and then to the surface of Mars [167]. Human spaceflight activities have been a sustained part of the NASA budget, and this trend is expected to continue [168]. Humanity’s interest in reaching new destinations is supported by Congressional directives, investments by space entrepreneurs, copious research studies, and public media. Spaceflight has galvanized people to reframe themselves as part of a single, global society that exists on one fragile planet. This has been the impetus for multiple movements; from protecting and repairing Earth’s environment to expanding human settlements beyond Earth [169].

The number of crew days in space per year, as shown in Fig. 8(a), is dominated by the presence and occupation of a space station. The drop in the early 2000s corresponds to the retirement of Mir and only increases again with the completion of the ISS. The number of humans launched per year on the other hand is dominated by the Space Shuttle. The connection to the Space Shuttle is clearly seen in Fig. 8(b), showing its introduction in the mid-1980s, drops following the Challenger and Columbia disasters in 1986 and 2003 respectively, an increased flight rate in the mid to late-1990s, and finally the retirement in 2011.
C. Increase in Volume of Data

Heightened demands from the science and exploration communities led to the development of technologies increasing the ability to acquire and return more data. For example, optical imagery technique resolution has improved over time, (e.g., 266% resolution improvement from 1999 to 2014 for DigitalGlobe Earth observation satellites [3]). This trend is not limited to large satellites, which by comparison to small satellites are not as constrained by physical size (impacting aperture size and signal-to-noise ratios) or by power supply (impacting transmission capability). Instead, "small satellites using COTS optical payloads are improving at more than three times the rate of larger satellites using custom optics as a result of the faster rate of technological advancement in COTS components" [3]. Additionally, increasing amounts of data are being acquired due to the previously discussed increased human and robotic mission durations, including operational and health data from space.

This increasing data acquisition capability is also driving increased data return capability. New and developing communications platforms, including optical/laser communications, are facilitating greater data return capabilities. While radio frequency communications continues to be leveraged heavily, especially for command and control, High Throughput Satellites (HTS) have entered the market, with twenty-seven in orbit as of 2014 [3]. Additionally, the number of ground stations to receive and process data is increasing, as ground stations are spreading around the world to new international operators and established at universities.

D. Advances in Data Processing

Increases in data produced by human and robotic missions also requires more streamlined data management. Interest in developing advanced data processing and analytical tools is demonstrated by both ESA and NASA. For Earth Observation (EO) alone, NASA had an average daily archive growth of 1.7 terabytes per day in 2011 and ESA had archived a total 1.5 petabytes of data by 2013. Following this milestone, ESA estimated their archive would be over 2 petabytes within the next few years [170]; however, in July 2017, the German Aerospace Center (DLR) reported that their Earth Observation Center (EOC) had processed over 4.2 petabytes within only three years from ESA’s three Sentinel satellites [3]. For comparison, one of the EOC’s longest-duration projects had processed data over the course of ERS-1, ERS-2, and Envisat (1995–2012), totaling 800 terabytes. In three years, the accumulated processed data of these new satellites had exceeded the entire 26-year lifetime of the ERS/Envisat project by more than a factor of five [171].

Advances in Earth science applications will continue in the next decade due to the evolution of more sophisticated processing and analysis systems. These are enabled in part by increased computer power, which leads to forecast model systems of much higher fidelity in physical process representation, increased temporal and spatial resolution, larger ensembles, and longer lead times [172]. Increased computer power and improved software
engineering will enable data assimilation systems and forecasting models to move steadily towards exascale computing with commensurate higher fidelity, wider range of physical processes representation, increased temporal and spatial resolution, increased number of high resolution ensembles, and longer lead times [172]. Other sectors of the space industry and the global economy as a whole will benefit from and in turn contribute to more sophisticated data management systems.

Advances in mathematical models and system modeling will explore and predict behaviors to inform future scientific exploration of our solar system and the universe. Currently, companies such as Google, Facebook, Apple, and Microsoft in the United States, and Baidu in China that rely on being able to manage large quantities of data are investing heavily in advancing machine learning, especially for automated image recognition [3]. Even Moore’s Law—which states that the number of transistors on a computer chip doubles every year or two—is impacting the space industry while it continues to hold, as it is allowing for more computational capability in a given volume. This results in an increased capability to handle data on the ground as well as perform on-board processing. The future application and economic values of these trends in difficult to predict but has a high potential and could easily be seen as disruptive [3].

E. Increase in Number of Space Papers Published

As a result of the compounding effects of technology evolution for data acquisition and analysis, the world has seen an influx of research papers by new participants on space topics. Since the launch of the Hubble Space Telescope in 1990, the publication rates and number of unique authors of papers with the keywords “satellite” or “astronomy” have significantly grown, including a sharp spike in the total publications per year by a factor of 12 from 1991 to 1998 [3][173]. Emerging countries like Brazil, Iran, Malaysia, Turkey, South Africa, and Indonesia had few to no publications with the keywords “satellites” or “astronomy” until around 2000; some countries have increased the number of such publications by a factor of ten in less than a decade [3]. New authors from all over the world are taking advantage of technology advancements and availability of discoveries to change the face of the global space industry and continuing to drive the ever increasing demand for space-based data.

VI. Mega-Driver 3: Democratization of Space

The Democratization of Space reflects the broadening participation in the space industry, from national governments to private investors to individual citizens, which has been greatly influenced by the lowered barrier to entry resulting from the reduction in costs to access space. Several trends explain the Democratization, including new and sustained modes of investment as well as engaging programs for academia and citizens.

A. Growth in Private Investment in Space

Over the last 40 years, nationally and internationally, there has been an increasing shift towards the privatization of services and the use of public-private partnerships (e.g. prisons, education, air transportation). Over the past 20 years, the funding sources for the space industry have shifted from primarily government-driven to more of a balance of government spending and commercial services. In the global space economy, commercial revenues from privately-funded space enterprises, particularly in the communications and navigation sector, are now on par with government spending. In fact, the commercial satellite sector, which dominates the global space industry, has grown 42% from just 2010 to 2016, approximately $81.7 billion [175]; see Fig. 9. As seen in Fig. 10, individual sectors worldwide have seen an average 2.2% yearly growth, with commercial remote sensing and satellite radio particularly outpacing the rest in terms of yearly percentage growth despite being comparatively smaller markets [175]–[176]. As shown in Fig.
11, the growth of the satellite industry has also outpaced global and U.S. economic growth most of the past decade, though the percentage growth in recent years has come down significantly [175]–[176].

The emergence of heavy investment from private actors can be seen as part of the shift to procurement models that encourage competition and provide private enterprises more freedom while shifting risk burden. Public-private partnerships in the aerospace industry stem from a 2006 reemergence of the Space Act Agreement (SAA), where NASA formed partnerships in the private sector to develop specific technologies [177]. NASA continues to use SAAs to create private-public partnerships, such as with United Launch Alliance (ULA) in 2014 [174] and with Bigelow Aerospace in 2018 [178]. The key difference in the COTS awards was that the award funding was enough to incentivize milestones in which NASA was interested, without fully funding the projects. Private companies would therefore need to secure private sources of funding and assume some risk burden [179]. Space Exploration Technologies (SpaceX), for example, has been remarkably successful in doing just that, raising over $1.6 billion in venture capital while still partnering with government agencies to develop launch capabilities [180]. NASA has also used private-public partnerships in HEOMD’s NextSTEP Broad Area Announcement and STMD’s Tipping Point solicitations.

Fig. 10  Global space economy at a glance.*

The Space Angels, an angel and venture capital fund focused exclusively on the entrepreneurial space sector, reported that 2017 saw records set in the amount of investment, number of venture capital investors, and number of new privately funded companies [54]. The Start-Up Space report by Bryce Space & Technology has found that the number of space start-ups created each year have, on average, grown from four in the early 2000s to nearly 19 in the past six years. The biggest spike in the number of space ventures founded each year was a 270% increase from 2008 to 2014. However, this excludes firms that haven’t secured investment yet, which the Bryce team reports to be many—

* Data obtained from private communication with Bryce Space and Technology, LLC (April 2018).
implying the 2017 reported value may be quite conservative [72]. The Space Angels 2017 Q4 report corroborates this significant rise, counting 303 space companies receiving equity investment totaling over $12.8 billion between 2009 and 2017 [54].

Most importantly, the magnitude and types of investment available to space ventures today have risen rapidly in the last ten years. Including venture capital, seed financing, private equity, acquisitions, mergers, and debt financing, investment in start-up space between 2000 and 2017 was recorded to be $18.4 billion [72]. Then, in the first quarter of 2018 alone, another $1 billion of equity investment was added to that sum [60]. These investment numbers are the result of a significant increase in the number of investors, from an average of only eight in 2000–2005 to an average of 110 in 2012–2017. Nearly 60% of the investment has occurred since 2015, and 80% of investment since 2015 was seed financing and venture capital [72].

Investment in space ventures has increased interest coming from non-traditional actors in the industry as well, including established businesses such as Coca-Cola, SoftBank, Google, Monsanto, Apple, and Japan Airlines [181]–[186]. For example, SoftBank invested approximately $1 billion in 2016 into OneWeb, a satellite internet company dedicated to providing internet and global communications to all parts of the globe via a constellation in low-Earth orbit (LEO). Many of these investments are targeted. SoftBank is making investments that enable global communication [181]. Agricultural companies like Monsanto are investing in companies that use machine learning and other complex algorithms to analyze satellite imagery and provide useful data in return. Monsanto paid approximately $930 million to acquire the Climate Corporation, a data-based insurance company, in 2013 [184]. It then sold off the crop insurance portion of its company to AmTrust, retaining satellite data and analytics [185]. The huge increase in venture capitalist investment in space has been referred to as the Dawn of the Entrepreneurial Space Age, aptly reflecting numerous record years in investment statistics, the number of startups being founded, and the diverse commercial playing field of the space industry.

B. Sustained Government Expenditures in Space

Apart from the rise in available private capital, the federal budget for civilian space programs is a significant aspect of worldwide progress in space. In the United States, government budgets for space have been tracked by the Aeronautics and Space Report of the President [187], the Space Foundation [188], and Bryce Space and Technology...
Fig. 12 shows that NASA’s budget, the primary funder of government civilian space in the U.S., has been sustained since the early 1990s.

Fig. 13 shows international civilian space budgets over a shorter time scale, but nonetheless there is the same trend of sustained funding. Additionally, many new players are included in the “Other” category, including Brazil, China, India, South Korea, and individual European countries’ funds aside from European Space Agency (ESA) contributions.
C. Growth in Number of Space-Faring Nations

The number of space-faring nations has been on a steady increase since the 1940s. Each decade, the democratization of space is visible as new governments form official programs or develop a range of capabilities in space, as seen in Fig. 14. The Australian Space Agency, founded in May 2018, cited “major changes in the economics of market entry” as the trigger for the creation of the world’s most recent government space program [190]. Worldwide connectivity, security, and a global space economy expected to triple have driven more nations to invest in space.

These space-faring nations are becoming involved in a full range of activities, from nanosatellites to flying astronauts onboard ISS to landing on other worlds. As of August 2017, 88 operational Earth satellites had multinational operators/owners, with 25 different countries participating in collaborative missions, as seen in Fig. 15 [191].

Fig. 14  Countries with government space programs.

Fig. 15  Number of currently operational Earth satellites with multinational collaboration [191].

The number of countries with astronauts is increasing steadily. As of June 2018, 37 countries now have citizens that have flown in space, with 556 astronauts and cosmonauts that have flown above the Karman line at 100 km altitude. Some astronauts had dual citizenship, such as Soviet and Russian cosmonauts from countries including Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Kazakhstan, and Ukraine, and astronauts who are naturalized U.S. citizens from countries such as Iran, Costa Rica, India, Peru, Australia, Hungary. The demographics of space are changing every year, as players from all over the world become involved not just as astronauts but as satellite manufacturers, operators, and entrepreneurs [192]–[194].

D. Growth in Spending on Programs for Academia, Small Businesses, and Other New Participants

The federal budgets for space activities are helping to accelerate the democratization by creating programs for academia, small businesses, and other new participants to become involved. Examples of this within the U.S. are the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR), Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR), and Tipping Point programs managed by STMD for NASA. In the 1970s, with increasing globalization and a growing concern for U.S. commercial space to remain competitive, the SBIR and STTR programs were founded in 1982 and 1992, respectively. These programs fund innovative business proposals for space technology development that would also achieve Agency goals. The 2017 National Defense Authorization Act renewed both programs [195], and funding has been
steady over the past decade, representing 28–30% of the STMD budget [196]. NASA additionally runs the Flight Opportunities Office, whose mission is to stimulate the growth of the U.S. commercial spaceflight industry while providing “affordable access to relevant test environments”. Since its founding in 2010, over 100 payloads have participated and been delivered on a range of launch platforms [197]. Funding has been sustained around these government programs; funding has also been available through academic and public engagement opportunities with offices such as the Office of Education. As democratized space becomes ever more prevalent, it is expected that this support from governments will continue.

E. Growth in Academic and Public Engagement

Thanks to the emergence of CubeSats lowering the barrier to entry to new players—as discussed in Mega-Driver 1: Increasing Access—public participation in space has increased substantially. Academia and individual citizens are becoming engaged through an increased number of university programs or challenges to build and launch CubeSats, crowdsourced project fundraisers, and collaborative software that enables distributed communities to self-organize. Prizes and challenges such as the NASA’s Educational Launch of Nanosatellites (ELaNa), CubeSat Launch Initiative (CSLI), and Cube Quest Challenge have been instrumental in this regard. From 2011 to April 2017, NASA’s ELaNa/CSLI program launched 49 CubeSats and had manifested an additional 47 for the following year [66]. In the NASA Cube Quest Challenge, $5.5 million in prize money was made available for competitors who could design and operate small satellites in the lunar vicinity. In the first round of the competition in 2015, there were five industry, seven university, and one high school participants, and the winners are planned to launch on EM-1 [198]. Other foreign organizations, such as the India Space Research Organization (ISRO), are starting to engage in similar programs and are supporting involvement by academic institutions to create satellites and payloads while processing data from space [199].

Global sourcing and crowdsourcing are also enabling a large number of individuals to collaborate on space projects or CubeSat designs. A number of “citizen science” projects are run by NASA each year. By 2014, “more than 1.2 million people from 80 countries [had] participated in NASA’s citizen science projects,” including Be a Martian (1.23 million participants), International Space Apps Challenge (2,083 participants from 17 countries), and Stardust at Home (30,649 participants from 2006–2012) [200]. Small communities of passionate individuals have formed as a result of these opportunities and increased accessibility to knowledge; self-organized groups like the Mars Society, Mars Academy USA, and the Space Generation Advisory Council are able to collaborate to develop highly capable systems and concepts.

There are so many new players in the global space economy, from government programs to academic competitors to non-traditional entrepreneurs. These new players are creating new sectors of the market and new ways in which to invest. The cycle of broadening participation and market growth and diversification is one of the most important drivers of the global space economy.

VII. Mega-Driver 4: Growing Utilization of Space

Growing Utilization of Space reflects space market diversification and growth, including space-based solutions to address growing global challenges and solutions enabling space exploration and settlement. This Mega-Driver builds upon the supply and accessibility drivers as well as the cycle of broadening participation and interests. Increasing Access and the Democratization of Space Mega-Drivers are based on the emerging strategies from private companies to drive low-cost, effective solutions for increasing access to space, as well as unique strategies to enable popular ideas such as large-scale habitation or resource prospecting. These strategies are part of the growth in private investments in new space ventures and the increasing number of nations with space programs or assets. The pressure on technologies for science and exploration is part of the cycle of demand, too, as explained by Accelerating Pace of Discovery. By lowering the barrier to entry and increasing the pressure on governments to deliver more with flat or reduced budgets, the space industry is seeing growth and diversification.

A. Substantial Growth in Existing Space Sectors

An increasing reliance on space-based assets worldwide complements the substantial growth in existing space sectors. Global-based telecommunications, GPS positioning and timing, and space-based Earth imaging are providing essential services to an increasing number of users around the globe. The utilization of these capabilities includes GPS maps, ATMs using GPS-based timing signals, weather satellites that inform insurance agencies and disaster planning, agriculture management and crop-tracking, and urban mapping. The global satellite industry almost tripled its revenues in this century, from $101 billion in 2000 to $275 billion in 2017, shown in Fig. 9, as companies have moved to keep up with demand [176].
The demand is growing in part because of new platforms in the existing markets of geospatial data-based analytics and services [3]. In the past decade, there has been an increase in the number of geospatial analytics space start-ups developing or deploying their own satellites, such as PlanetIQ, Planet, Iceye, Terra Bella, and Spire, among others. There has also been an increase in the number of space start-ups that provide geospatial analytics platforms using commercially available satellite imagery, including Descartes Labs, SpaceKnow, Orbital Insight, Ursa Space Systems. Including ground services, the satellite industry currently comprises 79% of the total space economy with 48% of the satellite industry revenue coming directly from satellite services [189].

B. Growth in New and Diversified Markets

With new players, platforms, and strategies, the space industry is evolving over time. Recent years have seen an emergence of novel markets, such as space tourism, resource prospecting, and in-space manufacturing; see Table 1. Blue Origin, founded in 2000, has been developing a reusable launch vehicle for private crewed suborbital launches and recently, in December 2017, completed a successful flight of Crew Capsule 2.0—on a rocket which flew successfully for the seventh time [201]. Also in space tourism, Bigelow Aerospace has produced concepts and hardware mock-ups of expandable habitats which private users could lease. Bigelow Aerospace proposed in 2015 that users could live in space for up to 60 days at a price of $25–51 million [202].

Made In Space was founded to initiate in-space manufacturing capabilities to support human exploration, such as enabling reusability of plastic product waste through additive manufacturing. However, Made In Space is also targeting terrestrial markets with their new efforts to produce optical fibers in microgravity. ZBLAN fiber optic cables are part of a market worth billions of dollars, and production of the cables in microgravity results in “higher degrees of purity, quality, and most importantly, commercial value” [203]. Furthermore, markets that were previously closed are opening up for business. Spire is a company founded in 2012 that became the first commercial entity contracted to deliver space-based weather data, which until a bill in April 2017, was forbidden to all but the government. Spire’s focus is to provide global, near-realtime coverage 24/7, especially from remote locations, for maritime, weather, and aviation applications [204].

Other new markets are expected to emerge based on growing concerns for the future. Space debris has been internationally recognized as a growing challenge due to the current rate of growth and the predicted increase in orbiting objects and debris. The Growing Utilization of Space and Increasing Access trends support this prediction, but business models have yet to be proposed for enabling a space debris clean-up service. Space-related technology investments are also contributing to non-space industries. Technology transfer and spin-offs have produced the Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) image sensor now used in digital image and video cameras and cellphones [205]; the Neurala Brain artificial intelligence neural network software that was originally a NASA contract for rovers and now for drones and self-driving cars [206]; and Ferrofluid, which was originally developed for rocket fuel transfer and now is being applied to for loudspeakers and semiconductor chip manufacturing [207]. Many of these developments are a result of STTR or other technology transfer programs and represent a positive contribution to the global economy.

Table 1: New and existing space markets in Q4 2017, with number of companies and total investment [54].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Satellites</th>
<th>Launch</th>
<th>Media &amp; Education</th>
<th>In-Space Biosphere</th>
<th>In-Space Industrials</th>
<th>Information &amp; Research</th>
<th>Planetary Markets</th>
<th>In-Space Logistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Companies</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>$5,323M</td>
<td>$6,562M</td>
<td>$9.97M</td>
<td>$505.2M</td>
<td>$115.9M</td>
<td>$74.5M</td>
<td>$55.6M</td>
<td>$154.9M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Constrained Global Resources

Global overuse of water resources, water quality degradation, population growth, climate change, and other factors are contributing to increasing constraints on terrestrial resources. This has a two-fold effect on the utilization of space assets. First, inventories of assets and global monitoring can be accomplished using remote measurements from satellites. From space-based data, models and visualizations will be needed to forecast demand and inform decision making. Technologies will be needed to address water stress and other escalating terrestrial resource challenges. Monsanto is just one example of an agricultural company already investing in space-based data analytics for similar applications [184]. Second, the implications of the technology and model developments may benefit resource assessment, extraction, and processing methods in extraterrestrial environments. Sustained access to essential resources in space has continually presented significant technical challenges to human and robotic space exploration.
Efforts to address global resource constraints on Earth may present significant potential for leveraging partnerships for exploration agencies or organizations.

VIII. Conclusion

NASA STMD has developed a Strategic Framework for prioritizing investments in the emerging global space economy. Trends and activities in spaceflight were analyzed along with input from NASA program leads, technical experts, and STMD customers. These were distilled into four overarching trends referred to as Mega-Drivers:

1) Increasing Access: Trends that have already occurred and are expected to continue include reductions in launch price, increases in the number of launch options, increases in launch availability, shifts in procurement models, and the emergence of small, micro-, and nanosatellite markets. Increases in highly-efficient and scalable transportation systems, increases in accessibility to planetary surfaces, and changes in regulation are expected to lead to Increasing Access of space and other planetary destinations in the near future.

2) Accelerating Pace of Discovery: Increases in demand for data downlink, increases in data processing, and advances in data connectivity are leading to an Accelerating Pace of Discovery. This rate is expected to grow as robotic systems and humans continue to explore deeper into space with increasing detail.

3) Democratization of Space: In addition to traditional governments and corporations sustaining a presence in space, growth in private investment and the number of space-faring nations means there are more participants in the global space economy than before, with the number expected to grow. Growth in spending for academia, small businesses, and new players incentivizes their involvement in space as well. Increasing Accessibility to low-cost platforms (e.g. micro- and nanosatellites) mean that more people can participate in space.

4) Growing Utilization of Space: Advances in satellite technology are enabling more widespread personal and commercial applications, for example, the many uses of GPS in mobile devices. Geospatial analytics companies are providing increasing amounts of data to a diverse set of users, including farmers, commodities traders, and more. Recent participants in commercial and industrial space are creating companies that focus on the new, diverse ways to utilize space, like space tourism, resource prospecting, and space manufacturing.

These Mega-Drivers create the basis for a Strategic Framework containing goals, outcomes, and capabilities related to the future of spaceflight. NASA STMD is using the Mega-Drivers and the associated trend analysis to determine which goals to pursue relative to spaceflight, the measurable outcomes associated with those goals, and the capabilities required to achieve those outcomes. NASA STMD can then strategically invest in technologies that deliver a portfolio of capabilities to be integrated into mission architectures that enables NASA to achieve its goals, such as returning to the Moon, sending humans to Mars, and understanding humanity’s place in the universe.
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