On the discrepancy between the X-ray and UV absorption measurements of O VI in the local ISM
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ABSTRACT

The total amount of O VI present in the interstellar medium (ISM) obtained via absorption measurements in UV and X-ray spectra is currently in disagreement, with the latter being significantly larger (by a factor of 10 or more) than the former. Previous works have proposed that the blend of the O VI Kα line (22.032 Å) with the O II Kβ-L12 line (22.04 Å) could account for the stronger absorption observed in the X-ray spectra. Here, we present a detailed study of the oxygen absorption in the local ISM, implementing our new model IGMabs which includes photoabsorption cross-sections of highly ionized species of abundant elements as well as turbulence broadening. By analysing high-resolution Chandra spectra of 13 low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) and 29 extragalactic sources, we have estimated the column densities of O I – O III and from O VI – O VIII along multiple line-of-sights. We find that in most cases the O II Kβ-L12 line accounts for <30 per cent of the total O VI Kα + O II Kβ. We conclude that the amount of O II predicted by our model is still insufficient to explain the discrepancy between X-ray and UV measurements of O VI column densities.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The interstellar medium (ISM) is one of the most important ingredients in the star life cycles, affecting the dynamics of the Galaxy. This complex environment can be analysed by using astronomical observations from different energy bands, including optical (e.g. Welsh et al. 2010; Brandt & Draine 2012; Bailey et al. 2015; Schlafly et al. 2016), radio (e.g. Kalberla et al. 2005; Willingale et al. 2013; Anderson et al. 2015; Moss, Lockman & McClure-Griffiths 2017), infrared (e.g. Jackson et al. 2008; García et al. 2014; Giannetti et al. 2015; Xue et al. 2016), ultra-violet (UV) (e.g. Bowen et al. 2008; Wakker et al. 2012; Savage et al. 2017), and X-rays (e.g. Jueett, Schulz & Chakrabarty 2004; Jueett et al. 2006; Gatuzz et al. 2013; Miller & Bregman 2015; Nicastro et al. 2016b; Gatuzz & Churazov 2018; Gatuzz et al. 2018). X-ray photons, in particular, are capable of tracing multiple phases of the ISM, namely cold, warm, and hot. Given that most of the baryonic matter resides among galaxies in the warm–hot phases (Shull, Smith & Danforth 2012; McQuinn 2016), X-ray spectra constitute a useful way to measure column densities, ionization state, and abundances of the intergalactic medium (Nicastro et al. 2016b; Faerman, Sternberg & McKee 2017; Arcodia et al. 2018).

The hot component of the ISM, in particular, can be traced by O VI absorption lines in both, the UV and X-ray bands, providing a useful tool to study the abundance and ionization structure of the plasma (Pradhan 2000). However, even when the integrated O VI column density measured with UV instruments must be equal to that obtained from the X-ray data, there is a well known mismatch between both measurements with differences as large as a factor of a few up to one order of magnitude (Arav et al. 2003; Mathur et al. 2017). There are several contaminants that would affect the N(O VI) measured by UV data. For example, the 1038 Å feature is affected by C II and H2 absorption while the 1032 Å feature is affected by H2 and HD (Pathak et al. 2011). However, when modelling in detail such contaminants, the UV column densities become smaller, hence, creating a bigger gap between the X-ray and UV data (Sarma et al. 2017). Given that in UV the lines are resolved and unsaturated, the atomic parameters are well known, and the resulting column densities make physical sense considering the mechanisms that could produce O VI, it is unlikely for UV measurements to be inaccurate.

Recently, Mathur et al. (2017) proposed that the mismatch between column densities is due to contamination of the O VI Kα
absorption line, located at 22.032 Å (McLaughlin et al. 2017), with the O II K β “line 12” (L12, thereafter) transition located at 22.04 Å (Bizau et al. 2015). By analysing XMM–Newton spectra from 23 sources, they measured equivalent widths (EWs) for the O II K transition in order to estimate the O II K β-L12 level of contamination to the O VI K α absorption line. They concluded that the Galactic N(OVI) measured in UV is too small to be detectable in X-ray and that the 22.032 Å X-ray absorption line is almost entirely dominated by the O II K β-L12 transition. It should be noted that their conclusions are only valid for z = 0 systems while discrepancies at higher redshift require other explanations. We are testing this idea by performing a detailed analysis of the oxygen X-ray absorption in the local ISM along multiple line-of-sights, with emphasis on the O VI absorption features, and the influence of the O II absorption on its modelling. The outline of this report is as follows. In Section 2, we explain the data selection and data reduction processes. In Section 3, we describe and discuss the results obtained from the spectral fitting. Finally, Section 4 summarizes our main conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND FITTING PROCEDURE

In order to measure the O VI absorption in the local ISM, we used a sample of both Galactic and extragalactic sources based on the sources previously analysed by Gatuzz & Churazov (2018). We decided to exclude XMM–Newton observations due to the presence of instrumental features in the Reflection Grating Spectrometers (RGS; den Herder et al. 2001). These instrumental imperfections contaminate the O VI K α absorption feature at 22.032 Å, which is of central interest in this work. Thus, the final sample consists of 13 LMXBs and 29 extragalactic sources. Observations were reduced following the standard CIAO threads1 and the zero-order position were estimated with the findzo algorithm.2 For each source, all observations were combined using the combine_grating_spectra command. The spectral fitting was performed with the XSPEC software (version 12.9.1p3). Finally, we used χ² statistics in combination with the Churazov et al. (1996) weighting method which allows the analysis of low-counts spectra.

Gaussian profiles are commonly used in order to model X-ray absorption features associated to the ISM. However, if the analysis is focused in only one absorption line, ignoring the presence of absorption features due to other transitions of the same ion or due to the presence of different ions, the column densities can be over(under)estimated (Gatuzz et al. 2014, 2016). To address this issue, we have built a model for the entire oxygen K-shell region (18–24 Å), which includes absorption features from OI–O VIII ions. In order to fit the absorption features from the low-ionization ions O I–OIII, we use the ISMabs model (Gatuzz et al. 2015). These ions are associated to the neutral–warm components of the ISM (Gatuzz et al. 2016; Gatuzz & Churazov 2018). Then, we developed a new model called IGMabs, which is similar to ISMabs but includes photoabsorption cross-sections from highly ionized ions, in order to model absorption features associated to O VI–O VIII ions.

Fig. 1 shows the oxygen photoabsorption cross-sections included in the present analysis. Note that, in the computation of such cross-sections, the Auger broadening can be the dominant broadening process, and is included. As the plot shows, the O VI K α resonance lies close to the O II K β-L12 transition and the cross-section is larger for the former. It is important to note that the IGMabs model also includes a turbulence velocity parameter v_turb, which is required when modelling the hot component of the ISM. Following the analysis in Gatuzz & Churazov (2018), we have fixed the v_turb parameter of the IGMabs model to 60 km s⁻¹ for the Galactic sources and to 110 km s⁻¹ for the Extragalactic sources. Finally, for each source, we have used a power-law component to model the continuum and have fixed the N(H) of the IGMabs model to the 21 cm values from Willingale et al. (2013). In this way, the free parameters in our fitting procedure are the oxygen column densities and the power-law parameters.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the oxygen column densities obtained for all sources analysed. N(OVI) obtained by Savage et al. (2003) using observations from the Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE) are included for those sources for which data is available. We have found that both N(OII) and N(OVI) can be simultaneously constrained only for four sources in the sample: Cygnus X–2, XTE J1817–330, H2356–309, and Mrk 421. For the rest of the sources, we have measured upper limits for the N(OVI). We also have measured the column densities for each observation of the sources (i.e. instead of combining the spectra) and found that the N(OVI) do not vary between different observations (considering the uncertainties), pointing out to an ISM origin of the absorber. For Mrk 421, Mrk 509, and PKS 2155–304, our results are consistent with Mathur et al. (2017). Because we are modelling the complete oxygen edge absorption region, the maximum amount of N(OII) is constrained by the presence of both the K α and the K β transitions. Moreover, the contribution of the photoabsorption cross-section from the multiple ions to the continuum is not negligible. From Table 1, it is clear that there is a discrepancy with X-ray best-fit results and the UV values obtained from FUSE observations by Savage et al. (2003). That is, even when the N(OII) is accurately modelled, the N(OVI) estimated from X-ray observations can be up to two orders of magnitude larger than the UV measurements. Using the column densities derived with the IGMabs model, we have computed the EWs for the O VI K α and O II K β-L12 absorption lines. Fig. 2 shows the percentage contribution of O II K β-L12 to the total (O VI Kα + O II K β-L12) EW. In most cases, the O II K β-L12 ac-
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1http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/gspec.html
2http://space.mit.edu/cxc/analysis/findzo/
3https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/
counts for <.30 per cent of the total EW. Such contribution is not enough to solve the N(O ii) and N(O vi) discrepancy. In the cases of Cygnus X-2 and XTE J1817–330, the O II K β-L12 accounts for ~40 per cent of the total EW.

Fig. 3 shows the 21–24 Å wavelength region for Mrk 421, a source for which we have obtained a good constraint for both N(O ii) and N(O vi). The most outstanding features associated with oxygen X-ray absorption in the ISM are also indicated. We tried to model the absorption line located at ~22.04 Å by fixing the N(O vi) in the ZGMabes model to the FUSE measurement and increasing the N(O ii) until we obtain low residuals around the line wavelength position. We found that this resulted in worse-fit statistics because when assuming the FUSE N(O vi) a larger amount of O II is required to model the ~22.04 Å line, overestimating the strengths of other lines, in particular the O II Kα, located at 23.35 Å. Fig. 3 also included the data/model ratios obtained for those sources for which FUSE measurements are available when applying the same procedure. As before, the residuals around the O II K α absorption line increase as we increase the N(O ii). In all cases, the statistics is worse by ∆χ² > 15, except for NGC 7469 (∆χ² = 8) and Ton 1388 (∆χ² = 6). These results indicate that the blending of O vi K α and the O II K β-L12 absorption features is not sufficient to account for the discrepancy between X-ray and UV measurements.

Other possibilities to explain the O vi mismatch between UV and X-ray measurements include the atomic data calculation, saturation of the lines, and radiative excitation. In the case of O II, given that the Auger widths tend to be larger than the radiative widths (García et al. 2005), it is crucial to include such effect, which can lead to an increasing of the EW up to 50 per cent for a fixed column density. However, it is not trivial to compute all
the multiple channels for the Auger decay of the K vacancy states, making difficult to estimate accurately the Auger damping. Also, as was noted by Bizau et al. (2015), there is a discrepancy between the theoretical and experimental values for the oscillator strengths and line width for OII $\beta$-L12, which has not been addressed. In the case of saturated lines, given the dependence of the EW with the broadening velocity $v_{\text{turb}}$, there is a $N(\text{O VI})$-$v_{\text{turb}}$ degeneracy which can lead to under/overestimation of the column density (up to one of magnitude for $v_{\text{turb}} > 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, see Draine 2011; Nicastro et al. 2016a). UV data provides a good constraint on the velocity because multiple transitions of the same ion can be modelled simultaneously, thus allowing the disentangling of such degeneracy (Savage & Lehner 2006; Bowen et al. 2008). However, even when the $v_{\text{turb}}$ value assumed in our model is within the broadening velocity found in UV studies (see, for example, Savage & Lehner 2006) the mismatch between the column densities remains. With respect to radiative excitation, as mentioned by Arav et al. (2003), electron impact excitation and recombination may contribute to the O VI emission, reducing the absorption from the ground state. But such effect can only account for one-half factor of difference between UV and X-ray, much lower than the discrepancy observed (Mathur et al. 2017).

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have analysed the oxygen edge absorption region (18–24 Å) using high-resolution X-ray spectra from 13 LMXBs and 29 extragalactic sources. Using the IGMabs model, we have estimated column densities, ion by ion, required to fit all the absorption signatures in the spectra. We have focused the analysis in the estimation of $N(\text{O VI})$, which can be difficult due to contamination by the OII $\beta$-L12 absorption feature at 22.04 Å located close to the OVI $\alpha$ absorption line at 22.032 Å. $N(\text{O VI})$ was constrained for two Galactic and two extragalactic sources from our sample. For the rest of the sources, we report upper limits. We have found that for low $N(\text{O II})$, the $N(\text{O VI})$ contribution is larger, a hint of the blending between the line. However, this blending cannot be the entire solution for the discrepancy between X-ray and UV measurements of $N(\text{O VI})$. Other possibilities include atomic data calculation, saturation of the lines, and radiative excitation; however, they cannot completely solve the UV/X-ray discrepancy neither, which remains as an open question. Finally, our findings highlight the need for an accurate modelling of the absorption features associated to the local ISM.
before searching for lines associated to other absorbers, including the warm–hot intergalactic medium, ultraluminous X-ray sources, active galactic nucleus, and X-ray binaries, which constitute key science goals for future missions such as Arcus and Athena.
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