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<td>s</td>
<td>specific entropy (\left(\frac{Btu}{lbm \text{ deg R}}\right))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ψ_T</td>
<td>two-phase specific Gibbs' function; (denoted as a function of temperature)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ψ'_T</td>
<td>(\frac{dψ_T}{dT}); also used simply as ψ'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ψ''_T</td>
<td>(\frac{d^2ψ_T}{dT^2}); also used simply as ψ''</td>
<td></td>
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<td>v</td>
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<td></td>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$h_{fT}$</td>
<td>values of above functions on saturation liquid curve; all are denoted as temperature functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{fT}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{fT}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{fT}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{fgT}$</td>
<td>change of above functions after complete isothermal phase change; all are denoted as temperature functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{fgT}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{fgT}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{fgT}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_v$</td>
<td>single-phase constant volume specific heat capacity (Btu/lbm °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_\lambda$</td>
<td>single-phase polytropic specific heat capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_v$</td>
<td>two-phase constant volume specific heat capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>single-phase sonic velocity (ft/sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>two-phase pseudo-sonic velocity (ft/sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>pseudo-Mach number ($\overline{V}/a$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\overline{V}$</td>
<td>fluid flow velocity (ft/sec)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>specific heat transfer (Btu/lbm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>cross-sectional area of duct (ft²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>mass flow rate per unit cross-sectional area (lbm/sec-ft²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>total mass in system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>quality of two-phase mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>flow system length (ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>absolute triple point temperature (°R) (491.69 for H₂O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>ratio of absolute temperature in °R to triple point temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f(T)</td>
<td>an arbitrary function of temperature formed from the partial integration of the internal energy function derivative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f'(T)</td>
<td>( \frac{df(T)}{dT} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>conversion factor 778.26 ( \frac{ft/lb}{Btu} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gₙ</td>
<td>conversion factor 32.17 ( \frac{lbm}{lb_{f}} \cdot \frac{ft}{sec^{n}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>an empirical coefficient (489.286 Btu/lbm for H₂O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>an empirical coefficient (489.281 Btu/lbm for H₂O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>polytropic exponent (1.252 for H₂O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ</td>
<td>time (seconds)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS (Concluded)

Symbol | Definition
--- | ---
Subscript | 
f | denotes liquid phase
g | denotes gas or vapor phase
t | denotes throat conditions
i | denotes a reference state; arbitrarily taken as the duct inlet state in numerical examples
Superscript | 
* | denotes choke conditions for Fanno or Rayleigh flow
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PSEUDO-SONIC VELOCITY AND PSEUDO-MACH NUMBER
CONCEPTS IN TWO-PHASE FLOWS

SUMMARY

In ideal gas theory, the introduction of the Mach number into the analysis of steady, one-dimensional duct flow results in associating choking conditions with a Mach number of unity for several well known flows, such as Rayleigh and Fanno pipe flows and isentropic converging-diverging duct flow. Replacing the ideal gas by a liquid-vapor, two phase mixture in the above named flows complicates the analysis even when equilibrium between the phases is assumed. However, it is possible to introduce a pseudo-sonic velocity concept with a correspondingly defined pseudo-Mach number concept such that these three two-phase flows exhibit choking conditions at a pseudo-Mach number of unity.

It is further shown that a point-by-point, non-tabular analysis of the above two-phase flows is possible when the equations for the Gibbs' function and the vapor pressure curve are known. In the case of the liquid-vapor region of H₂O an empirical equation for the Gibbs' function is derived, while the vapor pressure curve is developed theoretically by the imposition of a transformation property normally encountered in ideal gas theory.

INTRODUCTION

The use of the pseudo-sonic velocity will be delayed until a few thermodynamic relations can first be reviewed and specialized for two-phase usage prior to their incorporation into the definition of the new variable.
For a closed system, the differential of the Gibbs' function defined by

\[ \Psi = h - Ts \]  

is given by

\[ d\Psi = \frac{1}{f} vdp - sdT \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In this form the pressure and the temperature are the independent variables except when the pressure depends only upon the temperature, as is the case in equilibrium, two-phase thermodynamics. For this case if the pressure dependence upon the temperature is expressed by

\[ p = p_T \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

then

\[ dp = p'_TdT \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( p'_T \) is the slope of the vapor pressure curve at the temperature \( T \). If \( dp \) from this equation is substituted into equation (2) the temperature derivative of the Gibbs' function becomes

\[ \Psi'_T = \frac{1}{f} v p'_T - s \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

That the right side is indeed a temperature function becomes apparent when the two-phase equations

\[ v = v_f + x_f v_g \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ s = s_f + x_f s_g \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and the Clapeyron equation

\[ p'_T = \frac{J_s v_g}{v_g} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)
are substituted into the right side of equation (5) to give

$$\psi'_{T} = \frac{1}{J} v_{T} p'_{T} - s_{T}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

When equation (5) is used to define the entropy in a two-phase region as a function of $T$ and $v$, the entropy differential becomes

$$ds = \left( \frac{1}{J} v p''_{T} - \psi''_{T} \right) dT + \frac{1}{J} p'_{T} dv .$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Hence for a reversible process

$$dq = T \left( \frac{1}{J} v p'' - \psi'' \right) dT + \frac{1}{J} Tp'dv .$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

From this equation it is seen that the coefficient of $dT$ represents a theoretical two-phase specific heat capacity at constant volume. It is designated by the symbol $\sigma_{V}$ to distinguish it from the usual single-phase $c_{V}$ symbol. In general, the chart of two-phase properties representing the $T$-$s$ plane shows that a curve of constant volume possesses discontinuous slopes at the saturation envelope. This means that the saturation value of $\sigma_{V}$ is not the same as the saturation value of $c_{V}$. Thus, for any point inside the two-phase region

$$\sigma_{V} = T \left( \frac{1}{J} v p'' - \psi'' \right) .$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

As a final digression before defining the pseudo-sonic velocity it is recalled that the velocity of propagation of a small disturbance in a non-condensable single phase is given by

$$\alpha^2 = \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \right)_{s}$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $\alpha$ is the acoustic or sonic velocity. In terms of the specific volume equation (13) becomes

$$\alpha^2 = -g_{c} v^{2} \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \right)_{s} .$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)
By direct analogy, the right side of equation (14) will be defined as the pseudo-sonic velocity parameter for two-phase analysis and the symbol "a" will be used for its description; thus

$$-g_c \frac{\partial P}{\partial V} = a^2.$$  (15)

To evaluate the partial derivative in this relation, equations (4) and (12) are used to transform equation (10) into

$$ds = \frac{\sigma}{Tp} \, dp + \frac{1}{J} \, p' \, dv.$$  (16)

From this the desired partial derivative is readily obtained and substituted into equation (15) to give for the square of the pseudo-acoustic velocity

$$a^2 = \frac{g_c T V^2 p^2}{J \sigma}.$$  (17)

These relationships are discussed further in Appendix C.

For positive $\sigma_V$ the right side is likewise positive; hence the pseudo-sonic velocity parameter is real when $\sigma_V$ is positive, which is the usual case. This may be readily checked by observing the slopes of constant volume curves in the T-$s$ plane. As examples, these slopes are positive for the liquid-vapor regions of water, nitrogen, para and normal hydrogen, etc.

From equations (17) and (12) it is apparent that "a" depends explicitly upon T and v, and possesses additional implicit temperature dependence through the first and second derivatives of the pressure and the second derivative of the Gibbs' function. Hence, knowledge of these functions is required before equation (17) can be used for calculating "a" at any state. This point will be returned to later.
ISENTROPIC TWO-PHASE FLOW THROUGH A HORIZONTAL CONVERGING-DIVERGING DUCT

One of many common equations used to describe the isentropic flow of an ideal gas through a horizontal duct is given by

\[
\frac{dA}{A} = (M^2 - 1) \frac{dV}{V}.
\]  

(18)

In this equation, A is the cross sectional area of the duct, M is the Mach number and \( \bar{V} \) is the gas velocity. With the use of the pseudo-sonic velocity an identical equation will be derived for two-phase flow in which a pseudo-Mach number will replace its counterpart of ideal gas flow.

For isentropic two-phase flow of liquid-vapor phases through a horizontal converging diverging duct, the continuity equation, general energy flow equation and the combined first and second laws are, respectively:

\[
\frac{dA}{A} = \frac{dv}{v} - \frac{d\bar{V}}{\bar{V}}.
\]  

(19)

\[
dh = - \frac{\bar{V}d\bar{V}}{Jg_c}.
\]  

(20)

\[
dh = \frac{vdp}{J}.
\]  

(21)

Equations (20) and (21) can be combined to give

\[
dp = - \frac{\bar{V}d\bar{V}}{g_c v}.
\]  

(22)

Substitution of equation (22) and ds = 0 into equation (16) yields

\[
dv = \frac{J\sigma_v}{g_c Tp^2} \frac{\bar{V}}{v} d\bar{V}.
\]  

(23)
When this is used to eliminate $dv$ in equation (19) the result is

$$\frac{dA}{A} = \left( \frac{J \sigma_v}{g_c T \rho^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{V}^2}{V^2} - 1 \right) \frac{d\bar{V}}{V}. \quad (24)$$

This result is then transformed by use of pseudo-sonic relation of equation (17) into

$$\frac{dA}{A} = \left[ \left( \frac{\bar{V}}{a} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \frac{d\bar{V}}{V}. \quad (25)$$

If a pseudo-Mach number is now defined as

$$M = \frac{\bar{V}}{a} \quad (26)$$

equation (25) becomes

$$\frac{dA}{A} = (M^2 - 1) \frac{d\bar{V}}{V}$$

and this is identical in form to the ideal gas counterpart.

Equations (27), (23), (22), (21) and (4) yield information of the way various quantities increase or decrease depending on whether the flow is for $M > 1$ or $M < 1$ and further, whether the flow is for a converging section or for a diverging section. This is summarized in Table I in which the sign $dT$ corresponds to an assumed positive $p'_T$.

The analogy with ideal gas flow is carried further in recalling that in this flow an improper back pressure on a supersonic nozzle can cause a standing normal shock in the diverging section such that the Mach number ahead of the shock is greater than one and less than one behind the shock. Further the flow is accelerating with falling pressure in the diverging section ahead of the shock and diffusing with rising pressure after the shock. Table I suggests a similar situation in two-phase flow since both $M > 1$ and $M < 1$ flows, for example, apparently can be accommodated in the diverging section, the former characterized by falling pressure and the latter by increasing pressure.
TABLE I. EFFECTS OF AREA CHANGE ON VELOCITY, SPECIFIC VOLUME, PRESSURE, ENTHALPY AND TEMPERATURE IN TWO-PHASE FLOW ABOVE AND BELOW PSEUDO-SONIC VELOCITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$dA$</th>
<th>$dV$</th>
<th>$dv$</th>
<th>$dp$</th>
<th>$dh$</th>
<th>$dT$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M &lt; 1$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M &gt; 1$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the throat $M_t = 1$; therefore,

\[ \bar{V}_t^2 = a_t^2 \]

(28)

where the $t$ subscript refers to throat conditions. If the mass flow rate per unit cross-sectional area is denoted by $G$ the continuity equation then becomes

\[ \bar{V}_t^2 = G_t^2 v_t^2 \]

(29)

Substituting $a_t^2$ from equation (17) for $\bar{V}_t^2$ in equation (29) gives for the throat specific volume after substituting (12) for $\sigma_v$:

\[ v_t p''_t = J\psi''_t + \frac{g c^2 p''_t}{G_t^2} \]

(30)

If $p''_t$ is not zero $v_t$ can be eliminated from equations (30) and (5) to give a throat temperature equation in the form

\[ t_t = \text{constant} \]
The entropy is given by

\[
\frac{1}{p_t} \left[ \Psi_t'' + \frac{g_c}{J} \left( \frac{p_t'}{G_t} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{s + \Psi_t'}{p_t'}\tag{31}
\]

No throat subscript is used for the entropy since isentropic conditions are assumed. In this form it is again apparent that knowledge of the Gibbs' function and the vapor pressure curve are needed to calculate the critical throat temperature. Further discussions of these functions will be postponed at this point.

**TWO-PHASE FANNO FLOW**

For this adiabatic pipe flow with friction an analogy between two-phase equilibrium flow and ideal gas flow will again be developed; in particular, it will be shown that choking occurs in the two-phase flow at pseudo-Mach one.

In this flow the continuity equation is

\[
\bar{V} = Gv \tag{32}
\]

with \( G \) now a constant. The energy equation for steady flow is

\[
h + \frac{\bar{V}^2}{2Jg_c} = h_0 \tag{33}
\]

where \( h_0 \) is a constant. These two equations are then equivalent to

\[
\frac{dh}{vdv} = -\frac{G^2}{Jg_c} \tag{34}
\]

When equations (34) and (4) are used in the general thermodynamic relation

\[
ds = \frac{1}{T} \frac{dh}{vdv} - \frac{1}{JT} vdp \tag{35}
\]
to eliminate \( dh \) and \( dp \), the result is

\[
ds = - \frac{\text{vp}'}{J_T} \, dT - \frac{G^2_v}{J_g_c} \, \frac{v}{T} \, dv. \tag{36}\]

An expression for the differential temperature change is obtained by equating the right sides of equations (10) and (36) and rearranging the terms to give

\[
dT = -\left(\frac{G^2_v}{J_g_c} \, \frac{v}{T} + \text{Tp}'\right) \, \frac{1}{J_g_c} \, \frac{v}{\sigma_v + \text{vp}'} \, dv. \tag{37}\]

Elimination of \( dT \) in equation (10) by use of equation (37) together with the use of equations (12), (17) and (26) finally leads to

\[
ds = \frac{1}{J} \, \frac{\text{vp}'^2}{J_g_c} \, \frac{v}{\sigma_v + \text{vp}'} \, [1 - M^2] \, dv. \tag{38}\]

Since Fanno flow requires a positive \( ds \), equation (38) initiates a sign analysis of flows for which \( M \) is greater than one and for which \( M \) is less than one. Table II is a summary of the sign analysis obtained from equations (38), (37), (34), (32) and (4) where in the use of (4), it is again assumed that \( p_T' \) is positive. Once again the analogy with ideal gas Fanno flow is apparent.

**TABLE II. EFFECTS OF FRICTIONAL LOSSES ON VELOCITY, SPECIFIC VOLUME, PRESSURE, ENTHALPY AND TEMPERATURE IN TWO-PHASE FLOW ABOVE AND BELOW PSEUDO-SONIC VELOCITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( dA )</th>
<th>( d\bar{V} )</th>
<th>( dv )</th>
<th>( dp )</th>
<th>( dh )</th>
<th>( dT )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( M &lt; 1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M &gt; 1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Next an integral will be obtained for equation (37) that gives the temperature, specific volume relation at any point in the flow. This is accomplished indirectly as follows. Equations (32) and (33) are combined to eliminate $\sqrt{v}$, giving

$$h + \frac{G^2}{2 Jg_c} v^2 = h_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

and $h$ is then eliminated by the use of (1), resulting in

$$\psi_T + Ts + \frac{G^2}{2Jg_c} v^2 = h_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

Replacing $s$ in equation (40) with equation (5) then reveals $v$ as a quadratic function of $T$ which can be solved explicitly for $v$, giving at any point in the flow

$$v = \frac{g_c Tp'}{G^2} \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2G^2J (\psi - T \psi' - h_0)}{g_c T^2 p'^2}} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

The right side of this equation is a function of temperature through the dependence of $p$ and $\psi$ on the temperature. The choice of sign preceding the radical corresponds to the assumption of positive $p'T$.

The entropy and enthalpy dependence on the temperature are then obtained by eliminating $v$ in equations (5) and (39) by use of equation (41).

Since $M = 1$ at the choke point (denoted by the superscript $*$) equations (32), (26), (17) and (12) can be combined to give

$$v^* = \frac{1}{G^2 p''_T^*} \left( g_c p'^2 T^* + JG^2 \psi''_T \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

Then with equation (41) also applied to the choke point, the specific volume may be eliminated between equations (41) and (42) leaving one equation to be solved for the choke temperature $T^*$ and, once again, this solution requires knowledge of $p_T$ and $\psi_T$. As before, the discussion of these functions will be deferred.
TWO-PHASE RAYLEIGH FLOW

In this horizontal flow of a two-phase mixture only heating effects are considered. Fluid friction is neglected and equilibrium is again assumed to exist between the phases at all points. Just as in the case of ideal flow, this reversible two-phase flow exhibits choking effects at $M = 1$ and analogous characteristics in the two-phase regimes above and below $M = 1$.

In this flow a force balance on an element and the continuity equation given by equation (32) combine to give for the two-phase Rayleigh flow

$$p + \frac{G^2}{g_c} \ v = c \ .$$

(43)

With the use of equation (4) equation (43) becomes

$$p'_T dT + \frac{G^2}{g_c} \ dv = 0$$

(44)

and this together with (12) substituted into (10) gives

$$ds = \left[ 1 - \frac{J \sigma \ G^2}{g_c \ T \ p'^2} \right] \ \frac{p'}{J} \ dv \ .$$

(45)

Equations (32), (17) and (26) then transform equation (45) into

$$ds = (1 - M^2) \ \frac{p'}{J} \ dv \ .$$

(46)

Equations (46), (44), (32), and (4) are summarized with respect to sign behavior in Table III. Once again positive behavior of $p'_T$ is assumed and the table represents heating of the fluid. For cooling, the signs in the table are reversed.
TABLE III. EFFECTS OF HEATING ON ENTROPY, SPECIFIC VOLUME, VELOCITY, TEMPERATURE, AND PRESSURE IN TWO-PHASE FLOW ABOVE AND BELOW PSEUDO-SONIC VELOCITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>dA</th>
<th>ds</th>
<th>dv</th>
<th>d\bar{V}</th>
<th>dT</th>
<th>dp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M &lt; 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M &gt; 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For choked flow the asterisk superscript will again be used to represent properties of the fluid at the state \( M = 1 \). At this state equation (26) reduces to

\[
\bar{V}^*^2 = a^*^2 .
\]  

(47)

When equations (32) and (17) are substituted respectively for the left and right sides of equation (47) the result, after elimination of \( \sigma_v \) by equation (12), can be arranged to give

\[
p^{12} T^* + [p_{T^*} - c] p'' T^* + \frac{JG^2}{g_c} \Psi'' T^* = 0 .
\]

(48)

For known functions \( p_T \) and \( \Psi_T \) equation (48) can be solved for the choke temperature and this then gives the choke pressure and Gibbs' function, \( p^* \) and \( \Psi^* \). The choke pressure is then used in equation (43) to give the choke value of the specific volume. The choke entropy follows from equation (5) and the enthalpy from equation (1). Once the choke pressure and specific volume are known an alternate method for calculating the entropy and enthalpy is to calculate the quality from the pressure-specific volume values and then use the quality to calculate the desired entropy and enthalpy. In any event, equation (48) pinpoints the choke point when \( p \) and \( \Psi \) are known functions of temperature. In the absence of known equations representing these functions the choke point must then be obtained graphically by calculating the Rayleigh states with the aid of the usual tabulations of two-phase data.
NUMERICAL EXAMPLE OF RAYLEIGH FLOW

For the Rayleigh flow a numerical example is presented to illustrate the use of equation (48) together with the pseudo-sonic velocity and pseudo-Mach number concepts when empirical formulations of $p$ and $\Psi$ are employed. In the example the entrance conditions are saturated water at 400°F. From these conditions an iterative procedure is used for the calculation of the Rayleigh states in which successively reduced pressures are introduced into equation (43) yielding successive values of the specific volume and, hence, a series of qualities from which enthalpies and entropies are calculated. These results are summarized in Table IV and shown graphically in Figure 1, where all of the states except the choke point are calculated in this manner. The choke state calculation is initiated with the use of equation (48) and the pseudo-Mach numbers are obtained from (12), (17) and (26). For these calculations empirical expressions for $p$ and $\Psi$ are taken from Bursik and Onuf [1, 2].

From Reference 1 the empirical expression for the Gibbs' function for the liquid vapor region of H$_2$O is obtained as

$$\Psi = \bar{a} (r - 1) - b r \ln r$$

(49)

where

$$\bar{a} = 489.286$$

(50)

$$b = 489.281$$

(51)

and

$$r = \frac{T}{T_3}$$

(52)

For computational purposes $\bar{a}$ and $b$ will be taken as equal, i.e.,

$$\bar{a} \approx b \approx 489.3$$

(53)
TABLE IV. SUMMARY OF TWO-PHASE RAYLEIGH FLOW EXAMPLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°F)</th>
<th>Pressure p lb/in²</th>
<th>Specific Vol. v ft²/lbm</th>
<th>Quality x</th>
<th>Enthalpy h Btu/lbm</th>
<th>Entropy s Btu/lbm deg</th>
<th>Velocity ( \bar{V} ) ft/sec</th>
<th>Pseudo-Acoustic Velocity a ft/sec</th>
<th>Pseudo-Mach Number M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>247.31</td>
<td>0.01864</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>374.97</td>
<td>0.5664</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.52</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>195.77</td>
<td>0.8484</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>655.8</td>
<td>0.9014</td>
<td>455.1</td>
<td>848.9</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>173.37</td>
<td>1.2088</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>732.8</td>
<td>0.9987</td>
<td>648.5</td>
<td>983.9</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>798.4</td>
<td>1.0978</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>89.66</td>
<td>2.5562</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>753.8</td>
<td>1.0583</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>1.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>67.013</td>
<td>2.9208</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>679.1</td>
<td>0.9760</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>972.0</td>
<td>1.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>49.203</td>
<td>3.2074</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>591.2</td>
<td>0.8721</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>863.4</td>
<td>1.993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From equation (49) it follows that

$$\Psi'_T = \frac{1}{T_3} [\bar{a} - b (1 + \ln r)]$$

or
\[ \psi_T' \approx -\left( \frac{b}{T_3} \right) \ln r \quad (55) \]

and

\[ \psi''_T = -\left( \frac{b}{T_3^2} \right) \frac{1}{r} \quad . \quad (56) \]

It is interesting to note at this point that while \( \bar{a} \) and \( b \) are very nearly equal, theoretically they must differ. This can be demonstrated by evaluating both equations (54) and (55) at the triple point where \( r = 1 \). Thus from equation (54)

\[ \psi'_{T_3} = \frac{\bar{a} - b}{T_3} \quad (57) \]

and from equation (55)

\[ \psi''_{T_3} = 0 \quad . \quad (58) \]

If equation (5) is now applied to the saturated liquid state of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) at the triple point where the Keenan and Keyes [3] steam tables have their entropy datum, the result is that

\[ \psi'_{T_3} = \frac{1}{J} v_{fT_3} p'_{T_3} \quad . \quad (59) \]

By the Clapeyron equation \( p'_{T_3} \) is greater than zero; hence \( \psi'_{T_3} \) must likewise be greater than zero. Equation (57) gives a very small positive value for \( \psi'_{T_3} \) which is neglected in equation (58).

Additional discussion of the Gibbs' function is in Appendix A.

The summary of Bursik [2], is included in Appendix B. It shows that if some polytropic family of two-phase curves, defined initially by an unspecified constant \( \lambda \) as

\[ p_v^\lambda = K \quad (60) \]
where \( K \) is a constant that depends upon \( \lambda \), transforms into the \( T-s \) plane as a family of parallel curves, then the constant \( \lambda \) must be related to a dimensionless grouping of thermodynamic variables by the following expression:

\[
\frac{p'^2}{P_T p'' T} = \lambda .
\]  

(61)

The degree to which equation (61) represents two-phase data for the liquid-vapor region of \( H_2O \) is discussed further in the Appendix. For present purposes equation (61) is taken as a sufficiently accurate description of the \( H_2O \) liquid-vapor region when \( \lambda \) is given the value

\[
\lambda = 1.252 \quad (H_2O) .
\]  

(62)

This same polytropic theory yields an additional result that will be useful in later numerical examples, namely

\[
\frac{p}{p'} = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} T_3 (r - r_I) + \frac{p_{fg1}^V}{p_{fg1}} .
\]  

(63)

In this result from the polytropic theory the subscript 1 refers to an arbitrarily selected reference temperature and \( r_I, p_I, v_{fg1} \) and \( s_{fg1} \) are all then computed at this temperature; hence equation (63) requires \( p/p' \) to be a linear function of the temperature or the temperature ratio by virtue of (52). Finally, the polytropic theory yields an equation for the vapor pressure curve in the form

\[
T = T_1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda - 1} \frac{p_{fg1}^V}{p_{fg1}^V} \left[ \frac{p}{p_I} \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} - 1 \right] .
\]  

(64)

When \( p'' \) and \( \Psi'' \) are eliminated in equation (48) by the substitution of equations (56) and (61) the result is an empirical choke equation

\[
\frac{c}{p_{T*}^*} = \lambda + 1 - \frac{J G^2}{g} \frac{b}{T^2} - \frac{r_{T*} p'^2}{T^*} .
\]  

(65)
At this point equation (65) is an implicit function of the choke temperature. It can be transformed readily into an explicit function of the choke temperature by combining it with equations (63) and (64). However, instead of pursuing this path, an alternative cut-and-dry solution was used by employing steam tables to solve

\[
\frac{c}{p_{T^*}} = \lambda + 1 - \frac{\lambda G^2 b}{J_{g_c} T_s^3} - \frac{1}{r_{T^*}} \left[ \frac{v_{fg_{T^*}}}{s_{fg_{T^*}}} \right]^2
\]  

(66)

where \( p_{T^*} \) in equation (65) has been replaced by the Clapeyron relation, equation (8).

For the conditions of the example

\( G = 536.5 \text{ lbm/sec ft}^2 \)  

(67)

\( c = 35780 \text{ lb}/\text{ft}^2 \)  

(68)

Therefore equation (66) can be reduced to

\[
\frac{35780}{p_{T^*}} = 2.252 - 14.321 \left( \frac{v_{fg_{T^*}}}{s_{fg_{T^*}}} \right)^2.
\]  

(69)

As already indicated in Table IV the solution of equation (69) yields a choke temperature of 340\(^\circ\)F which corresponds to a saturation pressure of 121.2 psia as the choke pressure.

In the same table the pseudo-Mach numbers were calculated from

\[
M^2 = \frac{G^2}{g_c} \left[ \frac{v}{\lambda p_T} + \frac{b}{J T^3 T_s T} \left( \frac{v_{fg_{T}}}{s_{fg_{T}}} \right)^2 \right].
\]  

(70)
after first calculating \( v \) from equation (43). Equation (70) results when equations (61) and (56) are combined with equations (12), (17), (26), (8), (61), (56) and (31). In conclusion, attention is drawn to the tabulations of the pseudo-sonic velocities: a very small value for this quantity at the saturated liquid state is indicated; increasing values then accompany falling temperatures until a maximum pseudo-sonic velocity is reached; and finally, this quantity decreases as the temperature continues to decrease.

Some of the data of Table IV are plotted in Figures 1, 2, and 3.

**NUMERICAL EXAMPLE OF NOZZLE FLOW**

In this example of reversible two-phase flow through a converging-diverging, horizontal duct, the inlet conditions where a negligible approach velocity is assumed are denoted by the subscript 1 and the throat conditions by a subscript \( t \). A saturated mixture of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) is assumed to enter at 400° F and a specific entropy of 1.0468 Btu/lbm deg R. This corresponds to an inlet quality of 0.5.

For this example the velocity of the flow at any section will be calculated in two ways: The first is the result of the substitution of steam table data into the conservation of energy statement for steady flow, namely

\[
\overline{v}^2 = 2Jg_c (h_1 - h) \quad .
\]  

(71)

The second way will transform the right side of this equation into a function of the temperature ratio by incorporating equation (49) and the constancy of the entropy into it. With the entropy set equal to \( s_1 \) in equation (1) and the temperature in the same equation eliminated in terms of \( r \) by using equation (52), the enthalpies in equation (71) are replaced by the expressions obtained from (1) applied to the inlet state and to the variable state without the subscript. Finally, equation (49) is substituted into the transformed expression for the velocity to give

\[
\overline{v}^2 = 2bJg_c \left[ (\mu + 1 - \ln r_1) r_1 - (\mu + 1 - \ln r ) r \right]
\]  

(72)

where \( \mu \) is defined as the constant
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\[
\mu = \frac{T_3 s_1}{b}.
\]

For the assumptions of the example

\[
\mu = \frac{491.69}{489.3} \frac{1.048}{1.048} = 1.052
\]

(74)

\[
r_1 = \frac{859.69}{491.69} = 1.748
\]

(75)

\[
\ln r_1 = 0.5585.
\]

(76)

With these, equation (72) reduces to

\[
\mathcal{V}^2 = 2 \left(489.3 \right) Jg_c \left[2.611 - (2.052 - \ln r) r \right].
\]

(77)

Equation (71) and (77) are compared in the first two columns of Table V, except for the critical point of the throat where equation (72) is equated to the square of the pseudo-sonic velocity to locate the critical point. This will become apparent when the pseudo-sonic velocity relation is specialized to the present example.

Substitution of equation (12) into equation (17) gives

\[
a^2 = \frac{g_c v^2 p^2}{v p'' - J \Psi''}.
\]

(78)

With the entropy held constant at its entrance value \(s_1\), equation (5) is solved for \(v\) and the result introduced into equation (78) to give

\[
a^2 = \frac{J g_c \left(s_1 + \Psi'\right)^2}{\left(s_1 + \Psi'\right) \frac{p''}{p} - \Psi''}.
\]

(79)

Then \(p''\), \(\Psi'\) and \(\Psi''\) are eliminated from equation (79) by equation (55), (56) and (61) to give
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t^\circ$ F</th>
<th>$\bar{V}$ ft/sec</th>
<th>$\bar{V}$ ft/sec</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$h$ Btu/lbm</th>
<th>$v$ ft$^3$/lbf</th>
<th>$G$ lbm/ft$^2$sec</th>
<th>$A/A_t$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376.18</td>
<td>769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td></td>
<td>777</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>775.9</td>
<td>1.240</td>
<td>626.8</td>
<td>1.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
<td>874</td>
<td>0.5037</td>
<td>772.7</td>
<td>1.331</td>
<td>657.1</td>
<td>1.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>0.5048</td>
<td>767.4</td>
<td>1.502</td>
<td>675.7</td>
<td>1.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357.1</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5051</td>
<td>765.7</td>
<td>1.561</td>
<td>676.4</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351.6</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>0.5060</td>
<td>762.2</td>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>668.5</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td></td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>0.5066</td>
<td>756.4</td>
<td>1.928</td>
<td>652.1</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td></td>
<td>1387</td>
<td>0.5075</td>
<td>750.0</td>
<td>2.252</td>
<td>615.8</td>
<td>1.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.01</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302.43</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td></td>
<td>1858</td>
<td>0.5097</td>
<td>719.0</td>
<td>4.549</td>
<td>408.4</td>
<td>1.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277.85</td>
<td>1858</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253.26</td>
<td>2064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
<td>2075</td>
<td>0.5099</td>
<td>702.0</td>
<td>6.829</td>
<td>303.8</td>
<td>2.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228.68</td>
<td>2261</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td></td>
<td>2269</td>
<td>0.5093</td>
<td>685.2</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>221.7</td>
<td>3.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finally, this equation is transformed into an explicit function of the temperature ratio by eliminating \( p/p' \) from it with equation (63). This then results in

\[
a^2 = \frac{bJg_c \left( \frac{T_{3s_1}}{b} - \ln r \right)^2}{\left( \frac{T_{3s_1}}{b} - \ln r \right) \frac{T_3}{\lambda} \frac{p'}{p} + \frac{1}{r}}. \tag{80}
\]

In this relation the constant \( p \) has already been defined by equation (73) and the additional symbol \( \phi \) represents another constant given by

\[
\phi = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \frac{pT_{fg}}{\rho T_{fg}}. \tag{82}
\]

A pseudo-Mach number is then formed from equations (72) and (81), giving

\[
M^2 = 2 \frac{\left[ (1 + \mu - \ln r_4) r_4 - (1 + \mu - \ln r) r \right]}{(\mu - \ln r)^2} \left[ \frac{\mu - \ln r}{r - r_4 + \phi} \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} + \frac{1}{r} \right]. \tag{83}
\]

Substituting the assumed conditions into equation (82) evaluates the constant as

\[
\phi = \frac{1.252}{0.252} = \frac{35613}{778.26} = \frac{1.8447}{491.69} = \frac{0.9608}{0.9608} = 0.888. \tag{84}
\]

With all of the constants in equation (83) now known the pseudo-Mach number is set equal to unity for the throat condition and the equation for the throat ratio becomes
\[(1.052 - \ln r_t)^2 = 2 [2.611 - (2.052 - \ln r_t) r_t] \left[ 3.968 \frac{1.052 - \ln r_t}{r_t - 0.86} + \frac{1}{r_t} \right] \]

which yields the solution already indicated in Table V as
\[t_t = 357.1^\circ \text{F}.\]  

Since the inlet temperature was 400\(^\circ\) F, this represents a temperature drop of only 43\(^\circ\) needed to establish the throat conditions, considerably smaller than that encountered with a diatomic ideal gas expanding from the same temperature.

Some of the results bearing on the accuracy of the empirical relationships used are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

**DISCUSSION**

The numerical examples used in this paper were not selected for any special purpose other than to illustrate the concepts and investigate the reliability of the empiricism that was also introduced into these examples. Indeed, the empirical relations are necessary before the pseudo-sonic velocity and Mach number can be calculated. The empirical calculations viewed in the context of exact, steam-table calculations are shown graphically in Figures 1, 2, and 5. In each of these graphs the choke point calculation makes use of the empirical equation for \(\Psi_T\) and \(p_T\), while the other points do not. Apparently the choke points fit in reasonably well with the other points. In Figure 4 a series of points calculated without recourse to the empirical formulations is compared to another empirical series. Again the agreement between the two appears quite satisfactory.

In Bursik and Onuf [1, 2] it is shown that the same appropriate form of the Gibbs' function can be used for the liquid-vapor regions of Freon-12, \(\text{CO}_2\), \(\text{Hg}\) and \(\text{NH}_3\), whereas it fails for sulphur and the solid-vapor regions of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CO}_2\).
In Bursik [2] the polytropic correlation is shown to give results at least as good as the H$_2$O correlation for the liquid–vapor regions of Freon 12, Hg, NH$_3$, CO$_2$, O$_2$ and sulphur, and for the solid–vapor regions of H$_2$O and CO$_2$.

The use of the empirical equations for $p$ and $\Psi$ permits choke point calculations to be made without the use of the quality; hence it is possible to obtain solutions outside the physical two-phase range. In the Rayleigh flow, for example, the pressure obtained after the choke temperature is calculated from equation (66) should be entered into equation (43) to calculate the specific volume at the choke state. This can then be checked against the saturated
specific volumes corresponding to the choke temperature (to determine whether a choke solution exists within the two phase region for the assumed inlet conditions).
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AN EMPIRICAL EQUATION FOR THE GIBBS\' FUNCTION

In Bursik and Onuf [1] the empirical approach to an equation representing the Gibbs\' function begins with the thermodynamic relation

\[
\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} \right)_{T} = \frac{1}{J} \left[ T \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_{v} - p \right]
\]

(87)

which is immediately specialized to two-phase regions by recognizing that the pressure is a function of the temperature. This permits the partial derivative of the pressure to be replaced by \( p'_{T} \) and equation (87) then becomes

\[
\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} \right)_{T} = \frac{1}{J} \left[ Tp' - p \right].
\]

(88)

Since the right side of equation (88) is a function of temperature only the equation may be partially integrated to give

\[
u = \frac{1}{J} \left[ Tp' - p \right] v + f(T)
\]

(89)

where \( f(T) \) is an arbitrary function of temperature resulting from the integration and is related to the Gibbs\' function as follows. The two-phase constant volume specific heat capacity is formed from equation (89) by differentiating partially with respect to the temperature. This results in

\[
\sigma_{v} = \frac{1}{J} Tp'' v + f'(T)
\]

(90)

where primes again denote differentiation with respect to the temperature. Comparison of equation (90) with equation (12) then provides the connection between \( f \) and \( \psi \) in the form:

\[
f'(T) = -T \psi''_{T}.
\]

(91)
In Bursik and Onuf [1] the main emphasis is on seeking correlations for the arbitrary temperature function, \( f(T) \). Then the Gibbs' function falls out as a byproduct through the integration of equation (91).

By virtue of the Clapeyron relation given by equation (8) and the two-phase thermodynamic relation

\[
T s_{fgT} = u_{fgT} + \frac{p v_{fgT}}{J}
\]

equation (89) becomes

\[
f(T) = u - \frac{u_{fgT}}{v_{fgT}} v.
\]

That the right side of equation (93) is indeed a function of the temperature becomes apparent when \( u \) and \( v \) are replaced by the two-phase relations

\[
u = u_T + x u_{fgT}
\]

and

\[
v = v_T + x v_{fgT}.
\]

These substitutions result in

\[
f(T) = u_T - \frac{u_{fgT}}{v_{fgT}} v_T.
\]

The right side of equation (96) contains terms that are generally tabulated functions of the temperature. Hence the appropriate tables permit the graphical study of \( f(T) \). An alternate form can be used for graphical study by adding and subtracting \( pv_f \) to the right side to introduce the enthalpy function. This procedure gives
\[ f(T) = h_{fgT} - \frac{h_{fgT}}{v_{fgT}} v_{fT} \quad \text{(97)} \]

For the liquid-vapor region of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), Table VI shows numerical values of \( f \) computed by use of equation (97) in conjunction with the Keenan and Keyes steam tables. When \( f \) is plotted as a function of the temperature, as shown in Figure 6, the points very closely approximate a straight line whose equation is

\[ f(t) = 0.9951 \ t - 31.848 \quad \text{(98)} \]

In this equation the substitution of temperature in Fahrenheit degrees gives \( f(t) \) in Btu/lbm. The last column in Table VI enables the comparison of the results obtained from the empirical equation (98) with those obtained from the steam table solution of equation (97).

In terms of the Rankine temperature, equation (98) becomes

\[ f(T) = 0.9951 \ T - \overline{a} \quad \text{(99)} \]

and in terms of the ratio defined by equation (52)

\[ f(r) = br - \overline{a} \quad \text{(100)} \]

where \( \overline{a} \) and \( b \) were defined by equations (51) and (52). With these, equation (91) can be transformed to one with \( r \) as the independent variable

\[ \frac{d\Psi'}{dr} + \frac{b}{r} = 0 \quad \text{(101)} \]

which has an integral

\[ \Psi' + b \ln r = c_1 \quad \text{(102)} \]

At the triple point \( r = 1 \), therefore, the constant is given by

\[ c_1 = \Psi' \quad \text{(103)} \]
FIGURE 6. THE FUNCTION $f(T)$ FOR $H_2O$ IN THE LIQUID-VAPOR REGION
TABLE VI. THE FUNCTION $f(t)$ FOR $H_2O$ IN THE LIQUID-VAPOR REGION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t (^\circ F)$</th>
<th>$f = h_f - \frac{h_{fg}}{v_{fg}} v_f$</th>
<th>$f = 0.9951 t - 31.848$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>- 0.005</td>
<td>- 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>7.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>28.01</td>
<td>27.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>47.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>67.70</td>
<td>67.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>87.80</td>
<td>87.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>127.6</td>
<td>127.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>147.6</td>
<td>147.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>167.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>187.4</td>
<td>187.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>207.3</td>
<td>207.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>227.2</td>
<td>227.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>247.2</td>
<td>247.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>267.1</td>
<td>267.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>287.0</td>
<td>287.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>306.9</td>
<td>306.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE VI. (Concluded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t (^{\circ} F)$</th>
<th>$f = h_f - \frac{h_{fg}}{v_{fg}} v_f$</th>
<th>$f = 0.9951 \ t - 31.848$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>326.9</td>
<td>326.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>346.8</td>
<td>346.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>366.7</td>
<td>366.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>386.5</td>
<td>386.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>406.3</td>
<td>406.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>426.1</td>
<td>426.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>446.8</td>
<td>445.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>465.5</td>
<td>465.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>485.2</td>
<td>485.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>504.8</td>
<td>505.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>524.4</td>
<td>525.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>544.0</td>
<td>545.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>563.8</td>
<td>565.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>583.1</td>
<td>585.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>602.1</td>
<td>605.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>621.1</td>
<td>624.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680</td>
<td>640.6</td>
<td>644.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>661.3</td>
<td>664.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and equation (102) becomes
\[
\frac{d\Psi}{dr} + b \ln r = \Psi'_{1} .
\] (104)

A second integration gives
\[
\Psi_{r} + b(r \ln r - r) = r\Psi'_{1} + c_{2} .
\] (105)

The constant \(c_{2}\) is evaluated by noting that at the triple point \(H_{2}O\) the Gibbs' function for the liquid-vapor region is arbitrarily set equal to zero in the Keenan and Keyes steam tables by virtue of the fact that both the entropy and enthalpy of the saturated liquid are set equal to zero at the triple point temperature in these tables. Hence
\[
c_{2} = -b - \Psi'_{1} .
\] (106)

With this result, equation (105) becomes
\[
\Psi_{r} = [b + \Psi'_{1}] (r - 1) - b r \ln r .
\] (107)

It may then be shown that
\[
b + \Psi'_{1} = \bar{a}
\] (108)
in the following manner: \(h_{fg}\) is first replaced in equation (97) by \(T_{s}\) and then the ratio \(s_{fg}/v_{fg}\) is in turn replaced by \(p'/J\) by the Clapeyron relation. If the resulting equation is written for the triple point temperature where \(h_{T_{3}}\) is zero for the Keenan and Keyes tables and then combined with equation (59), the result is
\[
\Psi'_{T_{3}} = -f(T_{3})
\] (109)

which becomes equation (108) in view of equations (99), (100) and (52). Thus equation (107) is reduced to equation (49) previously used in the numerical examples.

In Bursik and Onuf [1, 2] it is shown that this same approach holds approximately for a number of substances when their liquid-vapor regions are considered.
APPENDIX B.

A POLYTROPIC VAPOR PRESSURE CURVE THEORY

In Bursik [2] a one-assumption theory is developed for the pressure-temperature equation in any two-phase region by constructing an analog with ideal gases. For ideal gases any family of curves defined by assigning a value to the polytropic exponent $\lambda$ in the equation

$$p v^\lambda = c_1$$

has the property of transforming into the $T-s$ plane such that each member of the family has the same slope at the same temperature as is apparent from the slope expression for any member of the $\lambda$ family

$$\frac{dT}{ds} = \frac{T}{c_\lambda}$$

where $c_\lambda$ is the well known polytropic specific heat capacity. For a given gas $c_\lambda$ depends on the heat capacity ratio assumed to be constant and on the identity of the family of curves, namely the assigned value of $\lambda$. For the constant pressure family of curves $c_\lambda$ becomes $c_p$ and $\lambda$ becomes 0 while the constant volume family is characterized by $\lambda \to \infty$ with $c_\lambda$ becoming $c_v$. In this case as well as in the former, it is apparent that the substitution of $c_v$ into equation (111) requires the constant volume family of curves to have the property that all are parallel in the $T-s$ plane at the same temperature. The same is true for the constant pressure family and for any other family defined by assigning a fixed value to $\lambda$.

In the case of two-phase regions the situation is not as simple with respect to the transformation into the $T-s$ plane of polytropic families of curves, again defined by equation (110). Indeed the $T-s$ plane for $H_2O$ as found in the Keenan and Keyes [3] steam tables clearly shows that the curves of the constant volume family are not parallel to one another at the same temperature. The curves of the constant pressure family are parallel in this plane but this is trivial since the pressure is a function of the temperature which in turn is one of the coordinate axes.
If this trivial case is ignored and it is recognized that the polytropic family of constant volume curves has lost the parallelism property under transformation to the T-s plane, the question is whether there exists one family of polytropic curves associated with some one value of $\lambda$ that retains the parallelism property in the T-s plane.

To analyze the question the assumption is made that for any two-phase region there is one family of polytropic curves that retains the parallelism property under transformation to the T-s plane. When this assumption is imposed on two-phase thermodynamics, the polytropic family is further identified as the family of curves given by

$$ vp' = \text{constant} \tag{112} $$

and an expression for the pressure as an explicit function of the temperature is developed as is a procedure for calculating $\lambda$. Various other predictions result, all of which can then be tested against tabulated two-phase data.

The development of the polytropic two-phase theory is initiated by rewriting equation (10) as

$$ ds = \frac{1}{J} \, d \, (vp') - \Psi''dT \tag{113} $$

in which the terms have been regrouped by using the fact that

$$ d \, (vp') = vp''dT + p' \, dv \tag{114} $$

After $v$ is eliminated in equation (113) by introducing equation (110) the slope of any polytropic curve in the T-s plane is given by

$$ \frac{dT}{ds} = \frac{1}{c_1 \frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{d}{dT} \left( \frac{p'}{p^{1/\lambda}} - \Psi'' \right) \tag{115} $$

In this equation one assigned value of $\lambda$ identifies a family of curves and the constant $c_1$ then identifies a particular member of the family. In general, assigning a fixed number $\lambda$ does not result in only one value of the slope expression at a given temperature even though $p$ and $\Psi$ are temperature functions only; since, for these conditions, the number $c_1$ varies from curve to curve of the family. The assumption of the polytropic theory is that from the infinity of numbers that can be assigned to $\lambda$, there is one, namely
\[ \lambda = \lambda_0 \quad , \quad \text{(116)} \]

for which the entire family of curves

\[ P\lambda_0 = c_1 \quad \text{(117)} \]

transforms into the T-s plane such that the slope of each member of the family is the same at the same temperature. From equation (115) this can be true only if

\[ \frac{d}{dT} \left( \frac{p'}{p'^1/\lambda_0} \right) = 0 \quad . \quad \text{(118)} \]

Then the slope of any member of the family of curves will be given by

\[ \frac{dT}{ds} \bigg|_{\lambda_0} = - \frac{1}{\psi''} \quad . \quad \text{(119)} \]

Since the Gibbs' function depends only on the temperature, equation (119) reflects the basic assumption of the theory in that all members of the family \( \lambda = \lambda_0 \) now obviously have the same slope at the same temperature. Equally obvious, the polytropic family \( \lambda = \lambda_0 \) must be simultaneously identified as the \( \psi p' = \text{constant} \) family. At this point, the numerical value of \( \lambda_0 \) has not been identified. For simplicity the subscript on \( \lambda \) will be dropped with the understanding that only the polytropic family of curves \( \lambda = \lambda_0 \) is being discussed. With this convention equation (118) on the one hand integrates into

\[ p' = kp^{1/\lambda} \quad \text{(120)} \]

and on the other hand differentiates into the following non-dimensional form

\[ \frac{p'^2}{pp''} = \lambda \quad \text{(121)} \]

where both equations hold for all temperatures in the two-phase region considered; that is, equation (120) represents an integral of equation (121). If \( p_1 \) and \( p'^1_1 \) correspond to an arbitrary temperature reference in the two-phase region, say \( T_1 \), then the constant \( k \) in equation (120) can be written as
\[ k = \frac{p_1'}{p_1^{1/\lambda}} \quad (122) \]

It cannot be evaluated until \( \lambda \) is known. Integration of equation (120) and evaluation of the new constant of integration at the arbitrarily selected \( T_1 \) then gives

\[ T = T_1 + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda - 1} \left( p_1 - p_1' \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \right) \quad (123) \]

and this reduces to equation (64) when the Clapeyron equation (8) is used to eliminate \( p_1' \) in equation (122) and \( k \) is then eliminated in equation (123). Hence the temperature and pressure are explicitly related by either equation (123) or (64) and all that remains is to evaluate \( \lambda \). This evaluation can be performed with the use of equation (63); therefore this equation will be derived next.

From equations (120) and (122) the ratio \( p/p' \) is given by

\[ \frac{p}{p'} = \frac{p_1}{p_1'} \left( \frac{p}{p_1} \right)^{\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}} \quad (124) \]

The exponential term is then replaced by equation (64) and \( p_1' \) by equation (8), giving

\[ \frac{p}{p'} = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} (T - T_1) + \frac{p_1 v_{fg1}}{s_{fg1}} \quad (125) \]

which can be reduced to equation (63) by replacing \( T \) and \( T_1 \) in terms of \( r \) and \( r_1 \) from equation (52). The reference state corresponding to the subscript 1 is still arbitrary. Replacement of \( p' \) in equation (125) by equation (8) yields the form amenable to graphical analysis as

\[ \frac{p v_{fg}}{s_{fg}} = J \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} (T - T_1) + \frac{p_1 v_{fg1}}{s_{fg1}} \quad (126) \]

All of the quantities in the ratio on the left side of the equations are tabulated as a function of \( T \); therefore a plot of the ratio \( \frac{p v_{fg}}{s_{fg}} \) as a function of the temperature is predicted as a straight line by equation (126). If the prediction holds,
the polytropic exponent can be computed after the slope of the straight line is determined.

A second method of determining \( \lambda \) is obtained from equation (126) by substituting for \( pV_{fg} \) from the thermodynamic relation

\[
T_{s_{fg}} = u_{fg} + \frac{1}{J} pV_{fg}
\]

When this is done and the result is rearranged, another linear form is obtained as

\[
\frac{u_{fg}}{s_{fg}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} T + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) T_1 - \frac{pV_{fg1}}{J_{s_{fg1}}}
\]

from which \( \lambda \) can be obtained graphically. A final graphical determination of \( \lambda \) can also be made from a log-log plot of equation (120) with \( p' \) replaced by equation (8).

Figure 7 shows the raw steam table data plotted in the forms

\[
\frac{u_{fg}}{s_{fg}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{pV_{fg}}{J_{s_{fg}}}
\]

as functions of temperature. The encircled points are the raw data points and are shown in relation to the best apparent straight lines drawn through them. The data for the plot appear in Table VII. When the method of least squares was applied to both sets of data, each slope yielded the same value, namely 1.252. In Bursik [2] a further analysis of these data indicates that both sets are curves with inflection points, and an argument is then presented showing that the curves theoretically cannot have these inflection points. This of course cannot be offered in support of the polytropic theory; but on the other hand the data cannot by itself be used to disprove it because of the residual data error associated with the experimental inflection points.
FIGURE 7. THE RATIOS $\frac{u_{fg}}{s_{fg}}$ AND $\frac{pv_{fg}}{s_{fg}}$ FOR H$_2$O IN THE LIQUID-VAPOR REGION
TABLE VII. THE RATIOS $\frac{u_{fg}}{s_{fg}}$ AND $\frac{p_{fg}}{J_{s_{fg}}}$ FOR H$_2$O IN THE LIQUID-VAPOR REGION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (°F)</th>
<th>$\frac{p_{fg}}{J_{s_{fg}}}$ (*R)</th>
<th>$\frac{u_{fg}}{s_{fg}}$ (*R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>482.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>526.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>40.38</td>
<td>569.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>48.37</td>
<td>611.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>57.18</td>
<td>652.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>66.75</td>
<td>692.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>732.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>87.86</td>
<td>771.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>99.19</td>
<td>810.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>849.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>887.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>925.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>965.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>151.2</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C.

THE EFFECT OF INTER-PHASE HEAT TRANSFER

In single-phase descriptions an infinitesimal disturbance is assumed to propagate through the medium in such a way that condensations and rarefactions take place so rapidly that there is no opportunity for heat to flow from any one element of the fluid to its neighbors. This gives rise to the isentropic pressure-density relation in the right side of equation (13). If the same argument is used in the case of two-phase flow then an immediate time-scale contradiction arises. The constant entropy condition again reflects the thermal isolation of any element from neighboring elements but this condition simultaneously requires the flow of heat between the two phases within the element--hence, the terminology, "pseudo-sonic velocity."

That the isentropic transformation of a saturated two-phase system involves a flow of heat between the phases is illustrated with the use of the model in Figure 8.

In the model it is convenient to think of the closed, saturated, two-phase system as being made up of three closed subsystems. In the initial state shown on the left, these are indicated by "g" for the vapor phase of mass m and "f" for the liquid phase which is further subdivided into a liquid system of mass dm and another mass mf. For the infinitesimally displaced system shown on the right it is assumed that the mass dm of liquid has been transformed to vapor such that now two "g" subsystems and only one "f" subsystem appear. Only first-order terms are considered for the properties in the displaced state. The length dimensions are shown as volumes since they are proportional to one another. An energy description for all of the subsystems in the two states is obtained simply by changing the symbol v for u wherever v appears in the model. Since W is the total mass of the two-phase system the quality is given by

\[ x = \frac{m_g}{W} \]  \hspace{1cm} (129)

and

\[ 1 - x = \frac{m_f + dm}{W} \approx \frac{m_f}{W} \]  \hspace{1cm} (130)
To within first order quantities the reversible first law expressions for each of the three closed subsystems become

\[ dQ_g = m_g \left( u_g' + \frac{1}{J} p v_g' \right) dT \]  \hspace{1cm} (131)

\[ dQ_{fg} = (u_{fg} + \frac{1}{J} p v_{fg}) \ dm \]  \hspace{1cm} (132)

\[ dQ_f = m_f \left( u_f' + \frac{1}{J} p v_f' \right) dT \]  \hspace{1cm} (133)
where \( dQ_g \) is the heat transferred to the closed vapor system of mass \( m_g \),
\( dQ_{fg} \) is the heat transferred to the closed system of mass \( dm \) that undergoes
phase change and \( dQ_f \) pertains to the closed liquid system of mass \( m_f \).

If \( dm \) is replaced by \( dm_g \), then the use of equations (129) and (130)
enables the set of equations (131) through (135) to be summed into

\[
dQ_g + dq_{fg} + dQ_f = W \left[ \left\{ (1 - x) u_f' + x u_g' \right\} \, dT 
+ u_{fg} \, dx + \frac{1}{J} \, p \, dv \right]
\]

(134)

The right side of equation (135) is recognized as the heat transfer to the com-
posite two-phase system and is denoted by \( dQ \); that is,

\[
dQ = dQ_g + dQ_{fg} + dQ_f
\]

(135)

The terms appearing on the right side of equation (135) are then
split into external and internal parts since any subsystem may receive heat
from the surroundings external to the composite two-phase system as well as
from an adjacent subsystem within the composite two-phase system. Thus

\[
dQ_g = dQ_{ge} + dQ_{gi}
\]

(136)

\[
dQ_{fg} = dQ_{fge} + dQ_{fgi}
\]

(137)

\[
dQ_f = dQ_{fe} + dQ_{fi}
\]

(138)

In this manner the formulation approaches that of Prigogine [4]. Here the
additional subscripts e and i refer respectively to the external and internal
parts.

If the composite system is assumed to be insulated, then each of
the three closed subsystems is likewise insulated from the same external
surroundings; that is, each of the \( dQ \) terms associated with the subscript e is
zero and for this case equations (136) through (138) reduce to
\[ dQ_g = dQ_{gi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (139)  \\
\[ dQ_{fg} = dQ_{fgi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (140)  \\
\[ dQ_f = dQ_{fi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (141)

and the right side of equation (134) is zero for this constant entropy process of
the composite two-phase system. Substitution of equations (139), (140) and
(141) into equation (134) results in

\[ dQ_{gi} + dQ_{fgi} + dQ_{fi} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (142)

While equation (142) requires the sum of the internal heat transfers
to be zero it does not necessarily require the individual terms to be zero. That
these terms cannot be individually zero is easily demonstrated by assuming that
they are zero and then showing resulting contradictions. For example, setting
the right side of equation (140) equal to zero requires from equation (132)
that the latent heat of evaporation be likewise equal to zero. Similarly, setting
equation (133) equal to zero results in \( s'_f \) being zero everywhere on the satu-
rated liquid curve and equation (131) set equal to zero would require \( s'_f \) to
be zero everywhere on the saturated vapor curve. Since none of these results
is true, the conclusion is that an isentropic transformation of a saturated,
two-phase system is accompanied by internal heat transfer between the phases
of the system. The importance of this inter-phase heat transfer will be in-
vestigated for the simple model of Figure 8 by comparing the expression for
the pseudo-sonic velocity of equation (15) with an expression for the average
velocity of sound to be derived.

When the piston in Figure 8 is withdrawn slightly the disturbance
propagates through the vapor with a velocity denoted by \( a_g \). The time \( \tau_g \) for
the disturbance to travel the entire vapor distance \( L_g \) is then

\[ \tau_g = L_g / a_g \]  \hspace{1cm} (143)  \\

but

\[ L_g / L_g = x_v / v_g \]  \hspace{1cm} (144)
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therefore
\[ \tau_g = \frac{L}{v} \frac{x v g}{a_g} \]  \hspace{1cm} (145)

similarly
\[ \tau_f = \frac{L}{v} \frac{(1 - x) v_f}{a_f} \]  \hspace{1cm} (146)

where \( \tau_f \) is, of course, the time for the transmitted part of the disturbance to travel through the liquid phase. The total time, \( \tau \), taken for the disturbance to travel through both phases is the sum of the two phase times \( \tau_g \) and \( \tau_f \) and this is given by
\[ \tau = \frac{L}{v} \left[ x \frac{v}{a_g} + (1 - x) \frac{v_f}{a_f} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (147)

If the average velocity of propagation, denoted by \( \bar{a} \), is taken as the total distance traveled divided by the total time of travel, then
\[ \bar{a} = \frac{v}{x \frac{v}{a_g} + (1 - x) \frac{v_f}{a_f}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (148)

This equation will be compared to the pseudo-sonic velocity equation given by a modified form of equation (78) in which \( \psi'' \) is eliminated by equation (56); \( p'' \) by (61) and \( p' \) by equation (8). This results in the following expression for the pseudo-sonic velocity:
\[ a^2 = \frac{g_c}{\frac{1}{\lambda pv} + \frac{b}{JTT_3} \left( \frac{v_{fg}}{v s_{fg}} \right)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (149)
The comparison of these two equations will be done at a fixed temperature of 400° in order to bring out the effect of the quality. At this temperature equation (149) reduces to the computational form

\[a^2 = \frac{32.17 \times 10^6}{22.428 + 5.4284 \frac{n}{v^2}} \]  

(150)

Before equation (148) can be reduced to a computational form, the pair of numbers \(a_g\) and \(a_f\) in that equation need to be determined. It is assumed that these are obtained from equation (150) by respectively replacing \(v\) first by \(v_g\) and then by \(v_f\). This, in effect, forces equations (148) and (150) to intersect at \(x = 0\) and \(x = 1\). The justification for this lies in the fact that at each of these points only one phase is present, hence there is no interphase heat transfer phenomenon.

With this assumption the computational form of equation (148) becomes

\[ \bar{a} = \frac{v \times 10^3}{0.4283 + 0.7832x} \]  

(151)

and this is compared at a temperature of 400° F to equation (150) at eleven uniformly spaced values of the quality in Table VIII. Bearing in mind the empiricism employed in these computations it appears that the maximum deviation between \(a\) and \(\bar{a}\) occurs at about a quality of 0.5.

As one final note, it emphasized that the \(\bar{a}\) of equation (151) corresponds to an infinitesimal expansion of the original saturated vapor and liquid. If the disturbance generated at the piston of Figure 8 is a compression then, in the absence of metastable effects, the saturated liquid is displaced infinitesimally into the subcooled region and the saturated vapor into the superheat region. In this case the saturated sonic velocity corresponding to the single, subcooled phase can be about two orders of magnitude higher than the value computed at the same point from the two-phase side by use of equation (149).
TABLE VIII. COMPARISON OF THE PSEUDO-SONIC VELOCITY WITH AN AVERAGE SONIC VELOCITY AT 400° F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>a ft/sec</th>
<th>( \bar{a} ) ft/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>43.52</td>
<td>43.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>363.6</td>
<td>400.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>583.9</td>
<td>662.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>758.2</td>
<td>862.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>905.6</td>
<td>1020.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>1148.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>1253.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>1341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1357</td>
<td>1417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>1538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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