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As part of NASA's tropospheric research program on the effects of solid rocket motor and launch vehicle effluents on ground-level air quality, the Langley Research Center initiated in 1972 a program to develop an in situ technique to monitor low concentrations of hydrogen chloride gas in ambient air. The program required instrumentation capable of measuring concentrations of hydrogen chloride gas in parts per million or less with response times from a few seconds to less than a minute. This paper summarizes the results of the instrument development program, presents the status of each technique considered, and summarizes the available laboratory data for each technique. This paper is not an all-inclusive summary of hydrogen chloride detection techniques. Nine basic techniques are discussed, ranging from concentration (parts per million) to dosage only (parts per million-seconds) measurement techniques. Data for each technique include lower detection limit, response time, instrument status, and in some cases, specificity. Several techniques discussed can detect ambient hydrogen chloride concentrations below 1 part per million with a response time of seconds.
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SUMMARY

As part of the tropospheric research program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration on the effects of solid rocket motor and launch vehicle effluents on ground-level air quality, the Langley Research Center initiated in 1972 a program to develop an in situ technique to monitor low concentrations of hydrogen chloride gas in ambient air. The program required instrumentation capable of measuring concentrations of hydrogen chloride gas in parts per million or less with response times from a few seconds to less than a minute. Methods described in the literature as well as newly conceived techniques were evaluated in the laboratory and, in some cases, in the field. This paper summarizes the results of the instrument development program, presents the status of each technique considered, and includes the available laboratory data for each technique. This report is not intended to be an all-inclusive summary of hydrogen chloride detection techniques but rather a summary of the efforts and experience at Langley Research Center in the instrument development program. The data are presented so that the reader can judge the best technique for individual application. For each technique discussed, an operating and laboratory tested instrument exists.

This report discusses nine basic techniques for monitoring low parts-per-million concentrations of hydrogen chloride gas in moist ambient environments. The nine techniques are: (1) bubbler, (2) pH, (3) indicator tube, (4) microcoulometer, (5) modified condensation nuclei counter, (6) dual isotope infrared, (7) gas filter correlation, (8) chemiluminescent nitric oxide detection, and (9) chemiluminescent luminol oxidation. The first three techniques are predominantly dosage (parts per million-seconds) measurement techniques. Data presented for each measurement technique include lower detection limit, response time and, in some cases, specificity. Techniques (4), (6), and (9) have the capability to detect ambient hydrogen chloride concentrations below 1 part per million. Only techniques (1), (4), and (9) are considered operational techniques requiring minimal additional laboratory investigation. The other six techniques are classified as laboratory techniques, requiring extensive additional laboratory investigation, or as research and development techniques, requiring either major hardware or conceptual design changes.

INTRODUCTION

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) is actively pursuing tropospheric and stratospheric environmental studies in conjunction
with the launch and operation of rocket vehicles. One major portion of the
tropospheric program is directed toward measuring and predicting the impact
of rocket exhaust clouds produced at launch on the surface level air quality.
Since 1972, the Langley Research Center has been conducting a launch vehicle
effluent (LVE) monitoring program (refs. 1 to 5) at the John F. Kennedy Space
Center, using regularly scheduled launch vehicles (primarily Titan III) as
targets of opportunity. The goal of the LVE program is to assess the appli-
cability and accuracy of diffusion models for predicting the dispersion of
exhaust effluents from current and future launch vehicles used by NASA. The
approach employed to meet these objectives is the measurement of rocket
exhaust products produced by the launch of large solid rocket motor launch
vehicles. Measurements are made both at surface level and within the "stabi-
lized ground cloud" formed in the troposphere as the result of the launch.
These measurements are then compared with various analytical schemes
(refs. 6, 7, and 8) for predicting the exhaust effluent concentrations.

Early in the LVE program, it was determined that detection techniques
for hydrogen chloride (HCl) gas, a major exhaust product from combustion in
most solid rocket motors, were inadequate. Several techniques were available
for detection of hydrogen chloride in a dry environment and at concentrations
of about 50 parts per million by volume (ppm) or higher. However, initial
field and laboratory studies demonstrated that these techniques showed the
following deficiencies: (1) could not detect HCl in realistic ambient envi-
ronment because of the high reactivity of HCl in the presence of moisture;
(2) did not have satisfactory response time; and (3) did not have detection
limits of 1 ppm or lower. Therefore, as a result of this need for a high
performance HCl detector to monitor ambient air concentrations, Langley
Research Center began to develop a suitable detector in-house and by con-
tract. The purpose of this report is to summarize the various techniques
which have been evaluated or developed. This report is not intended to be
an all-inclusive summary of HCl detection techniques, but rather a summary
of the experience in search of a suitable detector at Langley Research Cen-
ter. This paper focuses on the more general problem of the detection of HCl
gas in ambient air rather than specifically on the LVE problem. It is hoped
that this document together with the survey in reference 9 represent the cur-
rent state of the art in HCl (gas) ambient air monitors.

More specifically, this paper describes the operational principles of
various HCl detectors. Laboratory and field data are cited as needed to
present the capabilities of each of the instruments. In some cases, the
instruments described are commercially available and are currently being
used; in other cases, only the breadboard instrument exists, and further
investigation of the technique is not anticipated.

MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES

Before discussing the various instruments, it is necessary to discuss
briefly the measurement environment and the gaseous species, HCl. HCl gas is
hygroscopic in nature, showing a strong affinity for moisture and moist sur-
faces. Analysis of HCl in dry environments (i.e., HCl in dry nitrogen (N₂))
is considerably easier than in moist ambient environments. The major problem
encountered in ambient analysis of HCl is the transport of the sample to the
detection region of the particular instrument without serious depletion
(reaction) of HCl. Once this is accomplished, detection is generally not a
major problem. In ambient air, HCl is generally found in two states: as a
gas and as a liquid acid aerosol. Although some of the instruments show capa-
bilities of detecting both HCl states, this report focuses on the detection
of gaseous HCl existing in the moist ambient environment.

Historical Hydrogen Chloride Detection Techniques

A quick search of the literature for established methods of detecting
acids or HCl in gas mixtures shows that bubblers, pH measurements, and indica-
tor tubes are among the most frequently employed techniques. Therefore, it
is appropriate to discuss these techniques first.

Bubbler method.—The bubbler has been for some time a standard labora-
tory and field sampling device for many gas species. In its simplest form,
the bubbler is a device in which a trapping solution is stored and through
which an air sample is drawn. The trapping solution captures and retains
some ion or chemical complex associated with the gas species being detected.
The trapping solution is analyzed in the laboratory. The trapped ion concen-
tration is then related to the ambient air concentration of the gas specie
through the air sampling rate, sampling time, trapping solution volume, chem-
ical formulation of the gas species, and capture coefficient of the trapping
solution. Typically, the bubbler configuration, air sampling rate, and trap-
ping solution composition and volume are chosen to produce a capture coeffi-
cient of unity. The bubbler technique provides a time integrated measurement
giving the average concentration for the time period sampled. However, the
bubbler method gives no information about maximum concentration or concentra-
tion as a function of time. This method does permit various trapping solu-
tions and analysis techniques, depending upon the gas being detected.

The bubbler system of interest in this investigation is shown in figure 1. Table I gives the operational parameters for this system. Although
many bubbler configurations, trapping solutions, and analysis techniques can
be selected for the detection of HCl, the system described in figure 1 and
table I is representative of the capabilities of the bubbler technique for
detection of HCl. This bubbler system has a capture coefficient of at least
0.99, provided the ratio of air sample rate to trapping solution volume is
200 min⁻¹ or less. Since the analysis technique is chloride ion detection,
the background chloride ion concentration of the trapping solution (water)
must be known or be negligible when compared with the sample. Laboratory
analysis has shown that background chloride ion concentrations of approxi-
mately 0.2 ng/µl (0.2 ppm in solution) can be readily obtained in deionized-
distilled water.

The coulometric (ref. 10) detection of chloride ions is based on auto-
matic titration of chloride ion with silver ion producing silver chloride
(AgCl) precipitate. The heart of the instrument is the titration cell, which
contains acetic acid electrolyte and two pairs of electrodes: a sensing pair
(silver versus silver acetate) and a generating pair (silver versus platinum).
The concentration of silver ions in the cell is maintained at $10^{-7}$ M by a 250 mV potential bias across the sensing electrodes. Any change in silver ion concentration as the result of the introduction of chloride ions into the cell is detected by the sensing electrodes as a potential difference. This difference results, through the coulometer electronics, in a generation of silver titrant at the generator electrode. The total quantity of current (in coulombs) required to return the cell to its initial silver ion concentration is related to the quantity of silver ion generated and, consequently, to the quantity of chloride ion introduced into the cell. To analyze the chloride ion concentration of the bubbler trapping solution, precise quantities of the solution are injected into the coulometer cell. The detection limit of the coulometer for a given chloride ion sample is approximately 3 ng. Laboratory studies show that a maximum of 30 μl of sample can be injected into the cell for analysis before dilution effects become serious. Thus, concentrations of 0.1 ng/μl of chloride ion can be detected. The detection capability of the bubbler system shown in figure 1 and table I is approximately 50 ppm-sec and is limited by the background chloride concentration of the trapping solution.

Table II summarizes the measured performance of the bubbler system of figure 1. The data of table II were obtained by preparing HCl-ambient air mixtures ranging from 0.05 to 10 ppm and by sampling these mixtures with the bubbler over periods ranging from 2 minutes up to 1 hour. The theoretical dosages (input to bubbler) are accurate to approximately ±10 percent. The shown confidence intervals are based on a sample size of 5 bubblers at each concentration. Table II shows that at all dosage levels investigated, the average (5 bubblers) measured dosage is accurate to within the expected ±10 percent accuracy of the input dosages. However, with a single bubbler measurement, an accuracy of ±20 percent or better can only be attained above 200 ppm-sec because only above 200 ppm-sec is the confidence interval (hence, the standard deviation of the measurement) of reasonable magnitude as compared with the input dosage.

**pH measurement method.**—Since HCl is hygroscopic and readily forms an acid with water, pH measurements are obviously a detection method for HCl. Several types of pH measurement techniques have been tried. First, a technique similar to the bubbler technique can be used in which the pH of the trapping solution (rather than chloride concentration) is measured in the laboratory. This technique has limited application because of pH variations in the trapping solution as a result of dissolved carbon dioxide ($CO_2$). Secondly, an instrument can be built (ref. 11) similar to the standard pH meter except that the pH electrodes are immersed in a single water droplet instead of in a liquid bath. In this case, the incoming airstream impinges on the water droplet formed on the tip of the pH electrodes. The water droplet absorbs the HCl from the airstream, and a pH change is observed. Periodically (typically every 20 sec), the pH electrodes are flushed with clean water, forming a new droplet; and the measurement cycle is reinitiated. The final pH of each water droplet is related to the total HCl sampled during that time. (See ref. 11.)

A third pH measurement technique for gaseous HCl is the pH-sensitive paper method. Certain natural and synthetic substances (for example; methyl
orange, methyl red, etc.) have the property of changing color when the hydro-
gen ion concentration in the solution attains a definite value. In determin-
ing the hydrogen ion concentration of a solution, a number of indicators can
be used and, by a process of elimination, the hydrogen ion concentration can
be fixed within rather narrow limits. Commercial pH papers (similar to lit-
mus paper) are available which have discrete color changes for 1/2 pH incre-
ments from 1 to 14 pH. In the presence of ambient moisture, the HCl disasso-
ciates to produce hydrogen ions; these ions produce the color change in the
pH paper. These color changes are related to HCl dosage (concentration by
time) by laboratory calibration. Concentrations of 1-ppm HCl for a duration
of 1 minute have been detected in laboratory chamber tests. The pH papers
are available as strips and as sheets. This pH-sensitive technique has had
limited success because of problems with nonpermanent color changes and paper
sensitivity to ambient humidity.

Indicator tube.- Generally, indicator tubes for HCl detection contain a
granular material, typically silica gel, impregnated with a substance which
changes color upon exposure to HCl. Two such substances currently used are
pH-sensitive dyes and silver nitrate (AgNO₃) emulsions. The impregnated
matrix is sealed in a glass tube. When ready for use, the tips of the tube
are broken off, and the air sample is drawn over the impregnated matrix.
After pulling a fixed volume of sample air through the tube, a stain is devel-
oped whose length is compared to laboratory calibration to determine the aver-
age HCl concentration. Detection limit is approximately 1 ppm over a 2- to
5-minute sampling period. Sampling flow rate is limited to a few hundred
cubic centimeters per minute. The tubes are available commercially; however,
because of the limited accuracy of the technique, this method is most suited
for initial assessment.

Other types of indicator tube techniques can be devised; for example,
the tubes can be coated with various chemical compounds designed to trap the
HCl. The tubes are then returned to the laboratory where, through proper
processing, the HCl or chloride ion is released by the coating and then quanti-
tified. Such a technique has been evaluated (Contract NAS 1-13175-1, Vir-
ginia Polytechnic Institute and State University), and the results are repre-
sentative of this type of approach. In the particular application discussed
here, glass capillary tubes (1 mm in internal diameter and 1 m in length)
coated with a dried solution of sodium nitrate (10⁻² M NaNO₃) sample the HCl
gas stream at a rate of about 100 cm³/min. The NaNO₃ coating traps the HCl
in the gas stream, and the tubes are returned to the laboratory for analysis.
In the laboratory, the interior walls of the tubes are washed with 20 µl to
30 µl of distilled water, and a 10-µl sample of the wash water is analyzed
for chloride using the coulometer technique (see bubbler discussion). The
wash water is introduced into the coated tube through capillary action of
the tube.

Figure 2 shows the results obtained in the laboratory using the HCl-
moist air mixture at 4.2-ppm HCl. The theoretical line in figure 2 indicates
the calculated results expected from the technique. As shown, the technique
gives a time integrated measurement (ppm-sec) rather than a concentration
measurement. The lower detection limit of the technique is about 10 ppm-sec
(25-ng chloride) and is limited by the chloride content of both the wash
water and the coating. The upper detection limit is a function of the tube length and diameter. As shown in figure 2, the 1-mm-diameter by 1-m-long tubes are not efficient trappers above about 170 ppm-sec. Additional laboratory work would be required to determine the upper limit of the coated tubes as a function of tube dimensions. In addition, more detailed laboratory work is needed to evaluate the lifetime of the coating, the repeatability of the tube measurement, and the accuracy of the technique. Initial laboratory studies suggest that these areas present only minor problems and should not place major limitations on the applicability of this technique.

Recently Developed Hydrogen Chloride Techniques

This section discusses some of the newly developed techniques for the detection of gaseous HCl in the ambient environment. In some cases, the techniques are highly successful; in other cases, applications appear to be limited. All techniques discussed are in situ sampling methods requiring no laboratory analysis to obtain the HCl concentration or dosage data. In addition, for each technique discussed, an instrument employing the method has been constructed and tested.

Microcoulometer method.- The microcoulometer method of detecting HCl is described in detail in reference 12, and most of the following discussion is from that reference. The instrument is the same unit used in the chloride ion analysis of the bubbler trapping solution discussed earlier, except that an inlet system is added to the titration cell, and a sample pump draws the gaseous HCl sample mixture directly into the titration cell. The coulometer is operated in the continuous mode, and the incoming HCl gas bubbles through the electrolyte in the titration cell. The silver ions in the electrolyte react with the HCl, form the AgCl precipitate, and this reaction changes the silver ion concentration of the electrolyte. The silver ion imbalance is detected by the sensing electrodes, and the detection results in subsequent generation of silver ion at the generating electrodes. As discussed earlier, the amount of silver generated can be related to the quantity of HCl introduced into the titration cell. The titration cell in the continuous mode has a detection limit of approximately 0.08 ppm HCl at a flow rate of 200 cm³/min. Once the HCl reaches the titration cell, its detection is simple and presents no problem; however, design and construction of a suitable inlet system has been more troublesome. A suitable inlet must allow the HCl to reach the titration cell without serious loss of HCl and with a response time characteristic of the phenomena being sampled. Much of the research described in reference 12 deals with the inlet system problem where the desired response time is approximately 1 minute.

A brief summary of reference 12 shows that the authors found that glass made the most suitable inlet tube and that inlet tube length should be minimized for any given sampling requirement. In addition, to alleviate loss of HCl in the inlet tube, it is necessary both to passivate the inlet tube (typically 30-min exposure to 5 ppm HCl-dry N₂ mixture), and to maintain the tube at 50° C during the sampling operation. Under these conditions, the coulometer response to a constant HCl concentration is similar to the response shown in figure 3. The area under the coulometer trace and the plateau (equi-
librium) output value has been shown both analytically and experimentally to equal, respectively, the total quantity of the HCl sampled and the concentration of the HCl mixture. The detection limit of the system is approximately 0.08-ppm HCl for a sample flow of 200 cm³/min (maximum permissible flow due to titration cell construction is 400 cm³/min).

As shown in figure 3, a steady-state response is not obtained until approximately 1 min after the sample has been introduced into the inlet tube. This delay suggests that considerations must be given to the time frequency of the variation of the HCl being sampled. This delay is best illustrated in figure 4. Figure 4 shows the coulometer trace for 6-min, 1-min, and 30-sec samplings of 5 ppm of HCl in N₂. As previously noted, the mass of HCl sampled or the HCl concentration can readily be determined for the 6-min data. However, this is not the case for the 1-min and 30-sec samples. As shown in figure 4, a plateau response is never obtained for these two cases, and the concentration of the incoming HCl mixture cannot be directly determined. Techniques for estimating the HCl concentration when a plateau response is not obtained are discussed in reference 12, but these estimation techniques require an assumption about the time dependence of the concentration of the incoming HCl stream. However, for all three cases shown in figure 4, the area under the data trace is equivalent to the mass of HCl sampled. Figure 5 shows a typical data trace obtained in the field during launch vehicle monitoring at the John F. Kennedy Space Center. As indicated in the figure, a plateau response was not obtained. The plateau sensitivity of the instrument for the measurements shown in figure 5 is 0.6 mV/ppm.

To summarize, the microcoulometer method is one of the more reliable methods for monitoring ambient HCl dosages and, in many cases, concentrations. For many applications, the limitation of the technique for determining HCl concentrations is not serious. The microcoulometer instrument is available commercially, but the inlet system is not.

Modified condensation nuclei counter method.—The modified condensation nuclei counter method is shown schematically in figure 6. This method works on the principle of converting HCl to ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) by passing the air sample over a solution of ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH). The NH₄Cl particles are detected by a conventional condensation nuclei counter using light scattering principles. The particle count is then related by laboratory calibration to the HCl concentration of the incoming sample stream. The method exists as a breadboard unit which has been evaluated in the laboratory for HCl-dry N₂ mixtures from about 1- to 10-ppm HCl. Figure 7 shows a diagram of the HCl converter. Because of the sensitivity of the condensation nuclei counter, only a portion of the incoming HCl stream is reacted with the ammonia. The primary problem with the technique is a steadily increasing particle (background) count with increased instrument usage. This particle count is attributed to the reactivity of both HCl and ammonia in the presence of water and the resulting internal corrosion of the instrument. Replacement of the HCl converter components with more inert (Teflon) components has failed to alleviate the problem. Much of the corrosion occurs in the condensation nuclei counter, and the only recognized solution is to rebuild the counter using inert materials. Initial laboratory results indicated that the instrument has some potential to detect 1- to 2-ppm HCl with a response.
time of a few seconds. However, the problem of corrosion negates any meaning-
ful conclusions. As previously noted, primary changes in the breadboard
unit would be required for additional studies to be conducted. In addition,
the preliminary laboratory results indicate that the inlet filter, the inlet
tubulation, and the silicone rubber membrane (see fig. 7) would result in
significant HCl scavenging when moist HCl environments are sampled. Removal
of the inlet filter would cause additional problems with the particulate
loading in the ambient environment. Only the breadboard instrument exists,
and additional development is not anticipated.

**Dual isotope infrared absorption method.** The dual isotope method
belongs to the nondispersive infrared class of analyzers. In the present
application for HCl detection, two cells each containing a stable isotopic
species of hydrogen chloride, HCl\(^{35}\) or HCl\(^{37}\), are mounted in a chopper wheel
assembly and rotated to alternately filter radiation from an infrared source.
The two alternating infrared beams, one missing HCl\(^{35}\) radiation and one miss-
ing HCl\(^{37}\) radiation, are passed through the sample cell (ambient HCl is
approximately 75-percent HCl\(^{35}\) and 25-percent HCl\(^{37}\)) where the beam missing
HCl\(^{35}\) is only slightly reduced in energy, and the beam missing HCl\(^{37}\) is
strongly reduced. After passing through the sample cell, the alternating
radiation pulses are converted to electrical signals by a solid-state detec-
tor. Ratio comparisons of the two alternating signals and proper signal con-
ditioning yield a voltage proportional to the HCl concentration in the sample
cell. Theoretically, the instrument responds only to gaseous HCl. Changes
in optical and transmission properties due to contamination or presence of
other known pollutants affect both pulses equally but do not affect the ratio
measurement. Figure 8 shows a diagram of the operation of the instrument.
The energy spectra of the radiation at various points within the unit are
also shown in figure 8.

Currently, the instrument exists as a breadboard unit which has been
evaluated in the laboratory. This laboratory evaluation is discussed in
detail in reference 13 and thus is only summarized in this report. Figure 9
shows a typical data trace from the instrument. For this particular trace,
a mixture of 9-ppm HCl in dry N\(_2\) was introduced into the instrument at a flow
rate of approximately 1 l/min. Studies of this type showed the detector to
have a lower detection limit of about 0.3 ppm and a response time to 90 per-
cent of reading at approximately 8 sec. Additional studies at flow rates of
1 l/sec showed that a response time of approximately 2.2 sec could be
obtained (electronic time constant of instrument is 2.2 sec) without signifi-
cant increases in noise-to-signal ratio. Interference studies for such spe-
cies as CO, CO\(_2\), H\(_2\)O, CH\(_4\), and n-C\(_6\)H\(_{14}\) are summarized in table III. For
these interference studies, as well as others reported in this paper, the
interference species was introduced to the instrument in the absence of any
HCl. A major problem with the instrument has been the detection of HCl in
moist airstreams when both HCl and water vapor condense on the walls of the
sample cell. The result of this condensation has been unacceptable zero sta-
bility. Redesign of the sample cell or incorporation of an "open" cell into
the instrument should minimize or eliminate this problem. In the present
configuration, the instrument is not acceptable for monitoring HCl in moist
ambient environments at ppm concentrations.
Gas filter correlation method.—The gas filter correlation spectrophotometer (ref. 14) is a modification of the nondispersive infrared analyzer. Radiation from a source, at a wavelength of 3 to 4 μm, passes through the sample cell where it is spectrally absorbed by HCl and is also absorbed by interfering gases. The radiation, having traversed the sample cell, is alternately passed through a reference cell (typically N₂) or through a specifying cell (HCl). When the radiation traverses the reference cell, it is unattenuated (unabsorbed); but when the radiation traverses the specifying cell, it is further attenuated at the absorption lines of HCl. The result is an alternating signal generated at the detector, and the magnitude of the signal is related to the concentration of the gaseous HCl in the sample cell. Figure 10 shows a diagram of the instrument. The lower detection limit of an instrument of this type is about 1 ppm with a response of a few seconds to 90-percent reading. Lower detection limits are possible by trade-offs with specificity; this trade-off is usually a problem area, however, since both methane (CH₄) and water vapor have interference lines in the 3.5-μm range, and both are common ambient constituents. At the 1-ppm lower detection limit, these interferents cause no difficulty; however, a primary problem can be sorptive losses of HCl in the sample cell. For application in moist ambient environments, the basic technique can be extended to an "open" cell sampling approach which eliminates the sorptive loss problem.

An open cell gas filter correlation instrument has been constructed to make HCl concentration measurements in the ambient environment. The instrument developed is a dual channel model which senses both CO and HCl. This instrument is designed to operate while located in the cabin of an aircraft, and a retroreflector is located externally. The open cell is that area between the instrument and the retroreflector. Figure 11 shows a diagram of the instrument. The 5-m distance between the instrument and the retroreflector results in a total optical path for the measurement of 10 m. The open cell correlation instrument is similar in principle to the closed cell instrument already described and is summarized below. (See fig. 11.)

As previously mentioned, the infrared source, chopper, split cell, optics, and detectors are packaged in a unit and are located at a cabin window within the aircraft. The retroreflector is located on the wing tip of the aircraft, 5 m from the cabin window. The infrared source radiance is passed through a chopper wheel and then is modulated by a rotating split gas cell. One section of the split cell contains HCl diluted with dry N₂, whereas the other contains CO diluted with dry N₂. Since the spectral bands of HCl and CO do not overlap, the alternate cell is used as a reference cell for each of the gases. (The CO-N₂ cell is the reference cell for the HCl measurement.) The chopped light is then collimated by a lens onto a beam splitter which directs the light through the window, and onto the retroreflector to monitor the HCl and CO outside the aircraft. The reflector bends the beam back through the window, then through the beam splitter, and the light is focused by a second lens and beam splitter onto two solid-state detectors. Narrow band-pass filters are located in front of each detector so that only the absorption of a selected HCl band (3.4 μm) is observed by one detector, and another selected CO band (4.6 μm) is observed by the other detector. These signals are separated electronically by using the modulating and chopper frequency as references. The signals between the corresponding reference cell
and specifying cell are subtracted and related to the pollutant average concentration in the 10-m optical path. Output variations as the result of infrared source variations, transmission changes of the optical path, and temperature changes are adjusted by comparison of a reference signal with the signal difference between the two cells.

Figure 12 shows the results of HCl laboratory calibration of the instrument. The calibration technique consists of placing a 15-cm diameter by 1-m-long glass cell with sapphire end windows between the instrument package and the retroreflector, and HCl-dry N₂ mixtures flow through the calibration cell. Since there are no sorptive losses associated with the operation of the instrument (that is, there is no sample cell), this calibration technique is acceptable for evaluation of the instrument for measurements in moist ambient environments. As shown in figure 12, the gas concentration is expressed indirectly in terms of column density or concentration by path-length product. Because of noise limitations of the detector, the lowest concentration measured in the laboratory was 50 ppm-m. This 50 ppm-m is equivalent to a 5-ppm concentration over a 10-m path. As shown, the instrument is slightly nonlinear at the higher concentrations, and the laboratory results and the calibration of the manufacturer agree reasonably well. In addition to the calibration studies, interference studies were also performed using the same calibration setup. As stated earlier, methane and water vapor are expected interferents. For HCl detection and this particular instrument, interference studies were limited to these expected interferents and CO (the second channel pollutant). No channel pollutant interference was observed for CO or water vapor, even for conditions simulating 100-percent relative humidity in the calibration cell. For methane, a 100 ppm-m column density is equivalent to 1 ppm-m HCl signal.

To summarize, the existing instrument has a gaseous HCl detection limit of about 5 ppm for a 10-m path (50 ppm-m) and a response of about 10 sec to 90 percent of reading. Modifications to the time constant can result in a response of about 1 sec without serious increase in the lower detection limit. The standard gas filter correlation instrument (single channel) is available commercially; dual channel units are obtainable by request and may require some research and development work by the manufacturer.

Chemiluminescent method - nitric oxide detection.- The principle of operation of this method (Grant NGR 23-005-599, University of Michigan) is the reaction of HCl gas with ammonia, and the conversion of the remaining ammonia to nitric oxide (NO). The NO is then detected by using a conventional chemiluminescent NO detector. Figure 13 shows a diagram of the operational principles. The incoming HCl reacts with a known (excess) concentration of ammonia (permeation tube) to produce NH₄Cl particles which are filtered from the airstream. The excess ammonia remaining in the airstream is converted to NO when it passes a heated platinum bed. The resulting NO is measured with a commercial chemiluminescent detector. As the HCl concentration in the sample stream increases, more ammonia is used in the conversion process, and less NO is generated by the heated platinum bed. Thus, the decrease in NO at the detector is related to the increased concentration of HCl in the sample stream. Theoretically, the detection limit of the technique is 0.05 ppm, but this has not been demonstrated in the breadboard unit. Typically, 1- to
2-ppm HCl can be detected in the laboratory if some care is used. Response time is typically a few seconds to 90 percent of reading; however, decay to zero baseline is slower, approximately 30 sec at 2 ppm. Laboratory evaluation of the breadboard unit has been limited, and only the breadboard instrument exists.

Chemiluminescent method - luminol oxidation. - This chemiluminescent method (ref. 15) is based on a chemiluminescent reaction in which visible light is generated in an alkaline solution of luminol during oxidation by hydrogen peroxide. Figure 14 shows a diagram of the operating principle. The instrument contains a reaction cell from which the visible light is monitored by a photomultiplier tube. The output light from the cell is proportional to the HCl concentration of the incoming sample stream. Prior to reaching the reaction cell, the incoming gas stream is passed through an alumina tube coated with sodium bromate and sodium bromide. This coating reacts with the HCl to produce a series of bromine-chlorine compounds which catalyze the luminol oxidation (chemiluminescent process). The unique feature of this chemiluminescent detector is the coated alumina tube (instrument inlet system) which makes use of the reactivity of HCl at the low ppm concentrations. This coated alumina tube eliminates the HCl-inlet reaction problem encountered with most other instruments when sampling moist ambient environments. Reference 15 describes in some detail the detection capabilities of the instrument.

A brief summary of reference 15 gives the data of figure 15. Figure 15 shows the results of laboratory calibration of the instrument from 0.05-ppm to 50-ppm HCl. All calibrations were performed with mixtures of moist air (60 to 70 percent relative humidity) and HCl and were accurate to about ±10 percent. The broken lines in the figure indicate the ±10-percent accuracy of the HCl mixtures. From this calibration data, the lower detection limit of the instrument is at least 0.05 ppm (lowest HCl concentration that could be repeatably prepared in the laboratory). However, based on signal-noise ratios, some laboratory data, and some field monitoring experience, the instrument is believed to have a lower detection limit of about 5 parts per billion by volume (ppb). Studies are currently being conducted to verify this detection limit. Figure 16 illustrates the response time of the instrument to moist-air—HCl mixtures. For figure 16(a), the incoming HCl sample stream was varied every 10 sec by approximately 1 ppm from 0- to 10-ppm HCl; whereas, in figure 16(b), the variation was 0.1 ppm every 10 sec from 0 to 1 ppm. As can be seen in figure 16, the response of the instrument is a few seconds at these levels. Table IV summarizes the response time of the instrument, and some results from interference studies conducted to date are given in table V. Figure 17 is a typical data trace obtained during LVE monitoring at the John F. Kennedy Space Center.

In summary, this detector is commercially available and is currently being used by a number of organizations to make ground-level and airborne ambient HCl measurements. In addition, laboratory studies are being continued to further define the operational characteristics of the instrument.
Comparison of Techniques

Table VI contains a brief summary of the techniques discussed in this report. Where both performance data for a general technique and a specific instrument using that technique were discussed in this report, table VI data are for the specific instrument. The lower detection limit and response time of the measurement in comparison with the physical phenomena being measured must be considered when selecting a technique for a given application. It must be recognized that complete interference (specificity) studies have not been performed for most of the techniques discussed. The existing interference data are an outgrowth of specificity studies conducted for particular sampling applications, and the data have not necessarily defined all interference species. It is recommended that for a given application of a technique, detailed interference studies be considered. The current status of a technique should also be considered. Some of the techniques are operational and require little additional laboratory work prior to use for a given application; others are still in the research and development stages and require considerably more effort and occasionally require concept changes or hardware redesign. In table VI (column 2), the status of each method is shown. The three status categories are discussed subsequently and are helpful in determining the status of each method. In addition, the categories indicate confidence in the data available for each method.

**Operational category.**- The methods listed in this category have been evaluated in some detail for HCl measurements in moist ambient environments. There can be reasonable confidence in the available data on the capabilities of the technique. If suited for a given application, implementation of the technique should require only limited additional laboratory studies. The primary shortcoming in the available data base is the effect of pollutant interferences. Instruments representative of these methods of detection are available commercially.

**Laboratory category.**- The methods in this category have been evaluated in some detail for the monitoring of HCl in dry environments (typically $N_2$); in some cases, cursory studies have been performed with moist mixtures. The confidence in the dry mixture data is reasonable. Generally, the moist mixture data have just enough depth to ascertain the current capability of the method for detecting moist HCl mixtures. Comprehensive laboratory studies are yet to be conducted for the detection of moist mixtures; in some cases, hardware modifications in the existing breadboard concept are required prior to these comprehensive studies. Generally, this instrument is not available off the shelf, but can be obtained in conjunction with some research and development costs.

**Research and Development category.**- These methods exist as conceptual designs and prototype hardware. The existing body of data is limited to dry HCl mixtures and studies to verify detection principles. In general, available data are limited, and primary design or hardware changes are required before additional evaluation can be considered.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

The focus of this report is the summation of approximately 4 years of research and development work in search of a suitable in situ hydrogen chloride detector to meet the needs of the launch vehicle effluent monitoring program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This report is not intended to determine or select the best measurement technique for monitoring hydrogen chloride in the ambient environment, nor to be an all-inclusive dissertation on hydrogen chloride detection methods. The detection techniques discussed are in various stages of development. Some techniques, those suitable for the launch vehicle effluent program, are well developed, have been comprehensively evaluated in the laboratory, and are operational. For other techniques, only the concept and breadboard instrument have been tested. In most of these cases, lack of further development does not signify an unacceptable detection method, but does indicate that the particular technique is not as attractive a method as others for the launch vehicle effluent program. For all detection methods presented, an instrument (breadboard only, in many cases) has been built and tested. The information in the report should allow the reader to judge which of those techniques discussed is most suitable for his individual application, and to assess the current status of that technique.

This report discusses nine basic techniques for monitoring low parts-per-million concentrations of hydrogen chloride gas in moist ambient environments. Techniques discussed include both concentration (parts per million) measuring instruments and dosage only (parts per million-seconds) measurement techniques. The nine techniques discussed are: (1) bubbler, (2) pH, (3) indicator tube, (4) microcoulometer, (5) modified condensation nuclei counter, (6) dual isotope infrared, (7) gas filter correlation, (8) chemiluminescent nitric oxide detection, and (9) chemiluminescent luminol oxidation. Data presented for each measurement technique include lower detection limit, response time, and, in some cases, specificity. It is pointed out that the primary shortcoming in the available data for each technique is in the specificity or interference area. Several of the techniques discussed have the capability to detect ambient HCl concentrations below 1 part per million with a response time of seconds.

Langley Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Hampton, VA 23665
November 12, 1976
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TABLE I.- BUBBLER SYSTEM OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trapping solution</td>
<td>Deionized distilled water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapping solution volume, ml</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air sample rate, cm$^3$/min</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl capture coefficient</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis technique</td>
<td>Chloride detection by coulometric technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of application, ppm-sec</td>
<td>Above 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II.- BUBBLER SYSTEM DATA - HYDROGEN CHLORIDE/AIR MIXTURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical input dosage, ppm-sec</th>
<th>Average$^a$ measured dosage, ppm-sec</th>
<th>95-percent confidence interval$^a$ for measured dosage, ppm-sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>±33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>105.8</td>
<td>±27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>199.0</td>
<td>±40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1260.0</td>
<td>±57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2444.0</td>
<td>±250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>4914.0</td>
<td>±309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Based on sample size of five bubblers, standard confidence interval calculation using students "t" test statistic.
### TABLE III. - INTERFERENCE DATA FOR DUAL ISOTOPE ABSORPTION INSTRUMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Equivalent species concentration to produce 0.1-ppm HCl signal, ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>25 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C₆H₁₄</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE IV. - RESPONSE TIME RESULTS FOR CHEMILUMINESCENT (LUMINOL OXIDATION) INSTRUMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCl&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; concentration, ppm</th>
<th>Response time to 90 percent of final reading, sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.25</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.50</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>HCl/moist air (60- to 70-percent relative humidity) mixtures.
### TABLE V. - INTERFERENCE DATA FOR CHEMILUMINESCENT (LUMINOL OXIDATION) INSTRUMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Equivalent species concentration to produce 1-ppm HCl signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C0</td>
<td>No interference&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>No interference&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>No interference&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2 to 3 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>50 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>No interference observed for mixtures of low ppm to pure species.

<sup>b</sup>No interference observed at relative humidities from 20 to 95 percent at room temperatures.

<sup>c</sup>Function of humidity of sample stream; data are for relative humidity of approximately 60 to 70 percent.
TABLE VI.- SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT METHODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Instrument status&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>HCl concentration measurement</th>
<th>Lower detection limit</th>
<th>Response to 90 percent of reading</th>
<th>Laboratory analysis required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bubbler</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>50 ppm-sec</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 ppm-sec</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator tube</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 ppm-sec</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcoulometer</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.08 ppm</td>
<td>1 to 2 min</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol - condensation nuclei</td>
<td>R/D&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 to 2 ppm</td>
<td>Few sec</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual isotope infrared</td>
<td>R/D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.3 ppm</td>
<td>8 sec</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas filter correlation</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>Few sec</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemiluminescent (NO)</td>
<td>R/D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 to 2 ppm</td>
<td>Few sec</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemiluminescent (luminol)</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Less than 0.05 ppm</td>
<td>1 to 20 sec</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>See text for definition of status.

<sup>b</sup>Not appropriate.

<sup>c</sup>Research and development.
Figure 1.- Bubbler system.
Test conditions

Flow rate - 100 cm$^3$/min
HCl concentration - 4.2 ppm
Tube dimensions - 1-mm internal diameter by 1 m

Figure 2. NaNO$_3$ tube calibration.
Figure 3.- Microcoulometer response to 5-ppm HCl; flow rate 100 cm$^3$/min.
Test conditions
Flow rate - 100 cm$^3$/min
HCl input - 5 ppm
HCl gas on; Time = 0
--- Denotes gas off

Figure 4.- Microcoulometer response as function of sample time.
Test conditions
Flow rate: 90 cm$^3$/min

Area = 454·ng chloride
(468-ng HCl)

Figure 5.- Typical microcoulometer data trace from launch vehicle effluent monitoring program.
Figure 6.- Diagram of condensation nuclei method.
Figure 7.- HCl converter.
Figure 8.- Diagram of dual isotope absorption method.
Figure 9.- Response of dual isotope absorption instrument to 9-ppm HCl; dry N₂ mixture.
Figure 10.- Diagram of gas filter correlation method.
Figure 11.- Diagram of dual channel HCl-CO gas filter correlation instrument.
Figure 12.- Calibration results for dual channel gas filter correlation instrument.
Figure 13. Diagram of chemiluminescent method using nitric oxide detection.

- Air pump
- NH₃ permeation tube
- Vent of surplus NH₃
- Valve and flowmeter
- HCl sample inlet
- NO detector
- NH₃ to NO Converter (platinum bed)
- Particle filter (NH₄Cl)
Figure 14.- Diagram of HCl detector.
Detector output

---

Input HCl concentration accuracy

Theoretical detector output - assumes no measurement or input concentration errors

Input HCl concentration, ppm

0

.5

1.0

1.5

HCl concentration, ppm

Figure 15.- HCl calibration data for chemiluminescent instrument; luminol oxidation method.
Figure 16. - Response of HCl detector to step change in HCl concentration.
Figure 17.- Typical measurement data during launch vehicle monitoring.

Integrated dosage - 19.5 ppm-sec
"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute . . . to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

—National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958
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