ABSTRACT This paper describes a diagnostic expert system to improve the quality of Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) construction drawings and specification. CLIPS and CAD layering standards are used in an expert system to check and coordinate construction drawings and specifications to eliminate errors and omissions.

INTRODUCTION

Designing and constructing naval shore facilities for the United States Navy is a complex process. The quality of construction documents is a major factor in this process. The review and coordination of construction drawings and specifications is one of the critical tasks performed by NAVFAC architects and engineers. Defective drawings and specifications can lead to change orders, time delays, and litigation.

Experience has shown that more than half of the errors and omissions found in construction drawings and specifications result from inadequate coordination between architectural and engineering disciplines (Nigro, 1984). A recent study by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers found that more than 95 percent of all review comments addressed coordination issues (Kirby, 1989).

In response to the problem, NAVFAC implemented a quality assurance program in April of 1986. An interdisciplinary coordination review checklist was developed to check for inconsistencies, interferences, errors and omissions, both technically and graphically, that may exist in or between disciplines. A recent survey by Charles Markert, NAVFAC's Deputy Assistant Commander for Engineering and Design found that NAVFAC has discovered significant benefits from conducting interdisciplinary coordination checks at the final design stage of projects (DCQI, 1990).

The NAVFAC interdisciplinary coordination checklist contains over 500 review items. The checklist, when used conscientiously, can eliminate many of the design deficiencies which have occurred in past construction projects. Current procedures call for each checklist item to be analyzed for applicability to the project's drawing and specification content. This is accomplished by manually reviewing the drawings and specifications with the checklist. If an item is found not applicable, the letters "NA" will be inserted adjacent to the checklist item. The remaining checklist items are used to perform the interdisciplinary coordination review.
THE PROBLEM

The development and application of quality control coordination checklists is a step in the right direction, but does not provide a production oriented solution to the problem. Often checklists contain several hundred items which may not be applicable to the drawing and specification content. Typically, due to quantity and nonapplicability, checklist items are often ignored during the review process. The process of editing, comparing, and coordinating checklist items with the drawings and specifications is time consuming considering it is not unusual for project drawings to exceed 50 sheets. Checklist editing also assumes a level of experience the reviewer may not possess and may well result in the non-prioritizing of the issues being checked.

The majority of NAVFAC's construction drawings are produced using manual drawing procedures, but this is rapidly changing. NAVFAC as well as architectural/engineering firms under contract to NAVFAC have made heavy investments in computer-aided design (CAD) hardware and software. Receiving construction drawings delivered in a CAD format is becoming common. Despite the self-coordinating aspects of CAD drawings, coordination and omission errors can still arise. No matter what process (manual drafting, systems drafting or CAD) is used to produce a set of construction drawings, all drawings need to be checked (Duggar, 1984).

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this project is to produce an easy-to-use, automated, expert system, capable of quickly analyzing project data (drawing and specification content), recognize potential coordination issues, establish review priorities and provide quality control guidance specific to the project being reviewed. The expert system must function as an intelligent assistant which provides the user with knowledge (advice) based on expert experience and lessons learned from past projects with similar drawing content.

SOLUTION

The solution to the problem of automating the quality review of construction drawings and specifications is to develop a rule-based diagnostic expert system capable of reading the drawing contents of CAD drawing database files. The C Language Integrated Production System (CLIPS) was selected as the expert system shell and AutoCad software running in conjunction with the CadPLUS Total Architectural/Engineering software was selected to produce the CAD drawings.

The CAD Data Base

The CadPLUS Total Architectural/Engineering System is a powerful facility design tool developed by the Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory and CadPLUS Products Company of Albuquerque, NM under a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. The
software runs in conjunction with AutoCad and implements the CAD Layering Guidelines published by the American Institute of Architects (AIA).

In order to insure reusability of CAD drawings during a facility's life cycle, NAVFAC has adopted a standard approach for the use of CAD layers. Layering is "the basic method most CAD systems use to group information for display, editing, and plotting purposes" (Schley, 1990a). NAVFAC along with the American Institute of Architects, the American Consulting Engineers Council, the American Society of Civil Engineers, International Facility Management Association, United States Army Corp of Engineers and the Department of Veterans Affairs sponsored the development of a standard approach for the use and naming of CAD layers.

It was not the intention of the CAD Layering Guidelines to attempt to use layers to carry "drawing intelligence" (Schley, 1990a), however the CAD Layering Guideline's structure and format, see Figures 1 through 5, provide a detailed description of a project's drawing content. Drawing content is the key to determining the applicability of interdisciplinary coordination checklist items.

![Figure 1. AIA layer name format (Schley, 1990b).](image1)

![Figure 2. Typical building and drawing layers without modifiers (Schley, 1990b).](image2)
The layer modifiers listed below may be used with any building information layers.

- ****-IDEN  IDENTIFICATION TAG
- ****-PATT  CROSS-HATCHING AND POCHE
- ****-ELEV  VERTICAL SURFACES (3D DRAWINGS)
- ****-EXIST  EXISTING TO REMAIN
- ****-DEMO  EXISTING TO BE DEMOLISHED OR REMOVED
- ****-NEW  NEW OR PROPOSED WORK (REMODELING PROJECTS)

Example:
A-WALL-EXIST  USED TO DESIGNATE WALLS TO REMAIN

Figure 3. Building information layer (Schley, 1990c).

The layer modifiers listed below may be used with any drawing information layers.

- ****-NOTE  NOTES, CALL-OUTS AND KEY NOTES
- ****-TEXT  GENERAL NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS
- ****-SYMB  SYMBOLS, BUBBLES, AND TARGETS
- ****-DIMS  DIMENSIONS
- ****-PATT  CROSS-HATCHING AND POCHE
- ****-TLB  TITLE BLOCK, SHEET NAME AND NUMBER
- ****-NPL  NONPLOT INFORMATION AND CONSTRUCTION LINES
- ****-PLOT  PLOTTING TARGETS AND WINDOWS

Figure 4. Drawing information layer modifiers (Schley, 1990c).

Modifiers may be added to layer names for further differentiation. For example, ceiling information (A-CLNG) may be categorized as:

A-CLNG-GRID  CEILING GRID
A-CLNG-OPEN  CEILING AND ROOF PENETRATIONS
A-CLNG-TEES  MAIN TEES
A-CLNG-SUSP  SUSPENDED ELEMENTS
A-CLNG-PATT  CEILING PATTERNS

Figure 5. Typical ceiling modifiers (Schley, 1990c).
CLIPS Expert System Shell

CLIPS is a forward chaining rule-based expert system shell, "designed at NASA/Johnson Space Center with the specific purpose of providing high portability, low cost and easy integration with external systems" (Giarratano 1989a). The three major components of the CLIPS expert system shown in Figure 6 are:

1. Fact-list: global memory for data
2. Knowledge-base: contains all the rules
3. Inference engine: controls overall execution

"In order to solve a problem, the CLIPS program must have data or information with which it can reason. A chunk of information in CLIPS is called a fact" (Giarratano 1989b). The programs fact-list is a product of the CAD drawing database. A LISP program within the CAD system is used to generate an ASCII file (layer.dat) listing all layers present within the CAD graphic database. The CLIPS load-facts function is used to input the facts into the program. The following are examples of facts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fact List</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a-wall-new)</td>
<td>Architectural wall, new</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a-prof)</td>
<td>Roof Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s-psfr)</td>
<td>Structural Framing Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-strm-rfdr)</td>
<td>Roof Drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e-prof)</td>
<td>Electrical Roof Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A rule is the method that CLIPS uses to represent knowledge. An example of a possible rule for checking drawing coordination is:

IF the project drawings contain a Roof Plan and Roof Framing Plan. 
THEN coordinate the Roof Plan with the Roof Framing Plan and verify direction of roof slope.

The rule expressed in CLIPS format would appear as:

(deffrule coordinate-roof-plan-and-roof-framing-plan
  (a-prof)
  (s-psfr)
  =>
  (fprintout t "Coordinate the Roof Plan with the Roof Framing Plan" crlf)
  (fprintout t "Verify direction of the roof slope." crlf))
The knowledge-base rules are a product of the existing NAVFAC interdisciplinary coordination checklist and REDICHECK. REDICHECK, which was developed by LCDR William T. Nigro, CEC, USN (Ret) is a structured coordination review system that is also implemented by using a manual checklist.

The CLIPS inference engine makes inferences by deciding if a rule is satisfied by the facts. For example, if a project under review contained a Roof Plan (layer a-prof) and a Framing Plan (layer s-psfr), then pattern matching would occur in the previously defined defrule and the knowledge-base would consider the review comment as applicable. In this application, the CLIPS knowledge-base consists of rules that when activated by matching facts, outputs a project-specific quality control coordination checklist.

The rules required to generate a project-specific checklist are embedded in the CLIPS program. In order to reduce the size of the program, the master checklist items are stored outside the program and accessed by the CLIPS read function.
CLIPS also has a feature to control the execution of rules called salience. Salience values are used to order the rules in terms of increasing priority and will activate rules to assemble a prioritized project specific checklist.

FUTURE WORK

To date, much progress has been made in understanding the problem domain and developing the knowledge-base. Future development plans include:

1. Development of a menu driven interface.

2. Development of rules that identify omissions, duplications, and inconsistencies between reference/identification symbols (detail bubbles, door reference symbols, equipment numbers, etc.) and details, sections, and schedules.

3. Development of rules that identify omissions, duplication, and inconsistencies between labels/keynotes and project specifications.

4. Development of an interface between the CAD geometric data base and the CLIPS knowledge-base.

CONCLUSIONS

At a recent Naval Sea Systems Command conference, Admiral Frank B. Kelso, II, Chief of Naval Operations commented that we have “to learn to do things more efficiently; with better quality than we had in the past.” In this application, CLIPS provides NAVFAC with a powerful tool to improve the total quality management of the construction document review process.
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