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Abstract

5,10-Di(4-cyanophenyl)-4,9-di(4-methylphenyl)-1,6-dioxapyrene (CN-diox), a symmetrically substituted 4,5,9,10-tetraaryldioxapyrene, was synthesized in seven steps from 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene. The synthetic methodology incorporated a base-catalyzed ring-closure process followed by dehydration to introduce the first tetraaryl-1,6-dioxapyrene. Crystal structure and electrochemical analysis were performed to directly compare the properties of CN-diox to previously reported dioxapyrene derivatives, specifically 1,6-dioxapyrene (Diox) and 4,9-diethyl-2,7-dimethyl-1,6-dioxapyrene (Alkyl-diox). Optical spectroscopy studies were performed to evaluate the potential of the 1,6-dioxapyrenes as fluorescent probes. CN-diox revealed a broad absorption centered near 450 nm (ε = 31,900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) in THF with a corresponding fluorescence at 619 nm (Φf = 0.011). This was in sharp contrast to both Diox and Alkyl-diox which displayed broad absorption bands near 400 nm (ε ~ 5,000-10,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) in THF with corresponding fluorescence near 500 nm (Φf = 0.059 and 0.082 for Diox and Alkyl-diox, respectively). The luminescence of CN-diox was found to be solvatochromic (λmax = 619 nm-644 nm) with single exponential lifetimes of less than 1.3 ns. Neither Diox nor Alkyl-diox showed solvatochromic properties.
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1. Introduction

Since the original reports on the synthesis and properties of 1,6-dioxapyrenes in the early 1990’s [1-3], there have been numerous derivatives prepared [1-5] along with studies reporting crystal structures [6-7], detailed NMR characterization [8-9], applications as conductive salts [10], spectroscopic properties [11], and molecular orbital calculations [12]. 1,6-Dioxapyrenes have generally been prepared by acid or base-catalyzed ring-closures from substituted naphthalenes. The present work enhances the traditional methodology of base-catalyzed ring-closure and introduces the first tetraaryl-1,6-dioxapyrene.

Our group has been working toward developing visible/near IR solvatochromic dyes for future NASA planetary exploration missions (for example: as polymeric and biological probes). Previous work has introduced a series of substituted, symmetrical and unsymmetrical, benzodifurans that show high quantum efficiency and large solvatochromic response with respect to their emission spectra [13]. The favorable properties of these emissive chromophores is a result of charge transfer excited states that stem from the electron donating/accepting ability of the pendant groups [13]. In an effort to concomitantly extend the conjugation and increase the absorption/emission wavelength of our benzidifurans, we turned to dioxapyrenes.

![Figure 1. CN-diox (9), Alkyl-diox (10), and Diox (11).](image)

Earlier reports indicated that substituted 1,6-dioxapyrenes could be synthesized in real quantities (i.e. hundreds of milligrams or more, enough to do thorough studies) and maintained visible emission [1-5,11]. Using the foundation outlined above, a dual approach was chosen.
The first goal was to develop new or different routes to substituted 1,6-dioxapyrenes with either electron donating or electron accepting properties. The second goal was to measure the spectroscopic properties and evaluate the solvatochromic response of these compounds. We report herein the total synthesis and spectroscopic properties of the first symmetrically-substituted, cyano-functionalized 4,5,9,10-tetraaryl-1,6-dioxapyrene (9 or CN-diox, Fig. 1). All experiments were performed in direct comparison to unsubstituted [14] 1,6-dioxapyrenes (10 or Alkyl-diox and 11 or Diox, Fig. 1) in order to clearly convey the unique spectroscopic properties of CN-diox (9).

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and characterization

1,6-Dioxapyrene (11 or Diox) and 4,9-diethyl-2,7-dimethyl-1,6-dioxapyrene (10 or Alkyl-diox) were prepared as previously described [1,3]. All solvents and reagents were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC 200 or Avance 300 MHz spectrometer. CDCl$_3$ or d$_6$-DMSO containing up to 1% TMS as an internal reference was used as the solvent for solution phase experiments. Mass data was measured on a Finnegan LCQ (atmospheric pressure ionization) in positive or negative ion mode with an inlet temperature of 180-220 °C. Normal phase HPLC was performed with a Hewlett-Packard HPLC system equipped with a diode array absorption detector or an Alliance HPLC system equipped with a dual channel absorption detector. Elemental analysis was obtained by Atlantic Microlabs, Inc. (Norcross, GA).

2.2 Crystallographic analysis

Crystals of CN-diox (9) were grown from slow concentration of a chloroform/dichloromethane solution. Data was collected on a Bruker Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation ($λ = 0.71073$ Å). Unit cell determination was achieved by using reflections from three different orientations. An empirical absorption correction and other corrections were done using multi-scan SADABS. Structure solution,
refinement and modeling were accomplished using the SHELXTL package (Bruker). The structure was obtained by full-matrix least-squares refinement of \( F^2 \) and the selection of appropriate atoms from the generated difference map.

2.3. Electrochemistry

Electrochemical measurements were recorded with an EG&G Princeton Applied Research Potentiostat/Galvanostat (Model 273A). The working electrode consisted of a platinum disk, 3 mm in diameter. A platinum mesh served as a counter electrode and a silver wire was used as a quasi reference electrode. All electrodes were polished with 0.05 \( \mu \)m alumina prior to measurements. The working and counter electrodes were separated using a vycor frit. Solutions were prepared with 100 mM TBAP electrolyte and degassed with argon for 20 minutes prior to each measurement. All reported standard potentials are versus SCE and were determined by adding ferrocene (taking \( E^\circ_{FeF^+} = 0.424 \) V vs SCE in benzene) as an internal potential marker [15-16].

Bulk electrolysis to produce the radical cation was performed using a large area platinum mesh working electrode and a large area platinum counter electrode. The experimental design was the same as described above. The working electrode was biased at 50 mV above the first oxidation potential for 2 hr using the Applied Research Potentiostat/Galvanostat. The oxidized solutions were transferred to quartz cells under ambient conditions and measurements were recorded immediately.

2.4. Spectroscopy

Unless otherwise stated, all experiments used optically dilute solutions (OD = 0.09-0.11) at room temperature. Luminescence samples in 1 cm\(^2\) anaerobic quartz cells (Spectracell, FUV) were deoxygenated with argon prior to measurement. Low temperature experiments were accomplished by freezing the samples in NMR tubes and holding them under liquid nitrogen in a quartz dewer. Absorption spectra were measured with a Shimadzu scanning spectrophotometer (UV-3101PC). Emission spectra were obtained with an Aminco-Bowman luminescence spectrometer (Series 2). The excitation was accomplished with a 250 W Xe lamp optically
coupled to a monochrometer (± 2 nm) and the emission was collected at 90° and passed through a second monochrometer (± 2 nm). The luminescence was measured with a photomultiplier tube (PMT). Radiative quantum yields (Φr) were measured against [Ru(bpy)3](PF6)2 for which Φr = 0.062 in CH3CN, accurate to 10% [17], and calculated using the following equation [18-19]

$$\Phi_{unk} = \Phi_{std} \left( \frac{I_{unk}}{I_{std}} \right) \left( \frac{A_{unk}}{A_{std}} \right) \left( \frac{\eta_{unk}}{\eta_{std}} \right)^2$$

where unk represents the sample, std represents the standard, Φ is the radiative quantum yield, I is the integrated emission intensity, A is the absorbance at the excitation wavelength, and η is the refractive index of the solvent.

Luminescence lifetimes were measured with an IBH time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) system equipped with an IBH Model TBX-04 Photon Detection Module. The excitation source for the TCSPC measurements was a pulsed LED (IBH NanoLED, 455 nm, 1.3 ns pulse duration or 403 nm, < 200 ps pulse duration) with a repetition rate of 1 MHz. All data was analyzed by iterative reconvolution of the decay profile (10,000 counts at the peak channel) with the instrument response function using software provided by the instrument manufacturer.

Time-resolved absorption spectroscopy was performed using an LKS.60 nanosecond laser photolysis spectrometer. The excitation source was the unfocused 355 nm output of a Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Surelite I). Typical excitation energies were 2-3 mJ/pulse. Samples were continuously purged with a stream of high purity argon throughout the experiments. The data, consisting of either a single shot or a 10 shot average, were analyzed using Origin 7.0 (OriginLab Corporation).

It should be noted that all experiments performed in solution with light interaction resulted in sample degradation. Consequently, fresh samples were prepared for all physical measurements.

2.5. Synthesis of 1,5-dimethoxynaphthalene (2)

(a) [21-22] 1,4-Dihydroxynaphthalene (400 g, 2.50 mol), dimethylsulfate (476 ml, 5.02 mol), and potassium carbonate (346 g, 2.50 mol) were refluxed in 2 L of acetone for 2 days. Once cool, an equal volume of water was added and the solid collected by filtration. The crude product was purified by ethanol digestion (454 g, 97% yield). mp: 178-180 °C (lit., 181-183 °C
2.6. Synthesis of 1,5-dimethoxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (3)

(b) [22-24] Compound 2 (200 g, 1.06 mmol) and anhydrous aluminum chloride (304 g, 2.29 mmol) were cooled to 0 °C under nitrogen in 500 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane. p-Toluoyl-chloride (295 ml, 2.23 mmol) was slowly added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Standard workup left a deep yellow solution that was concentrated by solvent evaporation. Petroleum ether was used to promote crystallization and the product was collected by filtration (208 g, 46% yield). mp: 246-248 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 200 MHz, δ) 2.35 (s, 6H), 3.45 (2,6H), 7.05 (d, 2H), 7.24-7.45 (m, 1OH). Mass: m/z 425.4 (positive ion mode).

2.7. Synthesis of 1,5-dihydroxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (4)

(c) [20] Compound 3 (72 g, 169 mol) was cooled to 0 °C under nitrogen in 500 ml of dichloromethane. Boron tribromide (79.8 ml, 844 mmol) was added slowly and the reaction was stirred 18 hours at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with aqueous sodium bicarbonate and washed with dilute sodium hydroxide. The aqueous layer was neutralized with hydrochloric acid to give compound 4 (1.91 g, 3% yield) as an off white precipitate. It should be noted that washing with more concentrated base did not increase the yield of the substituted dihydroxynaphthalene. mp: 154-155 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 200 MHz, δ) 2.33 (s, 6H), 6.76 (d, 2H), 7.10 (d, 2H), 7.21 (d, 4H), 7.49 (d, 4H), 10.52 (s, 2H). Mass: m/z 395.1 (negative ion mode). (e) [20] Compound 6 (573 mg, 1.37 mmol) was stirred in dichloromethane and water (1:1). The layers were separated and treated as above (see reaction c). Compound 4 was collected in 1% yield (5.7 mg) while compound 5 was recovered at 66% (380 mg). The reaction was also attempted with dichloromethane/NaOH (aq), acetone/water, and acetone/NaOH (aq). There was no improvement in yield. (f) Compound 5 (31.80 g, 83.6 mmol) was suspended in 300 ml of 6 M sodium hydroxide and refluxed for 18 hours. Once cool, the reaction mixture was diluted (approx. 1 M NaOH), placed in an ice bath, and slowly acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid [11]. The tan precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with excess water, and dried.
for three days in a vacuum oven (60 °C, < 1 mmHg). Compound 4 was produced in 99% yield (33 g) and, with the exception of a slight color variance, was identical to the samples collected from reaction c and d.

2.8. Synthesis of 6-(4-methylbenzoyl)-2-[(4-methylphenyl)oxy]naphtho[bc]furan-5-one (5)

The organic layer from 4, procedure c, was washed with dilute NaOH (x2), NaHCO₃ (x2), and water (x3). After drying over MgSO₄, the solvent was removed in vacuo providing compound 5 as a yellow-orange solid (47.54 g, 74% yield). mp: 212-214 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz, δ) 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 6.60 (d, 1H), 7.20 (d, 2H), 7.38-7.50 (m, 3H), 7.69-7.79 (m, 3H), 8.00 (d, 3H). Mass: m/z 379.6 (positive ion mode).

2.9. Synthesis of 6-(4-methylbenzoyl)-5-hydroxy-2-(4-methylphenyl)naphtho[bc]furylium perchlorate (6)

(d) [20] Compound 5 (500 mg, 1.41 mmol) was suspended in glacial acetic acid (10 ml) and excess perchloric acid (2 ml) was added slowly. The solution was refluxed for 10 minutes then cooled in an ice bath. Compound 6 precipitated as a red solid and was used without further purification or analysis (573 mg, 97% yield).

2.10. Synthesis of 1,5-di(benzyloxy)-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (7a)

(g) [13] Tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate (81.4 mg, 0.24 mmol), K₂CO₃ (2.98 g, 21.57 mmol), and KI (995 mg, 5.99 mmol) were dissolved in 50 ml H₂O. 4,8-Ditoluoyl-1,5-dihydroxynaphthalene (1.91 g, 4.79 mmol) and benzyl bromide (1.64 g, 9.59 mmol) was dissolved in 50 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane. The solutions were mixed quickly and refluxed under N₂ for 18 hours. Once cool, the reaction solution was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (x2) and H₂O (x1), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and rotary evaporated to dryness. The resulting oil was taken up in a minimum amount of CH₂Cl₂ and dripped into cold petroleum ether. The crude product was recrystallized from MeOH and collected as a tan solid (961 mg, 35% yield). mp:
190-200 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 200 MHz, δ) 2.27 (s, 6H), 4.80-5.03 (brdd, 4H), 6.82-7.43 (brm, 22H). Mass: m/z 575.7 (negative ion mode).

2.11. Synthesis of 1,5-di[(4-cyanobenzyl)oxy]-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (7b)

(g) The reaction was run as described above with modification to the purification procedure. Reagents; tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate (256.8 mg, 0.76 mmol), K₂CO₃ (9.41 g, 68.08 mmol), KI (3.14 g, 18.91 mmol), 4,8-ditoluoyl-1,5-dihydroxynaphthalene (6.00 g, 15.13 mmol), and benzyl bromide (5.96 g, 30.40 mmol). The crude product was digested in EtOH and collected as a tan solid (4.38 g, 46% yield). mp: 268-270 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 200 MHz, δ) 2.33 (s, 6H), 4.97-5.14 (brdd, 4H), 7.10 (m, 16H), 7.69 (d, 4H). ¹³C (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ) 21.60, 69.94, 106.80, 111.77, 118.58, 124.22, 126.11, 128.04, 128.81, 129.39, 130.16, 132.09, 135.61, 140.37, 143.39, 153.98. Mass: m/z 628.2 (negative ion mode).

2.12. Synthesis of 5,10-di(4-cyanophenyl)-4,9-dihydroxy-4,9-di(4-methylphenyl)-4,5,9,10-tetrahydro-1,6-dioxapyrene (8)

(h) [25] Compound 7b (2.00 g, 3.19 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium cyanide (2.49 g, 15.95 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous CH₃CN (300 ml), protected from light, and refluxed under N₂ for 18 hours. The solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (x2) and H₂O (x1), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and rotary evaporated to dryness. The crude product was a mixture of isomers and was used without further purification (~1.5 g, 75% yield). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 200 MHz, δ) 2.07-2.46 (m, 6H), 5.39-5.78 (m, 2H), 6.41-7.71 (m, 20H).

2.13. Synthesis of 5,10-di(4-cyanophenyl)-4,9-di(4-methylphenyl)-1,6-dioxapyrene (9 or CN-diox)

(i) Compound 8 (~1.5 g, 2.4 mmol) was dissolved in 100 ml of toluene and a catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate. The reaction mixture was held under N₂ in the absence of light and refluxed for two days. A Dean-Stark trap was used to ensure anhydrous conditions.
Once cool, the reaction mixture was held at 4 °C for 1 day followed by collection of the crude product as a red precipitate. The product was reprecipitated from hot \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CHCl}_3 \) by addition of hot \( \text{CH}_3\text{CN} \) several times until the red, microcrystalline title compound was pure by HPLC (0.60 g, 43% yield). \( \text{mp: 372-375 °C.} \) \(^1\text{H NMR (CDCl}_3, \text{stabilized with NaBH}_4, 300 \text{ MHz,} \delta) \) 2.36 (s, 6H), 6.10 (d, 2H), 6.23 (d, 2H), 7.01 (d, 4H), 7.16 (d, 4H), 7.23 (d, 4H), 7.37 (d, 4H). \(^{13}\text{C (CDCl}_3, \text{stabilized with NaBH}_4, 400 \text{ MHz,} \delta) 21.33, 108.25, 111.25, 118.62, 119.29, 120.21, 128.96, 130.11, 130.23, 131.10, 131.45, 138.05, 138.32, 136.22, 151.69. \) Mass: m/z 590.6. Calculated for \( \text{C}_{42}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{\(\frac{1}{4}\)}\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2: \text{C 82.93, H 4.37.} \) Found: C 82.90, H 4.40.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis

1,5-Dihydroxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (4) is the central compound in the present synthetic methodology. The hydroxyl groups on 4 provide a synthetic anchor from which numerous functionalities may be attached. Minyaeva and coworkers [20] have published the detailed synthesis of 4,8-dibenzoyl-1,5-dihydroxynaphthalene, which is closely related to our target molecule. Our approach (Scheme 1) was initially modeled after Minyaeva’s synthesis. Commercial grade 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene (1) was protected by methylation of the hydroxyl groups to produce 1,5-dimethoxynaphthalene (2) in high yield [21-22]. Acylation of 2 in the presence of anhydrous aluminum chloride gave the expected product, 1,5-dimethoxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (3) [22-24]. Deprotection of 3 progressed with excess boron tribromide to produce 1,5-dihydroxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (4) in 3% yield and the corresponding methylenequinone, \( 6\)-(4-methylbenzoyl)-2-[(4-methylphenyl)oxy]naphtho[bc]furan-5-one (5), in 74% yield [20]. No attempts were made to isolate the partially demethylated hydroxymethoxydiketone. Also, the use of boron tribromide instead of aluminum chloride did not produce a significant change in our reaction yields as compared to Minyaeva’s yields. Treatment of 5 with strong acid led to the naphtha-[bc]furylium salt (6) in quantitative yield [20]. Subsequent hydrolysis of 6 produced 4 in 1% yield [20]. Although several solvent mixtures were evaluated, we were unable to come close to the 19% yield reported with the benzoyl derivative.
Scheme 1. Representation of the synthesis of 1,5-dihydroxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (4) from 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene (1).

The transformation of the inevitable side-product, methylenequinone (5), to the desired product, dihydroxydiketone (4), is of considerable importance as a 1% yield falls well short of a synthetically useful method. Minyaeva indicates, and we agree, that the formation of methylenequinone is due to acid-catalyzed dehydration of the corresponding dihydroxydiketone. Under anhydrous and acidic conditions, dehydration is favored and formation of the methylenequinone predominates. By drastically reversing the reaction environment, the process is likely to proceed in the opposite direction. Following this logic, compound 5 was suspended in 6 M NaOH and refluxed for 18 hours. All extraction attempts resulted in loss of product due to inseparable suspensions. However, by simply precipitating the product from the diluted
reaction mixture and washing with excess water we were able to obtain 4 in near quantitative yield [26]. Due to the hydrophyllic nature of the substituted dihydroxynaphthalene, care must be taken to remove excess water. After vacuum drying at 60 °C for 3 days, 1,5-dihydroxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (4) was collected in 99% yield.

Scheme 2. Representation of the synthesis of 5,10-di(4-cyanophenyl)-4,9-di(4-methylphenyl)-1,6-dioxapyrene (9 or CN-diox) from 1,5-dihydroxy-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (4).

Symmetrically substituted dibenzyl ethers (Scheme 2), 1,5-di(benzyloxy)-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (7a) and 1,5-di[(4-cyanobenzyl)oxy]-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (7b), were prepared in one step from 4 by a previously reported Williamson ether synthesis under phase transfer conditions [13]. It has been shown that o-benzyloxyphenyl ketones such as o-benzyloxybenzophenone [27], α-(o-benzyloxyphenyl)acetophenone [28], and related compounds [13,28-30] undergo efficient photoinduced hydrogen abstraction (ε-hydrogen abstraction in the case of α-(o-benzyloxyphenyl)acetophenone) to produce biradicals that spontaneously cyclize in high yield (Scheme 3). Photo-initiated (450 W Hg lamp, benzene) ring closure via a similar excited state intramolecular ε-hydrogen abstraction was unsuccessful for both 7a and 7b. The excited state absorption spectrum of 1,5-di(benzyloxy)-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (7a) is shown in Fig. 2. The data reveals a broad transient band centered near 430 nm with a lifetime of 25 ± 2 μs in deoxygenated benzene. Related o-alkoxyphenyl and o-benzoyloxyphenyl ketones that produce biradical transient species show decay rates on the order of 1-50 ns [30-32]. Excited
state absorption studies of naphthalene have shown both singlet $\pi,\pi^*$ (<100 ns) and triplet $\pi,\pi^*$ (>100 ns) species [33]. Based on this data, the excited state of 1,5-di(benzyloxy)-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (7a) is assigned to the $\pi,\pi^*$ triplet, not the 1,7-biradical. The absence of the biradical intermediate effectively prevents the synthesis of 1,6-dioxyranes by this methodology.

![Scheme 3. Simplified representation of the photocyclization of $\alpha$-(o-(benzyloxyphenyl))acetophenone via the 1,6-biradical intermediate [27].](image)

An alternative route to the photochemical process is shown in Scheme 2. Base-catalyzed ring closure of 7b using tetrabutylammonium cyanide and anhydrous acetonitrile resulted in the corresponding hydrated 1,6-dioxyrene in 75% yield [25]. The analogous reaction using 7a resulted in no reaction as only unreacted starting material was recovered. These results are not surprising as related work has shown that the inductive properties of the pendant phenyl group directly affect the efficiency of the ring closure reaction [33]. In this process, the abstraction of the $\alpha$-proton (in relation to the ether) is favored by functional groups that delocalize the incipient negative charge (i.e. electron withdrawing groups). Our results agree with this observation because the phenyl derivative imparts no charge delocalization and no reaction yield while the cyano-substituted phenyl derivative maintains a large delocalization effect and high reaction yield. The transformation of 8 to the desired 5,10-di(4-cyanophenyl)-4,9-di(4-methylphenyl)-1,6-dioxyrene (9 or $CN$-dioxy), proceeded in 43% yield via acid-catalyzed dehydration under Dean-Stark conditions. The crude product was dissolved in hot CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CHCl$_3$ and reprecipitated by slow addition of hot CH$_3$CN. The procedure was repeated several times until the red, microcrystalline compound (9 or $CN$-dioxy) was pure by HPLC.
Fig. 2. Transient absorption spectrum of 1,5-di(benzyloxy)-4,8-di(4-methylbenzoyl)naphthalene (7a). The experiment was performed in deoxygenated benzene at room temperature with 355 nm pulsed excitation. Inset: Kinetic trace of CN-diox (9,) at 430 nm.

3.2. Crystallography

The TEP representation of CN-diox (9) is displayed in Fig. 3. The core (i.e. only the 1,6-dioxapyrene) shows a slight twist that is not present in Diox (11) [6]. Both oxygens are out of the plane (one up and one down) by 0.1 Å from the center of each oxygen or 8.9° using the inner dihedral angle. In direct comparison, Diox (11) shows no deviation from a planer structure. The cyano-phenyl and methyl-phenyl substituents are at dihedral angles of 22.7° and 82.6° respectively from the core. The extended X-ray structure shows repeating CN-diox (9) in an off-center columnar arrangement. Each end of the CN-diox (9) in the column is adjacent to a perpendicular CN-diox (9). The resultant phenyl cage maintains one CH2Cl2 between four substituted phenyl rings.
Fig. 3. X-Ray structures for CN-diox (9).

3.3. Electrochemistry

The electrochemical data of CN-diox (9), Alkyl-diox (10), and Diox (11) in benzonitrile is summarized in Table 1. A representative cyclic voltammogram of a benzonitrile solution of CN-diox (9) is given in Fig. 4. All reported standard potentials are versus SCE and were determined by using ferrocene as an internal potential marker [15-16]. Reduction potentials were not investigated as they were not observed in the solvent window and the low solubility of CN-diox (9) limited the choice of other solvent systems. All three 1,6-dioxapyrenes had two oxidation waves. The first oxidation wave was 0.571 V for CN-diox (9), 0.308 V for Alkyl-diox (10), and 0.529 V for Diox (11). It is important to note that the first oxidation potentials for CN-diox (9) and Diox (11) were similar. This data suggests that the core of the 1,6-dioxapyrene remains electronically unaffected by the addition of pendant phenyl groups. Analysis of $\Delta E_p$ and $i_{p\text{ox}}/i_{p\text{red}}$ values indicated the first oxidation waves for each 1,6-dioxapyrene were reversible. $\Delta E_p$'s, the difference between the peaks of the forward and reverse sweeps, for CN-diox (9), Alkyl-diox (10), and Diox (11) were between was 0.082 V and 0.084 V. Ferrocene displayed a $\Delta E_p$ of 0.080 V under our experimental conditions. Although all $\Delta E_p$ measurements were higher than the accepted 0.059 V for reversible processes [34], direct comparison of the 1,6-dioxapyrenes to ferrocene (which is known to have reversible one electron oxidation behavior [15-16]) illustrates the reversibility of the oxidation waves. In a separate analysis, $i_{p\text{ox}}/i_{p\text{red}}$ was calculated to be 1.03 for CN-diox (9), 1.55 for Alkyl-diox (10), and 1.56 for Diox (11). Under ideal conditions, a completely reversible process will show an $i_{p\text{ox}}/i_{p\text{red}}$ of 1.00.
Table 1

Electrochemical data at room temperature in benzonitrile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$ a (V)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_p$ b (V)</th>
<th>$i_p/ i_{p_{red}}$ c</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$ a (V)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_p$ (V)</th>
<th>$i_p/ i_{p_{red}}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/2_{red}}$ e (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.989 d</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.026 d</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Degassed benzonitrile, 100 mM tetrabutylammonium perchlorate, at 50 mV/s
b Ferrocene displayed an $\Delta E_p$ of 0.80 ± 5 V under our experimental conditions when not significantly overlapping other waves
c A completely reversible system should have an $i_p/ i_{p_{red}}$ of 1.00
d $E_{ox}$ reported instead of $E_{1/2}$ due to irreversibility
e Represents the peak of a new reduction wave after performing repeated oxidations

CN-diox (9), Alkyl-diox (10), and Diox (11) maintained a second oxidation wave at 0.989 V, 0.686 V, and 1.026 V, respectively. The second oxidation wave for each 1,6-dioxapyrene showed irreversible behavior. The reverse wave was not visible for CN-diox (9) and Diox (11) and consequently neither $\Delta E_p$ nor $i_p/ i_{p_{red}}$ values could be determined. Alkyl-diox (10) had a minimal reverse wave and both $\Delta E_p$ (0.125 V) and $i_p/ i_{p_{red}}$ (2.74) values indicated irreversible processes. The nature of the irreversibility (i.e. electrochemical or chemical reactions, or a combination of both) was not investigated. In all cases, however, a new reduction wave was observed during the reverse sweep. This wave always appeared at lower potential than the first oxidation wave and was only seen after the cell potential was raised beyond the second oxidation potential. This suggests chemical degradation as a source of irreversibility, although it does not eliminate electrochemical irreversibility.

Fig. 4 displays the absorption spectra for the radical cations of CN-diox (9), Alkyl-diox (10), and Diox (11) in benzonitrile. Photoluminescence was not detectable for any of the radical cations. The radical species were electrochemically generated as described in the experimental section and were found to be stable under ambient conditions for several hours. The electronic spectra of Alkyl-diox (10) and Diox (11) show two main absorption bands with absorption maxima near 500 nm and 600 nm. The spectrum of CN-diox (9) also reveals two main absorption bands; however the transitions were significantly lower in energy, near 580 nm and
700 nm. Although the oxidation potentials are similar, the addition of a cyano-phenyl group to the 1,6-dioxapyrene core lowers the excited state transitions of the radical cation of CN-diox (9).

![Cyclic voltammogram of CN-diox (9).](image)

![Electronic spectra of CN-diox (9), Alkyl-diox (10), and Diox (11).](image)

Fig. 4. (top) Cyclic voltammogram of CN-diox (9). The experiment was performed in benzonitrile (0.1 M TBAPF₆) at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. (bottom) Electronic spectra of the electrochemically generated radical cation of CN-diox (9, solid line), Alkyl-diox (10, dashed line), and diox (11, dotted line) in benzonitrile.

3.4. Photophysical properties

Fig. 5 displays the absorption spectra of CN-diox (9), Alkyl-diox (10), and Diox (11) in THF (all solvents displayed similar absorption features). The electronic spectrum of Alkyl-diox (10) shows a broad absorption band with a maximum at 370 nm and a molar extinction coefficient of...
The electronic spectrum of Diox (11) reveals a broad absorption band with three clear peaks of 360, 395, and 420 nm having molar extinction coefficients of 7,600, 4,500 and 4,500 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The spectrum of CN-diox (9), however, reveals unique behavior in comparison to the unsubstituted 1,6-dioxapyrenes. CN-diox (9) has two main areas of absorption; a low energy, broad band with an absorption maximum at 440 nm and a molar extinction coefficient of 32,000 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ and a higher energy band with a distinct vibrational structure. The latter has three clear bands, red shifted but similar to pyrene, and an absorption maximum at 390 nm and a molar extinction coefficient of 41,000 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$. These data indicate a significant distortion of the typical 1,6-dioxapyrene core. First, the appearance of a lower energy absorption band without significant change in the oxidative properties indicates a lowering of the LUMO in CN-diox (9) as compared to Alkyl-diox (10) and Diox (11). Second, the pyrene-like vibrational structure of the higher energy absorption band suggests a higher degree of aromaticity within CN-diox (9).

![Fig. 5. Electronic spectra of CN-diox (9, solid line), Alkyl-diox (10, dashed line), and Diox (11, dotted line) in THF.](image-url)
Table 2

Spectroscopic and photophysical data of $CN$-diox (9), $Alkyl$-diox (10), and $Diox$ (11) in solvents of increasing polarity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent (Dielectric)</th>
<th>Em. $\lambda_{max}$ (nm)$^a$</th>
<th>$^{1}\tau$ (ns)$^b$</th>
<th>$\Phi_r$$^c$</th>
<th>$k_f$(x$10^9$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_d$(x$10^9$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene (2.4)</td>
<td>593 498 489</td>
<td>1.39 6.93 6.97</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.41 3.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCl$_3$ (4.8)</td>
<td>619 476 493</td>
<td>0.92 7.27 4.82</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28 1.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51 0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF (7.6)</td>
<td>619 497 487</td>
<td>0.78 7.09 6.77</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.40 3.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (9.1)</td>
<td>629 500 490</td>
<td>0.69 7.17 6.54</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.73 3.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_4$Cl$_2$ (10.7)</td>
<td>625 466 490</td>
<td>0.68 6.26 7.16</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.51 3.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone (20.1)</td>
<td>632 497 487</td>
<td>0.50 6.03 5.76</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74 3.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF (37)</td>
<td>640 499 489</td>
<td>0.38 8.47 7.47</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59 3.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO (45)</td>
<td>644 500 491</td>
<td>0.36 9.61 8.49</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.03 3.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Represents the maximum of the largest emission peak.

$^b$ TCSPC using 455nm or 403 excitation (pulsed LED) and iterative reconvolution with an error of less than 5%.

$^c$ Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ in ACN ($\Phi = 0.064$) was used an actinometer in all cases (error = ± 10%).

The luminescence maxima, quantum yields, and excited state lifetimes of $CN$-diox (9), $Alkyl$-diox (10), and $Diox$ (11) in solvents of different polarity are summarized in Table 2 [35]. The emission spectra of $CN$-diox (9), $Alkyl$-diox (10), and $Diox$ (11) in THF are shown in Fig. 6. The photoluminescence was normalized to 1 and $CN$-diox (9, solid line) shows a clear THF Raman
band near 500 nm that should not be misinterpreted as analyte luminescence. The emission spectra of \textit{Alkyl-diox} (10) and \textit{Diox} (11) show structured bands with maximum intensities at 490 and 498 nm, respectively, while \textit{CN-diox} (9) has a broad emission band centered near 619 nm. As shown in Table 2, both \textit{Alkyl-diox} (10) and \textit{Diox} (11) show small to moderate solvent dependence on emission maxima with no clear polarity trend. \textit{CN-diox} (9), on the other hand, has a 50 nm solvatochromic shift, increasing systematically from 593 nm in toluene to 644 nm in DMSO. These data suggest a charge transfer nature to the excited state of the cyano-substituted 1,6-dioxapyrene derivative.

![Normalized photoluminescence spectra](image)

Fig. 6. Normalized photoluminescence spectra of \textit{CN-diox} (9, solid line), \textit{Alkyl-diox} (10, dashed line), and \textit{Diox} (11, dotted line) in THF at 23 °C. The excitation wavelengths were 440 nm, 370 nm, and 360 nm, respectively.

The unique properties of \textit{CN-diox} (9) are also observed with the luminescence quantum yields and excited state lifetimes. \textit{CN-diox} (9), \textit{Alkyl-diox} (10), and \textit{Diox} (11) show a significant solvent dependence (\(\Phi_r\) range of 0.003 to 0.127) on emission quantum yield, yet there is no clear trend for \textit{Alkyl-diox} (10), and \textit{Diox} (11). \textit{CN-diox} (9) displays a general decrease of quantum yield from nonpolar (\(\Phi_r = 0.010\) in toluene) to polar environments (\(\Phi_r = 0.0034\) in DMSO). \textit{Alkyl-diox} (10) and \textit{Diox} (11) maintain multiple exponential lifetimes in all solvents. In general, the unsubstituted 1,6-dioxapyrenes have double exponential lifetimes with a long component of approximately 5-8 ns and a short component of 2-4 ns. Again, \textit{CN-diox} (9) displays uncharacteristic behavior with single exponential lifetimes in all solvents. Furthermore, the
excited state lifetimes of \textit{CN-diox} (9) measurably decrease from 1.39 ns in toluene (nonpolar) to 0.36 ns in DMSO (polar). The derived $k_d$ and $k_r$ values for \textit{CN-diox} (9, Table 2) highlight an interesting trend. Specifically, the nonradiative decay rate, $k_d$, is continuously increased from nonpolar to polar solvents. Furthermore, there is no clear trend with respect to the radiative decay rates, $k_r$. As noted earlier, \textit{CN-diox} (9) decomposes/reacts with continuous exposure to light, both in the presence and absence of oxygen. The increase in $k_d$ suggests the destructive pathway may be enhanced in polar environments. Further work is underway to identify and possibly control the excited state decomposition.

3.5. \textit{Summary}

A symmetrically substituted 4,5,9,10-tetraaryldioxapyrene, 5,10-di(4-cyanophenyl)-4,9-di(4-methylphenyl)-1,6-dioxapyrene (9 or \textit{CN-diox}), was synthesized in seven steps from 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene (1). The first notable transformation is the alkaline treatment of 5 to produce 4 in one step with a 99% yield, an improvement of 80% compared to the acidic, two step literature method for preparing 4,8-dibenzoyl-1,5-dihydroxynaphthalene. The second important sequence is the base-catalyzed ring closure followed by the acid-catalyzed dehydration to produce \textit{CN-diox} (9). The complete synthesis represents a new route for preparing tetraaryl-1,6-dioxapyrenes. Crystal structure and electrochemical analysis were performed to directly compare the properties of \textit{CN-diox} (9) to previously reported dioxapyrene derivatives, specifically 1,6-dioxapyrene (11 or \textit{Diox}) and 4,9-diethyl-2,7-dimethyl-1,6-dioxapyrene (10 or \textit{Alkyl-diox}). Crystallography revealed the dioxapyrene core oxygens were out-of-plane by 8.9°, using the inner dihedral angle, and the dihedral angle between the cyano-phenyl and the central structure was 22.7°. Electrochemistry showed similar oxidative behavior for all derivatives with notably lower energy transitions in the corresponding spectroelectrochemical absorption spectra. Both data suggest similar electronic structure for the 1,6-dioxapyrene core and a lowering of the LUMO by covalent attachment of an electron accepting group. Optical spectroscopy studies were performed to evaluate the potential of the 1,6-dioxapyrenes as fluorescent probes. \textit{CN-diox} revealed broad absorption and emission properties that were red shifted with respect to the two model compounds, \textit{Diox} (11) nor \textit{Alkyl-diox} (10). The luminescence of \textit{CN-diox} (9) was found to be solvatochromic ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 619 \text{nm-644 nm}$) with single exponential lifetimes of less than 1.3
ns. Neither Diox (11) nor Alkyl-diox (10) showed solvatochromic properties. These data indicate the presence of a charge transfer excited state in CN-diox (9) that may be useful for sensing applications. Continuing efforts will focus on synthesizing alternative donor/acceptor derivatives to systematically study the spectroscopic properties of this new class of 1,6-dioxapyrene.
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