A wastewater recovery system has been developed that combines novel biological and physicochemical components for recycling wastewater on long duration space missions. Functionally, this Alternative Water Processor (AWP) would replace the Urine Processing Assembly on the International Space Station and reduce or eliminate the need for the multi-filtration beds of the Water Processing Assembly (WPA). At its center are two unique game changing technologies: 1) a biological water processor (BWP) to mineralize organic forms of carbon and nitrogen and 2) an advanced membrane processor (Forward Osmosis Secondary Treatment) for removal of solids and inorganic ions. The AWP is designed for recycling larger quantities of wastewater from multiple sources expected during future exploration missions, including urine, hygiene (hand wash, shower, oral and shave) and laundry. The BWP utilizes a single-stage membrane-aerated biological reactor for simultaneous nitrification and denitrification. The Forward Osmosis Secondary Treatment (FOST) system uses a combination of forward osmosis (FO) and reverse osmosis (RO), is resistant to biofouling and can easily tolerate wastewaters high in non-volatile organics and solids associated with shower and/or hand washing. The BWP was operated continuously for over 300 days. After startup, the mature biological system averaged 85% organic carbon removal and 44% nitrogen removal, close to maximum based on available carbon. The FOST has averaged 93% water recovery, with a maximum of 98%. If the wastewater is slighty acidified, ammonia rejection is optimal. This paper will provide a description of the technology and summarize results from ground-based testing using real wastewater.
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### International Space Station Water Recovery System

Recovers H$_2$O from
only humidity condensate and urine which is only 15-20% of the anticipated wastewater load for exploration missions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State of the Art</th>
<th>Major Challenges</th>
<th>Milestones to Advance TRL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2011-14:</strong> 40-55% H$_2$O recovery (condensate, urine, hygiene)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2015-19:</strong> 98% H$_2$O recovery (condensate, urine, hygiene, laundry, waste)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2020-24:</strong> 98% H$_2$O recovery augmented by biological systems (condensate, urine, hygiene, laundry, waste, In-Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU)-derived)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2025-29:</strong> 98% H$_2$O recovery principally provided by biological systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Draft updates for 2015 were recently released: [http://www.nasa.gov/offices/oct/home/roadmaps/index.html](http://www.nasa.gov/offices/oct/home/roadmaps/index.html)
Examples of NASA-Sponsored Biological Water Processor technology Development
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### Alternative Water Processor Schedule and Developmental Sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Water Processor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Aerated Biological Reactor (MABR)</td>
<td>Sub-Scale Reactor Development and Testing</td>
<td>Performance, Optimization and Operational Modes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward Osmosis Secondary Treatment (FOST)</td>
<td>Design Review</td>
<td>FOST EDU Complete</td>
<td>FOST II Adv. FO Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Water Processor (BWP) Design and Fabricate</td>
<td>MABR EDU Design Review</td>
<td>Breadboard Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid Start Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buildup</td>
<td>Integrated Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This presentation focuses on tasks from Fiscal Year 2012 to mid-2014, through the end of the first phase of integrated testing.

- Tests performed in the later part of 2014 will be presented at the 2015 International Conference on Environmental Systems, Bellevue, WA, USA

- Work continues in Fiscal Year 2015
  - Determine composition of the microbial community within a bioreactor
  - Determine optimum geometry for flight hardware system
  - Determine the influence of additional carbon producers (i.e. increased C:N) on bioreactor performance and effluent quality
Alternative Water Processor Subsystems
Biological Water Processor (BWP)

- Based on Membrane Aerated Biological Reactor (MABR) technology from Texas Tech University.
- Oxygen or air flows within the lumen of silastic tubing, separating it from the aqueous phase containing wastewater.
- Biofilm grows on outer surface of tubing.
- This single-stage system performs carbon oxidation & simultaneous nitrification & denitrification, mineralizing organic carbon and nitrogen.
- Co-diffusion: oxygen diffuses into the reactor and nitrogen, carbon dioxide and other gases diffuse out.
- Each MABR was sized to treat at least 1-person’s wastewater (as measured ≈2)
- Four MABRs were assembled together to make the Biological Water Processor (BWP)

**Urea hydrolysis:**
\[ CO(NH_2)_2 + H_2O \rightarrow 2NH_3 + CO_2 \]

**Nitrification:**
\[ NH_4^+ + 2O_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- + 2H^+ + H_2O \]

**Denitrification:**
Organic Carbon + NO_3^- → N_2 + HCO_3^- + H_2O
Stringing Silastic tubing during fabrication, 506 tubes per module.

Newly assembled MABRs. The Forward Osmosis Secondary Treatment system is to the right

MABRs after extended operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Volume per Reactor</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Surface Area per Reactor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influent Wastewater Feed Rate</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Recycle Flow Rate</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Timing Feeding:Recycle</td>
<td>16:8</td>
<td>hr:hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Flow Rate (air or oxygen)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>L/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alternative Water Processor Components
Forward Osmosis Secondary Treatment (FOST)

- Bioreactor effluent is recirculated across a Forward Osmosis (FO) membrane.
- Purified water is drawn through the membrane into an osmotic agent (NaCl), then extracted using an energy recuperative Reverse Osmosis (RO).

![Diagram of FOST process]

Changes in FOST Tank Levels during a run
### Integrated Testing

#### Wastewater Formulation & Loading

- “Exploration wastewater” was used, made up of humidity condensate and collected urine and urine flush, plus hygiene (hand wash, oral, shave and shower) and laundry.
- All wastewater was collected at a donation facility except humidity condensate, which was ersatz.
- *Urine concentration was increased to simulated flight urine by changing ratios of urine and humidity condensate.
  - The flight equivalent per day per person is 1.2 kg urine and 1.95 kg humidity condensate.
  - We used 2.275 kg ground-based urine & 1.15 kg humidity condensate per person per day.
- Laundry was run and added only on alternate days.
- Total loading rate is approximately 43.9 kg/day w/o laundry, 72.9 kg/d with laundry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Ground Based Urine*</th>
<th>Humidity Condensate</th>
<th>Hygiene</th>
<th>Laundry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urinal Flush</td>
<td>Hand Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of event</td>
<td>Events/4 crew/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount per event</td>
<td>kg/event</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal load</td>
<td>kg/person/d</td>
<td>2.275</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg/4 crew/d</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Integrated Test**

- The first phase of integrated testing (4 bioreactors) was initiated April 19, 2013 with BWP inoculation and ended April 8, 2014.
- Average conversion: 85% organic carbon & 55% ammonium.
- The BWP performed C and N removal to the maximum capacity of nutrients available in the wastewater composition.
  - The wastewater is carbon limited. Literature suggests a ratio between 3:1 and 5:1 for optimum denitrification. Our wastewater is ≈0.5:1.
- 2 of the 4 original bioreactors were operated continuously for a period of more than 500 days.
Accomplishments / Findings

- Multiple test runs were performed using BWP effluent.
- Water recovery averaged 92%, with a maximum of 98%.
- Acidification of bioreactor effluent is necessary to achieve acceptable product water (Runs #1-4).
- Total system consumables were calculated to be 29% lower than the ISS.
- Brines generated by the FOST have lower solids level making post treatment easier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOST Brine Solids Production, g/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOST Product Water Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPCV 70156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSP 50260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOST Brine Solids compared to ISS Brine
Texas Tech University
• A single full-scale biological reactor was used to investigate wastewater loading rates, oxygen/air flow and dormancy.

Kennedy Space Center
• Small scale studies at KSC on methods to promote biofilm attachment led to a recommendation for use of a treatment to etch membrane fibers.
• Dormancy Studies demonstrated that at least for up to 4 weeks, a reactor can be put into recycle mode and can be brought back on line with no start up required.
• Microbial community characterization
Closing Remarks

Summary
- The Alternative Water Processor is a “green” choice for spacecraft water recycling, exploiting natural biodegradation processes to mineralize organic and nitrogen compounds in wastewater.
- The system is capable of treating a complex wastewater stream that includes urine, condensate, hygiene water (including hand wash and shower), and laundry.
- The system requires fewer consumables (chiefly salt & acid) than current flight systems (pretreatment chemicals & multi-filtration beds).
- The system was designed to be compatible with microgravity and/or partial gravity conditions.

Challenges
- Bio-fouling of fluid lines, pumps and sensors
- Methods for inoculation in flight
- Decreasing time to full biological activity following inoculation.
- Spacecraft quiescence and bioreactor dormancy.
- Improvement in salt rejection, rate of water permeance and life of forward and reverse osmosis membranes.
- Long life energy recuperative pumps for reverse osmosis
- Automated Systems Control